

Original Article

mt-sDNA in African American Patients/Cooper et al

Performance of Multitarget Stool DNA Testing in African American Patients

Gregory S. Cooper, MD^{1,2}

Sanford D. Markowitz, MD, PhD^{2,3}

Zhengyi Chen, PhD⁴

Missy Tuck, BS⁵

Joseph E. Willis, MD^{2,6}

Barry M. Berger, MD⁷

Dean E. Brenner, MD⁵

Li Li, MD, PhD^{2,4}

¹Division of Gastroenterology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

²Case Comprehensive Cancer Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

³Division of Hematology-Oncology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

⁴Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

⁵Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

⁶Department of Pathology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

⁷Exact Sciences Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin.

Corresponding author: Gregory S. Cooper, MD, Division of Gastroenterology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, 11100 Euclid Ave, Wearn 244, Cleveland, OH 44106-5066; Gregory.Cooper@Uhhospitals.org; or Li Li, MD, PhD, Center for Community

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1136/ncr.2019.01660](https://doi.org/10.1136/ncr.2019.01660)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Health Integration and Mary Ann Swetland Center for Environmental Health, Case Western Reserve University, 11000 Cedar Ave, Ste 402, Cleveland, OH 44106-7136; lx162@case.edu
Received May 7, 2018; revision received June 19, 2018; accepted June 21, 2018.

</FNTX>

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Multitarget stool DNA (mt-sDNA) is an approved method for colon cancer screening that is especially relevant for patients who cannot undergo colonoscopy. Although the test performance has been evaluated in a large clinical trial, it was limited to a predominantly white population. Given differences in the epidemiology and biology of colon cancer in African American individuals, the authors sought to compare the performance of mt-sDNA between racial groups.

METHODS: The authors prospectively identified patients aged ≥ 40 years who were referred for colonoscopy at an academic medical center and 2 satellite facilities. Prior to the colonoscopy, the authors collected stool for mt-sDNA and fecal immunochemical testing (FIT). They compared the sensitivity, specificity, and receiver operating characteristic curve between African American and white patients for the detection of advanced lesions or any adenoma.

RESULTS: A total of 760 patients were included, 34.9% of whom were African American. The prevalence of any adenoma (38.9% for African American patients and 33.9% for white patients) and that for advanced lesions (6.8% and 6.7%, respectively) were similar between groups. The overall sensitivities of mt-sDNA for the detection of advanced lesions and any adenoma were 43% and 19%, respectively, and the specificities were 91% and 93%, respectively. In general, mt-sDNA was more sensitive and less specific than FIT. When stratified by race, the sensitivity, specificity, and receiver operating characteristic curve area were similar between African American and white patients for both mt-sDNA and FIT.

CONCLUSIONS: Test performance characteristics of mt-sDNA were comparable in African American and white patients. Given the lower uptake of colonoscopy in African American individuals, mt-sDNA may offer a promising screening alternative in this patient population.

KEYWORDS: African Americans, colon polyps/diagnosis, colonoscopy, colorectal neoplasms/diagnosis, DNA analysis, early detection of cancer.

<H1>INTRODUCTION</H1>

Routine screening for colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps among adults aged ≥ 50 years is recommended, and approximately 60% of the target population currently is up to date with screening, primarily through the use of colonoscopy.¹ However, screening rates are consistently lower among individuals from lower socioeconomic strata, which is attributed in part to the lack of a regular health care provider and health insurance.¹ Studies also have reported lower colon cancer screening rates among African American individuals, which may be attributed in part to socioeconomic status^{2,3} as well as barriers such as fear of the diagnosis, mistrust of the health care system, and a lack of provider recommendation. In addition, there are important differences in the biology and clinical behavior of colon cancer in African American individuals compared with white individuals, with an earlier age at onset, a greater percentage of right-sided cancers, and unique genetic mutations.^{3,4}

