# 1 Article Type: Research Article

# 2 Abdominal DCE-MRI reconstruction with deformable motion

# **3** correction for liver perfusion quantification

- 4 Adam Johansson<sup>1</sup>, James M. Balter<sup>1,3</sup> and Yue Cao<sup>1,2,3</sup>
- 5 <sup>1</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109, USA
- 6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109, USA
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109, USA
- 8 Corresponding author:
- 9 Adam Johansson
- 10 Address:
- 11 Radiation Oncology, University of Michigan, 1500 E. Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- 12 Phone:
- 13 +1-734-936-3100
- 14 **E-mail:**
- 15 ajohanss@med.umich.edu
- 16 Running title:
- 17 DCE-MRI deformable motion correction
- 18 Keywords:
- 19 DCE MRI, motion correction, contrast agent, liver, perfusion, deformable image registration

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1002/mp.13118

- 20 Index terms:
- 21 MRI, motion artifacts, contrast agent
- 22 Abbreviations:
- 23 CA contrast agent
- 24 AIF arterial input function
- 25 PVIF portal-venous input function
- 26 DCE-MRI dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI
- 27 FOV field of view
- 28 Gd-BOPTA gadobenate dimeglumine
- 29 Gd-EOB-DTPA gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid
- 30 MR magnetic resonance
- 31 PK pharmacokinetic
- 32 VIBE volume interpolated breathhold examination
- 33 PET positron emission tomography
- 34 DMC deformable motion correction
- 35 RMC rigid-body motion correction
- 36 NMC no motion correction
- 37 KWIC k-space weighted image contrast
- 38 GTV gross tumor volume
- 39 NTV normal tissue volume
- 40 ROI region of interest
- 41 GRASP golden-angle radial sparse parallel
- 42 Word count: 4862
- 43 Figure count: 8
- 44 Table count: 3
- 45 Abstract
- 46 Purpose:
- 47 Abdominal dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MRI suffers from motion-induced artifacts that can blur
- 48 images and distort contrast-agent uptake curves. For liver perfusion analysis, image reconstruction with

- 49 rigid-body motion correction (RMC) can restore distorted portal-venous input functions (PVIF) to higher
- 50 peak amplitudes. However, RMC cannot correct for liver deformation during breathing. We present a
- 51 reconstruction algorithm with deformable motion correction (DMC) that enables correction of
- 52 breathing-induced deformation in the whole abdomen.

53 Methods:

Raw data from a golden-angle stack-of-stars gradient-echo sequence was collected for 54 DCE-MRI examinations of 31 patients. For each examination, a respiratory motion signal was extracted from the data and used to reconstruct 21 breathing states from inhale to exhale. The states were aligned with deformable image registration to the end-exhale state. Resulting deformation fields were used to correct back-projection images before reconstruction with view sharing. Images with DMC were compared to uncorrected images and images with RMC.

60 Results:

DMC significantly increased the PVIF peak amplitude compared to uncorrected images (p << 0.01, mean increase: 8%) but not compared to RMC. The increased PVIF peak amplitude significantly decreased estimated portal-venous perfusion in the liver (p << 0.01, mean decrease: 8 ml/(100 ml ·min)). DMC also removed artifacts in perfusion maps at the liver edge and reduced blurring of liver tumors for some patients.

66 Conclusions:

DCE-MRI reconstruction with DMC can restore motion-distorted uptake curves in the abdomen and
 remove motion artifacts from reconstructed images and parameter maps but does not significantly
 improve perfusion quantification in the liver compared to RMC.

70

#### 71 Introduction

- 72 Arterial and portal-venous perfusion as well as hepatobiliary uptake can be measured by dynamic
- contrast-enhanced (DCE) MRI and used to determine local and global liver function as well as lesion
- extent for patients with liver cancer (1–12). Perfusion and uptake maps, derived from DCE MRI, can
- 75 support individualized adaptive radiotherapy treatments that maximize sparing of excess irradiation of

functional parts of the liver. By sparing function in non-cancerous liver tissue, the probability of
 treatment complications can be reduced.

However, respiratory, cardiac and gastrointestinal motion pose challenges for DCE MRI of the abdomen
and can introduce streaks and blurring into acquired images. Contrast-agent (CA) uptake curves
extracted from dynamic time series can also be corrupted by motion resulting in inaccurate hepatic
perfusion or retention estimation.

82 Breath holds can reduce this problem (7). However, not all patients are able to hold their breath for long 83 enough or often enough to allow the CA uptake curves to be faithfully captured. Alternatively, DCE-MRI 84 images can be compensated for motion after reconstruction using image registration (13,14). Post-85 reconstruction alignment can compensate for inter-image motion but cannot undo blurring or remove 86 image streaks arising from intra-image motion. Parallel imaging has been applied to increase the temporal resolution of DCE MRI to 1.6–1.9 seconds (15) to render motion-related blur negligible for 87 88 slowly breathing subjects. However, patients who breathe faster will still be subject to motion-induced 89 artifacts. Alternatively, a respiratory dimension has been added to the reconstruction such that a 90 dynamic contrast-enhanced time series is reconstructed for each respiratory phase, but this may limit 91 the temporal resolution to 11–12 seconds, which is not adequate for perfusion analysis (16–18).

Instead of aligning image after reconstruction, Lin et al. corrected acquired data in k-space and used translational alignment to reduce intra-image artifacts (19). We previously modified this method to include rotation and investigated its effect on CA uptake curves (20). Rigid-body motion correction was found to restore portal-venous input functions (PVIFs) to higher amplitudes. However, for 13% of subjects, residual deformations larger than 10 mm were found in more than 5% of the liver volume. This finding, suggest that a method of motion-corrected reconstruction, that accommodates liver deformation due to breathing during DCE-MRI acquisition, may be needed.

