

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION AND QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS

F. W. GEHRING† AND J. VÄISÄLÄ

Dedicated to the memory of A. S. Besicovitch

1. *Introduction.* In this paper we study what happens to the Hausdorff dimension of a set A , denoted by $\text{H-dim } A$, under an n -dimensional quasiconformal mapping $f: D \rightarrow D'$ with $A \subset D$. It is clear that

$$\text{H-dim } f[A] = \text{H-dim } A \tag{1}$$

if f is a diffeomorphism or, more generally, if f and f^{-1} are locally Lipschitzian. We show first, however, that (1) need not hold if f is a general quasiconformal mapping. Next we give bounds for $\text{H-dim } f[A]$ in terms of $\text{H-dim } A$, n , and the maximal dilatation of f . In particular, we prove that $\text{H-dim } A = 0$ implies $\text{H-dim } f[A] = 0$, and we conjecture that $\text{H-dim } A = n$ implies $\text{H-dim } f[A] = n$, or equivalently that $\text{H-dim } A < n$ implies $\text{H-dim } f[A] < n$. We establish this conjecture for the case where $n = 2$ and then prove that, for general n , $\text{H-dim } f[A] < n$ whenever A is contained in an m -dimensional hyperplane with $m < n$. An example shows that $\text{H-dim } f[A]$ can be arbitrarily close to n , even when A is a 1-dimensional segment.

2. *Notation.* We shall use the terminology and notation for quasiconformal mappings given in [16]. Moreover, since we are concerned only with local properties which are invariant under Möbius transformations, we shall consider only quasiconformal mappings $f: D \rightarrow D'$ where D and D' are domains in the non-compact n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n .

For $a \in (0, \infty)$, the *Hausdorff a -dimensional outer measure* of a set $A \subset R^n$ is defined as

$$H_a(A) = \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \left(\inf \sum_i \text{dia}(A_i)^a \right), \tag{2}$$

where the infimum is taken over all countable coverings of A by sets A_i with $\text{dia}(A_i) < d$. The *Hausdorff dimension* of A is then given by

$$\text{H-dim } A = \inf \{a : H_a(A) = 0\}. \tag{3}$$

Clearly $0 \leq \text{H-dim } A \leq n$.

3. We shall need the following generalization of a result due to Mori [13; Lemma 4].

LEMMA. *Suppose that $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping, that U is a bounded domain with $\bar{U} \subset D$, and that $x \in U$. Let*

$$M = \max_{y \in \partial U} |y - x|, \quad m = \min_{y \in \partial U} |y - x|, \quad L = \max_{y \in \partial U} |f(y) - f(x)|, \quad l = \min_{y \in \partial U} |f(y) - f(x)|.$$

Received 4 November, 1971.

† This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Contracts GP 7041X and GP 28115.

If the ball $B^n(f(x), L)$ is contained in D' , then

$$L \leq Cl, \tag{4}$$

where C is a finite constant which depends only on n, K , and M/m .

Proof. Suppose that $l < L$ and let R denote the image under f^{-1} of the spherical ring

$$R' = \{y : l < |y - f(x)| < L\} \subset D'.$$

Then R is a ring which separates x and a point $y \in \partial U$ from ∞ and a point $z \in \partial U$. Hence if Γ is the family of arcs joining the components of $C(R)$ in R , it follows from the extremal property of the Teichmüller ring in R^n [4 and 14], or from [16; 11.9] that

$$M(\Gamma) \geq h_n \left(\frac{|z-x|}{|y-x|} \right) \geq h_n \left(\frac{M}{m} \right), \tag{5}$$

where $h_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is positive and decreasing. Then since f is K -quasiconformal

$$M(\Gamma) \leq KM(f[\Gamma]) = K\omega_{n-1} \left(\log \frac{L}{l} \right)^{1-n}, \tag{6}$$

and (4) follows from (5) and (6).

