

History of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes, Blood Pressure, and Subclinical Vascular Measures in Late Midlife: SWAN (Study of Women's Health Across the Nation)

Yamnia I. Cortés, PhD, MPH, FNP; Janet M. Catov, PhD; Maria Brooks, PhD; Siobán D. Harlow, PhD; Carmen R. Isasi, MD, PhD; Elizabeth A. Jackson, MD, MPH; Karen A. Matthews, PhD; Rebecca C. Thurston, PhD; Emma Barinas-Mitchell, PhD

Background—Adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm birth (PTB), have been associated with elevated risk of maternal cardiovascular disease, but their effect on late midlife blood pressure (BP) and subclinical vascular measures remains understudied.

Methods and Results—We conducted a cross-sectional analysis with 1220 multiethnic parous women enrolled in SWAN (Study of Women's Health Across the Nation) to evaluate the impact of self-reported history of adverse pregnancy outcomes (PTB, small-forgestational-age, stillbirth), on maternal BP, mean arterial pressure, and subclinical vascular measures (carotid intima-media thickness, plaque, and pulse wave velocity) in late midlife. We also examined whether these associations were modified by race/ethnicity. Associations were tested in linear and logistic regression models adjusting for sociodemographics, reproductive factors, cardiovascular risk factors, and medications. Women were on average aged 60 years and 255 women reported a history of an adverse pregnancy outcome. In fully adjusted models, history of PTB was associated with higher BP (systolic: β=6.40; SE, 1.62 [P<0.0001] and diastolic: β=3.18; SE, 0.98 [P=0.001]) and mean arterial pressure (β=4.55; SE 1.13 [P<0.0001]). PTB was associated with lower intima-media thickness, but not after excluding women with prevalent hypertension. There were no significant associations with other subclinical vascular measures.

Conclusions—Findings suggest that history of PTB is associated with higher BP and mean arterial pressure in late midlife. Adverse pregnancy outcomes were not significantly related to subclinical cardiovascular disease when excluding women with prevalent hypertension. Future studies across the menopause transition may be important to assess the impact of adverse pregnancy outcomes on midlife progression of BP. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7:e007138. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.007138.)

Key Words: blood pressure • cardiovascular disease • intima-media thickness • pregnancy

A dverse pregnancy outcomes, including preterm birth (PTB; delivery<37 weeks' gestation), small-for-gestational-age birth (birthweight <10th percentile for gestational age), and stillbirth (pregnancy loss at \geq 20 weeks) together

From the Department of Epidemiology, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, PA (Y.I.C., M.B., K.A.M., R.C.T., E.B.-M.); Departments of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences and Epidemiology (J.M.C.), and Psychiatry (K.A.M., R.C.T.), University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA; Department of Magee, Women's Research Institute, Pittsburgh, PA (J.M.C.); Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI (S.D.H.); Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY (C.R.I.); Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Health Systems, Ann Arbor, MI (E.A.J.).

Correspondence to: Yamnia I. Cortés, PhD, MPH, FNP, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Cardiovascular Epidemiology Training Program, 130 North Bellefield, Room 539, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. E-mail: yic91@pitt.edu

Received July 11, 2017; accepted October 30, 2017.

© 2017 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

affect \approx 17% to 20% of births in the United States annually. ^{1,2} Accumulating evidence suggests that adverse pregnancy outcomes may serve as a screening test for future cardiovascular disease (CVD),³ the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in women.⁴ Prior studies report a 2- to 3-fold increased risk of CVD in women with a history of PTB, 5-7 even when not complicated by preeclampsia.8 In a record linkage study, severity and number of small-for-gestational-age infants were associated with future maternal CVD-related hospitalization or death (ie, coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular events, chronic heart failure).9 In studies examining pregnancy losses and CVD, women with a history of stillbirth had greater risk of subsequent coronary heart disease compared with women who had livebirths. 10,11 These data suggest that the underlying factors that contribute to women's risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes may also increase risk for CVD. 12 Although the association between pregnancy-associated hypertension and maternal CVD is wellestablished, 13,14 previous studies have shown that low sensitivity may limit utility of maternal recall of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. 15

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- In a multiethnic population-based study of women in late midlife, history of a preterm birth was significantly associated with higher blood pressure (BP) and mean arterial pressure, extending previous findings in premenopausal women.
- Even when excluding women with a history of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, a prior preterm birth was associated with more adverse BP indices.
- History of adverse pregnancy outcomes (preterm birth, small for gestational age, stillbirth) were not significantly related to subclinical cardiovascular disease when excluding women with prevalent hypertension.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Findings suggest that women with a history of preterm birth exhibited a 6.4-mm Hg higher systolic BP and a 3.2mm Hg higher diastolic BP compared with women with all term births. These data are clinically significant given that a 2-mm Hg increment in systolic BP has been associated with a 7% increase in mortality from coronary artery disease and a 10% increase in mortality from stroke.
- Pregnancy history may help identify women who would benefit from cardiovascular risk assessment and modification. Proper monitoring and management of BP is warranted for women with a preterm birth.

The majority of research relating adverse pregnancy outcomes to CVD is derived from small cohorts of relatively young women (mean age <50 years), 16,17 with low rates of CVD. Also, most are conducted in racially/ethnically homogeneous populations. Although racial/ethnic disparities exist in rates of adverse pregnancy outcomes and CVD, 19,20 racial differences in the association between adverse pregnancy outcomes and future risk of CVD has not been fully explored. Furthermore, while the risk of CVD increases substantially after menopause, 22 few studies have examined whether adverse pregnancy outcomes earlier in life influence women's CVD risk in midlife. 16,23,24

Noninvasive measures of subclinical vascular disease including ultrasound assessment of carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) and plaque burden and pulse wave velocity (PWV) measures of arterial stiffness are surrogate markers of arteriosclerosis and predictors of future cardiovascular events. No studies have examined the impact of adverse pregnancy outcomes on subclinical CVD in late midlife, when subclinical disease significantly progresses. Elevated blood pressure (BP) is a critical risk factor for subclinical CVD in midlife. Recent data suggest that adverse pregnancy outcomes are associated with subsequent elevations in BP,

and that BP may mediate associations between adverse pregnancy outcomes and future CVD. 24,29 Yet, prior studies did not consider whether these associations persist after women transition through menopause. Therefore, the purpose of the present analysis was to assess associations of adverse pregnancy outcomes (ie, PTB, small-for-gestational-age infant, stillbirth) with BP, mean arterial pressure, and various indices of subclinical CVD in a large cohort of multiethnic women in late midlife. In doing so, we also sought to examine whether BP may be a potential pathway linking adverse pregnancy outcomes to subclinical CVD in late midlife. A secondary aim was to determine whether associations between adverse pregnancy outcomes and subclinical CVD were modified by race/ethnicity. A better understanding of the relationship between adverse pregnancy outcomes and cardiovascular health may lead to early identification of women at excess risk for CVD later in life.

Methods

Transparency and Reproducibility

SWAN (Study of Women's Health Across the Nation) provides access to public use data sets that extend through the tenth annual follow-up visit. Some, but not all, of the data used for this manuscript are contained in the SWAN public use data sets. Investigators who require assistance accessing the public use data set may contact the SWAN Coordinating Center.

Study Participants

SWAN is an ongoing longitudinal, multiethnic study of the biological and psychosocial changes that occur during the menopause transition. Details of the study design and recruitment have been described elsewhere.³¹ Briefly, between 1996 and 1997, a total of 3302 premenopausal or early perimenopausal women aged 42 to 52 years were enrolled at 1 of 7 research sites in Detroit, MI; Boston, MA; Chicago, IL; Oakland, CA; Los Angeles, CA; Newark, NJ; and Pittsburgh, PA. Baseline eligibility criteria for SWAN included: (1) an intact uterus and at least 1 ovary; (2) menstrual bleeding within the prior 3 months; (3) no current pregnancy or breastfeeding; and (4) no usage of reproductive hormones within the prior 3 months. Each site enrolled non-Hispanic white women and women who self-identified as 1 of 4 other predetermined racial/ethnic groups (black women in Detroit, Boston, Chicago, and Pittsburgh; Japanese women in Los Angeles; Chinese women in Oakland; and Hispanic women in Newark). Participants were assessed through a standardized protocol at study entry (in 1996-1997) and followed for an average of 14.3 years through 2011. Six sites (all sites except

Los Angeles) assessed subclinical CVD using carotid ultrasound and PWV tests at visit 12 or visit 13.

Eligible women for the current analyses were those with at least 1 birth and who underwent a carotid scan or PWV assessment. Of 3302 women enrolled in SWAN, 2338 attended visit 13, 2249 of whom completed a pregnancy history questionnaire. Of these, 1854 provided information on 1 or more births (n=395 nulliparous). After excluding women without subclinical cardiovascular assessment at visits 12 or 13 (n=609) and those for whom small-for-gestational-age birth could not be determined because of missing birth weight history (n=25), a total of 1220 women were included in this analysis. The institutional review boards at each study site approved the SWAN protocols. Each participant provided written informed consent.