99 Reconstruction methods with integrated deformable motion correction have been implemented by 100 several authors using iterative model-based reconstruction (21,22) to achieve reductions of motion-101 induced aliasing. A simpler approach has been successfully used for motion correction of PET images 102 from PET/MRI scanners (23,24) and relies on direct deformation of temporal sub-images with negligible 103 intra-frame motion, that are then combined into motion corrected images. While this strategy does not 104 optimally reduce motion-induced aliasing for MRI reconstruction, it can achieve results similar to those 105 from iterative model-based reconstruction (21,25), especially if deformation fields are approximately

affine within the width of the receiver-coil sensitivities (26). This simplified strategy can also shorten
 reconstruction times to a fraction of what is needed for model-based reconstruction (21) which is
 important to achieve impact in the radiation therapy clinic.

In this work, we present a DCE-MRI reconstruction algorithm with integrated deformable motion
 correction and apply it to a golden-angle stack-of-stars gradient-echo MR sequence. The algorithm uses
 deformation of back-projection images, building upon motion-correction strategies previously used for
 PET images from PET/MRI scanners (23,24) and for MRI images (26). CA uptake curves and perfusion
 maps derived from images with deformable and rigid-body motion correction as well as images without
 motion correction are compared and the effects of motion correction on artifacts in perfusion maps and
 on lesions are presented.

## 116 Methods

#### 117 Imaging

Under institutional review board approval, 54 DCE-MRI examinations of 31 patients (women, 11; men, 118 119 20; age at examination, 48–78 years; number of examinations per patient, 1–3) were performed as part 120 of a pilot study of individualized adaptive radiation therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. A 3-T MRI 121 scanner (Magnetom Skyra, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) was used. As part of the scan 122 protocol, a 5-min DCE-MRI scan was performed using a work-in-progress golden-angle stack-of-stars 123 spoiled gradient echo sequence (27,28) with fat suppression. 20 ml (0.5 M) of Gd-BOPTA (MultiHance, 124 Bracco Diagnostics, Monroe, NJ) was administered 30 s after the start of scanning. For reception, an 18-125 channel flexible surface coil (Body Matrix) was used in combination with 2–5 elements of the posterior 126 coils built into the scanner table (Spine Matrix). Sequence parameters are listed in table 1. Images 127 reconstructed by vendor software, using k-space weighted image contrast (KWIC) (29) without motion 128 correction, as well as raw k-space data were collected after each examination. The temporal spacing 129 between the vendor-reconstructed image volumes was 3.3–5.4 s. 130 Aside from the vendor-reconstructed time series, images were reconstructed using a view-sharing

algorithm with and without motion correction. The flowchart in Figure 1 illustrates the motion-

132 correction and reconstruction pipeline used to process the collected data into DCE-MRI image time

133 series. The different parts of the pipeline are described in detail below.

#### 134 Data collection and adjustments

135 Prior to scanning, a calibration scan was used to determine a receiver-coil noise whitening transform 136 (30) as well as a set of coil sensitivities (31). After calibration, subjects were scanned with 2000 radial 137 through-center spokes. Imaging parameters are summarized in Table 1. The sequence collected 46 138 Cartesian partitions in the S-I direction, covering 3/4 of k-space with 384 samples per line. The central 139 partition was used to determine a gradient-delay correction by comparing lines acquired in opposite 140 directions for the latter half of the number of acquired spokes (20,32). The correction shifted acquired spokes by modulating their Fourier transform with a complex wave. After delay correction, the missing 141 1/4 of k-space was synthesized using a partial-Fourier projection-onto-convex-sets technique to produce 142 143 58 partitions (33). The noise whitening transform determined from the calibration scan was then used to transform the coil signals into synthetic signals with independent and identically distributed noise. 144

#### 145 Back projection with gridding

Each spoke was back projected into image space using gridding reconstruction with a 7-voxel-wide Kaiser-Bessel kernel with the grid oversampled by 37.5% (34,35). Full radial density compensation was applied using a  $\rho$ -filter for each spoke. Complex images,  $C_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , from individual coils were combined using the estimated coil sensitivity profiles  $S_i(\mathbf{r})$  to produce complex back-projection images with homogenous spatial sensitivity in phase and intensity,

151 
$$P(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{i}^{n} S_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) C_{i}(\mathbf{r},t) / \sum_{j}^{n} S_{j}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) S_{j}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (1)$$

where *i* is an index identifying each coil among all *n* coils, **r** is the spatial position of a voxel and *t* is the time when a spoke was acquired. The resolution, voxel size, and position of the back-projection images was set to match those of corresponding DCE-MRI images reconstructed by vendor software on the scanner as listed in Table 1. These vendor images used a slice resolution of 72.5% and a slice oversampling of 25% bringing the number of final slices to 64.

#### 157 View sharing

To produce a tomographic image, several back-projected images can be combined by a weighted sum in **k**-space through view sharing (36). To produce a set of images that show the gradual change over time or breathing phase, view sharing can be efficiently implemented by sorting the *n* back projections with respect to e.g. time, t, and then element-wise multiplying the resulting array with a filter. To do this, a series of back-projected images  $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$  in  $\mathbf{r}$ -t-space is transformed with the discrete Fourier transform to  $\mathbf{k}$ -f-space

164 
$$\tilde{P}(\mathbf{k}, f) = \mathcal{F}_{(\mathbf{r}, t)}^{(\mathbf{k}, f)} P(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
(2)

where  $\mathbf{r} = (r_x, r_y, r_z)^T$  are the voxel indices in the *x*, *y* and *z* directions, *t* is the sorted spoke index,  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$  are the **k**-space voxel indices and *f* is the frequency index along the sorted spoke dimension. To cover the entire field of view (FOV), the sampling density in **k**-space should be at least one. Therefore, we used a Gaussian view-sharing filter along the spoke dimension

169 
$$W(\rho, f) = \exp\left(-2\pi^2 \left(\frac{f}{n}\right)^2 \sigma_t^2(\rho) - \frac{\rho^2}{2\sigma_w^2}\right)$$
(3)

170 with a width,  $\sigma_t$ , that depended on the distance  $\rho = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$  to the  $k_z$ -axis