4. *The Cantor sets C_s^n .* For each integer $n \geq 1$ and each $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ we define a family of Cantor sets C_s^n as follows. Let Q denote the closed unit cube

$$Q = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : 0 \leq x_i \leq 1\},$$

choose a collection of 2^n disjoint closed cubes Q_i of side s in $\text{int } Q$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, oriented so that for each i there exists a similarity mapping

$$g_i(x) = sx + a_i, \quad a_i \in Q,$$

which maps Q onto Q_i . Such collections of cubes Q_i obviously exist for each $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Next for each $j \geq 1$ let

$$F_j = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_j=1}^{2^n} g_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ g_{i_j} [Q].$$

Then $\{F_j\}$ is a decreasing sequence of compact sets, and each set F_j is the union of 2^{jn} disjoint closed cubes of side s^j . Hence

$$C_s^n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$$

is a compact set, and

$$\text{H-dim } C_s^n = n \frac{\log \frac{1}{2}}{\log s} \tag{7}$$

by, for example, [1; Theorem 3] or [12; Theorem III]. In particular,

$$0 < \text{H-dim } C_s^n < n$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \text{H-dim } C_s^n = 0, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \text{H-dim } C_s^n = n. \tag{8}$$

5. THEOREM. For each integer $n \geq 2$ and each pair of such Cantor sets C_s^n and C_t^n there exists a quasiconformal mapping $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ which maps C_s^n onto C_t^n .

Proof. Let g_i and F_j, g_i' and F_j' denote respectively the similarity mappings and sets corresponding to the constructions for C_s^n, C_t^n given in §4. Then it is not difficult to see that there exists a piecewise linear homeomorphism $f_1 : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ such that $f_1(x) = x$ if $x \in R^n \sim Q$ and such that for each i

$$f_1(x) = g_i' \circ g_i^{-1}(x)$$

if $x \in g_i[Q]$. Then f_1 is K -quasiconformal for some K and $f_1[F_1] = F_1'$. Next define $f_2 : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ by setting $f_2(x) = f_1(x)$ if $x \in R^n \sim F_1$ and

$$f_2(x) = g_i' \circ f_1 \circ g_i^{-1}(x)$$

if $x \in g_i[Q]$. Then f_2 is a piecewise linear K -quasiconformal mapping, $f_2[F_2] = F_2'$, and for each i and j

$$f_2(x) = g_i' \circ g_j' \circ g_j^{-1} \circ g_i^{-1}(x)$$

if $x \in g_i \circ g_j[Q]$. Continuing in this way, we obtain a sequence of piecewise linear K -quasiconformal mappings $f_j : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ such that $f_{j+1}(x) = f_j(x)$ in $R^n \sim F_j$ and $f_j[F_j] = F_j'$. This sequence converges to a K -quasiconformal mapping $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ which maps F_j onto F_j' for each j . Hence f maps C_s^n onto C_t^n .

6. COROLLARY. For each integer $n \geq 2$ and each pair of numbers $\alpha, \beta \in (0, n)$, there exists a quasiconformal mapping $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and a compact set $A \subset R^n$ such that

$$\text{H-dim } A = \alpha, \text{H-dim } f[A] = \beta. \tag{9}$$

Proof. By (7) and (8) we can choose $s, t \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ so that for any of the corresponding Cantor sets C_s^n, C_t^n ,

$$\text{H-dim } C_s^n = \alpha, \text{H-dim } C_t^n = \beta.$$

Theorem 5 then yields a quasiconformal mapping $f : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ which maps C_s^n onto C_t^n , and (9) follows with $A = C_s^n$.

7. Remark. The above proof shows that for each $\alpha \in (0, n)$ there exists a set $A \subset R^n$ with $\text{H-dim } A = \alpha$ such that

$$\inf_f \text{H-dim } f[A] = 0, \sup_f \text{H-dim } f[A] = n, \tag{10}$$

where the infimum and supremum are taken over all quasiconformal mappings $f : D \rightarrow D'$ with $A \subset D$. We consider next what can be said if we take the infimum and supremum in (10) over the subclass of mappings $f : D \rightarrow D'$ which are K -quasiconformal for some fixed K .