Exposure Variables

The primary exposure variables were reported history of PTB, term small-for-gestational-age birth, and stillbirth. History of adverse pregnancy outcomes were assessed using a detailed interviewer-administered pregnancy history questionnaire at SWAN visit 13 that collected information on number of births, birth outcomes (livebirth versus stillbirth), gestational age at delivery, and birth weight for each delivery. Interviews were conducted in the clinic/office, over the telephone, or in the respondent's home. Reported history of PTB was defined as a prior delivery at <37 completed weeks of gestation. A term small-for-gestational-age birth (birthweight <10th percentile for ≥37 weeks' gestational age) was determined using the World Health Organization weight percentile calculator,³² which uses a customized standard based on the sample mean birthweight at 40 weeks' gestation.³³ A history of stillbirth was considered as any pregnancy loss at >20 weeks' gestation. Studies have shown high sensitivity (>0.90) and predictive validity for maternal recall of preterm delivery, small-for-gestational-age birth, and pregnancy loss. 34,35 Women were categorized as having no adverse pregnancy outcomes, a single PTB, a term small-for-gestational-age birth, a stillbirth, or multiple (>1) adverse pregnancy outcomes. Women with a preterm small-for-gestational-age birth (n=4), which was defined as birthweight <10th percentile for <37 weeks' gestational age, were included in the multiple adverse pregnancy outcome group.

Outcome Variables

Blood pressure

BP measures in this analysis were collected at the time the carotid ultrasound was performed. BP was measured according to a standardized protocol, with readings taken on the right arm, with the respondent seated and feet flat on the

floor for at least 5 minutes before measurement.²⁷ The appropriate cuff size was determined based on arm circumference. A standard mercury sphygmomanometer was used to record systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) at the first and fifth phase Korotkoff sounds. Respondents had not smoked or consumed any caffeinated beverages within 30 minutes of BP measurement. The average of 2 sequential BP values, with a minimum 2-minute rest period between measures, was recorded. Using the average of these 2 sequential BP values, we calculated mean arterial pressure with a standard equation: [(SBP+2×DBP)/3].³⁶

Brachial-ankle PWV

Brachial-ankle PWV (baPWV) was measured using the VP1000 system (Omron Healthcare), a noninvasive automated waveform analyzer. This device provides measures of baPWV, a measure of mixed central and peripheral PWV, on both the right and left sides, and the average of the 2 sides was used for our study. baPWV is the distance in centimeters between the brachial and ankle arterial recording sites divided by the time delay in seconds between the foot of the waveforms detected at the respective arterial sites. The distance or path length for brachial/ankle arterial sites was calculated based on a height-based algorithm.³⁷ The intra- and inter-technician reliability was excellent with an intraclass correlation coefficient >0.93 for all sites. baPWV data were collected at visit 12 at the Pittsburgh site. Pittsburgh participants who did not have the baPWV test at visit 12 were tested at visit 13. baPWV was performed at visit 13 at other participating sites. As a result, baPWV data were available for 956 participants in this analysis.

Carotid ultrasound scan

Bilateral ultrasound carotid images were obtained using a Terason t3000 Ultrasound System (Teratech Corp) equipped with a variable frequency 5- to 12-MHz linear array transducer. On each side, 2 digitized images were obtained of the distal common carotid artery, 1 cm proximal to the carotid bulb. From each of these 4 images, using the Artery Measurement System semiautomated edge detection software, ³⁸ IMT measures were obtained by electronically tracing and measuring the distance between the lumen-intima and the media-adventitia interfaces of the near and far walls of the common carotid artery. One measurement was generated for each pixel over the area, for a total of \approx 140 measures for each image. The mean of the average readings of all 4 images were used in the analyses. Carotid scan images were read centrally at the SWAN Ultrasound Reading Center (University of Pittsburgh Ultrasound Research Lab).

The presence and extent of plaque were evaluated in each of 5 segments of the left and right carotid artery (distal and

proximal common carotid artery, carotid bulb, and proximal internal and external carotid arteries).³⁹ Consistent with the Mannheim and American Society of Echocardiography consensus statements, 40,41 plaque was defined as a distinct area protruding into the vessel lumen that was at least 50% thicker than the adjacent IMT and summarized as the presence or absence of any plaque. Additionally, for each of the bilateral carotid segments, the degree of plaque was graded between 0 (no observable plaque) to 3 (plaque covering ≥50% of the vessel diameter). The grades from all segments of the combined left and right carotid artery were summed to create the plaque index (possible range: 0-30).42 Sonographers at each study site were trained by the University of Pittsburgh Ultrasound Research Laboratory and monitored during the study period for reliability. Reproducibility for mean common carotid artery IMT measures was excellent, with an intraclass correlation coefficient between sonographers of 0.72 to 0.95, and between readers >0.87. The plague index was found to be a valid and reproducible measure of carotid atherosclerosis in a number of populations (intraclass correlation coefficient, 0.86-0.93). 42 The scanning and reading protocols have been used in numerous studies. 43,44

Covariates

Self-reported history of preeclampsia (high BP and proteinuria), gestational hypertension, and gestational diabetes mellitus (no diabetes mellitus prepregnancy) were also assessed at visit 13 using the detailed pregnancy history questionnaire. Previous studies have shown that maternal recall of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy has low sensitivity (yet high specificity, >90%) for all hypertensive disorders. 15,45 Studies have shown greater sensitivity and predictive validity for maternal recall of PTB, small-forgestational age birth, and pregnancy loss. 34,35,46 Therefore, relying on what is known about maternal self-report, the primary exposures of interest in this analysis are PTB, smallfor-gestational age birth, and stillbirth (pregnancy loss at ≥20 weeks). Because of the small sample of women with a reported history gestational diabetes mellitus, we did not consider it as a separate exposure. To address these limitations, sensitivity analyses were performed (as described in the Statistical Analysis) excluding women with a reported history of preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, and gestational diabetes mellitus.

Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics including race/ethnicity and education were assessed by self-report at SWAN baseline. All other covariates were assessed at the time the carotid ultrasound was performed (corresponding to visit 12 or 13). Information on maternal age at first and last birth was assessed at visit 13 using the pregnancy history questionnaire for all women. Menopause status at the time of

the carotid ultrasound measure was determined based on reports about frequency and regularity of menstrual bleeding and use of hormone therapy, as previously described. ⁴⁷ Current hormone use, including menopausal hormone therapy and oral contraceptives, was based on reported use since last SWAN visit.

Financial strain (ie, difficulty in paying for basics) was self-reported and data from the visit corresponding to the carotid ultrasound was used in this analysis. Smoking (current/past, never), alcohol use, physical activity, and medication use (ie, antihypertensive, antidiabetics, lipid-lowering) were drawn from the visit concurrent with the carotid ultrasound. Physical activity was assessed using modified Baecke Scores of Habitual Physical Activity, with higher scores indicating more physical activity. Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (kg/m²). Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting glucose levels $\geq 126~\text{mg/dL}$ on $\geq 2~\text{consecutive}$ visits or any reported use of insulin/antidiabetic agents. Hypertension was defined as having a BP reading of $\geq 140/90~\text{mm}$ Hg or use of antihypertensive treatment.

Blood was drawn in the morning following a 12-hour fast. Samples were frozen (-80° C) and sent on dry ice to the Medical Research Laboratories (Lexington). The homeostatic model assessment index was calculated from fasting insulin and glucose as (insulin [mU/L]×glucose [mmole/L per 22.5]). Triglycerides were analyzed by enzymatic methods on a Hitachi 747 analyzer (Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol was isolated using heparin-2M manganese chloride. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald equation.

Statistical Analysis

Variables were examined for distributions and outliers and transformation of data was applied as needed. To compare CVD risk factors across pregnancy outcome groups (no adverse pregnancy outcome, PTB, term small-for-gestational-age birth, and more than 1 adverse outcome), ANOVA or Kruskal—Wallis tests were performed for continuous data and chi-square or Fisher exact test for categorical variables. Post hoc analyses using the Dunnett test were conducted with the no adverse pregnancy outcome group as the reference group.

Associations between each adverse pregnancy outcome and each subclinical CVD measure were examined using multiple linear (BP indices, baPWV, IMT) and logistic regression (carotid plaque presence, plaque index) models. Models were first adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, site, financial strain, and age at first birth, with additional adjustments for covariates associated with adverse pregnancy categories and subclinical CVD measures at P<0.1. In analyses for baPWV, IMT, and plaque, models were next adjusted for SBP.

Subsequent models adjusted for other traditional CVD risk factors (ie, body mass index, physical activity, smoking status, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, and high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol). Additional models adjusted for current use of antihypertensive, antidiabetic, and lipid-lowering medications. Sensitivity analyses were also performed: (1) excluding women with prevalent hypertension (n=654), as defined earlier; (2) excluding women with a reported history of preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, or gestational diabetes mellitus (n=172); and (3) excluding women who were premenopausal/perimenopausal at the time of carotid ultrasound (n=74). Interactions between adverse pregnancy outcomes and race/ethnicity were examined by entering the appropriate cross product terms into multivariable models, and stratified analyses were performed for significant interactions. Residual analyses and model diagnostics were evaluated for evidence of collinearity in all models.