171 
$$\sigma_{t}(\rho) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\pi\rho}{\alpha}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{\min}^{2}, & \frac{\pi\rho}{\alpha} \le \sigma_{\max} \\ \sigma_{\max}, & \frac{\pi\rho}{\alpha} \ge \sigma_{\max} \end{cases}$$
(4)

and a maximum width in k-space determined by a Gaussian window with width

173 
$$\sigma_w = \beta \frac{\alpha \sigma_{\max}}{\pi}$$
(5)

174 where  $\sigma_{\min}$  and  $\sigma_{\max}$  are the minimum and maximum temporal widths of the view-sharing filter,  $\alpha$  is 175 the angular undersampling factor and  $\beta$  is a factor allowing reconstruction at higher temporal resolution 176 at the expense of stronger streak artifacts. Motivated by the benign aliasing artifacts caused by angular 177 undersampling and the possible gain in resolution previously shown (37) we chose an angular 178 undersampling factor of  $\alpha = 3$  and a resolution increase of  $\beta = 2$  for this work. The data in k-t space 179 was padded along the *t*-dimension with zeros to avoid wraparound caused by the filter.

180 After filtering, the  $\mathbf{k}$ -f-space signal is transformed back into  $\mathbf{r}$ -t-space to produce the final image series

181

$$I(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{\left(\mathcal{F}_{(\mathbf{r},t)}^{(\mathbf{k},f)}\right)^{-1} W(\rho(\mathbf{k}),f) \tilde{P}(\mathbf{k},f)}{\left(\mathcal{F}_{(t)}^{(f)}\right)^{-1} W(0,f) \tilde{Q}(f)}$$
(6)

182 where  $\tilde{Q}(f) = \mathcal{F}_{(t)}^{(f)}Q(t)$  is the Fourier transform of an indicator function indicating if the data at 183 timepoint *t* was acquired or zero-padded to avoid wraparound. The denominator ameliorates the edge 184 effect at the beginning and end of the scan that would otherwise reduce the intensity of the first and 185 last few images. A more elaborate strategy to tackle the temporal boundary effect has been proposed

- 186 for model-based reconstruction with non-periodic boundary conditions (38). The simpler method
- 187 describe above was chosen instead after considering the reconstruction method and the time from the
- start of scan to CA arrival in the liver (approx. 1 min).

#### 189 **Reconstructions without motion correction**

190 The back-projected images, previously created, were combined into a time series without motion correction using  $\sigma_{\min} = 5$ , producing a temporal resolution (2 $\sigma$ ) of 2 s at the center of **k**-space. This 191 192 temporal resolution has previously been found sufficient to represent CA uptake curves (15,20). An 193 upper limit,  $\sigma_{max} = 144$ , was selected to be large enough to allow maximum spatial resolution and 194 resulted in a temporal resolution of 58 s at the periphery of  ${f k}$ -space. No additional tuning or sensitivity 195 analysis of the parameters in this work was performed. When no or rigid motion correction is applied, 196 some of the Fourier transforms in the back-projection algorithm and Eq (2) can be cancelled. However, 197 to ensure comparability with the motion-corrected reconstructions, this simplification was not done.

198Due to the small temporal spacing between the reconstructed image volumes (0.16–0.26 s) only every199fifth was kept resulting in 400 image volumes with a temporal spacing of 0.79–1.3 s and no motion

200 correction (NMC).

In addition to the view-sharing reconstruction, vendor (VEN) images were used to benchmark themotion correction methods described below.

#### 203 Motion modeling

204 In order to label spokes by breathing motion states, a motion signal was derived from an image time 205 series with high temporal but lower spatial resolution. This time series was reconstructed with view 206 sharing as described above but with  $\sigma_{min} = 2$  and  $\sigma_{max} = 5$ . The resulting 2000 images were rigidly 207 aligned with respect to a reference image in an arbitrary breathing state using a robust region-limited rigid-body image registration algorithm (14) with translation but no rotation. The reference image was 208 209 selected among the VEN images by a physician. The superior-inferior (SI) translation, s(t), of the center 210 of mass of the liver was extracted from each of the 2000 transforms produced by the registration and 211 used as a one-dimensional motion signal (20). A sample motion signal for a subject can be seen in Figure 212 2. No effect on the motion signal from the contrast agent, injected after 30 seconds, is observed 213 suggesting that the rigid body registration was robust to changes in contrast.

The motion signal was used to sort the back-projections according to the position of the liver from

215 inhale (smallest SI liver position) to exhale (largest SI liver position). Following sorting, the back-

216 projections were combined using view sharing but with the motion signal as view-sharing dimension

rather than time. For this reconstruction,  $\sigma_{\min}$  was set to 100 spokes and  $\sigma_{\max}$  to 200 spokes. Out of the

218 2000 resulting image volumes, 21 volumes, evenly distributed from the first to the last sorted spoke,

219 were kept as representations of the breathing states from inhale to exhale.

220 The 20 non-exhale motion states were aligned within the whole field of view to the end-exhale state using a deformable image registration algorithm based on cubic B-spline deformations and a normalized 221 222 mutual-information metric as implemented in the software package NiftyReg (39). The grid spacing of 223 the b-spline grid was 3x3x2 pixels. The registration problem was regularized by adding the log of the 224 Jacobian determinant as well as bending energy as penalty terms to the objective function with weights 225 0.8 and 0.005 respectively. The state closest to exhale was first aligned to the end-exhale state. The 226 resulting deformation field was then used to initialize the registration process for the state second 227 closest to exhale. The second deformation field was then used to initialize the third registration and so 228 forth. In this way, each registration need only compensate for the small displacements between 229 neighboring motion states while still registering each state to the exhale state to reduce error 230 propagation that might otherwise result from serial registration of the states.

To allow comparison of deformable motion correction of the whole abdomen to local rigid-body motion correction of the liver, a rigid-body transform with rotation and translation was derived from the nonrigid-body deformation fields by least squares fitting of the coordinates of the voxels inside the liver. These rigid-body transforms were then used to produce a set of 21 rigid-body transformation fields, one for each motion state.