Given the significant number of individuals who are not up to date with colonoscopy screening, alternative screening procedures have been developed. These include stool-based tests such as fecal immunochemical testing (FIT), radiographic procedures such as computed tomography colonography, and other endoscopic procedures such as flexible sigmoidoscopy. Another noninvasive testing option is multitarget stool DNA testing (mt-sDNA), which includes a panel of methylation markers, oncogenes, and FIT, reduced to a single patient result via an algorithm. Although mt-sDNA primarily was designed as a cancer screening test, it also detects a greater percentage of advanced, precancerous lesions compared with FIT alone. To the best of our knowledge, the largest colorectal cancer screening trial of mt-sDNA to date enrolled nearly 10,000 participants, 10.7% of whom were African American, but did not stratify results by race or ethnicity.⁵ Thus, we performed a prospective cohort study to evaluate and compare the test performance of the commercially available mt-sDNA (Cologuard; Exact Sciences Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin) in African American and white individuals. Our goal was to determine whether the sensitivity of mt-sDNA in African American patients was comparable to that in white patients and thus it is an appropriate screening test in this traditionally underserved patient population.

<H1>MATERIALS AND METHODS</H1>

The study was conducted from 2012 to 2015 at 3 sites in metropolitan Cleveland, Ohio: 1) an urban, tertiary care academic medical center; 2) an affiliated suburban community hospital; and 3) an affiliated suburban ambulatory surgery center. The methods and results are reported in accordance with the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines.⁶ Approval for the study was obtained from the University Hospitals institutional review board. Patients aged 40 to 80 years who were referred for colonoscopy by their health care providers were eligible for enrollment. Patients aged <50 years were largely referred because of a family history of colorectal cancer or nonspecific lower gastrointestinal symptoms such as constipation. Exclusion criteria were a known history of any malignancy, a prior history of adenomatous polyps or serrated neoplasia in the colon, previous colon resection, prior colonoscopy within 5 years, overt gastrointestinal bleeding, a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis or Crohn disease, or the inability to provide informed consent or understand English. In addition, for this analysis, we excluded patients who were of a racial group other than white or African American or were of unknown race.

Prior to undergoing colonoscopy and the bowel preparation, all patients collected stool for mt-sDNA that was processed according to a standard protocol as well as a commercially available FIT (OC-FIT CHEK; Polymedco CDP, LLC, Cortlandt Manor, New York). A questionnaire was completed prior to colonoscopy and included health risk factors including height and weight and whether the patient ever used tobacco products. Patients then underwent standard colonoscopy; the endoscopist was unaware of the mt-sDNA or FIT results but knew that the patient was enrolled in the study. All visible lesions were removed or, if not feasible, biopsied, and a positive test included findings of ≥ 1 adenomas, sessile serrated adenomas, or carcinomas. Advanced lesions were defined as an adenoma measuring ≥ 1 cm and/or containing high-grade dysplasia or adenocarcinoma. The location of the adenoma was divided into the rectum/rectosigmoid, left colon (sigmoid, descending, or splenic flexure), and right colon (transverse, hepatic flexure, ascending, or cecum) based on the colonoscopy report. All colonoscopies were performed by faculty endoscopists, all of whom met or exceeded established quality metrics.

Using the findings at colonoscopy as the reference standard, we determined the sensitivity, specificity, and area under the receiving operating characteristic (ROC) curve of both mt-sDNA and FIT for any adenoma and for advanced lesions. Test characteristics then were compared between white and African American patients.

RESULTS

A total of 844 patients agreed to participate in the current study. We excluded 57 patients for the following reasons: failure to collect a stool sample prior to colonoscopy (6 patients), patient did not keep appointment for colonoscopy (44 patients), and poor preparation at colonoscopy without rescheduling (7 patients). For this analysis, we further excluded 27 patients with a self-identified race other than African American or white (26 patients) or who were of unknown race (1 patient), leaving 760 subjects available for analysis.

The mean age of the cohort was 56.7 ± 8.0 years; 60.2% were female and 495 were white (65.1%) and 265 were African American (34.9%). Compared with white patients, African American individuals were more often female, but there was no difference noted with regard to the mean age (Table 1). The average body mass index was higher in African American patients and African Americans had a greater prevalence of smoking. One or more adenomas were found in 103 African American patients (38.9%) and 168 white patients (33.9%) ($P=.36$) and advanced lesions, including 2 cancers, were detected in 18 African American patients (6.8%) and 33 white patients (6.7%) ($P=.12$). Among patients with adenomas, there was a somewhat greater mean number of adenomas noted among African Americans. The distribution of adenomas in the colon also was similar between the groups and the prevalence of sessile serrated adenomas was comparable (Table 1).