Results

A total of 255 women (21%) reported a history of an adverse pregnancy outcome: 114 PTB, 59 term small-for-gestationalage birth, 22 stillbirth, and 60 multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes (of which 44 had a PTB) (Table 1). At the time of the carotid scan visit, the women were an average age of 60 years, had some college education or more (51%), and 94% were postmenopausal. Post hoc analyses revealed that, compared with women who reported having no adverse pregnancy outcome, women who reported multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes were younger at first birth (22 years versus 26 years; P<0.001). The PTB group was more likely to report a history of preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, or gestational diabetes mellitus compared with those without a reported adverse pregnancy outcome (26% versus 12%; P<0.001). Rates of diabetes mellitus and hypertension at late midlife differed by adverse outcome group, with the lowest rates in the no adverse outcome group (Table 2). Mean±SD SBP and DBP at late midlife was highest for the multiple adverse outcome group (SBP: $131\pm19\,$ mm Hg; DBP: $77.3\pm9.8 \text{ mm Hg}$), as was mean arterial (95.4±11.9 mm Hg). Mean baPWV also differed by history of an adverse pregnancy outcome, with those reporting a prior PTB or term small-for-gestational-age birth having higher baPWV than women with no adverse outcome. IMT was higher for the term for-gestational-age and multiple adverse outcome groups.

In multiple linear regression analyses, a reported history of PTB or multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes was significantly associated with higher BP indices (Table 3). PTB remained significantly associated with all BP indices after excluding women with hypertension. Reported history of PTB or term

small-for-gestational-age birth was associated with higher baPWV in models adjusting for sociodemographics and age at first birth, but not in models adjusting for SBP (Table 4). We further adjusted for other CVD risk factors and medications, which did not significantly impact findings. Sensitivity analyses (excluding women with a reported history of preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes mellitus, with exclusion of premenopausal/perimenopausal women) did not show any significant change in β coefficients (data not shown), although the association between PTB and baPWV approached significance when women with prevalent hypertension were excluded ($P\!\!=\!\!0.09$). No significant interactions were present between race/ethnicity and any adverse pregnancy outcomes for either BP or baPWV.

Table 5 presents results for the associations between adverse pregnancy outcomes and IMT. Reported history of PTB was associated with lower IMT in fully adjusted models. There was a significant interaction between history of PTB and race/ethnicity (P=0.006) in relation to IMT. Because of the small sample size of Hispanic and Chinese women, these analyses were limited to women who identified as black or white. In the fully adjusted models stratified by race/ethnicity, PTB was associated with lower IMT in black women but not in white women. When sensitivity analyses were performed excluding women with hypertension, PTB was not significantly associated with IMT and there was no significant interaction between history of PTB and race/ethnicity. Although term small-for-gestational-age birth and multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes were associated with a higher IMT in unadjusted analyses, the association was attenuated when controlling for sociodemographic factors and age at first birth. Reported history of an adverse pregnancy outcome was not associated with carotid plaque presence or index, and these findings were not modified by race/ethnicity.

Discussion

This is the first study in a racially diverse cohort of women in late midlife (aged 60 years) to assess the impact of PTB, term small-for-gestational-age birth, and stillbirth on various indices of BP and subclinical CVD. History of a PTB was associated with higher indices of BP (SBP, DBP, and mean arterial pressure) but lower IMT in late midlife. For baPWV, the association was attenuated after adjusting for SBP. There was a significant interaction between PTB and race/ethnicity in relation to IMT, with PTB being associated with lower IMT in black women, but no significant relationship was found in white women. Moreover, there was no significant association between PTB and IMT when excluding women with hypertension or those taking antihypertensive treatment. Having multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes (a recurrent outcome or a combination of PTB, term small-for-gestational-

Table 1. Maternal Characteristics at Time of Subclinical CVD Assessment by Reported History of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes (n=1220)

| Variable | No Adverse Outcome (n=965) | PTB (n=114) | Term SGA (n=59) | Stillbirth (n=22) | >1 Adverse Outcome (n=60) | P Value* |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Sociodemographics | | | | | | |
| Age, mean \pm SD | 60.3±2.7 | 60.0±2.8 | 60.1±2.4 | 59.7±2.7 | 59.8±3.1 | 0.04 |
| Race/ethnicity, No. (%) | | | | | | |
| White | 464 (48.2) | 57 (50.0) | 17 (28.8) | 11 (50.0) | 12 (20.0) | <0.001 [†] |
| Black | 299 (31.1) | 33 (29.0) | 29 (49.2) | 8 (36.4) | 38 (63.3)§ | |
| Hispanic | 56 (5.8) | 12 (10.5) [‡] | 5 (8.5) | 2 (9.1) | 8 (13.3)§ | |
| Chinese | 143 (14.9) | 12 (10.5) | 8 (13.6) | 1 (4.6) | 2 (3.3) | |
| Education | | | | | | |
| <high school<="" td=""><td>75 (7.8)</td><td>11 (9.7)</td><td>6 (10.2)</td><td>1 (4.6)</td><td>8 (13.3)</td><td>0.09</td></high> | 75 (7.8) | 11 (9.7) | 6 (10.2) | 1 (4.6) | 8 (13.3) | 0.09 |
| Some college | 475 (49.2) | 59 (51.8) | 34 (57.6) | 11 (50.0) | 37 (61.7) | |
| College degree/post college | 415 (43.0) | 44 (38.6) | 19 (32.2) | 10 (45.5) | 15 (25.0) | |
| Financial strain (how hard to pay for b | pasics), No. (%) | | | | | |
| Not hard at all | 619 (64.6) | 69 (60.5) | 32 (54.2) | 15 (68.2) | 26 (43.3) | 0.002 |
| Somewhat/hard | 339 (35.4) | 45 (39.5) | 27 (45.8) | 7 (31.8) | 34 (56.7) [‡] | |
| Reproductive/pregnancy history | ' | | ' | | | |
| Postmenopausal | 915 (95.2) | 105 (92.9) | 55 (93.2) | 19 (86.4) | 52 (86.7) [‡] | 0.04 [†] |
| Age at first birth, mean±SD, y | 25.5±6.1 | 24.4±6.3 | 22.7±5.4 | 24.1±5.6 | 21.9±5.3 | <0.001 |
| Age at last birth, mean±SD, y | 30.7±5.9 | 30.7±6.3 | 28.3±5.5 | 32.5±4.9 | 28.9±5.5 | 0.02 |
| Parity, No. (%) | ' | | ' | | | |
| 1 or 2 | 612 (63.4) | 55 (48.3) | 34 (57.6) | 5 (22.7) | 24 (40.0) | <0.001 [†] |
| 3 or 4 | 314 (32.5) | 48 (42.1) | 21 (35.6) | 14 (63.6) | 29 (48.3) | |
| >4 | 39 (4.0) | 11 (9.7) | 4 (6.8) | 3 (13.6) | 7 (11.7) | |
| Hypertension or diabetes mellitus at pregnancy, No. (%) | 119 (12.3) | 29 (25.7) | 12 (20.3) | 2 (10.0) | 10 (16.7) | 0.001 [†] |
| Gestational hypertension/ preeclampsia | 85 (8.8) | 21 (18.6) | 8 (13.6) | 0 (0) | 9 (15.0) | 0.02 [†] |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus | 43 (4.5) | 9 (8.0) | 8 (13.6) [‡] | 2 (10.0) | 2 (3.3) | 0.02 [†] |

CVD indicates cardiovascular disease. Preterm birth (PTB)=delivery <37 weeks; term small-for-gestational-age (SGA)=birth weight <10th percentile at 37 to 40 weeks' gestation; stillbirth=pregnancy loss at \geq 20 weeks' gestation; >1 adverse pregnancy=any combination of the aforementioned outcomes, including a PTB (n=44), SGA (n=56), or stillbirth (n=9). Not all participants provided complete data. The actual number of observations per variable is noted when different from 1220.

*P value for overall group differences.

age birth, stillbirth) was associated with higher BP indices in fully adjusted models, but not after excluding women with prevalent hypertension. These associations did not differ by race/ethnicity.

This study was able to examine whether previous associations noted between PTB and BP^{19,27,52} remain significant in late midlife, when women transition through menopause and risk of CVD increases.²⁵ Consistent with prior analyses, we found that history of PTB was positively related to BP even

when excluding women with prevalent hypertension and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, or gestational diabetes mellitus. ^{52,53} In fully adjusted models, we observed that women with a prior PTB exhibited 6.4 mm Hg higher SBP and 3.2 mm Hg higher DBP compared with women with all term births, a stronger association than that seen in previous studies, ^{19,27,52} perhaps because of the older age of women in our sample. These data are clinically significant given that a 2-mm Hg increment in SBP has been associated with a 7%

 $^{^\}dagger \text{Fisher's}$ Exact Test performed excluding the "Stillbirth" group, given its small sample size.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Post hoc analysis using Dunnett test differs from the no adverse pregnancy group (P<0.05).