#### 236 Back-projection deformation

The time-dependent patient motion signal was used as an index for interpolation of the deformation field centered around each of the 2000 spokes from the 21 deformation fields. As a result, a timedependent deformation field,  $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , was produced that converted a voxel position in the exhale state into the voxel position of the same anatomical structure for a given time, t. This deformation field was then used to transform all back-projected images,  $P(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , into motion-corrected back projections,  $P_{def}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , by deforming them to the exhale state using linear interpolation.

243 
$$P_{def}(\mathbf{r},t) = P(T(\mathbf{r},t),t)$$
(7)

- 244 Compared to model-based reconstruction, this motion-correction strategy is a simplification (21) but can
- 245 provide a computational advantage and has been shown to work well when the deformation fields are
- approximately affine within the width of the coil-sensitivity profiles (26).
- A second set of corrected back projections were created by the same procedure but using the rigid-body
- transformation fields instead of the non-rigid.

#### 249 View sharing of motion-corrected back projections

After deformation, the motion-corrected back projections,  $P_{def}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , were combined using view-sharing with  $\sigma_{min} = 5$  and  $\sigma_{max} = 144$  in the same way as for the time series without motion correction producing 400 image volumes with deformable motion correction (DMC) and a temporal spacing of 0.79–1.3 s. Image and voxel size was the same as for the vendor-reconstructed images as listed in table 1. An image time series with rigid-body motion correction (RMC) was also created using the back projections transformed by the rigid-body transformation fields.

#### 256 Evaluation

- DMC and RMC were compared to NMC image time series. All these time series were also compared to
   the VEN image time series reconstructed by vendor software.
- Time series were compared with respect to the maximum signal enhancements of the PVIF and the arterial input function (AIF). The peak PVIF was chosen because the intensity of the portal vein is particularly sensitive to motion due to its small size and strong contrast to surrounding tissue before and after contrast administration. The AIF is less sensitive to motion but may be distorted by RMC focusing on the liver. Peak PVIF and AIF amplitudes could therefore be reduced by motion or inaccurate motion correction.
- 265 Parameter maps of arterial and portal-venous perfusion where also estimated from reconstructed 266 images for all patients using a dual-input single-compartment model (40). Portal-venous perfusion can 267 be used as an indicator of global and local liver function (8) whereas arterial perfusion can help select 268 subvolumes for boosting during radiation therapy (1). Central-venous outflow was also estimated as part 269 of the pharmacokinetic model, but is not presented because no clinical application for it is known. 270 Perfusion maps with and without motion correction were compared inside the gross tumor volume 271 (GTV) and the liver as a whole as well as a normal tissue volume (NTV) drawn inside the liver but away 272 from the tumor region. For parameter estimation, images reconstructed for the first and last 8 seconds

of the scan were omitted to avoid the effect of any residual temporal boundary effect and the initialapproach to spoiled gradient-echo steady state.

In addition to the quantitative evaluation measures above, reconstructed images and perfusion maps are presented for a subset of patients to illustrate the effect of motion correction on lesion conspicuity and estimated perfusion values. Descriptive statistics of the estimated deformation fields are also given to reflect the size and variation of motion among patients. For this purpose, each deformation field  $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$  was compared to the rigid-body transform that best approximated it inside the liver. We defined the residual displacements inside the liver to be the part of the total voxel displacements that cannot be represented by rigid-body motion.

#### 282 Results

The distance traversed in the SI direction by the liver center of mass, from end exhale to end inhale, varied among subjects from 8 mm to 46 mm with a median of 15 mm. In the left–right and anterior– posterior directions, the displacements were 2–10 mm and 3–35 mm, respectively, with medians of 4 mm and 8 mm.

The median of the magnitude of the residual non-rigid voxel displacements inside the liver varied from 1 mm to 6 mm among subjects with a mean of 2 mm. The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the residual displacement magnitude varied between 2 mm and 15 mm within the population with a mean of 7 mm. The minimum and maximum Jacobian determinant of the inhale deformation fields inside the liver was in the range 0.73–0.94 and 1.06–1.46, respectively.

Oscillations were observed for time-intensity curves in image time series with high temporal resolution as seen in Figure 3. These oscillations were smoothed out by the wider view-sharing filter for NMC images but a bias was introduced into the curve instead. DMC images did not exhibit this bias, as the underlying intensity oscillations had been compensated for.

296 Table 2 shows the statistical comparison of AIF and PVIF peak amplitudes from the different

297 reconstruction methods (DMC, RMC, NMC and VEN). In addition, arterial and portal-venous perfusion

298 was compared in three ROIs for the four reconstruction methods. To avoid Type-I errors due to multiple

299 comparisons (48 in total), the significance level was Bonferroni-corrected from 5% to 0.1% for all tests

300 and confidence intervals presented.

The peak amplitude of the PVIF was significantly higher for DMC and RMC images compared to NMC and VEN reconstructions with mean increases of between 8% and 12%. There was no significant difference in the peak PVIF amplitude between DMC and RMC. An example PVIF is shown in Figure 4.

