

# Data Collection Procedures Equivalence in International Business Research

By: Agnieszka Chidlow Anna Morgan-Thomas and Pervez N. Ghauri

# Data Collection Procedures Equivalence in International Business Research

Agnieszka Chidlow\*,1 Anna Morgan-Thomas\*\* and Pervez N. Ghauri\*\*\*

\* Manchester Metropolitan University, UK

\*\*
University of Glasgow, UK

\*\*
King's College London, UK

#### Abstract:

Following the work undertaken by Hult *el al.*, (2008) on data equivalence in cross-cultural international business research, this study examines mail survey administration procedures equivalence within 94 studies published in the Journal of International Business Studies from 2000-2008. The results show that, despite the existence of a well established theoretical frameworks for mail survey data collection by Don Dillman (1978, 1999), international business researchers have not been inspired to adopt and report the data collection procedures adequately in their work. We hope this work will bring to an end continuance of this neglect.

JEL Classification: A30, B00, M10,

*Keywords*: international business research, international mail survey, data collection procedure equivalence.

<sup>\*</sup> 

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tel.: + 44 (0) 161 247 3863, E-mail: <a href="mailto:a.chidlow@mmu.ac.uk">a.chidlow@mmu.ac.uk</a>.

This paper was presented during the 35<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the European Academy of International Business in Valencia in December 2009. We are thankful to the anonymous reviewers and all participants of the meeting for the valuable comments and suggestions on this work. Any remaining errors are ours.

#### Introduction

A growing global economy has forced the demand for information about international markets by both academics and practitioners. However, gathering cross-cultural data is not an easy task and the problems with undertaking international research have long been acknowledged by researchers (Berry, 1969). While workable solutions have been offered in some areas (Jobber *et al.*, 1991; Harzing, 1997; Brock, 2003; Reynolds *et al.*, 2003), other issues still remain to be resolved (Kjeldgaard *et al.*, 2006; Yaprak, 2006; Hult *et al.*, 2008, Piekkari *et al.*, 2009). One of the issues that is still unresolved relates to data collection procedure equivalence which includes comparability with regards to research instrument equivalence (e.g. face-to-face interviews, mail surveys, etc), the sampling coverage and survey administration procedures (Craig and Douglas, 2005; Hult *et al.*, 2008).

This work aims to examine "if" and "how" international business (IB) report the mail survey administration procedures, mainly the contacts that are established with potential respondents for maximising response rate. By doing so, the study is structured as follows. First, it draws attention to the multiple-contacts for mail surveys proposed by Dillman (1978, 1999). Second it points out at the effectiveness of Dillman's framework, grounded in a social exchange perspective, to cross-cultural research. Third, it presents the analytical approached that was used to examine data on the mail survey administration procedures employed in studies that were published in the Journal of International Business Studies (JIBS) between 2000 and 2008. Fourth, it focuses on the implications of the findings for IB research. Finally, it concludes.

#### Dillman's framework for mail survey data collection

Guided by social exchange theory, in the late 1970s, Don A. Dillman (1978) proposed a theoretical framework for mail survey data collection known in the literature as the Total Design Method (ToDM). According to social exchange theory (Blau, 1964; Homans, 1973) a questionnaire recipients are most likely to complete and return a questionnaire if they expect that the perceived benefits of doing so will outweigh the perceived costs (material and psychological) of responding. Consequently, the researcher (whether in international or/and domestic market) needs to minimise the expected costs and maximise the expected benefits of participation. Three elements are crucial for reinforcing this kind of behaviour: rewards, costs, and trust. In simple terms are what one anticipates to gain from a particular activity, costs are what one gives up or spends to obtain the rewards, and trust is the expectation that in the long term the rewards of doing something will offset the costs (Dillman, 1978). The most important strength of the ToDM is a set

of procedures for increasing response rates<sup>1</sup>. Among those procedures, which are of a great interest of this work, four carefully spaced mailings to potential respondents are proposed. These are:

- A questionnaire mailing. This questionnaire is mailed in an envelope (approximately 15.5 x 21 cm), along with a stamped and addressed return envelope and a detailed covering letter.
- 2. A *postcard* is sent out to all potential respondents once week after mailing the questionnaire, thanking them for their co-operation and reminding those who have not yet responded that it is important to co-operate.
- 3. Two weeks later a *second copy of the questionnaire* is sent out to those who have not yet sent in the completed questionnaire, along with a *reminder letter* that their replies have not yet been received.
- 4. Four weeks later<sup>2</sup> a *third copy of the questionnaire* is mailed, this time by *certified mail* to emphasize the importance of the survey. A note is also added in this procedure to remind the potential respondents of the importance of their response for the success of the survey.