8. THEOREM. If $f : D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping and if $A \subset D$ with $\text{H-dim } A \geq \alpha > 0$, then $\text{H-dim } f[A] \geq \beta > 0$, where

$$\beta = \alpha K^{1/(1-n)} \geq \alpha/K. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Since A is the countable union of sets with compact closure in D , we may assume that A is contained in a compact subset of D . Then since f^{-1} is locally

Hölder continuous with exponent $K^{1/(1-n)}$ in D' ([5; Corollary 6] or [10; 3.2]), there exists a positive constant c such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \geq c|x - y|^{K^{1/(n-1)}} \tag{12}$$

for all $x, y \in A$. If $b > \text{H-dim } f[A]$, then (2), (3), and (12) imply that $H_a(A) = 0$, where $a = bK^{1/(n-1)}$. Hence $a \geq \alpha$ and (11) follows.

9. COROLLARY. *If $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional quasiconformal mapping and if $A \subset D$ with $\text{H-dim } A = 0$, then $\text{H-dim } f[A] = 0$.*

10. CONJECTURE. *If $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping and if $A \subset D$ with $\text{H-dim } A \leq \alpha < n$, then $\text{H-dim } f[A] \leq \beta < n$, where β depends only on α, n , and K .*

11. We shall establish this conjecture for the case where $n = 2$. The proof is based on the following important result due to Bojarski [7; p. 226].

THEOREM. *If f is a 2-dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping, then its Jacobian J_f is locally L^q -integrable for $q \in [1, p(K)]$, where $p(K) > 1$ depends only on K .*

It is easy to see that $p(K) \leq K/(K - 1)$, and it has been conjectured that Theorem 11 holds with $p(K) = K/(K - 1)$.

12. THEOREM. *If $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is a 2-dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping and if $A \subset D$ with $\text{H-dim } A \leq \alpha < 2$, then $\text{H-dim } f[A] \leq \beta < 2$, where*

$$\beta = \frac{2p(K)\alpha}{2(p(K) - 1) + \alpha} \tag{13}$$

and $p(K)$ is the constant given in Theorem 11.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 8, we may assume that A is contained in an open set with compact closure F in D . Then for each $a \in (\alpha, 2)$ and each $q \in (1, p(K))$ we must show that $H_b(f[A]) = 0$, where

$$b = \frac{2qa}{2(q - 1) + a} .$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $d > 0$. Then $H_a(A) = 0$ and by [8; Lemma 1] we can choose a covering of A by non-overlapping squares Q_i of side s_i such that $Q_i \subset F$,

$$\text{dia } (f[Q_i]) < d,$$

and

$$\sum_i s_i^a < \varepsilon. \tag{14}$$

Let x_i denote the centre of Q_i and set

$$L_i = \max_{y \in \partial Q_i} |f(y) - f(x_i)|, \quad l_i = \min_{y \in \partial Q_i} |f(y) - f(x_i)| .$$

By choosing d sufficiently small, we may assume that the disks $B^2(f(x_i), L_i)$ all lie in D' . Then Lemma 3 implies that $L_i \leq Cl_i$, where C is a finite constant which depends only on K . Hence

$$\text{dia } (f[Q_i]) \leq 2L_i \leq 2Cl_i \leq C_1 m(f[Q_i])^{1/2},$$

where $C_1 = 2C\pi^{-1/2}$. On the other hand,

$$m(f[Q_i]) = \int_{Q_i} J_f dm \leq s_i^{2(q-1)/q} \left(\int_{Q_i} J_f^q dm \right)^{1/q}$$

by Hölder's inequality. Thus

$$\sum_i \text{dia}(f[Q_i])^b \leq C_1^b \sum_i s_i^{b(q-1)/q} \left(\int_{Q_i} J_f^q dm \right)^{b/(2q)},$$

and a second application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\sum_i \text{dia}(f[Q_i])^b \leq C_1^b \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right)^{b(q-1)/(aq)} \left(\int_F J_f^q dm \right)^{b/(2q)}. \tag{15}$$

Finally, since d can be chosen arbitrarily small, (14) and (15) imply that

$$H_b(f[A]) \leq C_1^b \left(\int_F J_f^q dm \right)^{b/(2q)} \varepsilon^{b(q-1)/(aq)},$$

and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields $H_b(f[A]) = 0$.