304 The peak amplitudes of AIFs did not differ significantly with and without motion correction. However,

305 the peak amplitudes of the vendor AIFs were significantly lower than DMC, RMC and NMC images

306 because of the stronger aliasing in the pre-contrast vendor phases. Example AIFs, extracted from the

- aorta of a subject at the branching of the celiac artery, are shown in Figure 5.
- 308 Mean arterial and portal-venous perfusion,  $k_a$  and  $k_p$ , in the GTV and the whole liver were significantly 309 lower (Table 3) in perfusion maps estimated from vendor images compared to those estimated from 310 DMC, RMC, and NMC images. DMC and RMC reconstructions showed significantly lower portal-venous 311 perfusion compared to NMC reconstructions for the whole liver and GTV. Portal-venous perfusion did 312 not differ significantly between DMC and RMC. There was no significant difference of the mean arterial
- 313 perfusion in the liver between corrected and NMC images.
- NMC perfusion maps showed artifacts primarily close to the edge of the liver. This effect was particularly
   severe for 11 of the 53 scans, as illustrated for an example patient in Figure 6 where an area with falsely
   elevated arterial and lowered portal-venous perfusion is seen.
- Three lesions from three separate patients are shown in Figure 7 for DMC, RMC and NMC

reconstructions. Arterial perfusion is also shown for the DMC images. The DMC and RMC images are

319 seen to have sharper lesion boundaries and internal structures than NMC. Aside from lesions, motion

320 correction improved the sharpness of structures in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract as seen in Figure 8. The

321 changes over time in shape of the GI tract caused by peristalsis are seen more clearly in images with

respiratory motion correction (Figures 8a and 8c) than in those without (Figures 8b and 8d) where the GI
 tract is blurred because of breathing motion.

#### 324 Discussion

A method to perform respiratory DMC as part of image reconstruction for abdominal DCE-MRI has been presented and reconstructed images have been compared to those reconstructed with RMC focused on the liver as well as to images without motion correction. DMC refocused the reconstructed MR images as evidenced by the increased peak amplitude of the PVIF but did not further increase the PVIF peak

329 amplitude compared to RMC. As an effect of the increased PVIF, portal-venous perfusion was 330 significantly lower in estimated perfusion maps.

331 Earlier studies have shown that increasing temporal resolution reveals strong respiratory oscillations in 332 uptake curves in the liver (15). These oscillations can be counteracted by deformable alignment to 333 produce smoother uptake curves. Our study supports this claim but also suggest that the additional 334 improvement of image quality in the liver resulting from DMC is small compared to that already 335 achieved by RMC (19,20). A benefit of correcting back-projection images for motion, as done in this 336 study, rather than reconstructed images is that only the motion signal needs to have a high-enough 337 temporal resolution to resolve the breathing cycles whereas the reconstructed time-series only need to 338 resolve the contrast-agent dynamics. This reduces the necessary frame rate for fast breathers. Another 339 benefit of the presented method is that instead of using multiple affine transforms to correct the back 340 projections from multiple coils (26), one deformation field can be applied to a single coil-combined back-341 projection image, thereby reducing the number of transforms and complex images that must be stored 342 and processed per time point.

The mean estimated portal-venous perfusion was higher in the liver for images without motion 343 344 correction than in those with motion correction. This can be explained by the lower PVIF amplitude in 345 images without motion correction, which is compensated for during parameter estimation by an 346 apparent higher portal-venous perfusion.

347 Arterial and portal-venous perfusion maps estimated from vendor images were consistently lower than 348 maps reconstructed with the presented view-sharing technique. This could be a consequence of the 349 lower temporal resolution in the vendor images or the shape of the vendor view-sharing filter, which may introduce bias into the perfusion maps. 350

351 No deterioration of the AIF due to motion correction of the relatively stationary aorta was found. This 352 was shown by the non-significant difference in AIF peak amplitude between motion corrected and non-353 corrected images. Rigid-body motion correction had no significant effect on the AIF, possibly because 354 the main direction of liver motion is in the superior-inferior direction, producing a motion correction 355 that has little effect on the aorta, which is oriented along the same axis. Vendor AIFs were significantly 356 lower than all other reconstructions because of streak artifacts raising the intensity in the pre-contrast 357 baseline.

For some subjects, motion correction was observed to eliminate regions of falsely high or low perfusion
in estimated perfusion maps. These perfusion artifacts occurred primarily close to the high-contrast
edge of the liver.

361 DMC did not improve input function extraction or perfusion estimation compared to RMC in this study 362 despite residual non-rigid displacements. This can be understood by considering that (1) the aorta 363 moves primarily along its own axis in the S-I direction making MC unnecessary for the AIF, (2) the ROI of 364 the PVIF is situated close to the center of the liver where RMC is sufficient to restore PVIF amplitude and 365 (3) the estimated perfusion maps are dominated by smooth spatial variations that are only to a small 366 degree affected by observed residual non-rigid displacements. DMC could still be of importance to 367 enhance lesion conspicuity or to estimate spatially heterogeneous perfusion in bending liver lobes but 368 no such case was observed in this study. It is also possible that a model-based motion-corrected 369 reconstruction (21) could reveal differences in estimated perfusion maps that the simplified method in 370 this paper could not resolve.

371 A potential advantage of DMC over RMC is that it can correct for motion in multiple organs

simultaneously, even when they are not moving in the same direction or with the same amplitude.

373 Therefore, if uptake curves from multiple organs were needed, only one time series would have to be

374 reconstructed, unlike rigid-body motion correction, which may require one time series per organ.

375 However, the evaluation in this study is restricted to the liver and to a lesser extent the aorta, which are

aneeded for hepatic perfusion estimation.

377 By correcting for motion, image blur can be counteracted such that liver and lesion borders can be seen 378 more clearly. Therefore, motion correction may allow free-breathing scans to replace repeated-379 breathhold examinations as a basis for tumor delineation in the clinic. This finding agrees with earlier 380 studies that demonstrated improved lesion sharpness using translational motion correction (19) as well 381 as higher quality scores given by radiologists to images reconstructed with parallel imaging to a higher 382 temporal resolution (15) thereby reducing motion artifacts. Improved image quality as determined by 383 radiologists has also been demonstrated using golden-angle radial sparse parallel (GRASP) MRI (18) to 384 reduce motion artifacts by regularization in the temporal dimension.

A problem with deformable compared to rigid-body motion correction is the greater uncertainty in
 estimated transform parameters resulting from the registration of the respiratory motion states. For this
 study, deformable registration was regularized by bending-energy and Jacobian penalty terms. However,

a compromise had to be made when selecting regularization parameters to accommodate the possible
sliding interface of the liver, which may have resulted in overfitting of deformation fields inside the liver.
Such overfitting could prevent accurate refocusing of internal liver structures.