[§]Post hoc analysis using Dunnett test differs from the no adverse pregnancy group (P<0.01).

 $^{^{\}parallel}$ Post hoc analysis using Dunnett test differs from the no adverse pregnancy group (*P*<0.001).

Table 2. Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Subclinical CVD Outcomes at SWAN Visit 12 or 13 by Reported History of Adverse Birth Outcome (n=1220)

| Variable | No Adverse Outcome (n=965) | PTB (n=114) | Term SGA (n=59) | Stillbirth (n=22) | >1 Adverse Outcome (n=60) | P Value* |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Lifestyle factors | , , , | | | , , , | | |
| Smoking status, No. (%) (n=1186) | | | | | | |
| Never | 862 (90.2) | 96 (85.0) | 44 (75.9) | 22 (100.0) | 52 (88.1) | 0.007 [†] |
| Past/current | 94 (9.8) | 17 (15.0) | 14 (24.1)§ | 0 (0) | 7 (11.9) | |
| Alcohol consumption, No. (%) (n=1172) | | | | | | |
| <1 drink per mo | 501 (53.0) | 57 (51.8) | 38 (67.9) | 14 (63.6) | 32 (53.3) | 0.18 |
| >1 drink per mo to <2 drinks per wk | 241 (25.5) | 29 (26.4) | 6 (10.7) | 5 (22.7) | 20 (33.3) | |
| ≥2 drinks per wk | 204 (21.2) | 24 (21.8) | 12 (21.4) | 3 (13.6) | 8 (13.3) | |
| Physical activity score, mean±SD (n=1143) | 7.6±1.8 | 7.6±1.9 | 7.0±1.8 [‡] | 7.5±1.9 | 6.9±1.9 [‡] | 0.001 |
| Physical measures, chronic conditions, and o | current medications | | | | | |
| BMI, mean±SD, kg/m ² (n=1181) | 30.0±7.1 | 29.9±7.9 | 31.4±8.7 | 32.3±7.5 | 31.8±7.8 | 0.01 |
| Triglyceride, median (IQR) (n=1183), mg/dL | 102 (75–138) | 107 (81–144) | 95 (75–142) | 101 (85–119) | 89 (69–145) | 0.33 |
| LDL-C, mean±SD (n=1171), mg/dL | 123.1±34.1 | 129.0±38.4 | 127.2±39.6 | 120.9±38.4 | 121.0±34.1 | 0.89 |
| HDL-C, median (IQR) (n=1176), mg/dL | 59 (50–72) | 60 (50–72) | 59 (47–73) | 56 (48–65) | 58 (52–74) | 0.79 |
| HOMA-IR, median (IQR) (n=1109) | 2.16 (1.28–3.87) | 1.93 (1.32–3.80) | 2.38 (1.35–4.06) | 3.85 (1.94–7.34) | 3.26 (1.81–4.86)§ | 0.003 |
| Systolic BP, mean±SD mm Hg | 121.3±16.6 | 128.0±18.2 | 125.3±17.6 | 124.7±15.9 | 131.3±18.9 | <0.000 |
| Diastolic BP, mean±SD mm Hg | 73.5±9.4 | 76.8±11.1§ | 75.1±11.4 | 76.9±12.3 | 77.3±9.8 | 0.0004 |
| Mean arterial pressure, mean \pm SD, mm Hg | 89.7±10.3 | 94.1±12.3 | 91.7±12.5 | 93.4±12.5 | 95.4±11.9 | <0.000 |
| Hypertension, No. (%) (n=1166) | 502 (53.3) | 72 (65.5) [‡] | 35 (64.8) | 13 (59.1) | 45 (75.0) [§] | 0.002 |
| Diabetes mellitus, No. (%) (n=1187) | 125 (13.1) | 19 (17.0) | 12 (21.1) | 8 (36.4) [§] | 14 (23.3) [‡] | 0.005 [‡] |
| Hormone therapy, No. (%) (n=1193) | 39 (4.1) | 3 (2.7) | 4 (6.8) | 1 (4.6) | 3 (5.0) | 0.62 [†] |
| Antihypertensive treatment, No. (%) (n=1187) | 382 (39.9) | 54 (48.2) | 27 (47.4) | 10 (45.5) | 32 (53.3) [‡] | 0.12 |
| Antidiabetic therapy, No. (%) (n=1187) | 102 (10.7) | 18 (16.1) | 9 (15.8) | 6 (27.3) [‡] | 11 (18.3) | 0.03 |
| Lipid-lowering treatment, No. (%) (n=1117) | 279 (31.0) | 40 (36.7) | 18 (34.0) | 6 (28.6) | 13 (24.1) | 0.55 |
| Anticoagulants, No. (%) (n=916) | 9 (1.3) | 1 (1.1) | 1 (2.3) | 0 | 0 | 0.74 |
| Subclinical CVD outcomes | | | | | | |
| Brachial-ankle PWV, mean±SD (n=956), cm/s | 1227±213 | 1288±228 [‡] | 1306±214 | 1291±311 | 1281±180 | 0.006 |
| Average common carotid IMT, median (IQR) | 0.78 (0.71–0.87) | 0.78 (0.69–0.85) | 0.80 (0.75–0.91) | 0.76 (0.72–0.83) | 0.81 (0.76–0.89) | 0.04 |
| Presence of plaque (yes), No. (%) | 423 (43.8) | 52 (45.6) | 29 (49.2) | 6 (27.3) | 22 (36.7) | 0.34 |

BMI indicates body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; IMT, intima-media thickness; IQR, interquartile range; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PWV, pulse wave velocity; SWAN, Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. Preterm birth (PTB)=delivery <37 weeks; term small-for-gestational-age (SGA)=birth weight <10th percentile at 37 to 40 weeks' gestation; stillbirth=pregnancy loss at ≥20 weeks gestation; >1 adverse pregnancy=any combination of the aforementioned outcomes, including a PTB (n=44), SGA (n=56), or stillbirth (n=9). Values derived from carotid scan visit or available visit nearest to carotid scan. Hypertension, diabetes mellitus, menopause status, and medication use reflects information provided at baseline through visit 12 or 13 (when the carotid scan was completed). Not all participants provided complete data. The actual number of observations per variable is noted when different from 1220.

**P value for overall group differences.

[†]Fisher exact test performed excluding the stillbirth group, given its small sample size.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Post hoc analysis using Dunnett test differs from the no adverse pregnancy group (P<0.05).

[§]Post hoc analysis using Dunnett test differs from the no adverse pregnancy group (P<0.01).

 $[\]parallel$ Post hoc analysis using Dunnett test differs from the no adverse pregnancy group (P<0.001).

Table 3. Associations Between Reported History of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes and BP at SWAN Visit 12 or 13

| | SBP β (SE) | P Value | DBP β (SE) | P Value | MAP | P Value |
|--|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| PTB (any prior PTB vs no adverse birth outcome) | | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) [†] | 6.48 (1.65) | <0.0001 | 3.04 (0.96) | 0.002 | 4.24 (1.12) | 0.0002 |
| Model 2 (model 1+CVD risk factors and medications) [‡] | 6.40 (1.62) | <0.0001 | 3.18 (0.98) | 0.001 | 4.55 (1.13) | <0.0001 |
| Model 3 (model 2+sensitivity analysis; n=538) [§] | 5.03 (1.69) | 0.003 | 2.68 (1.26) | 0.03 | 3.46 (1.25) | 0.006 |
| Term SGA (any prior term SGA vs no adverse birth outcome) | | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) [†] | 2.36 (2.22) | 0.29 | 1.16 (1.29) | 0.37 | 1.03 (1.52) | 0.50 |
| Model 2 (model 1+CVD risk factors and medications) [‡] | 2.97 (2.42) | 0.22 | 2.40 (1.44) | 0.10 | 2.31 (1.68) | 0.17 |
| Model 3 (model 2+sensitivity analysis; n=517) [§] | 1.10 (2.62) | 0.66 | 1.23 (1.97) | 0.53 | 1.19 (1.95) | 0.54 |
| >1 adverse pregnancy outcome (vs no adverse birth outcome)* | | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) [†] | 7.15 (2.37) | 0.003 | 2.42 (1.34) | 0.07 | 3.99 (1.49) | 0.008 |
| Model 2 (model 1+CVD risk factors and medications) [‡] | 7.30 (2.48) | 0.003 | 2.30 (1.43) | 0.11 | 3.97 (1.57) | 0.01 |
| Model 3 (model 2+sensitivity analysis; n=518) [§] | 2.47 (2.41) | 0.31 | -1.36 (1.78) | 0.45 | -0.09 (1.77) | 0.96 |

BP indicates blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PTB, preterm birth; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SGA, small-forgestational-age; SWAN, Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. Cross product of PTB*black, term SGA*black, and multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes*black tested for inclusion in each model and were nonsignificant ($P \ge 0.05$).

