NORMAL ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS AND A QUESTION OF M. L. CARTWRIGHT

DOUGLAS M. CAMPBELL AND GEORGE PIRANIAN

In an address before the London Mathematical Society, M. L. Cartwright asked whether there exists a normal analytic function in |z| < 1 with an infinite radial limit at z = 1 but with a derivative that has no radial limit at z = 1. W. K. Hayman and D. A. Storvick [4] answered this in the affirmative, using a geometric construction to exhibit a univalent function with the required property. In this paper, we give three different explicit examples relevant to Cartwright's question.

1. A logarithmic function with a Blaschke disturbance

Let $\{z_n\}$ $(0 < z_1 < z_2 < ... < 1)$ be an interpolating sequence, and let $B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z_n - z}{1 - z_n z}\right)$ be the Blaschke product with simple zeros at the points of $\{z_n\}$.

Then B is a real-valued function on the segment [0, 1) of the real line, and it changes sign at each point z_n . Thus $\{B'(z_n)\}$ is a sequence of real numbers, negative when n is odd, positive when n is even. Since $\{z_n\}$ is an interpolating sequence, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that $(1-|z_n|^2)|B'(z_n)| \ge \delta$ (n = 1, 2, ...) [3; p. 148]. Thus $B'(z_{2n}) \ge \delta/(1-z_{2n}^2)$ and $B'(z_{2n+1}) \le -\delta/(1-z_{2n+1}^2)$. Consider the normal (Bloch) function

$$f(z) = \frac{\delta}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} - B(z).$$

Clearly, $\lim_{x\to 1} f(x) = \infty$. On the other hand, $f'(z_{2n}) \le 0$ and $f'(z_{2n+1}) \ge 2\delta/(1-z_{2n+1}^2)$. By continuity, f' vanishes between z_{2n} and z_{2n+1} , while $f'(z_{2n+1}) \to \infty$. Thus f' has no finite or infinite radial limit at z = 1.

2. An example obtained by integration

Our second example illustrates a connection between the non-Euclidean distance of consecutive points of an exponential interpolating sequence $\{z_n\}$ and the behaviour of the Blaschke product that vanishes at each point z_n . Let $\{z_n\}$ be an exponential interpolating sequence on the positive real line [3; p. 156]. For simplicity let us suppose that $z_n = 1 - c^n$ (0 < c < 1). Let B(z) be the Blaschke product with a simple zero at each z_n . Since the pseudo-non-Euclidean distance from z_n to z_{n+1} satisfies the condition

$$\frac{z_{n+1}-z_n}{1-z_nz_{n+1}}=\frac{1-c}{1+c-c^{n+1}}>\frac{1-c}{1+c},$$

Received 26 March, 1979.

The second author gratefully acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation.

we can find points z'_n and z''_{n+1} such that $z_n < z'_n < z''_{n+1} < z_{n+1}$,

$$\frac{z_n'-z_n}{1-z_n'z_n}=\frac{1}{4}\frac{1-c}{1+c}=\frac{z_{n+1}-z_{n+1}''}{1-z_{n+1}z_{n+1}''},$$

and the non-Euclidean distance $\rho(z'_n, z''_{n+1})$ from z'_n to z''_{n+1} is bounded below by a positive number d depending on c but not on n. Since $\{z_n\}$ is an interpolating sequence, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq k}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z_j - z_k}{1 - z_j z_k} \right| \geqslant \delta \qquad (k = 1, 2, ...)$$

[3; p. 148]. Thus, for $z'_n < z < z''_{n+1}$,

$$B^{2}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z_{j}-z}{1-z_{j}z}\right)^{2}$$

$$\geqslant \left(\frac{z_{n}-z}{1-z_{n}z}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{z_{n+1}-z}{1-z_{n+1}z}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{z_{j}-z_{n}}{1-z_{j}z_{n}}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=n+2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z_{j}-z_{n+1}}{1-z_{n+1}z_{j}}\right)^{2}$$

$$\geqslant \left(\frac{1}{4}\frac{1-c}{1+c}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\frac{1-c}{1+c}\right)^{2} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq n}}^{\infty} \left|\frac{z_{j}-z_{n}}{1-z_{j}z_{n}}\right|\right)^{2} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\n\neq n+1}}^{\infty} \left|\frac{z_{j}-z_{n+1}}{1-z_{j}z_{n+1}}\right|\right)^{2}$$

$$\geqslant \delta^{4}(1-c)^{4}/256(1+c)^{4}$$

$$\equiv A > 0.$$

Consider the normal (Bloch) function

$$F(z) = \int_{z}^{z} B^{2}(w)(1-w^{2})^{-1} dw.$$

Clearly, $F'(z_n) = 0$ for each n. On the other hand

$$F(z_{n+1}) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{z'j}^{z''j+1} B^{2}(w)(1-w^{2})^{-1} dw$$

$$\ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} A \int_{z'j}^{z''j+1} (1-w^{2})^{-1} dw$$

$$\ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} A \cdot d$$

$$= nAd,$$

and therefore $\lim_{x\to 1} F(x) = \infty$. Since $F(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to 1$, there exists a sequence of points x_n on [0, 1) such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} F'(x_n) = \infty$. Thus $\lim_{x\to 1} F'(x)$ fails to exist.

3. A univalent example

Our third example is inspired by the domain described by Hayman and Storvick; but instead of analyzing a univalent mapping defined by a precisely preassigned domain, we use a univalent function described by a simple formula.