Under social exchange approach, contacts that are different from previously used are generally more powerful than repetition of a previously used method. Individuals with whom the first contact was successful will not be subject to receiving a replacement questionnaire. As a result, the later contacts need to be varied in an effort to increase their effectiveness with nonrespondents. Therefore it is important, that each communication method differs from a previous one in order to convey a sense of appropriate renewal of an effort to communicate. Each of these delivery contacts, described above, builds upon past research (Dillman *el al.*, 1974, Heberlein and Baumgartner, 1978) showing that a distinctively different final contact improves response to mail surveys. In addition, it has been shown in the literature that multiple contacts have a significantly greater collective capability for influencing response rates than any other technique for increasing response to mail surveys (Scott, 1961; Linsky, 1975).

Taking into account the globalisation of markets, the surge of mail surveys techniques (Dillman, 1972; Dillman and Sangster, 1990), and the influence of sometimes conflicting pressures from groups with much influence over how surveys get done, Don A. Dillman (1999, 2000) modified the original ToDM in the late 1990s and called it the Tailored Design Method (TaDM). One of the new features<sup>3</sup> of the TaDM is the change in the number of contacts. Here the use of *five* not *four* contacts are described. The new contact that was added to the existing contacts in ToDM, and described above, represents a *brief prenotice letter*. This is sent to potential respondents a few days prior to mailing the questionnaire as a special mail. It aims to signal that a questionnaire for an

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For other details of the ToDM and how they are integrated to make a holistic effect please see Dillman (1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Or seven weeks after the first questionnaire was sent out.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For more please see Dillman (2000).

important survey will arrive in a few days and that the person's response would be greatly appreciated.

Dillman's intention in designing each aspect of the implementation system from prenotice letter to return envelopes was to create positive salience where each element of the process is noticeable but in a way that creates a positive impression and by that increases a sense of reward, diminishes perceived costs and at the same time creates trust. The overall impression that is established depends not only on individual contacts but also on the consistency amongst those contacts. Therefore, it is important that each contact should not be thought of as self-standing but as part of an overall implementation system for which a change in one part is likely to be unintended consequences for another. In addition none of these contacts talked above should be omitted because either the ToDM or the TaDM should be seen as a package of procedures which interact with each other to produce a maximum response rate.

#### The efficiency of Dillman's framework to cross-cultural research

Since the development of the ToDM technique its adaptation has lead to an increase in the number of mail surveys, which have become one of the most common forms for gathering data in the United States (Dillman, 1991). Because the ToDM was developed in the United States, Goyder (1982) points out that return rates on mail surveys would be lower in foreign cultures than in the United States due to □higher legitimacy of surveying in American cultures (Ladd, 1980, p.374)□. However, this is no longer sufficient since there have been investigations carried out in Europe. Australia and Asia on whether the ToDM was a culture-bound survey methodology and proved otherwise. For example, Greatz (1985) assessed the feasibility of using the implementation procedures proposed by the ToDM in Australia. He found that multiple contacts with potential respondents yield to high response rates and good quality of data. His results were comparable with those obtained in the United States. De Leeuw and Hox (1988), however, analysed the efficiency of the personalisation of a covering letter and reminder by certified mail (i.e. response-increasing factors of the ToDM) on a sample of the Dutch population. They found that response-stimulating factors have a statistically significant effect on the number of completed questionnaires and data quality, and that response rates do not differ to any great extent from those in the USA either. Another study comparing the appropriateness of the ToDM (i.e. the usefulness of non-monetary incentives) in the Netherlands is that of Nederhof (1983). He shows that the use of an incentive positively influences the speed and quality of survey results. His results were also comparable with those in the American literature (Brennan, 1958; Watson, 1965), and at the same time indicating the cross-cultural effectiveness of the ToDM method initially developed in the United States. Rada (2000), on the other hand, examined the usefulness of Dillman's implementation procedures from

the TaDM on the response rate in Spain. He found that multiple contacts do indeed increase the number of returned questionnaires. He also pointed out that his results do not greatly differ from those obtained by other researchers on efficiency of the ToDM in countries such as the United States, and the Netherlands and Australia. In the study of a comparison of the viability the ToDM in Japan and the United States, Jussaume and Yamada (1990) showed that □mail surveys are feasible research tool in Japan and potentially in other cultures where the majority of the intended universe is literate, can be sampled, and can be contacted through a dependable postal system (p.226)□. Their results also showed that the theoretical foundations of the ToDM is not culture bound to Western countries and that consideration to the theoretical base is the key to implementing the ToDM to foreign settings.