13. COROLLARY. *If $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is a 2-dimensional quasiconformal mapping and if $A \subset D$ with $\text{H-dim } A = 2$, then $\text{H-dim } f[A] = 2$.*

14. Remark. If the conjecture that Theorem 11 holds with $p(K) = K/(K-1)$ is correct, then Theorem 12 would imply that

$$\frac{2\alpha}{2K - (K-1)\alpha} \leq \text{H-dim } f[A] \leq \frac{2K\alpha}{2 + (K-1)\alpha}$$

for each 2-dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping $f: D \rightarrow D'$ and each set $A \subset D$ with $\text{H-dim } A = \alpha$. These bounds are asymptotic to those implied by Theorem 8 as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

15. Suppose that $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional quasiconformal mapping and that J_f is locally L^q -integrable for $q \in [1, p)$ where $p > 1$. Then the proof for Theorem 12 shows that

$$\text{H-dim } f[A] \leq \beta = \frac{n p \alpha}{n(p-1) + \alpha} < n$$

for each $A \subset D$ with $\text{H-dim } A \leq \alpha < n$. Unfortunately it is not known whether the analogue of Theorem 11 holds in higher dimensions, and hence we cannot use this argument to establish Conjecture 10 for general n .

We can, however, establish a weaker form of Conjecture 10 for general n by a different method. We require some additional notation. Suppose that $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional homeomorphism. If $\bar{B}^n(x, r) \subset D$, we set

$$L(x, f, r) = \max_{|y-x|=r} |f(y) - f(x)|, \quad l(x, f, r) = \min_{|y-x|=r} |f(y) - f(x)|.$$

Next we say that a closed cube $Q \subset D'$ is f -admissible if for each $x \in f^{-1}[Q]$,

$$\bar{B}^n(x, d) \subset D, \quad \bar{B}^n(f(x), L(x, f, d)) \subset D'$$

where $d = \text{dia}(f^{-1}[Q])$. Since f is a homeomorphism, each point of D' is contained in the interior of some f -admissible cube Q .

16. LEMMA. Suppose that $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping, that T is an $(n-1)$ -plane in R^n , and that Q is an f -admissible closed cube of side s in D' . Then there exists an integer $p \geq 2$, which depends only on n and K , such that the subdivision of Q into p^n congruent closed cubes of side s/p contains a cube which does not meet $f[D \cap T]$.

Proof. Let $C = C(n, K)$ denote the number given by Lemma 3 when $M/m = 1$. We shall show that the assertion is true for $p > \max(6, 3Cn^{1/2})$.

Fix such an integer p , let Q_0 denote a cube of the corresponding subdivision which contains the centre of Q , and let $S = f[D \cap T]$. If $S \cap Q_0 = \emptyset$, we are finished. Otherwise choose a point $z \in S \cap Q_0$, let $y = f^{-1}(z)$, and let e denote a unit normal to T . Then $B = B^n(z, s/3) \subset Q$ and we can choose $r > 0$ so that

$$x = y + re \in f^{-1}[\partial B] \subset f^{-1}[Q].$$

Since Q is f -admissible, Lemma 3 implies that

$$l(x, f, r) \geq (1/C)L(x, f, r) \geq (1/C)|f(y) - f(x)| = s/(3C).$$

Next since T is an $(n-1)$ -plane, $B^n(x, r) \cap T = \emptyset$ while

$$B^n(f(x), s/(3C)) \subset f[B^n(x, r)].$$

Hence the ball $B^n(f(x), s/(3C))$ does not meet S , and since this ball contains a cube of the subdivision, the proof is complete.

17. Definition. A set $S \subset R^n$ is said to be a K -quasiconformal m -ball if there is a neighbourhood D of S and an n -dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping $f: D \rightarrow D'$ such that $f[S]$ is an ordinary m -dimensional (open or closed) ball. When $m = 1$, S is also said to be a K -quasiconformal arc. Finally S is said to be a quasiconformal m -ball if it is a K -quasiconformal m -ball for some K .

18. THEOREM. If S is a K -quasiconformal m -ball in R^n and if $m < n$, then

$$m \leq \text{H-dim } S \leq \beta < n, \tag{16}$$

where β depends only on n and K .

Proof. Since S is homeomorphic to an ordinary m -ball, S has topological dimension m , and the lower bound in (16) follows from [6; p. 107].