Test characteristics of mt-sDNA and FIT for the overall cohort, African American patients, and white patients are shown in Table 2. In general, mt-sDNA was more sensitive and less specific than FIT for all adenomas as well as advanced lesions. When stratified by race, the sensitivity, specificity, and ROC curve area for mt-sDNA were similar between African American and white patients (ROC curve area: $P=.42$ and $P=.48$, respectively, for advanced lesions and any

adenoma). Similarly, the test characteristics for FIT did not differ by racial group (ROC curve area for advanced lesions and any adenoma: $P=.74$ and $P=.98$, respectively).

There were a total of 40 patients with ≥ 1 SSPs in the current study (Table 1). The sensitivity of mt-sDNA and FIT were 28% and 2%, respectively, and the corresponding specificities were 94% and 98%, respectively. For those SSPs that measured ≥ 1 cm, the sensitivities were 42% and 13%, respectively, and the specificities were 92% and 98%, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Although colorectal cancer is one of the most common causes of cancer mortality in the United States, both the incidence and mortality can be reduced through the use of screening with the detection of early-stage cancer and the removal of precursor adenomas. African American individuals are more likely to be diagnosed with and to die of colorectal cancer than any other racial group.^{3,4,7,8} Moreover, since 1960, although the mortality rate for white individuals has declined by 39%, it has increased by 28% in African American individuals.⁸ The incidence of colorectal cancer among African Americans also remains 15% to 23% higher than in white individuals and other racial groups.⁷ Given the higher colorectal cancer incidence and mortality in African American individuals as well as a somewhat lower population-based uptake of colonoscopy, the feasibility of alternative screening methods is worthy of investigation. Because there may be differences in tumor-related and genetic factors in colorectal cancer affecting African American individuals, it is important to identify any differences in the performance characteristics of screening tests across racial groups. In the current prospective study, which was comprised of one-third African Americans, we found that mt-sDNA testing performed equally as well as in other ethnic groups for detecting advanced adenomas or any adenomas. These findings, coupled with a previous study that demonstrated comparable test acceptability in African American patients and others,⁹ suggest that mt-sDNA could be an effective approach to increasing colorectal cancer screening in African American individuals. In the previous study, the most commonly cited reasons for preferring mt-sDNA were the absence of bowel preparation, no loss of work, and the ease of the test.

Although to the best of our knowledge several studies to date have evaluated the ability of mt-sDNA to detect adenomas and advanced lesions, few have specifically examined its performance among specific racial or ethnic groups. In a prospective study of Alaska natives,¹⁰ a population with lower access to colonoscopy, the sensitivity and specificity of mt-sDNA for detecting advanced lesions were 49% and 91%, respectively, which both were similar to those of a large, multicenter study.⁵ Another recent study examined the uptake of colorectal cancer screening in Olmsted County, Minnesota,¹¹ where African American individuals comprise only 3.5% of the population. During a 12-month period, the use of both mt-sDNA and colonoscopy were lower in African Americans (6.3% and 1.5%, respectively) compared with the general population (8.1% and 3.6%, respectively). However, test characteristics were not compared between racial groups.

Although the current study included a modestly large sample of patients with a substantial percentage of African American individuals undergoing age-appropriate screening, we acknowledge some limitations. First, this was a single-center study in which patients were specifically recruited from a screening population. However, we have no a priori reason to question the generalizability of the findings to other centers and regions. Second, the mt-sDNA findings were reported as a single, qualitative positive or negative result, which is the reporting method in clinical practice. Thus, we were unable to ascertain whether the distribution of positive results for specific markers differed between racial groups. Third, both the American College of Gastroenterology⁴ and US Multisociety Task Force on Colorectal Cancer¹² guidelines recommend the initiation of screening in African American individuals at age 45 years. However, due to sample size, we did not explicitly evaluate the test performance of mt-sDNA in African American patients aged 45 to 50 years. Finally, although colonoscopy was used as the reference standard for the calculation of the sensitivity and specificity of mt-sDNA, we recognize that it is imperfect and associated with a miss rate for both small and advanced lesions.¹³ However, unless the adenoma detection rate at colonoscopy differed systematically between African American patients and others, the overall findings should not be biased. The absence of differences in lesion detection between white and African American patients also is less likely given the similar prevalence of serrated lesions and right-sided adenomas, both of which are potentially more difficult to visualize.