Based on the above, the fact that methodological data obtained from different countries under similar conditions is comparable to those in the United States, suggests that the generalizability of findings may not necessary be limited to one nation or continent as claimed by Goyder (1982).

### The analytical approach

To investigate "if" and "how" IB researchers report the mail survey administration procedures we carried out a comprehensive and systematic review of the content of JIBS between 2000 and 2008<sup>4</sup>. We choose JIBS for our review because it symbolises very highly ranked and □the key □ international business specific journal. Even though, as pointed out by Platt (1996) and cited in Piekkari *et al.*, (2009), it cannot be presume that highly ranked journals □contain a representative cross-section of publications, they do tell something about disciplinary standards and ideas (p.563)□.

Overall we reviewed 378 articles. Table 1 shows the categorisation of papers that we examined, by year (volume and issue). It can be seen that 177(47%) qualitative papers were published in JIBS in the period under investigation. However, for the purpose of this investigation we only examined 94(25%) papers which used the mail survey procedure<sup>5</sup> as a primary data collection method. This approach was undertaken in order to minimize research type confounds.

<sup>4</sup> This work is part of a bigger study, because we are also currently reviewing the International Business Review (IBR), the Journal of Word Business (JWB) and the Management International Review (MIR) to examine "if" and "how" international business report multiple contacts strategies for mail survey in the international business field. Unfortunately, we could not include these results in this work, because the data collection for those journals has not been completed before the submission of this paper. The aim of this short work was to shed light on the issue, and also to investigate the area for the development of this work.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> However, in our study we include one study by Lazarova and Cerdin (2007), where they use the mail survey, for collecting data from North American companies, and the on-line survey, to gather data from French multinational companies, at the same time. According to authors, "the choice of survey medium was guided by organizational technical capabilities and preferences (p.410" and "has found no effects of the data collection medium on the response characteristics (p.410)". Based on that we decided to categorise this paper under the mail survey group (see Table 1).

Table 1 Categorisation of Articles with Regards to Data Collection Methods in JIBS, 2000 - 2008.

		Mail survey	Mail	Qualitative			
Year (Volume)	Issue	plus other	survey	other	Total	Total	Total
		method <sup>1</sup>		methods <sup>2</sup>	qualitative	per issue	per year(%)3
	4	2	2	2	6	12	
2000(31)	3	1	1	1	3	9	41(24.39)
	2	2	2	0	4	9	
	1	2	5	0	7	11	
	4	1	2	0	3	12	
2001(32)	3	1	5	0	6	13	45(35.56)
	2	1	4	1	6	10	
	1	0	5	0	5	10	
	4	1	3	0	4	9	
2002(33)	3	1	3	2	6	13	43(32.46)
	2	0	5	2	7	10	, ,
	1	1	3	0	4	11	
	6	3	1	0	4	4	
2003(34)	5	0	2	0	2	5	
	4	1	0	0	1	6	37(13.51)
	3	3	1	0	4	6	, ,
	2	0	0	0	0	9	
	1	1	2	0	3	7	
	6	0	1	0	1	4	
2004(35)	5	1	1	3	5	5	
	4	0	2	0	2	3	23(39.13)
	3	0	2	0	2	3	
	2	0	3	0	3	4	
	1	1	0	0	1	4	
	6	0	1	1	2	7	
2005(36)	5	1	1	0	2	5	
	4	0	1	1	2	6	33(15.15)
	3	1	1	1	3	6	, ,
	2	1	1	1	3	5	
	1	0	0	2	2	4	
	6	1	3	0	4	8	
2006(37)	5	2	1	1	4	8	l.
2000(37)	4	0	1	1	2	7	42(23.81)
	3	0	1	0	1	6	72(23.01)
	2	1	2	0	3	6	
		i	2	ľ	5	7	
	1	0	2	3	5	/	

## Notes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By "other method" we mean, for example, interviews and/or secondary data.

<sup>2</sup> Any other qualitative methods rather than the mail survey (e.g. case study, experiments).

<sup>3</sup> To enhance readability we are only reporting percentages of articles with the mail survey in total per year.