increase in mortality from coronary artery disease and 10% increase in mortality from stroke.⁵⁴ Mean arterial pressure, which has not been reported in prior studies of PTB and maternal CVD, was significantly higher among women with a history of PTB, indicating the potential impact of preterm delivery on overall blood flow and perfusion in late midlife. One explanation for this finding is that perhaps the women with PTB have a more vulnerable vasculature going into menopause (eg, more remodeling) and that the various cardiovascular challenges of menopause (eg, hormonal changes and body composition changes) and aging may thereby impact these women more adversely. 55,56 Studies have shown that modest elevations in BP, even within the normotensive range, contribute to risk of PTB. 57 Thus, there may be small prepregnancy and during-pregnancy differences in BP that are linked to PTB and increased BP later in life. However, the current analysis did not have prepregnancy data to examine this possibility. Our findings may further suggest that as women age, BP increases more rapidly among those with a history of PTB. Similarly, a history of multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes was associated with higher BP indices. We found a 7.3-mm Hg higher SBP in women with multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes, suggesting that there may be a dose-response relationship between number of adverse pregnancy outcomes and SBP in late midlife. However, the association was attenuated after excluding women with prevalent hypertension, perhaps attributable to a small sample size (75% of women in this group had hypertension). The most commonly reported adverse outcome in this group was PTB. Accordingly, history of PTB may help identify women at risk for higher BP in midlife and who may benefit from monitoring BP indices during the menopause transition. Although we were unable to differentiate between spontaneous (caused by premature rupture of membranes, premature labor, or cervical insufficiency) or medically indicated PTB, the exclusion of women with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus, and preterm smallfor-gestational age births from this PTB group (the leading indications for medically inducted PTB), suggest that there is a common link between PTB and future maternal BP other than hypertension during pregnancy and this may extend to spontaneous PTB. Future studies with these clinical features, however, are needed to answer this important question.

Consistent with a previous study of subclinical CVD among women 4 to 12 years after pregnancy, 52 our study found no significant association between PTB and baPWV after adjusting for SBP. One possibility is that baPWV, a combined measure of central and peripheral arterial stiffness, 58 may be a less accurate measure of arterial stiffness than carotid-femoral PWV. 59 Although flow-mediated dilation of the brachial artery is a consistent noninvasive measure predictive of long-term cardiovascular events, 60 this measure was only available in a subsample of women in our sample (n=376), of which $\approx\!75$ reported an adverse pregnancy outcome.

^{*}Analysis limited to women with reported prior adverse pregnancy outcomes (n=60) vs. no adverse pregnancy outcome (n=754).

[†]Model 1 adjusted for site, age, race/ethnicity, financial strain, and age at first birth.

^{*}Model 2: model 1 plus cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors (body mass index, physical activity, smoking, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, and high- and low-density lipoprotein).

[§]Model 3: model 2 plus sensitivity analysis excluding women with prevalent hypertension or antihypertensive treatment.

Table 4. Associations Between Reported History of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes and baPWV at SWAN Visit 12 or 13

| | β (SE) | P Value | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| PTB (any prior PTB vs no adverse pregnancy outcome) | | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) $^{\!\dagger}$ | 55.5 (23.1) | 0.02 | | | | |
| Model 2 (model 1+SBP) [‡] | 12.8 (21.2) | 0.54 | | | | |
| Model 3 (model 2+CVD risk factors and medications) § | 0.41 (22.2) | 0.99 | | | | |
| Model 4 (model 3+sensitivity analysis; n=435) $^{\parallel}$ | 51.4 (30.5) | 0.09 | | | | |
| Term SGA (any prior term SGA vs no adverse pregnancy outcome) | | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) † | 63.3 (31.0) | 0.04 | | | | |
| Model 2 (model 1+SBP) [‡] | 44.7 (28.5) | 0.12 | | | | |
| Model 3 (model 2+CVD risk factors and medications) § | 12.9 (32.1) | 0.69 | | | | |
| Model 4 (model 3+sensitivity analyses; n=418) $^{\parallel}$ | -22.1 (46.7) | 0.64 | | | | |
| >1 adverse pregnancy outcome (vs no adverse pregnancy outcome)* | | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) [†] | 28.8 (33.1) | 0.38 | | | | |
| Model 2 (model 1+SBP) [‡] | -5.8 (30.1) | 0.85 | | | | |
| Model 3 (model 2+CVD risk factors and medications) [§] | -14.7 (32.6) | 0.65 | | | | |
| Model 4 (model 3+sensitivity analyses; n=419) $^{\parallel}$ | -23.1 (42.0) | 0.58 | | | | |

baPWV indicates brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity; PTB, preterm birth; SGA, small-forgestational-age; SWAN, Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. Cross product of PTB*black, term SGA*black, and multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes*black tested for inclusion in each model and were nonsignificant ($P \ge 0.05$).

Nonetheless, baPWV has been shown to increase with aging, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and smoking. ⁶¹ A borderline association was present when women with hypertension were excluded, indicating that BP may be an important factor in the association between PTB and arterial stiffness.

Our current finding that PTB is inversely associated with IMT in a cohort of mostly postmenopausal women, differs from that of a previous analysis in which women who delivered before 34 weeks' gestation had higher IMT than those with term births, although this association was attenuated when adjusting for CVD risk factors. ⁵² It is possible that our findings differ from this prior study because we did not have the adequate sample

Table 5. Associations Between Reported History of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes and IMT at SWAN Visit 12 or 13

| | β (SE) | P Value | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|--|--|--|
| PTB (prior PTB only vs no adverse birth outcome) | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) $\!\!\!\!^{\dagger}$ | -0.013 (0.012) | 0.27 | | | |
| Model 2 (model 1+SBP) [†] | -0.027 (0.012) | 0.02 | | | |
| Model 3 (model 2+CVD risk factors and medications)§ | -0.025 (0.012) | 0.04 | | | |
| Model 4 (model 3+sensitivity analysis; n=538) $^{\parallel}$ | -0.011 (0.018) | 0.54 | | | |
| Term SGA (prior term SGA only vs no birth pregnancy outcome) | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth) † | 0.031 (0.016) | 0.06 | | | |
| Model 2 (model 1+SBP) [‡] | 0.029 (0.016) | 0.07 | | | |
| Model 3 (model 2+CVD risk factors and medications) § | 0.012 (0.018) | 0.51 | | | |
| Model 4 (model 3+sensitivity analysis; n=517) $^{\parallel}$ | 0.009 (0.027) | 0.74 | | | |
| >1 adverse pregnancy outcome (vs no adverse birth outcome)* | | | | | |
| Model 1 (adjusts for demographics and age at first birth)* | 0.022 (0.017) | 0.20 | | | |
| Model 2 (model 1+SBP) [†] | 0.011 (0.017) | 0.51 | | | |
| Model 3 (model 2+CVD risk factors and medications)§ | 0.003 (0.019) | 0.87 | | | |
| Model 4 (model 3+sensitivity analysis; n=518) | 0.049 (0.025) | 0.06 | | | |

IMT indicates intima-media thickness; PTB, preterm birth; SGA, small-for-gestational-age; SWAN, Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. Cross product of PTB*black, term SGA*black, and multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes*black tested for inclusion in each model. Significant interaction for PTB (model 3: PTB*black= β -0.084, $P\!=\!0.006$; PTB= β 0.011, $P\!=\!0.56$).

size to compare early (<34 weeks) and late (34–36) PTB. However, our study found that the association between PTB and IMT was modified by race/ethnicity. PTB was associated with lower IMT in black women but was not significantly associated with IMT in white women. Our stratified analyses found that black women with PTB were younger, had higher SBP, and reported greater rates of antihypertensive therapy than black women with no adverse pregnancy outcome. Hypertension induces dysfunctional alterations in the endothelium, which may result in thicker IMT.⁶² Antihypertensive treatment reduces progression of IMT,^{63,64} potentially through functional

^{*}Analysis limited to women with >1 birth; multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes (n=60) vs no adverse pregnancy outcome (n=754).

[†]Model 1 adjusted for site, age, race/ethnicity, financial strain, and age at first birth.

^{*}Model 2: model 1 plus systolic blood pressure (SBP).

[§]Model 3: model 2 plus cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors (body mass index, physical activity, smoking, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, and high- and low-density lipoprotein).

Model 4: model 3 plus sensitivity analysis excluding women with prevalent hypertension or antihypertensive treatment.

^{*}Analysis limited to women with >1 birth; multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes (n=60) vs no adverse pregnancy outcome (n=754).

[†]Model 2: model 1 plus systolic blood pressure (SBP).

^{*}Model 1 adjusted for site, age, race/ethnicity, financial strain, and age at first birth.

§Model 3: model 2 plus cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors (body mass index, physical activity, smoking, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, and high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol).