391 By correcting for respiratory motion, peristalsis could be seen more clearly and this could aid the 392 deformable registration of gastrointestinal motion. A cardiac motion signal would allow reconstruction 393 of cardiac motion states and the construction of a cardiac motion model similar to the respiratory model 394 presented in this work. By combining deformation vector fields from respiratory, cardiac and 395 gastrointestinal motion models, it would be possible to construct a comprehensive motion model and to 396 correct for all three kinds of motion in the whole abdomen during image reconstruction. This is a focus 397 of future research. Such a comprehensive motion model, tailored to the specific motion pattern of each 398 patient, could aid image registration of other kinds of MRI and CT images as well as in target volume 399 selection for radiation therapy or organ at risk delineation. A comprehensive abdominal motion model 400 could also be combined with CA-dependent MRI signal models to improve the accuracy and precision of 401 estimated perfusion and uptake parameters.

### 402 Conclusions

Deformable motion correction applied to temporal image reconstruction can restore DCE-MRI uptakecurve amplitudes distorted by motion artifacts, improve the sharpness of lesion borders and internal structures and remove artifacts in perfusion parameter maps. However, no significant change in estimated perfusion was found for deformable motion correction as compared to rigid-body motion correction when restricting the evaluation to the liver.

#### 408 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH/NCI P01 CA059827. The prototype Radial VIBE sequence was provided
by Siemens Healthineers under a research agreement.

#### 411 Conflicts of interest

412 The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

413	Refe	References					
414	1.	Wang H, Farjam R, Feng M, Hussain H, Ten Haken RK, Lawrence TS, Cao Y. Arterial perfusion					
415		imaging-defined subvolume of intrahepatic cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2014;89(1):167-					
416		74.					
417	2.	Cao Y, Platt JF, Francis IR, Balter JM, Pan C, Normolle D, Ben-Josef E, Ten Haken RK, Lawrence TS.					
418		The prediction of radiation-induced liver dysfunction using a local dose and regional venous					
419		perfusion model. Med Phys. 2007;34(2):604–12.					
420	3.	Simeth J, Johansson A, Owen D, Cuneo K, Mierzwa M, Lawrence T, Feng M, Cao Y. Quantification					
421		of liver function by linearization of a 2-compartment model of gadoxetic-acid uptake using					
422		dynamic contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. NMR Biomed. 2018;31(6):e3913 1-15.					
423	4.	El Naqa I, Johansson A, Owen D, Cuneo K, Cao Y, Matuszak M, Bazzi L, Lawrence TS, Ten Haken					
424		RK. Modeling of Normal Tissue Complications Using Imaging and Biomarkers After Radiation					
425		Therapy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2018;100(2):335–43.					
426	5.	Cao Y, Pan C, Balter JM, Platt JF, Francis IR, Knol JA, Normolle D, Ben-Josef E, Ten Haken RK,					
427		Lawrence TS. Liver function after irradiation based on computed tomographic portal vein					
428		perfusion imaging. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2008;70(1):154–60.					
429	6.	Cao Y. The promise of dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging in radiation therapy. Semin Radiat					
430		Oncol. 2011;21(2):147–56.					
431	7.	Cao Y, Wang H, Johnson TD, Pan C, Hussain H, Balter JM, Normolle D, Ben-Josef E, Ten Haken RK,					
432		Lawrence TS, Feng M. Prediction of liver function by using magnetic resonance-based portal					
433		venous perfusion imaging. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2013;85(1):258–63.					
434	8.	Wang H, Feng M, Jackson A, Ten Haken RK, Lawrence TS, Cao Y. Local and global function model					
435		of the liver. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2016;94(1):181–8.					
436	9.	Motosugi U, Ichikawa T, Sou H, Sano K, Tominaga L, Kitamura T, Araki T. Liver parenchymal					
437		enhancement of hepatocyte-phase images in Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MR imaging: Which					
438		biological markers of the liver function affect the enhancement? J Magn Reson Imaging.					
439		2009;30(5):1042–6.					
440	10.	Katsube T, Okada M, Kumano S, Hori M, Imaoka I, Ishii K, Kudo M, Kitagaki H, Murakami T.					
441		Estimation of liver function using T1 mapping on Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced magnetic resonance					

442 imaging. Invest Radiol. 2011;46(4):277–83.

11. Nakamura S, Awai K, Utsunomiya D, Namimoto T, Nakaura T, Morita K, Yamashita Y.

444 Chronological evaluation of liver enhancement in patients with chronic liver disease at Gd-EOB-

DTPA-enhanced 3-T MR imaging: Does liver function correlate with enhancement? Jpn J Radiol.
2012;30(1):25–33.

Dahlqvist Leinhard O, Dahlström N, Kihlberg J, Sandström P, Brismar TB, Smedby Ö, Lundberg P.
Quantifying differences in hepatic uptake of the liver specific contrast agents Gd-EOB-DTPA and
Gd-BOPTA: A pilot study. Eur Radiol. 2012;22(3):642–53.

450 13. Zuo CS, Jiang A, Buff BL, Mahon TG, Wong TZ. Automatic motion correction for breast MR
451 imaging. Radiology. 1996;198(3):903–6.

452 14. Johansson A, Balter J, Feng M, Cao Y. An overdetermined system of transform equations in
453 support of robust DCE-MRI registration with outlier rejection. Tomography. 2016;2(3):188–96.

15. Chen Y, Lee GR, Wright KL, Badve C, Nakamoto D, Yu A, Schluchter MD, Griswold MA, Seiberlich
N, Gulani V. Free-breathing liver perfusion imaging using 3-dimensional through-time spiral
generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisition acceleration. Invest Radiol.
2015;50(6):367–75.

458 16. Feng L, Axel L, Chandarana H, Block KT, Sodickson DK, Otazo R. XD-GRASP: Golden-angle radial
459 MRI with reconstruction of extra motion-state dimensions using compressed sensing. Magn
460 Reson Med. 2015;75(2):775–88.