(Table 1 Continued)

(10010 1 00110111		Mail survey	Mail	Qualitative			
Year (Volume)	Issue	plus other method <sup>1</sup>	survey	other methods <sup>2</sup>	Total qualitative	Total per issue	Total per year (%) <sup>3</sup>
	7	0	1	0	1	7	
	6	0	3	2	5	10	52(25.00)
2007(38)	5	1	3	2	6	9	
	4	0	1	0	1	4	
	3	1	3*	0	4	7	
	2	2	1	0	3	7	
	1	0	1	3	4	8	
	8	0	3	1	4	7	
2008(39)	7	1	3	0	4	7	
	6	2	0	3	5	8	62(17.74)
	5	2	1	2	5	8	
	4	1	1	1	3	8	
	3	2	0	2	4	9	
	2	0	1	1	2	8	
	1	1	2	1	4	7	
Grand Total (%)4		43(11.38)	94(24.88)	40(10.58)	177(46.82)	378	

#### Notes:

#### **Data collection**

The data collection analysis consisted of two stages. In the first stage we categorised every one article<sup>6</sup> published in JIBS throughout the period under investigation as the mail survey plus other method, the mail survey, and qualitative other methods (see Table 1). This identification was based on the method or methods used for data collection by authors in their papers. In the second stage, one of the authors coded the articles in search for the multi contact strategies developed by Dillman (1978, 1999, 2000) and discussed above. Confusions relating the coding process were resolved by discussions with other co-authors. Table 2 lists the 94 articles that we included in our analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By "other method" we mean, for example, interviews and/or secondary data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Any other qualitative methods rather than the mail survey (e.g. case study, experiments).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> To enhance readability we are only reporting percentages of articles with the mail survey in total per year.

<sup>4</sup> The percentage is reported for the total period of 2000-2008.

<sup>\*</sup> See footnote 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Excluding editorials, commentaries, notes and award winning.

Table 2 Articles included in the study.

Author(s) & Year	No of	Volume
	Authors	(Issue)
Lee et al., (2000)	3	31(4)
Anakwe et al., (2000)	3	31(4)
Athanassiou and Nigh (2000)	2	31(3)
Thomas and Mueller (2000)	2	31(2)
Griffith et al.,(2000)	3	31(2)
Brouthers et al., (2000)	3	31(1)
Balabanis (2000)	1	31(1)
Harzing (2000)	1	31(1)
Neelankavil et al., (2000)	3	31(1)
Feinberg (2000)	1	31(1)
Filatotchev et al., (2001)	4	32(4)
Marshall and Boush (2001)	2	32(4)
Christmann and Taylor (2001)	2	32(3)
Newburry (2001)	1	32(3)
Begley and Tan (2001)	2	32(3)
Miller and Gomez-Mejia (2001)	2	32(3)
Griffith and Harvey (2001)	2	32(3)
Laroche et al., (2001)	4	32(2)
Peng and York (2001)	2	32(2)
Mayer (2001)	1	32(2)
Jun et al.,(2001)	3	32(2)
Luo et al.,(2001)	3	32(1)
Fey and Bjorkman (2001)	2	32(1)
Lau and Ngo (2001)	2	32(1)
Balabanis et al., (2001)	4	32(1)
Brouthers and Brouthers (2001)	2	32(1)
Brouthers and Xu (2002)	2	33(4)
Skarmeas <i>et al.</i> , (2002)	3	33(4)
Hofsted et al.,(2002)	17	33(4)
Makino <i>et al.</i> ,(2002)	3	33(3)
Evans and Mavondo (2002)	2	33(3)
Cadogan et al.,(2002)	3	33(3)
Brouther (2002)	1	33(2)
Arramilli et al.,(2002)	3	33(2)
Thomas and Au (2002)	2	33(2)
Klein (2002)	1	33(2)
Huang and Vliert (2002)	2	33(2)
Olsen and Olsson (2002)	2	33(1)
Luo (2002)	1	33(1)
Edwards et al.,(2002)	3	33(1)
Hewett et al.,(2003)	3	34(6)
Benito et al.,(2003)	3	34(5)
Brown et al.,(2003)	3	34(5)
Law et al.,(2003)	3	34(3)
Van de Vliert (2003)	1	34(1)
Arino (2003)	1	34(1)
Note:	1	` ` `

Note:

n = 94

	_	T
Author(s) & Year	No of	Volume
1 10 1 1 (2004)	authors	(Issue)
Jensen and Szulanski (2004)	2	35(6)
Simonin (2004)	1	35(5)
Fu et al., (2004)	15	35(4)
Chen et al., (2004)	3	35(4)
Choi and Beamish (2004)	2	35(3)
Shay and Baack (2004)	2	35(3)
Uhlenbruck (2004)	1	35(2)
Knight and Cavusgil (2004)	2	35(2)
Luo and Park (2004)	2	35(2)
Venaik et al., (2005)	3	36(6)
Faff and Marshall (2005)	2	36(5)
Samiee et al., (2005)	3	36(4)
Griffith and Mayers (2005)	2	36(3)
Luo (2005)	1	36(2)
Waldman et al., (2006)	36	37(6)
Husted and Allen (2006)	2	37(6)
Christmann and Taylor (2006)	2	37(6)
Chetty <i>et al.</i> , (2006)	3	37(5)
Lee, C. et al., (2006)	4	37(4)
Barry and Kearney (2006)	2	37(3)
Dow (2006)	1	37(2)
Pellegrini and Scandura (2006)	2	37(2)
Newburry and Yakova (2006)	2	37(1)
Tregaskis and Brewster (2006)	2	37(1)
Barkema ans Drogendijk (2007)	2	38(7)
Luo (2007)	1	38(6)
Takeuchi <i>et al.</i> , (2007)	4	38(6)
Dikova and Witteloostuijn (2007)	2	38(6)
Pappu <i>et al.</i> , (2007)	3	38(5)
Broderick et al., (2007)	3	38(5)
Johnston and Menguc (2007)	2	38(5)
Yiu et al., (2007)	3	38(4)
Verlegh (2007)	1	38(3)
Ellis (2007)	1	38(3)
Lazarova and Cerdin (2007)*	2	38(3)
Zheng <i>et al.</i> , (2007)	4	38(2)
Shin et al., (2007)	3	38(1)
	5	
Brock et al., (2008)	7	39(8)
Hirst et al., (2008)	3	39(8)
Carraher et al., (2008)		39(8)
Petersen et al., (2008)	3 2	39(7)
Speck and Roy (2008)	3	39(7)
Filatotchev et al., (2008)		39(7)
Newburry <i>et al.</i> , (2008)	3	39(5)
Luk et al., (2008)	6	39(4)
Lages et al., (2008)	3	39(2)
Wasti and Wasti (2008)	2	39(1)
Fenton-O'Creevy et al., (2008)	3	39(1)

<sup>\*</sup> See footnote 5.

#### **Results**

As indicated in Table 3, only 4 of the 94 articles under investigation referred to Dillman's ToDM and/or TaDM<sup>7</sup>. Of these four, Peng and York (2001, p.334) stated "Following the total design approach (Dillman, 1978), we first pretested a questionnaire with ten practitioners and then mailed the survey in two rounds"; Arino (2003, p.71) wrote "I attribute this rather high response rate<sup>8</sup> to the care taken in identifying the target respondent and in the following-up process. For this, I followed the procedure that Dillman (1978) suggest, supplemented with phone calls"; Simonin (2004, p.415) said "The questionnaire design and the implementation and conduct of the survey were based on the total design method approach (Dillman, 1978)"; and Uhlenbruck (2004, p.114) indicated "The survey instrument was developed and administered following Dillman's 'Total Design Method". However, none of the studies mentioned the actual mail survey administration procedures that were utilised to collect data.

Based on our above findings, for further analysis we decided to exclude those four articles to examine "if" and "how" researchers in international business report the mail survey administration procedures. The results form the main portion of Table 3. It can be seen from this table that only 29 (32%) out of 90 mail survey studies reported data collection procedures of any kind, and that the highest annual percentage of reporting such procedures was in 2003 (60%). However, there are no statistically significant differences in the reporting of any multi contact strategies across years. Based on our findings, it looks like the most common procedures utilized by authors are follow-ups (14%) and covering letters (12%), and the least common techniques are pre-notice letters (2%) and pre-notice cards (2%). In addition, the findings indicate that statistically significant results where only found for reporting follow-ups across years.

Diagnostics of the examined sample indicate that 33 of the papers (35%) used a pilot study to pre-test the mail questionnaire and that 63 studies (67%) developed the questionnaire in more than one language. The average response rate was 30%. Studies that examined one country were the most frequent (44 studies, 47%).

<sup>7</sup> We hope these findings will change after the completion of the full data analysis. See footnote 4.

Table 3 Reporting of data collection procedure by year<sup>1</sup>.