Model 4: model 3 plus sensitivity analysis excluding women with prevalent hypertension or antihypertensive treatment.

or structural changes in the vessel wall.⁶⁵ Excluding women with prevalent hypertension and antihypertensive medications from our analyses attenuated the negative association between PTB and IMT. Furthermore, there was no longer an interaction with race/ethnicity in these models. An assessment of IMT progression in a larger sample of women without hypertension would better characterize the impact of PTB on carotid remodeling in midlife.

Although not significant, it is important to note that a reported history of term small-for-gestational-age birth and multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes was positively associated with IMT. Recent studies have also found a significant association between small-for-gestational-age birth and BP, ^{24,27} although our data did not support this finding. However, our analysis had a smaller sample size, which may have impaired our ability to robustly detect differences between groups. It is also possible that sociodemographic characteristics not fully explained in our data underlie the association between small-for-gestational-age birth and BP. Women with a history of term small-for-gestational-age birth and multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes had higher body mass index and insulin resistance, suggesting that these pregnancy outcomes may lead to greater IMT through an association with metabolic factors.

In this analysis, we did not find an association between history of adverse pregnancy outcomes and carotid plaque, a finding consistent with related work among other samples of women. With less than half of our sample having any carotid plaque, sample size to examine this association was somewhat limited. Future work with samples of older women, women who are more likely to show plaque, a further investigate the association between adverse pregnancy outcome and carotid plaque.

Study Limitations

There are several limitations to consider in this analysis. First, although the accuracy of maternal recall of preterm, smallfor-gestational-age birth, and stillbirth is high (>0.90), 16-18 selfreported history may still be a limitation. In addition, self-report of preeclampsia and gestational hypertension may have been a limitation given the low sensitivity 15,46 of maternal recall of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Furthermore, our sample size of women with a term small-for-gestational-age birth or stillbirth was smaller than in previous analyses 9-11,27,68,69 and may have limited our ability to detect an association between term small-for gestation age birth and stillbirth with subclinical CVD. It is also possible that these adverse pregnancy outcomes may be related to CVD through another physiologic pathway (ie, socioeconomic drivers, body mass index, glucose dysregulation). In addition, data on prepregnancy CVD risk were not available, limiting our ability to determine whether differences in BP, lipid profile, or vascular measures were present before adverse pregnancy outcomes. Furthermore, although we excluded women with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus, and preterm small-for gestational age from our models, we were unable to differentiate between spontaneous PTB and medically indicated PTB, which may have varying effects on maternal CVD risk. Future studies with clinical features of PTB are necessary to understand the impact of spontaneous versus medically indicated PTB on CVD in later life. Comparison between PTB subtypes is also necessary. For example, is there an association between early PTB (delivery <34 weeks) or very small-for-gestational-age birth (birthweight <5th percentile for gestational age) and subclinical CVD in midlife? Previous reports support the potential for such associations. 27,51,68 Last, we are unable to make definite conclusions regarding the magnitude of excess CVD risk in women in late midlife based on these measures of subclinical CVD alone. While the indices of subclinical CVD used in this study are good predictors of CVD, 70-72 additional research is necessary to assess the impact of adverse pregnancy outcomes on endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilation. Future studies with larger sample sizes may have the power to not only explore adverse pregnancy outcomes further but to examine severity of adverse pregnancy outcomes in relation to BP and subclinical CVD.

Study Strengths

Strengths of this analysis include the relatively large sample of racially diverse women as well as the direct assessment of physical and vascular measures at late midlife. Our study provides information on the association between adverse pregnancy outcomes, BP, and subclinical CVD at late midlife, when absolute CVD risk increases. This study was able to examine whether the negative impact of adverse pregnancy outcomes on various indices of BP and subclinical CVD persist with aging. Furthermore, we examined the impact of having multiple prior adverse pregnancy outcomes, which has not been studied extensively and may pose a risk for later-life CVD.

Although the American Heart Association now recognizes hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for future CVD and stroke, 73 our findings suggest that history of PTB may be added to this group of pregnancy risk factors. With stillbirths and small-forgestational-age births accounting for 1% and 10% of births in the United States, respectively, 2 additional studies about the association between these adverse pregnancy outcomes and CVD is necessary for early risk stratification and prevention. Although one of the strengths of this analysis was its focus on late midlife, when the overwhelming majority of women are postmenopausal, perhaps group differences may be detected in late perimenopause, when progression rates of subclinical

CVD is greatest.³¹ Therefore, future research across the menopause transition may be important to determine the impact of adverse pregnancy outcomes on progression of BP and subclinical CVD.

Conclusions

Our study shows that reported history of PTB is associated with higher BP indices in late midlife independent of prevalent hypertension and history of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. A history of PTB was associated with lower IMT in black women and not white women, potentially because of the greater rate of hypertension in this group, as suggested by the attenuation of this association when excluding women with prevalent hypertension. With black women having excess rates of PTB, hypertension, and CVD, 74-77 there is a critical need to better understand racial/ethnic differences in the association between pregnancy-related factors and progression of CVD. These findings suggest that history of PTB may help identify women with heightened BP in late midlife, a major contributor to CVD morbidity and mortality. In addition, this analysis demonstrates the importance of monitoring BP indices among women with a history of PTB.

Acknowledgments

We thank the study staff at each site and all the women who participated in SWAN. Clinical Centers: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor—Siobán Harlow, Pl 2011-present, MaryFran Sowers, Pl 1994-2011; Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA—Joel Finkelstein, PI 1999-present; Robert Neer, PI 1994-1999; Rush University, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL—Howard Kravitz, PI 2009present; Lynda Powell, Pl 1994–2009; University of California, Davis/ Kaiser-Ellen Gold, PI; University of California, Los Angeles-Gail Greendale, Pl. Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY—Carol Derby, PI 2011—present, Rachel Wildman, PI 2010-2011; Nanette Santoro, PI 2004-2010; University of Medicine and Dentistry-New Jersey Medical School, Newark—Gerson Weiss, PI 1994-2004; and the University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA—Karen Matthews, Pl. NIH Program Office: National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD—Chhanda Dutta 2016-present; Winifred Rossi 2012-2016; Sherry Sherman 1994-2012; Marcia Ory 1994-2001; National Institute of Nursing Research, Bethesda, MD—Program Officers. Central Laboratory: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor—Daniel McConnell (Central Ligand Assay Satellite Services). Coordinating Center: University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA-Maria Mori Brooks, Pl 2012-present; Kim Sutton-Tyrrell, Pl 2001-2012; New England Research Institutes, Watertown, MA-Sonja McKinlay, PI 1995-2001. Steering Committee: Susan Johnson, Current Chair. Chris Gallagher, Former Chair.

Sources of Funding

SWAN has grant support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Health and Human Services, through the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the National Institute of Nursing Research (NINR), and the NIH Office of Research on Women's Health (ORWH) (grants U01NR004061; U01AG012505, U01AG012535, U01AG012531, U01AG012539, U01AG012546, U01AG012553, U01AG012554, U01AG012495). The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIA, NINR, ORWH, or the NIH. Cortés is supported by the Cardiovascular Epidemiology Training Program (T32HL083825).

Disclosures

None.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preterm birth. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/pretermbirth. htm. Accessed December 29, 2015.
- Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Osterman MJK, Driscoll AK. Births: Final Data for 2015. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr66/nvsr66_01.pdf. Accessed August 11, 2017.
- Roberts JM, Catov JM. Pregnancy is a screening test for later life cardiovascular disease: now what? Research recommendations. Women's Health Issues. 2012;22:e123–e128.
- 4. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, de Ferranti SD, Floyd J, Fornage M, Gillespie C, Isasi CR, Jimenez MC, Jordan LC, Judd SE, Lackland D, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth L, Liu S, Longenecker CT, Mackey RH, Matsushita K, Mozaffarian D, Mussolino ME, Nasir K, Neumar RW, Palaniappan L, Pandey DK, Thiagarajan RR, Reeves MJ, Ritchey M, Rodriguez CJ, Roth GA, Rosamond WD, Sasson C, Towfighi A, Tsao CW, Turner MB, Virani SS, Voeks JH, Willey JZ, Wilkins JT, Wu JH, Alger HM, Wong SS, Muntner P. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017;135:e146—e603.
- Catov JM, Newman AB, Roberts JM, Kelsey SF, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Harris TB, Colbert L, Rubin SM, Satterfield S, Ness RB. Preterm delivery and later maternal cardiovascular disease risk. *Epidemiology*. 2007;18:733–739.
- Hastie CE, Smith GC, Mackay DF, Pell JP. Maternal risk of ischaemic heart disease following elective and spontaneous pre-term delivery: retrospective cohort study of 750 350 singleton pregnancies. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40:914– 919.
- Heida KY, Velthuis BK, Oudijk MA, Reitsma JB, Bots ML, Franx A. Cardiovascular disease risk in women with a history of spontaneous preterm delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2016;23:253–263.
- Irgens HU, Reisaeter L, Irgens LM, Lie RT. Long term mortality of mothers and fathers after pre-eclampsia: population based cohort study. BMJ. 2001;323:1213–1217.
- Ngo AD, Roberts CL, Chen JS, Figtree G. Delivery of a small-for-gestational-age infant and risk of maternal cardiovascular disease—a population-based record linkage study. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2015;24:696–704.
- Parker DR, Lu B, Sands-Lincoln M, Kroenke CH, Lee CC, O'Sullivan M, Park HL, Parikh N, Schenken RS, Eaton CB. Risk of cardiovascular disease among postmenopausal women with prior pregnancy loss: the women's health initiative. *Ann Fam Med*. 2014;12:302–309.
- Ranthe MF, Andersen EA, Wohlfahrt J, Bundgaard H, Melbye M, Boyd HA. Pregnancy loss and later risk of atherosclerotic disease. Circulation. 2013;127:1775–1782.
- Harville EW, Viikari JSA, Raitakari OT. Preconception cardiovascular risk factors and pregnancy outcome. *Epidemiology*. 2011;22:724–730.
- Breetveld NM, Ghossein-Doha C, van Kuijk S, van Dijk AP, van derVlugt MJ, Heidema WM, Scholten RR, Spaanderman ME. Cardiovascular disease risk is only elevated in hypertensive, formerly preeclamptic women. *BJOG*. 2015; 122:1092–1100.
- Heidrich MB, Wenzel D, von Kaisenberg CS, Schippert C, von Versen-Hoynck FM. Preeclampsia and long-term risk of cardiovascular disease: what do obstetrician-gynecologists know? BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013;13:61.
- Stuart JJ, Bairey Merz CN, Berga SL, Miller VM, Ouyang P, Shufelt CL, Steiner M, Wenger NK, Rick-Edwards JW. Maternal recall of hypertensive