The results of the current study demonstrate similar test performance of mt-sDNA in African American individuals compared with members of other racial groups, suggesting its feasibility as a screening test in this traditionally underserved population.

FUNDING SUPPORT<zaq;5>

Supported by National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute-Case Gastrointestinal SPORE (grant P50CA150964; Principal Investigator: Sanford D. Markowitz; Co-Investigators: Gregory S. Cooper, Joseph E. Willis, Dean E. Brenner, and Li Li), the Case Comprehensive Cancer Center (grant P30CA43703; Gregory S. Cooper, Sanford D. Markowitz, Joseph E. Willis, and Li Li), the University of Michigan Gastrointestinal SPORE (grant P50CA130810; Missy Tuck and Dean E. Brenner), the University of Michigan Comprehensive Cancer Center (grant P30CA046592; Dean E. Brenner), the Early Detection Research Network (grants U01CA086400 [Dean E. Brenner], U01CA152756 [Sanford D. Markowitz], and U01CA181770 [Li Li]) and the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases-Cleveland Digestive Disease Research Core Center (grant P30DK097948; Gregory S. Cooper).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

Sanford D. Markowitz has received royalties from Exact Sciences Corporation and has a licensed patent to Exact Sciences for Yes on Methylated Vimentin DNA, with royalties paid to Exact Sciences. Joseph E. Willis is associated with Lucid Diagnostics, a newly formed Barrett esophagus diagnostics company. In addition, Dr. Willis has a patent for Methylated markers for esophageal neoplasia licensed to Lucid Diagnostics with royalties paid to Case Western Reserve University. Barry M. Berger is a full-time employee of Exact Sciences Corporation, the manufacturer of the stool DNA technology used in the current study, and holds equity in the company.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: **Gregory S. Cooper, Sanford D. Markowitz, Dean E. Brenner, and Li Li.** Formal analysis: **Gregory S. Cooper, Zhengyi Chen, and Li Li.** Funding acquisition: **Gregory S. Cooper, Sanford D. Markowitz, and Li Li.** Investigation: **Gregory S. Cooper, Sanford D.**

Markowitz, Zhengyi Chen, Missy Tuck, Joseph E. Willis, Barry M. Berger, Dean E. Brenner, and Li Li. Methodology: **Gregory S. Cooper, Sanford D. Markowitz, and Li Li.** Writing-original draft: **Gregory S. Cooper and Li Li.** Writing-review and editing: **Gregory S. Cooper, Sanford D. Markowitz, Joseph E. Willis, Barry M. Berger, Dean E. Brenner, and Li Li.**

REFERENCES

1. Smith RA, Andrews KS, Brooks D, et al. Cancer screening in the United States, 2017: a review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and current issues in cancer screening. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017;67:100-121.
2. Liss DT, Baker DW. Understanding current racial/ethnic disparities in colorectal cancer screening in the United States: the contribution of socioeconomic status and access to care. *Am J Prev Med.* 2014;46:228-236.
3. Carethers JM. Screening for colorectal cancer in African Americans: determinants and rationale for an earlier age to commence screening. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2015;60:711-721.
4. Agrawal A, Bhupinderjit A, Bhutani M, et al; Committee of Minority Affairs and Cultural Diversity, American College of Gastroenterology. Colorectal cancer in African Americans. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2005;100:515-523.
5. Imperiale TF, Ransohoff DF, Itzkowitz SH, et al. Multitarget stool DNA testing for colorectal-cancer screening. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370:1287-1297.
6. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147:573-577.
7. DeSantis C, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics for African Americans, 2013. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2013;63:151-166.
8. Soneji S, Iyer SS, Armstrong K, Asch DA. Racial disparities in stage-specific colorectal cancer mortality: 1960-2005. *Am J Public Health.* 2010;100:1912-1916.
9. Abola MV, Fennimore TF, Chen MM, Chen Z, Cooper G, Li L. Stool DNA versus colonoscopy based colorectal cancer screening: patient perceptions and preferences. *Fam Med Comm Health.* 2015;3:2-8.