able 5 Keporting of	uata conceti	on procedure by	y year.									
	$\chi^2(\text{sig})$	Categories	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total <sup>2</sup>
			n=10	n=16	n=14	n=6	n=9	n=5	n=10	n=13	n=11	n = 94
Dillman's ToDM <sup>3</sup>	12.4(0.14)	Reported	0	1(6.25)	0	1(16.67)	2(22.22)	0	0	0	0	4(4.26)
		Not reported	10	15(93.75)	14	5(83.33)	7(77.78)	5	10	13	11	90(95.74)
												Total <sup>4</sup>
			n=10	n = 15	n=14	n=5	n=7	n=5	n=10	n=13	n=11	n=90
Any multi contacts <sup>5</sup>	3.71(0.88)	Reported	3(30.00)	3(20.00)	5(35.71)	3(60.00)	3(42.86	1(20.00)	3(30.00)	4(30.77)	4(36.36)	29 (32.22)
		Not reported	7(70.00)	12(80.00)	9 (64.29)	2(40.00)	4(57.14)	4(80.00)	7(70.00)	9(69.23)	7(63.64)	61(67.78)
Pre-notice Letter	4.31(0.83)	Reported	0	1(6.67)	1(7.14)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2(2.22)
		Not reported	10	14(93.33)	13(92.86)	5	7	5	10	13	11	88(97.78)
Pre-notice Card	4.31(0.83)	Reported	0	1(6.67)	1(7.14)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2(2.22)
		Not reported	10	14(93.33)	13(92.86)	5	7	5	10	13	11	88(97.78)
Pre-contact by	7.25(0.51)	Reported	0	0	0	0	1(14.29)	0	1(10.00)	0	1(10.00)	3(3.33)
phone		Not reported	10	15	14	5	6(85.71)	5	9(90.00)	13	9(90.00)	87(96.67)
Covering Letter	6.90(0.55)	Reported	3(30.00)	0	2(14.29)	1(20.00)	0	1(20.00)	1(10.00)	2(15.38)	1(9.09)	11(12.22)
		Not reported	7(70.00)	15	12(85.71)	5(80.00)	7	4(80.00)	9(90.00)	11(84.62)	10(90.91)	79(87.78)
Follow-ups	13.07(0.10)*	Reported	1(10.00)	1(6.67)	1(7.14)	2(40.00)	2(28.57)	0	1(10.00)	3(23.08)	1(9.09)	13(14.44)
		Not reported	9(90.00)	14(93.33)	13(92.86)	3(60.00)	5(71.43)	5	9(90.00)	10(76.92)	10(90.91)	77(85.56)
Follow-ups by	3.56(0.90)	Reported	0	1(6.67)	1(7.14)	0	0	0	1(10.00)	0	1(9.09)	4(4.44)
phone		Not reported	10	14(93.33)	13(92.86)	5	7	5	9(90.00)	13	10(90.91)	86(95.56)
Reminder	9.61(0.30)	Reported	1(10.00)	0	2(14.29)	1(20.00)	0	0	0	0	0	4(4.44)
		Not reported	9(90.00)	15	12(85.71)	4(80.00)	7	5	10	13	11	86(95.56)
Return envelops	10.08(0.26)	Reported	1(10.00)	0	0	1(20.00)	1(11.11)	0	0	0	2(18.18)	5(5.56)
		Not reported	9(90.00)	15	14	4(80.00)	6(85.71)	5	10	13	9(81.82)	85(94.44)
Incentives <sup>6</sup>	4.46(0.81)	Reported	0	1(6.67)	0	0	0	0	1(10.00)	1(7.69)	0	3(3.33)
		Not reported	10	14(93.33)	14	5	7	5	9(90.00)	12(92.31)	11	87(96.67)

#### Notes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Table adopted from Hult *et al.*, (2008). For better readability we do not report percentages for zeros.

<sup>2</sup> n = 94 for all papers under investigation.

<sup>3</sup> Dillman's ToDM (and TaDM) mentioned but steps are not implemented for data collection procedure.

<sup>4</sup> n = 91 for papers excluding those that mention ToDM and TaDM.

<sup>5</sup> Here were refer to contacts that were made with potential respondents by authors without referring to Dillman's ToDM or/and TaDM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Both monetary and non-monetary.

 $<sup>^* \</sup>alpha = 0.10.$ 

#### **Implications of IB research**

The findings of this study in relation to if  $\Box$  and  $\Box$ how  $\Box$  researchers in international business report the mail survey administration procedures are concerning. Without the establishment of data collection procedure equivalence, an issue recently pointed out by Hult *et al.*, (2008), the reliability and validity of findings are called to question. To minimise those threats it is therefore essential to ensure comparable data collection procedures. While ensuring consistency in data collection methods may seem straightforward, cross-cultural differences might explain the variations (Craig and Douglas, 2000; Hult *et al.*, 2008). However, those disparities could be overcome if, for example, researchers become more thorough in reporting and explaining how and why a particular strategy (or strategies) was used to gather data.

#### **Conclusions**

In a period when IB researchers are confronted with a trend of increasing unwillingness of the general public to participate in the mail survey research, the success of postal surveys remains conditional upon the implementation of proven strategies for stimulating response (e.g., Dillman, 1978; Heberlein and Baumgartner, 1978).