- disorders in pregnancy: a systematic review. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2013;22:37–47.
- Catov JM, Lewis CE, Lee M, Wellons MF, Gunderson EP. Preterm birth and future maternal blood pressure, inflammation, and intimal-medial thickness: the CARDIA study. *Hypertension*. 2013;61:641–646.
- Catov JM, Wu CS, Olsen J, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Li J, Nohr EA. Early or recurrent preterm birth and maternal cardiovascular disease risk. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2010;20:604–609.
- Robbins CL, Hutchings Y, Dietz PM, Kuklina EV, Callaghan WM. History of preterm birth and subsequent cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014;210:285–297.
- Bryant AS, Worjoloh A, Caughey AB, Washington AE. Racial/ethnic disparities in obstetric outcomes and care: prevalence and determinants. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2010;202:335–343.
- Malarcher AM, Casper ML, Matson Koffman DM, Brownstein JN, Croft J, Mensah GA. Women and cardiovascular disease: addressing disparities through prevention research and a national comprehensive state-based program. J Womens Health Gend Based Med. 2001;10:717–724.
- Xu J, Barinas-Mitchell E, Kuller LH, Youk AO, Catov JM. Maternal hypertension after a low-birth-weight delivery differs by race/ethnicity: evidence from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2006. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e104149.
- Matthews KA, Kuller LH, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Chang YF. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the perimenopause and postmenopause and carotid artery atherosclerosis in healthy women. Stroke. 2001;32: 1104–1111.
- 23. Parikh NI, Jeppson RP, Berger JS, Eaton CB, Kroenke CH, LeBlanc ES, Lewis CE, Loucks EB, Parker DR, Rillamas-Sun E, Ryckman KK, Waring ME, Schenken RS, Johnson KC, Edstedt-Bonamy AK, Allison MA, Howard BV. Reproductive risk factors and coronary heart disease in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. Circulation. 2016;133:2149–2158.
- Parikh NI, Norberg M, Ingelsson E, Cnattingius S, Vasan RS, Domellof M, Jansson JH, Edstedt-Bonamy AK. Association of pregnancy complications and characteristics with future risk of elevated blood pressure: the Västerbotten Intervention Program. *Hypertension*. 2017;69:475–483.
- 25. Ben-Shlomo Y, Spears M, Boustred C, May M, Anderson SG, Benjamin EJ, Boutouyrie P, Cameron J, Chen CH, Cruickshank JK, Hwang SJ, Lakatta EG, Laurent S, Maldonado J, Mitchell GF, Najjar SS, Newman AB, Ohishi M, Pannier B, Pereira T, Vasan RS, Shokawa T, Sutton-Tyrell K, Verbeke F, Wang KL, Webb DJ, Willum Hansen T, Zoungas S, McEniery CM, Cockcroft JR, Wilkinson IB. Aortic pulse wave velocity improves cardiovascular event prediction: an individual participant meta-analysis of prospective observational data from 17,635 subjects. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:636–646.
- Nambi V, Chambless L, Folsom AR, He M, Hu Y, Mosley T, Volcik K, Boerwinkle E, Ballantyne CM. Carotid intima-media thickness and presence or absence of plaque improves prediction of coronary heart disease risk: the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:1600–1607.
- El Khoudary SR, Wildman RP, Matthews K, Thurston RC, Bromberger JT, Sutton-Tyrrell K. Progression rates of carotid intima-media thickness and adventitial diameter during the menopausal transition. *Menopause*. 2013;20:8–14.
- Allen NB, Siddique J, Wilkins JT, Shay C, Lewis CE, Goff DC, Jacobs DR, Liu K, Lloyd-Jones D. Blood pressure trajectories in early adulthood and subclinical atherosclerosis in middle age. *JAMA*. 2014;311:490–497.
- Fraser A, Nelson SM, Macdonald-Wallis C, Cherry L, Butler E, Sattar N, Lawlor DA. Associations of pregnancy complications with calculated cardiovascular disease risk and cardiovascular risk factors in middle age: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Circulation. 2012;125:1367– 1380.
- SWAN website. Data access. Available at: http://www.swanstudy.org/swanresearch/data-access/. Accessed October 30, 2015
- 31. Sowers MF, Crawford SL, Sternfeld B, Morganstein D, Gold EB, Greendale DE, Neer R, Matthews KA, Sherman S, Lo A, Weiss G, Kelsey J. SWAN: A Multicenter, Multiethnic, Coomunity-based Cohort Study of Women and the Menopausal Transition. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2000.
- 32. World Health Organization. Weight Percentiles Calculator. Available at: http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/.../weight_percentiles_calculator.xls. Accessed October 9, 2015.
- Mikolajczyk RT, Zhang J, Betran AP, Souza JP, Mori R, Gulmezoglu AM, Merialdi M. A global reference for fetal-weight and birthweight percentiles. *Lancet*. 2011;377:1855–1861.
- Poulsen G, Kurinczuk JJ, Wolke D, Boyle EM, Field D, Alfirevic Z, Quigley MA. Accurate reporting of expected delivery date by mothers 9 months after birth. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:1444–1450.