For the upper bound, there exists, by hypothesis, an n -dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping $f: D \rightarrow D'$ such that $S \subset f[D \cap T]$ for some $(n-1)$ -plane $T \subset R^n$. Choose $p = p(n, K)$ as in Lemma 16 and set

$$a = (1 - p^{-n})^{1/2} < 1.$$

Then

$$ap^n > a^2 p^n = p^n - 1,$$

and we may choose $\beta \in (0, n)$ so that $ap^\beta = p^n - 1$. Then β depends only on n and K , and it suffices to show that $H_\beta(S) = 0$. Moreover since S can be covered by a countable collection of f -admissible cubes, it suffices to prove that $H_\beta(S \cap Q) = 0$ for each f -admissible closed cube $Q \subset D'$.

Let Q denote such a cube with side s , subdivide Q into p^n congruent closed cubes

of side s/p , and let Q_1, \dots, Q_q denote the cubes of this subdivision which meet S . Since Q is f -admissible, Lemma 16 implies that $q \leq p^n - 1$ and hence that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \text{dia}(Q_i)^\beta = q((s/p) n^{1/2})^\beta \leq a(sn^{1/2})^\beta.$$

Next subdivide each cube Q_i into p^n congruent closed cubes of side s/p^2 , and let Q_{i1}, \dots, Q_{iq_i} denote the cubes of this subdivision which meet S . Then since each Q_i is f -admissible, Lemma 16 implies that $q_i \leq p^n - 1$ for each i and hence that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \text{dia}(Q_{ij})^\beta \right) = \sum_{i=1}^q q_i((s/p^2) n^{1/2})^\beta \leq a^2 (sn^{1/2})^\beta.$$

Continuing in this way, we see that for each integer $j \geq 1$, $S \cap Q$ can be covered by a finite number of closed cubes Q_i^j of side s/p^j such that

$$\sum_i \text{dia}(Q_i^j)^\beta \leq a^j (sn^{1/2})^\beta.$$

Then letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that $H_\beta(S \cap Q) = 0$.

19. COROLLARY. *If $f: D \rightarrow D'$ is an n -dimensional K -quasiconformal mapping and if $A \subset D$ is contained in a countable union of K -quasiconformal $(n-1)$ -balls, then $H\text{-dim } f[A] \leq \beta < n$, where β depends only on n and K .*

Proof. Since f is K -quasiconformal, $f[A]$ is contained in a countable union of K^2 -quasiconformal $(n-1)$ -balls, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 18.

20. THEOREM. *For each pair of integers $n \geq 2$ and $m \in [1, n-1]$ and each number $\beta \in [m, n)$, there exists a quasiconformal m -ball $S \subset R^n$ with $H\text{-dim } S = \beta$.*

Proof. Let $A = Q \cap T$, where Q is the closed unit cube and T is the m -plane

$$T = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_{m+1} = \dots = x_n = \frac{1}{2}\}, \tag{17}$$

and set $s = (4^n + 1)^{-1}$. Then we can find 2^n disjoint oriented closed cubes Q_i of side s in $\text{int } Q$ with centres in A . Following the construction in §4 for the corresponding Cantor set C_s^n , we see that for each j , the 2^{jn} disjoint closed cubes of side s^j in F_j all have their centres in A . Hence

$$C_s^n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \subset A. \tag{18}$$

Now choose $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and a Cantor set C_t^n so that $H\text{-dim } C_t^n = \beta$, let $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be the quasiconformal mapping given in the proof of Theorem 5 which maps C_s^n onto C_t^n , and set $S = f[A]$. Since A is obviously a quasiconformal m -ball, so is S . Then (18) implies that

$$A = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (A \sim F_j) \right) \cup C_s^n, \tag{19}$$

and hence that

$$S = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} f[A \sim F_j] \right) \cup C_t^n.$$

From the construction in the proof of Theorem 5, we see for each j that $f(x) = f_j(x)$ in $R^n \sim F_j$ and hence that $f|(R^n \sim F_j)$ is piecewise linear. Thus

$$\text{H-dim } f[A \sim F_j] = m \leq \beta$$

for each j and $\text{H-dim } S = \text{H-dim } C_i^n = \beta$.

21. *Remarks.* Theorem 20 shows that the upper bound β in Theorem 18 and Corollary 19 cannot be chosen so that it depends only on n .