The objective of this study was to investigate if□ and □how□ researchers in IB report the mail survey administration procedures. Despite the existence of either the ToDM or TaDM, researchers have not been inspired to adopt or/and report those techniques adequately in their cross-cultural research. In general, we found that inadequate emphasis on data collection procedures was placed in all examined studies in JIBS between 2000 and 2008. In order to advance in this field a greater attention needs to be focused on the equivalence of such procedures.

Our hope is that this research note will bring to an end continuance of this neglect. Especially, it will increase researchers', editors' and reviewers' awareness of the importance of an adequate reporting of the mail survey administration procedures for data collection equivalence.

#### References:

- Berry, J. (1999). On cross-cultural comparability, *International Journal of Psychology*, 4/2, 119-128
- Blau, P.M., (1964). Exchange and power in social life. New York: Wiley.
- Brennan, R.D. (1958). Trading stamps as an incentive in mail surveys, *Journal of Marketing*, 22, 306-317
- Brock, J, K-W. (2003). The 'power' of international business research, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 34/1, 90-99.
- Craig, C.S., and Douglas, S.P. (2005). *International Marketing Research* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed). New York: Wiley & Sons.
- De Leeuw, E.D., and Hox, J.J. (1988). The Effects of Response-Stimulating Factors on Response Rates and Data Quality in Mail Surveys: A Test of Dillman's Total Design Method, *Journal of Official Statistics*.4/3, 241-249.
- Dillman, D.A., Christenson, J.A., Carpenter, E.H., and Brooks, R. (1974). Increasing mail questionnaire response: A four-state comparison, *American Sociological Review*, 39, 744-756.
- Dillman, D.A., and Sangster, R.L. (1990). *Mail Surveys: A Comprehensive Bibliography 1974-1989*, Technical Report, Pullman: Washington State University.
- Dillman, D.A. (2000). *Mail and Internet Surveys. The Tailored Design Method*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Dillman, D. A. (1999). Mail and other self-administered surveys in the 21<sup>st</sup> century: The beginning of a new era, *The Gallup Research Journal*, Winter/Spring, 121-140.
- Dillman, D.A. (1991). The Design and Administration of Mail Surveys, *Annual Review of Sociology*, 17, 225-249.
- Dillman, D.A. (1978). *Mail and Telephone Surveys: The Total Design Method*. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Dillman, D. A. (1972). Increasing mail questionnaire response for larger samples of general public, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 35/3, 254-257.
- Dowson, S., and Dickinson, D. (1988). Conducting international mail survey: the effect of incentives on response rates with an industry population, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 19/3, 491 496.
- Greatz, B. (1985). The potential of mail surveys, *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Sociology*, 21, 445-455.
- Harzing, A-W, (1997). Response Rates in International Mail Surveys: Results of a 22-Country Study, *International Business Review*, 6/6, 641-665.
- Herberlein, T.A., and Baumgartner, R. (1978). Factors affecting response rates to mailed questionnaires: A quantitative analysis of the published literature, *American Sociological Review*, 43, 447-462.
- Homans, C.G. (1973). Social Behaviour. London: Routledge and Kegan.
- Hult, G.T.M., Ketchen, D.J., Griffith, D.A., Finnegen, C.A., Gonzales-Padron, T., Harmancioglu, N., Huang, Y., Talay, M.B., and Cavusgil, S.T. (2008). Data equivalence in cross-cultural international business research: assessment and guidelines, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39/6, 1027-1044.
- Jobber, D., Mirza, H., and Wee, K.H. (1991). Incentives and response rates to cross-national business surveys: a logit model analysis, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 22/4, 711 721.
- Jobber, D., and Saunders, J. (1988). An experimental investigation into cross-national business survey response rate, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 19/3, 483 489.
- Jussaume, R.A., and Yamada, Y. (1990). A Comparison of the Variability of Mail Surveys in Japan and the United States, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 54/2, 219-228.

- Kjeldgaard, D., Csaba. F., and Ger, G. (2006). Grasping the global: multi-sited ethnographic market studies. In Belk, R. W. (ed), *Handbook of Qualitative Research Methods in Marketing*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 521-534.
- Ladd, E.C. (1980). Polling and the press: the clash of institutional imperatives, *Public Opinion Ouarterly*, 44, 574-84.
- Linsky, A.S. (1975). Stimulating responses to mailed questionnaire: A review, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 39, 82-101.
- Nederhof, A.J. (1983). The effects of material incentives in mail surveys: Two studies, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 47/1, 102-111.
- Piekkari, R., Welch, C., and Paavilainen, E. (2009). The Case Study as Disciplinary Convention: Evidence from International Business Journals, *Organisational Research Methods*, 12/3, 567-589
- Platt, J. (1996). A history of sociological research methods 1920-1960. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Rada, V.D. (2000). Mail Surveys Using Dillman's TDM in a Southern European Country: Spain, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 13/2, 159-172.
- Reynolds, N.L., Simintiras, A.C., and Diamantopoulos, A. (2003). Theoretical justifications of sampling choices in international marketing research: key issues and guidelines for research, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 34/1, 80-89.
- Scot, C. (1961). Research on mail surveys, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 124, 143-205.
- Yaprak, A. (2006). Measurement problems in cross-national consumer research: the state-of-the-art and future research directions. In S.C. Jain (ed), *Handbook of research in international marketing*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 174-190.
- Watson, J.J. (1965). Improving the response rate in mail research, *Journal of Advertising Research*, 5, 48-50.