- Tomeo CA, Rich-Edwards JW, Michels KB, Berkley CS, Hunter DJ, Frazier AL, Willett WC, Buka SL. Reproducibility and validity of maternal recall of pregnancy-related events. *Epidemiology*. 1999;10:774–777.
- Salvi P. Mean arterial pressure. Pulse Waves: How Vascular Hemodynamics Affects Blood Pressure. 1st ed. Springer Publishing Co: Verlage, Italia; 2012:3–7.
- Sugawara J, Hayashi K, Tanaka H. Arterial path length estimation on brachialankle pulse wave velocity: validity of height-based formulas. *J Hypertens*. 2014;32:881–889.
- 38. Wendelhag I, Gustavsson T, Suurkula M, Berglund G, Wikstrand J. Ultrasound measurement of wall thickness in the carotid artery: fundamental principles and description of a computerized analysing system. *Clin Physiol*. 1991;11:565–577.
- Thompson T, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Wildman RP, Kao A, Fitzgerald SG, Shook B, Tracy RP, Kuller LH, Brockwell S, Manzi S. Progression of carotid intima-media thickness and plaque in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2008;58:835–842.
- 40. Stein JH, Korcarz CE, Hurst RT, Lonn E, Kendall CB, Mohler ER, Najjar SS, Rembold CM, Post WS. Use of carotid ultrasound to identify subclinical vascular disease and evaluate cardiovascular disease risk: a consensus statement from the American Society of Echocardiography Carotid Intima-Media Thickness Task Force. Endorsed by the Society for Vascular Medicine. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:93–111.
- 41. Touboul PJ, Hennerici MG, Meairs S, Adams H, Amarenco P, Bornstein N, Csiba L, Desvarieux M, Ebrahaim S, Hernandez Hernandez R, Jaff M, Knownator S, Naqvi T, Prati P, Rundek T, Sitzer M, Schminke U, Tardif JC, Taylor A, Vicaut E, Woo KS. Mannheim carotid intima-media thickness and plaque consensus (2004–2006–2011). An update on behalf of the advisory board of the 3rd, 4th and 5th watching the risk symposia, at the 13th, 15th and 20th European Stroke Conferences, Mannheim, Germany, 2004, Brussels, Belgium, 2006, and Hamburg, Germany, 2011. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2012; 34:290–296.
- Sutton-Tyrrell K, Wolfson SK Jr, Thompson T, Kelsey SF. Measurement variability in duplex scan assessment of carotid atherosclerosis. Stroke. 1992;23:215–220.
- Njoroge JN, El Khoudary SR, Fried LF, Barinas-Mitchell E, Sutton-Tyrrell K. High urinary sodium is associated with increased carotid intima-media thickness in normotensive overweight and obese adults. *Am J Hypertens*. 2011;24:70–76.
- 44. Sekikawa A, Ueshima H, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Kadowaki T, El-Saed A, Okamura T, Takamiya T, Ueno Y, Evans RW, Nakamura Y, Edmundowicz D, Kashiwagi A, Maegawa H, Kuller LH. Intima-media thickness of the carotid artery and the distribution of lipoprotein subclasses in men aged 40 to 49 years between whites in the United States and the Japanese in Japan for the ERA JUMP study. Metabolism. 2008;57:177–182.
- Carter EB, Stuart JJ, Farland LV, Rich-Edwards JW, Zera CA, McElrath TF, Seely EW. Pregnancy complications as markers for subsequent maternal cardiovascular disease: validation of a maternal recall questionnaire. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2015;24:702–712.
- Parikh NI, Cnattingius S, Mittleman MA, Ludvigsson JF, Ingelsson E. Subfertility and risk of later life maternal cardiovascular disease. *Hum Reprod*. 2012:27:568–575.
- Tseng LA, El Khoudary SR, Young EA, Farhat GN, Sowers M, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Newman AB. The association of menopause status with physical function: the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. *Menopause*. 2012;19:1186– 1192.
- 48. Sternfeld B, Ainsworth B, Quesenberry C. Physical activity patterns in a diverse population of women. *Prev Med.* 1999;28:313–323.
- Matthews D, Hosker J, Rudenski A, Naylor B, Treacher D, Turner R. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia*. 1985;28:412–419.
- Warnick GR, Albers JJ. A comprehensive evaluation of the heparin-manganese precipitation procedure for estimating high density lipoprotein cholesterol. J Lipid Res. 1978;19:65–76.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without the use of preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem. 1972;18:499–502.
- Catov JM, Dodge R, Barinas-Mitchell E, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Yamal JM, Piller LB, Ness RB. Prior preterm birth and maternal subclinical cardiovascular disease 4 to 12 years after pregnancy. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2013;22:835– 843
- Catov JM, Dodge R, Yamal JM, Roberts JM, Piller LB, Ness RB. Prior preterm or small-for-gestational-age birth related to maternal metabolic syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;117:225–232.
- 54. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R; Prospective Studies C. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-

- analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet.* 2002;360:1903–1913.
- Izumi Y, Matsumoto K, Ozawa Y, Kasamaki Y, Shinndo A, Ohta M, Jumabay M, Nakayama T, Yokoyama E, Shimabukuro H, Kawamura H, Cheng Z, Ma Y, Mahmut M. Effect of age at menopause on blood pressure in postmenopausal women. Am J Hypertens. 2007;20:1045–1050.
- Woodard GA, Brooks MM, Barinas-Mitchell E, Mackey RH, Matthews KA, Sutton-Tyrrell K. Lipids, menopause, and early atherosclerosis in Study of Women's Health Across the Nation Heart women. *Menopause*. 2011;18:376–384.
- Yang Y, He Y, Li Q, Wang Y, Peng Z, Xu J, Ma X. Preconception blood pressure and risk of preterm birth: a large historical cohort study in a Chinese rural population. Fertil Steril. 2015;104:124–130.
- Yamashina A, Tomiyama H, Takeda K, Tsuda H, Arai T, Hirose K, Koji Y, Hori S, Yamamoto Y. Validity, reproducibility, and clinical significance of noninvasive brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity measurement. *Hypertens Res*. 2002;25:359–364.
- Laurent S, Cockcroft J, Van Bortel L, Boutouyrie P, Giannattasio C, Hayoz D, Pannier B, Vlachopoulos C, Wilkinson I, Struijker-Boudier H. Expert consensus document on arterial stiffness: methodological issues and clinical applications. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:2588–2605.
- Shechter M, Shechter A, Koren-Morag N, Feinberg MS, Hiersch L. Usefulness
 of brachial artery flow-mediated dilation to predict long-term cardiovascular
 events in subjects without heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 2014;113:162–167.
- Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanadis C. Prediction of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality with arterial stiffness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:1318–1327.
- Sun P, Dwyer KM, Merz CN, Sun W, Johnson CA, Shircore AM, Dwyer JH. Blood pressure, LDL cholesterol, and intima-media thickness: a test of the "response to injury" hypothesis of atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2000;20:2005–2010.
- 63. Tattersall MC, Gassett A, Korcarz CE, Gepner AD, Kaufman JD, Liu KJ, Astor BC, Sheppard L, Kronmal RA, Stein JH. Predictors of carotid thickness and plaque progression during a decade: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Stroke. 2014;45:3257–3262.
- 64. Tzou WS, Douglas PS, Srinivasan SR, Bond MG, Tang R, Li S, Chen W, Berenson GS, Stein JH. Distribution and predictors of carotid intima-media thickness in young adults. *Prev Cardiol.* 2007;10:181–189.
- 65. Wang JG, Staessen JA, Li Y, Van Bortel LM, Nawrot T, Fagard R, Messerli FH, Safar M. Carotid intima-media thickness and antihypertensive treatment: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Stroke. 2006;37:1933–1940.
- 66. Lin P, Rhew E, Ness RB, Peaceman A, Dyer A, McPherson D, Kondos GT, Edmundowicz D, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Thompson T, Ramsey-Goldman R. Adverse pregnancy outcomes and subsequent risk of cardiovascular disease in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus Sci Med.* 2014;1:e000024.
- Fabris F, Zanocchi M, Bo M, Fonte G, Poli L, Bergoglio I, Ferrario E, Pernigotti L. Carotid plaque, aging, and risk factors. A study of 457 subjects. *Stroke*. 1994;25:1133–1140.

- Bonamy AK, Parikh NI, Cnattingius S, Ludvigsson JF, Ingelsson E. Birth characteristics and subsequent risks of maternal cardiovascular disease: effects of gestational age and fetal growth. *Circulation*. 2011;124:2839– 2846.
- Bukowski R, Davis KE, Wilson PW. Delivery of a small for gestational age infant and greater maternal risk of ischemic heart disease. PLoS ONE. 2012;7: e33047.
- Amato M, Veglia F, de Faire U, Giral P, Rauramaa R, Smit AJ, Kurl S, Ravani A, Frigerio B, Sansaro D, Bonomi A, Tedesco CC, Castelnuovo S, Mannarino E, Humphries SE, Hamsten A, Tremoli E, Baldasarre D. Carotid plaque-thickness and common carotid IMT show additive value in cardiovascular risk prediction and reclassification. *Atherosclerosis*. 2017;263:412–419.
- 71. Ohkuma T, Ninomiya T, Tomiyama H, Kario K, Hoshide S, Kita Y, Inogushi T, Maeda Y, Kohara K, Tabara Y, Nakamura M, Ohkubo T, Watada H, Munakata M, Ohishi M, Ito N, Nakamura M, Shoji T, Vlachopoulos C, Yamashina A. Brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity and the risk prediction of cardiovascular disease: an individual participant data meta-analysis. *Hypertension*. 2017;69:1045–1052.
- 72. Zhang Y, Fang X, Hua Y, Tang Z, Guan S, Wu X, Liu H, Liu B, Wang C, Zhang Z, Gu X, Hou C, Liu C. Carotid Artery Plaques, Carotid Intima-Media Thickness, and Risk of Cardiovascular Events and All-Cause Death in Older Adults: A 5-Year Prospective, Community-Based Study. *Angiology*. Jan 2017;3319717716842. doi:10.1177/0003319717716842. [Epub ahead of print].
- 73. Bushnell C, McCullough LD, Awad IA, Chireau MV, Fedder WN, Furie KL, Howard VI, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Piña IL, Reeves MJ, Rexrode KM, Saposnik G, Singh V, Towfighi A, Vaccarino V, Walters MR; American Heart Association Stroke Council; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council for High Blood Pressure Research. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in women: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014; 45:1545–1588.
- Finkelstein EA, Khavjou OA, Mobley LR, Haney DM, Will JC. Racial/ethnic disparities in coronary heart disease risk factors among WISEWOMAN enrollees. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2004;13:503–518.
- Khalil A, Rezende J, Akolekar R, Syngelaki A, Nicolaides KH. Maternal racial origin and adverse pregnancy outcome: a cohort study. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;41:278–285.
- Zhang S, Cardarelli K, Shim R, Ye J, Booker KL, Rust G. Racial disparities in economic and clinical outcomes of pregnancy among Medicaid recipients. *Matern Child Health J.* 2013;17:1518–1525.
- 77. Liu L, Nunez AE, An Y, Liu H, Chen M, Ma J, Chou EY, Chen Z, Eisen HJ. Burden of Cardiovascular Disease among Multi-Racial and Ethnic Populations in the United States: an Update from the National Health Interview Surveys. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2014;1:8.