10. Redwood DG, Asay ED, Blake ID, et al. Stool DNA testing for screening detection of colorectal neoplasia in Alaska native people. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2016;91:61-70.
11. Finney Rutten LJ, Jacobson RM, Wilson PM, et al. Early adoption of a multitarget stool DNA test for colorectal cancer screening. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92:726-733.
12. Rex DK, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: recommendations for physicians and patients from the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. *Gastroenterology.* 2017;153:307-323.
13. Van Rijn JC, Reitsma JB, Stoker J, Bossuyt PM, van Deventer SJ, Dekker E. Polyp miss rate determined by tandem colonoscopy: a systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2006;101:343-350.

African American individuals have a greater burden of colon cancer with some unique tumor mutations, and are less likely to undergo screening. In a prospective clinical study, the authors report that the test performance characteristics of multitarget stool DNA testing are similar between African American and white patients.

AQ1: AU: To improve searchability, please provide middle initials for all authors.

AQ2: AU: Please check that affiliations are correct as set for all authors. They had to be numbered in accordance with journal style.

AQ3: AU: Possible to provide specific department(s) at Case Comprehensive Cancer Center?

AQ4: AU: Please spell out “SSP” in both instances in this paragraph since it does not appear to be used elsewhere in text.

AQ5: AU: Please check all financial footnotes and author contributions carefully.

AQ6: AU: Ref. 9 correct as set? Unable to verify.



Author Manuscript

Performance of Multitarget Stool DNA Testing in African American Patients

Journal:	<i>Cancer</i>
Manuscript ID	CNCR-18-1127.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Jun-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Cooper, Gregory; University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology & Liver Disease; Case Comprehensive Cancer Center, ; Case Western Reserve University Markowitz, Sanford; University Hospitals Chen, Zhengyi; University Hospitals Tuck, Missy; University of Michigan Willis, Joseph; University Hospitals Berger, Barry; Exact Sciences Brenner, Dean; University of Michigan, Hematology and Oncology Li, Li; University Hospitals
Keywords:	colorectal neoplasms/diagnosis, Colon polyps/diagnosis, Colonoscopy, DNA/analysis, African Americans, Early Detection of Cancer

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 Performance of Multitarget Stool DNA Testing in African American Patients
4

5 Running Head: mt-sDNA in African Americans
6

7 Gregory S. Cooper*, MD, Sanford D. Markowitz, MD, PhD, Zhengyi Chen, PhD, Missy
8 Tuck, BS, Joseph E. Willis, MD, Barry M. Berger, MD, Dean E. Brenner, MD, Li Li*, MD,
9
10 PhD.
11
12

13
14 Division of Gastroenterology (GSC), Hematology-Oncology (SDM), Department of
15 Pathology (JEW) and Department of Family Medicine and Community Health (ZC, LL),
16
17 University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center; Case Comprehensive Cancer Center
18
19 (GSC, SDM, JEW, LL), Cleveland, Ohio; Department of Internal Medicine, University of
20
21 Michigan (MT, DEB), Ann Arbor, Michigan; Exact Sciences (BMB), Madison Wisconsin.
22
23

24
25 *Address all correspondence to:
26

27
28 Gregory S. Cooper, MD, Division of Gastroenterology, University Hospitals Cleveland
29
30 Medical Center, 11100 Euclid Avenue, Wearn 244, Cleveland, Ohio 44106-5066.
31

32
33 Phone: 216-844-5385, Fax: 216-983-0347, E-mail: Gregory.Cooper@Uhhospitals.org
34

35
36 OR

37
38 Li Li, MD, PhD, Center for Community Health Integration and Mary Ann Swetland
39
40 Center for Environmental Health, Case Western Reserve University, 11000 Cedar Ave.,
41
42 Suite 402, Cleveland, Ohio 44106-7136.
43

44
45 Phone: 261-368-5437; Fax: 216-368-4348; E-mail: lxl62@case.edu
46

47
48 Pages: Text: 16; Tables: 2

49
50 Conflict of interest: Barry M. Berger, MD is a full-time employee of Exact Sciences, Inc,
51
52 the manufacturer of the stool DNA technology used in this study. None of the other
53
54 authors have conflicts of interest.
55
56
57