We can also apply Theorem 20 to the theory of quasiregular mappings. Suppose that $f: D \rightarrow R^n$ is a quasiregular mapping, and let B_f denote the branch set of f . Then B_f and $f[B_f]$ are of n -dimensional measure zero [9; 2.27 and 8.3]. Suppose next that $B_f \neq \emptyset$. Then from [11; 3.4] it follows that $H_{n-2}(f[B_f]) > 0$. Hence in this case

$$n-2 \leq \text{H-dim } f[B_f] \leq n.$$

On the other hand, by a result of Černavskii [2] (see also [15]), the topological dimension of B_f is at most $n-2$, and the same is true for $f[B_f]$ by [3; 2.2].

22. **COROLLARY.** *For each integer $n \geq 3$ and each pair of numbers $\alpha, \beta \in [n-2, n)$, there exists a quasiregular mapping $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ such that*

$$\text{H-dim } B_f = \alpha, \text{H-dim } f[B_f] = \beta.$$

Proof. Set $m = n-2$ and let T be the $(n-2)$ -plane in (17). Since (19) holds with A replaced by T , the proof of Theorem 20 shows that we can construct quasiconformal mappings $g_1: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and $g_2: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ such that

$$\text{H-dim } g_1[T] = \alpha, \text{H-dim } g_2[T] = \beta.$$

Next define as in [11; 3.19] a quasiregular winding mapping $h: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ with $B_h = h[B_h] = T$, and set $f = g_2 \circ h \circ g_1^{-1}$. Then $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is quasiregular and $B_f = g_1[T], f[B_f] = g_2[T]$.

23. *Final remarks.* The argument in the proof of Theorem 5 can be used to show that the lower bound in Theorem 8 is asymptotically sharp for sets of small Hausdorff dimension. More precisely, given $K \in (1, \infty)$, one can construct for each $\alpha \in (0, n)$ a K -quasiconformal mapping $f_\alpha: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and a compact set $A_\alpha \subset R^n$ with

$$\text{H-dim } A_\alpha = \alpha$$

such that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{H-dim } f_\alpha[A_\alpha]}{\alpha} = K^{1/(1-n)}.$$

This argument also can be used to show that for each $K \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a K -quasiconformal mapping $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and a compact set $A \subset R^n$ with $\text{H-dim } A = n$ such that f is differentiable with a vanishing Jacobian at each point of A .

References

1. A. F. Beardon, "On the Hausdorff dimension of general Cantor sets", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 61 (1965), 679-694.
2. A. V. Černavskii, "Finite to one open mappings of manifolds", *Mat. Sbornik*, 65 (1964), 357-369. (Russian.)

3. P. T. Church and E. Hemmingsen, "Light open maps on n -manifolds", *Duke Math. J.*, 27 (1960), 527–536.
4. F. W. Gehring, "Symmetrization of rings in space", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101 (1961), 499–519.
5. ———, "Rings and quasiconformal mappings in space", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103 (1962), 353–393.
6. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory* (Princeton Univ. Press, 1941).
7. O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, *Quasikonforme Abbildungen* (Springer Verlag, 1965).
8. J. M. Marstrand, "The dimension of Cartesian product sets", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 50 (1954), 198–202.
9. O. Martio, S. Rickman and J. Väisälä, "Definitions for quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I*, 448 (1969), 1–40.
10. ———, ——— and ———, "Distortion and singularities of quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I*, 465 (1970), 1–13.
11. ———, ——— and ———, "Topological and metric properties of quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I*, 488 (1971), 1–31.
12. P. A. P. Moran, "Additive functions of intervals and Hausdorff measure", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 42 (1946), 15–23.
13. A. Mori, "On quasi-conformality and pseudo-analyticity", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 84 (1957), 56–77.
14. G. D. Mostow, "Quasi-conformal mappings in n -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 34 (1968), 53–104.
15. J. Väisälä, "Discrete open mappings on manifolds", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I*, 392 (1966), 1–10.
16. ———, "Lectures on n -dimensional quasiconformal mappings", *Lecture notes in mathematics* 229 (Springer Verlag, 1971).

University of Michigan
University of Minnesota
University of Helsinki