461 17. Benkert T, Feng L, Sodickson DK, Chandarana H, Block KT. Free-breathing volumetric fat/water
462 separation by combining radial sampling, compressed sensing, and parallel imaging. Magn Reson
463 Med. 2017;78(2):565–76.

Feng L, Grimm R, Tobias Block K, Chandarana H, Kim S, Xu J, Axel L, Sodickson DK, Otazo R.
 Golden-angle radial sparse parallel MRI: Combination of compressed sensing, parallel imaging,
 and golden-angle radial sampling for fast and flexible dynamic volumetric MRI. Magn Reson Med.
 2014;72(3):707–17.

- Lin W, Guo J, Rosen MA, Hee KS. Respiratory motion-compensated radial dynamic contrastenhanced (DCE)-MRI of chest and abdominal lesions. Magn Reson Med. 2008;60(5):1135–46.
- 470 20. Johansson A, Balter J, Cao Y. Rigid-body motion correction of the liver in image reconstruction for This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 471 golden-angle stack-of-stars DCE MRI. Magn Reson Med. 2018;79(3):1345–53.
- 472 21. Batchelor PG, Atkinson D, Irarrazaval P, Hill DLG, Hajnal J, Larkman D. Matrix description of
  473 general motion correction applied to multishot images. Magn Reson Med. 2005;54(5):1273–80.
- 474 22. Odille F, Cîndea N, Mandry D, Pasquier C, Vuissoz PA, Felblinger J. Generalized MRI
- 475 reconstruction including elastic physiological motion and coil sensitivity encoding. Magn Reson
  476 Med. 2008;59(6):1401–11.
- 477 23. Grimm R, Früst S, Souvatzoglou M, Forman C, Hutter J, Dregely I, Ziegler SI, Kiefer B, Hornegger J,
  478 Block KT, Nekolla SG. Self-gated MRI motion modeling for respiratory motion compensation in
  479 integrated PET/MRI. Med Image Anal. 2015;19(1):110–20.
- 480 24. Fürst S, Grimm R, Hong I, Souvatzoglou M, Casey ME, Schwaiger M, Nekolla SG, Ziegler SI. Motion
  481 correction strategies for integrated PET/MR. J Nucl Med. 2015;56(2):261–9.
- 482 25. Cheng JY, Alley MT, Cunningham CH, Vasanawala SS, Pauly JM, Lustig M. Nonrigid motion
  483 correction in 3D using autofocusing withlocalized linear translations. Magn Reson Med.
  484 2012;68(6):1785–97.
- Vaillant G, Buerger C, Penney G, Prieto C, T S. Multiple-region affine motion correction using
  localized coil sensitivites. Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med. 2011;19:4605.
- 487 27. Block KT, Chandarana H, Milla S, Bruno M, Mulholland T, Fatterpekar G, Hagiwara M, Grimm R,
- 488 Geppert C, Kiefer B, Sodickson DK. Towards routine clinical use of radial stack-of-stars 3D
- 489 gradient-echo sequences for reducing motion sensitivity. J Korean Soc Magn Reson Med.
- 490 2014;18(2):87–106.
- 491 28. Chandarana H, Block KT, Winfeld MJ, Lala S V., Mazori D, Giuffrida E, Babb JS, Milla SS. Free-
- breathing contrast-enhanced T1-weighted gradient-echo imaging with radial k-space sampling for
   paediatric abdominopelvic MRI. Eur Radiol. 2014;24(2):320–6.
- Song HK, Dougherty L. k-Space weighted image contrast (KWIC) for contrast manipulation in
  projection reconstruction MRI. Magn Reson Med. 2000;44(6):825–32.
- 496 30. Martini N, Santarelli MF, Giovannetti G, Milanesi M, De Marchi D, Positano V, Landini L. Noise
  497 correlations and SNR in phased-array MRS. NMR Biomed. 2010;23(1):66–73.
- 498 31. Brey WW, Narayana PA. Correction for intensity falloff in surface coil magnetic resonance

499 imaging. Med Phys. 1988;15(2):241–5.

- Block KT, Uecker M. Simple method for adaptive gradient-delay compensation in radial MRI. Proc
  Int Soc Magn Reson Med. 2011;19:2816.
- S02 33. Cuppen J, van Est A. Reducing MR imaging time by one-sided reconstruction. Magn Reson
  S03 Imaging. 1987;5(6):526–7.
- Jackson JI, Meyer CH, Nishimura DG, Macovski A. Selection of a convolution function for Fourier
   inversion using gridding. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 1991;10(3):473–8.
- 35. Beatty PJ, Nishimura DG, Pauly JM. Rapid gridding reconstruction with a minimal oversampling
  ratio. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2005;24(6):799–808.
- 36. Bernstein MA, King KF, Zhou XJ. Handbook of MRI Pulse Sequences. 1st ed. Amsterdam; Boston:
  Elsevier Academic Press; 2004.
- Peters DC, Korosec FR, Grist TM, Block WF, Holden JE, Vigen KK, Mistretta CA. Undersampled
   projection reconstruction applied to MR angiography. Magn Reson Med. 2000;43(1):91–101.
- 512 38. Le M, Fessler JA. Efficient, Convergent SENSE MRI Reconstruction for Nonperiodic Boundary
  513 Conditions via Tridiagonal Solvers. IEEE Trans Comput Imaging. 2017;3(1):11–21.
- 514 39. Modat M, Ridgway GR, Taylor ZA, Lehmann M, Barnes J, Hawkes DJ, Fox NC, Ourselin S. Fast free-515 form deformation using graphics processing units. Comput Meth Prog Bio. 2010;98(3):278–84.
- 40. Wang H, Cao Y. GPU-accelerated voxelwise hepatic perfusion quantification. Phys Med Biol.
  2012;57(17):5601–16.
- 518 519
- 520 Figure legends
- 521 *Figure 1. Overview of the reconstruction and motion-correction pipeline. Yellow boxes represent pieces of*
- 522 data and white boxes processing steps. The blue box contains steps that perform motion correction. The
- 523 red box contains pre-processing steps and the green contains steps for reconstruction without motion
- 524 correction. The parameters  $\sigma_{min}$  and  $\sigma_{max}$  describe the minimum and maximum width of the view-
- 525 sharing filter.