Let $\{\theta_n\}$ denote a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\theta_1 < 1$ and $\sum |\log \theta_n|^{-1/2} < \infty$. For $n = 1, 2, ..., \log g_n(z) = e^{-i\theta_n} \log \frac{1}{1 - ze^{i\theta_n}} + e^{i\theta_n} \log \frac{1}{1 - ze^{-i\theta_n}}$, where the two logarithmic expressions represent principal values. Obviously the function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(z)/|\log \theta_n|$$

is holomorphic in the unit disc D.

In the formula

$$g'(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1/(1 - ze^{i\theta_n}) + 1/(1 - ze^{-i\theta_n}) \right) / |\log \theta_n|,$$

the real part of each term under the summation sign is positive; therefore g is a univalent, close-to convex Bloch function in D.

It is easy to see that the domain g(D) consists roughly of D together with pairs of narrow fingers reaching to infinity in the directions $e^{i\theta_n}$ and $e^{-i\theta_n}$. The positivity of g' on the segment [0, 1) guarantees that $\lim_{r \to 1} g(r)$ exists. Since $g(r) \ge r + \sum_{n=1}^{m} g_n(r)/|\log \theta_n|$, we have the inequality

$$\lim_{r\to 1} g(r) \geqslant \lim_{m\to\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^m g_n(1)/|\log \theta_n|\right),\,$$

which together with $g_n(1) \sim 2|\log \theta_n|$ proves that $\lim_{r \to 1} g(r) = \infty$. Since $g(r) \to \infty$ as $z \to 1$, the derivative g'(r) is not bounded on [0, 1].

We subject the θ_n to an additional requirement. Assuming that $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_j$ have been chosen, let D_j denote the set of points in D where $|g_j'(z)| \ge |\log \theta_j|^{1/2}$. Since $|g_j'(z)| < |z - e^{i\theta_j}|^{-1} + |z - e^{-i\theta_j}|^{-1}$, the set D_j lies in the union of the two overlapping discs $|z - e^{\pm i\theta_j}| \le 2|\log \theta_j|^{-1/2}$. However $g_j'(1) = 1$ which implies there is an open disc around z = 1 which is disjoint from D_j . We choose θ_{j+1} so that D_{j+1} lies in this open

disc and is therefore disjoint from D_j . Each neighborhood of z=1 contains a point z_m of $[0,1)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}D_n$ satisfying, $|g'_n(z_m)|<|\log\theta_n|^{1/2}$ for every $m=1,2,\ldots$ Therefore,

$$|g'(z_m)| \le 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g'_n(z_m)|/|\log \theta_n|$$

 $< 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log \theta_n|^{1/2}.$

This inequality together with g' being unbounded on [0, 1) proves that g' has no radial limit at z = 1 and completes the proof.

The function constructed by Hayman and Storvick in [4] has infinite planar area and maps the disc onto a Jordan domain (on the Riemann sphere). A slight modification of our example produces a function which has finite planar area and which also maps the disc onto a Jordan domain (on the Riemann sphere). It suffices to move the logarithmic branch points slightly beyond the unit circle. To be precise let

$$G(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{-i\theta_n} \log \frac{1}{1 + \theta_n^2 - ze^{i\theta_n}} + e^{i\theta_n} \log \frac{1}{1 + \theta_n^2 - ze^{-i\theta_n}} \right\} / |\log \theta_n|.$$

Then $G(z) \to \infty$ as $z \to 1$. If $\{\theta_n\} \to 0$ fast enough, then the sequence $\{G'(1-\theta_n^2)\}$ is bounded, the stereographic image of G(D) is a Jordan domain, and the domain G(D) has finite planar area.

4. Concluding remarks

We note that the existence of a normal analytic function in D for which $\lim_{z \to 1} f(z)$ is finite while $\lim_{x \to 1} f'(x)$ fails to exist is easily established. The bounded function $(1-z)^{1+i}$ tends to zero as $z \to 1$ radially while its derivative (which is also bounded) has no radial limit at 1. In fact, there exists a univalent function f and a set E of measure 2π such that for each θ in E the radial limit $f(re^{i\theta})$ exists while $\lim_{x \to 1} f'(re^{i\theta})$ fails to exist. Simply let

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\frac{1}{8}\sum_{n=1}^\infty w^{2^n}\right) dw.$$

Since $(1-|z|^2)|f''(z)/f''(z)| < 1/2$, the function f(z) is univalent [6; p. 172] and therefore has a radial limit almost everywhere [2; p. 56]. On the other hand, $\log f'(z) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{2n}$ has no finite radial limits by the high-indices theorem of Hardy and Littlewood (see [1] for an elegant proof). Thus f'(z) can have only 0 and ∞ as radial

limits. Since f'(z) is normal, each radial limit is an angular limit. Thus f' can have only 0 and ∞ as angular limits. It follows from Privalov's uniqueness theorem for angular limits [2; p. 146] that the radial limits 0 and ∞ can occur only on a set of measure 0. Therefore there exists a set E of measure 2π such that $\lim_{r\to 1} f(re^{i\theta})$ exists for all θ in E while

 $\lim_{n\to 1} f'(re^{i\theta})$ exists for no θ in E.

References

- 1. K. G. Binmore, "Analytic functions with Hadamard gaps", Bull. London Math. Soc., 1 (1969), 211-217.
- 2. E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966).
- 3. P. L. Duren, Theory of H^{\vec{p}} spaces, (Academic Press, New York, 1970).
- 4. W. K. Hayman and D. A. Storvick, "A question of M. L. Cartwright", J. London Math. Soc. (2), 5 (1972), 419-422.
- 5. O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, "Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions", Acta Math., 125 (1970), 269-298.
- 6. Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent functions (Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttengen, 1975).

Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602, U.S.A. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, U.S.A.