# **DAVIDSON INSTITUTE WORKING PAPER SERIES - Most Recent Papers**The entire Working Paper Series may be downloaded free of charge at: www.wdi.umich.edu

CURRENT AS OF 3/5/10

Publication	Authors	Date
No. 977: Data Collection Procedures Equivalence in International Business Research	Agnieszka Chidlow, Anna Morgan-Thomas and Pervez N. Ghauri	Feb 2010
No. 976: Direct and Indirect Effects of FDI in Emerging European Markets: A Survey and Meta-analysis	Jan Hanousek, Evzen Kocenda and Mathilde Maurel	March 2010
No. 975: MODELING INSTITUTIONS, START-UPS AND PRODUCTIVITY DURING TRANSITION	Zuzana Brixiová and Balázs Égert	Feb 2010
No. 974: Pegging the future West African single currency in regard to internal/external competitiveness: a counterfactual analysis	Gilles Dufrénot and Kimiko Sugimoto	Dec 2009
No. 973: Unemployment and finance: how do financial and labour market factors interact?	Donatella Gatti, Christophe Rault & Anne-Gaël Vaubourg	January 2010
No. 972: Identifying Social Entrepreneurs Serving the Poor at the BoP	Abraham M. George	December 2009
No. 971: Inflation dynamics and the New Keynesian Phillips curve in the EU-4	Bořek Vašíček	October 2009
No. 970: International Financial Integration And Real Exchange Rate Long-Run Dynamics In Emerging Countries: Some Panel Evidence	Guglielmo Maria CAPORALE, Thouraya HADJ AMOR and Christophe RAULT	Sept 2009
No. 969: Once Bitten, Twice Shy: Experiences Of A Banking Crisis And Expectations Of Future Crises	Shannon Mudd & Neven Valev	Sept 2009
No. 968: Monetary policy rules and inflation process in open emerging economies: evidence for 12 new EU members	Bořek Vašíček	Sept 2009
No. 967: Extending Likages Between Organizational Analysis And Social Structure: A Case Study Of The Celebrity-Construction Of A Chinese Marketplace	Mark Jacobs	Aug 2009
No. 966: Chinese state's economic cooperation related investment: An investigation of its direction and some implications for outward investment	Sumon Bhaumik and Catherine Yap Co	Aug 2009
No. 965: Capital inflows, household debt and the boom-bust cycle in Estonia	Zuzana Brixiova, Laura Vartia and Andreas Worgotter	July 2009
No. 964: Labour Market Felxibility in Estonia: What More Can be Done?	Zuzana Brixiova	July 2009
No. 963: Market Globalization by Firms from Emerging Markets & Small Countries: An Application of the Neoclassical Trade Model	Tamir Agmon	July 2009
No. 962: Central Bank Communication and Exchange Rate Volatility: A GARCH Analysis	Roman Horvath and Radovan Fiser	July 2009
No. 961: On the influence of oil prices on stock markets: Evidence from panel analysis in GCC countries.	Christophe Rault and Mohamed El Hedi Arouri	June 2009
No. 960: Oil Prices & Stock Markets: What Drives What in the Gulf Corporation Council Countries?	Christophe Rault and Mohamed El Hedi Arouri	June 2009
No. 959: Trade Specialisation And Economic Convergence: Evidence From Two Eastern European Countries	Christophe Rault, Guglielmo Caporale, Robert Sova & Anamaria Sova	June 2009
No. 958: Inflation differentials in the Euro area and their determinants  – an empirical view	Juan Ignacio Aldasoro & Václav Žďárek	April 2009
No. 957: Infrastructure and growth: Empirical evidence	Balazs Egert, Tomasz Kozluk and Douglas Sutherland	April 2009
No. 956: Infrastructure investment in network industries: The role of incentive regulation and regulatory independence	Balazs Egert	April 2009