- Figure 2. An example of a patient motion signal showing the superior–inferior position of the liver during
  a 5-minute scan. No effect on the motion signal from the contrast agent injected after 30 s is observed.
- Figure 3. Time-intensity curves for a PVIF ROI. Images reconstructed with high temporal resolution (HT) exhibit oscillations induced by breathing ( $\sigma_{min} = 5$  and  $\sigma_{max} = 10$ ). These oscillations are not visible for NMC and DMC images but do induce a bias for NMC images, as seen by the lower intensity compared to DMC images after CA administration.
- Figure 4. (a) Portal-venous input functions with and without motion correction. The corresponding input
  function from images reconstructed by vendor software on the scanner is also shown. (b) and (c) show
  the ROI used to extract the PVIF.
- 535 Figure 5. (a) Arterial input functions from the aorta with and without motion correction. The
- 536 corresponding input function from images reconstructed by vendor software on the scanner is also
- shown. (b) and (c) show the ROI used to extract the AIF.
- 538 Figure 6. The reference phase image used for delineation (a) as well as arterial (b-e) and portal-venous (f-
- i) perfusion parameter maps. Motion artifacts are indicated in the uncorrected maps (c, g) by the green
  arrow.
- Figure 7. Three tumors as they appear in images with deformable motion correction (a, e, i), with rigidbody motion correction (b, f, j) and without motion correction (c, g, k). The arterial perfusion maps
  produced from the DMC images are also shown.
- 544 Figure 8. The shape change of the gastrointestinal tract (green arrow) over time, resulting from
- 545 peristalsis is illustrated by two images at different time points corresponding to two separate peristaltic
- 546 phases. The changes can be seen more clearly in the two images with deformable respiratory motion
- 547 correction (a, c) than in images without motion correction (b, d).

# Au

Table 1. DCE-MRI sequence parameters

Sequence parameter						
Sequence type	golden-angle stack-of-stars					
<b></b>	spoiled gradient echo with fat					
	suppression					
Echo time	1.14–1.21 ms					
Repetition time	2.72–4.51 ms					
Flip angle	10°–14°					
Image matrix size	192x192					
Number of slices	64					
Number of partitions	46					
Number of radial spokes	2000					
In-plane voxel size	2–2.45 mm					
Slice thickness	3–4 mm					
σ						

Table 2. P-values and confidence intervals (CI) for paired t-test of the difference of the peak PVIF and AIF amplitude among reconstruction methods for all patients. Asterisks indicate significance at a 0.1% level.

Relative peak amplitude	P	VIF	AIF	
difference	P-value	CI	P-value	CI
(DMC - RMC)/((DMC + RMC)/2)	1.19e-01	[-0.02, 0.01]	9.84e-01	[-0.02, 0.02]
(DMC - NMC)/((DMC + NMC)/2)	8.46e-07*	[0.03, 0.13]	1.24e-01	[-0.01, 0.03]
(DMC - VEN)/((DMC + VEN)/2)	1.92e-11*	[0.07, 0.16]	2.25e-17*	[0.11, 0.20]
(RMC - NMC)/((RMC + NMC)/2)	1.99e-07*	[0.04, 0.14]	2.75e-01	[-0.02, 0.03]
(RMC - VEN)/((RMC + VEN)/2)	1.09e-11*	[0.07, 0.17]	1.62e-16*	[0.11, 0.20]
(NMC - VEN)/((NMC + VEN)/2)	4.34e-02	[-0.02, 0.08]	4.03e-14*	[0.10, 0.20]

Table 3. Mean values and confidence intervals at the Bonferroni-corrected level of significance for differences in arterial and portal-venous perfusion for the different reconstruction methods. Significant differences are marked by asterisks and a green background. All differences are given in ml/(100 ml·min).

Por	Portal-venous perfusion difference			Arterial perfusion difference			
Whole	Normal liver tissue	GTV	Whole liver	Normal tissue	GTV		
-0.1	1 0.5	0.3	-0.5	-0.6	-1.1		
[-2.2, 2	2.0] [-3.0, 4.0]	[-3.0, 3.6]	[-1.3, 0.3]	[-2.1, 0.8]	[-3.0, 0.9]		
-7.8	-8.9	-16.7	-1.6	-0.2	1.3		
DIVIC - NIVIC [-13.3, -	2.2]* [-18.7, 0.9]	[-32.4, -1.1]*	[-4.1, 0.9]	[-3.3, 2.9]	[-4.1, 6.6]		
54.6	6 66.8	41.0	8.6	5.3	19.9		
DIMC - VEN [43.8, 6	5.4]* [45.3, 88.3]*	[28.6, 53.4]*	[3.2, 13.9]*	[-1.2, 11.9]	[11.6, 28.1]*		
-7.7	7 -9.4	-17.0	-1.1	0.4	2.3		
[-13.1, -	2.3]* [-18.2, -0.5]*	[-31.7, -2.4]*	[-3.9, 1.6]	[-2.4, 3.2]	[-3.2, 7.9]		
54.	7 66.3	40.7	9.0	6.0	20.9		
[43.6, 6	5.8]* [44.8, 87.9]*	[28.8, 52.7]*	[3.7, 14.4]*	[-0.7, 12.6]	[12.7, 29.1]*		
62.4	4 75.7	57.8	10.2	5.5	18.6		
[51.5, 7	3.2]* [52.9, 98.5]*	[40.5, 75.0]*	[4.3, 16.0]*	[-1.6, 12.6]	[10.8, 26.4]*		
V							



mp\_13118\_f1.tif











r Manusc utl



mp\_13118\_f7.tif

anu I Z t