1
2
3 Funding: National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute - Case Gastrointestinal
4 SPORE (P50CA150964; PI SDM, Co-I GSC, DEB, JEW, LL), the Case Comprehensive
5
6 Gastrointestinal SPORE (P50CA130810, MT, DEB), the University of Michigan
7
8 Comprehensive Cancer Center (P30CA43703, GSC, SDM, JEW, LL), University of Michigan
9
10 Gastrointestinal SPORE (P50CA130810, MT, DEB), the University of Michigan
11
12 Comprehensive Cancer Center (P30CA046592, DEB), the Early Detection Research
13
14 Network (U01CA086400 (DEB) and U01CA152756 (SDM)) and U01CA181770 (LL).
15
16 National Institutes of Health/National Institutes of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney
17
18 Diseases - Cleveland Digestive Disease Research Core Center (P30DK097948, GSC).
19
20 Author Contributions: Conceptualization (GSC, SDM, DEB, LL); Formal Analysis (GSC,
21
22 ZC, LL); Funding Acquisition (GSC, SDM, LL); Investigation (GSC, SDM, ZC, MT, JEW,
23
24 BMB, DEB, LL); Methodology (GSC, SDM, LL); Writing-Original Draft (GSC, LL);
25
26 Writing-Review and Editing (GSC, SDM, JEW, BMB, DEB, LL).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Precis
4

5 African Americans have a greater burden of colon cancer with some unique tumor
6
7 mutations, and are less likely to be screened. In a prospective clinical study, we found
8
9 that the test performance characteristics of multitarget stool DNA (mt-sDNA) testing
10
11 were similar between African American and white patients.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Background: Multitarget stool DNA (mt-sDNA) is an approved method for colon cancer screening that is especially relevant for patients who cannot undergo colonoscopy.

Although the test performance has been evaluated in a large clinical trial, it was limited to a predominantly white population. Given differences in the epidemiology and biology of colon cancer in African Americans, we sought to compare the performance of mt-sDNA between racial groups.

Methods: We prospectively identified patients aged 40 and older who were referred for colonoscopy at an academic medical center and two satellite facilities. Prior to the colonoscopy, we collected stool for mt-sDNA and fecal immunochemical testing (FIT). We compared the sensitivity, specificity and receiver operating curve (ROC) characteristics between African Americans and whites for the detection of advanced lesions or any adenoma.

Results: We included 760 patients of whom 34.9% were African American. The prevalence of any adenoma (38.9% African Americans, 33.9% white) and advanced lesions (6.8% and 6.7%, respectively) were similar between groups. The overall sensitivity of mt-sDNA for advanced lesions and any adenoma was 43% and 19%, respectively and specificities were 91% and 93%, respectively. In general, mt-sDNA was more sensitive and less specific than FIT. When stratified by race, for both mt-sDNA and FIT, the sensitivity, specificity and ROC curve area were similar between African Americans and whites.

Conclusions: Test performance characteristics of mt-sDNA were comparable in African American and white patients. Given the lower uptake of colonoscopy in African

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Americans, mt-sDNA may offer a promising screening alternative in this patient population.

Key Words: Colorectal Neoplasms/diagnosis; Colon Polyps/diagnosis; Colonoscopy; DNA/analysis; African Americans; Early Detection of Cancer

Author Manuscript

Introduction

Routine screening for colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps among adults ages 50 and older is recommended and ~60% of the target population is currently up to date with screening, primarily through the use of colonoscopy (1). However, screening rates are consistently smaller among individuals from lower socioeconomic strata, which is attributed in part to lack of a regular health care provider and health insurance (1). Studies have also reported lower colon cancer screening rates among African Americans, which may be in part attributed to socioeconomic status (2,3) as well as barriers such as fear of the diagnosis, mistrust of the healthcare system and lack of provider recommendation. In addition, there are important differences in the biology and clinical behavior of colon cancer in African Americans, with an earlier age at onset, greater proportion of right sided cancers and unique genetic mutations compared to whites (3,4).

Given the significant number of individuals who are not up to date with colonoscopy screening, alternative screening procedures have been developed. These include stool based tests such as fecal immunochemical testing (FIT), radiographic procedures such as CT colonography and other endoscopic procedures such as flexible sigmoidoscopy. Another noninvasive testing option is multi-target stool DNA testing (mt-sDNA), which includes a panel of methylation markers, oncogenes and FIT, reduced to a single patient result via an algorithm. Although mt-sDNA is primarily designed as a cancer screening test, it also detects a greater proportion of advanced, precancerous lesions than FIT alone. The largest colorectal cancer screening trial of mt-sDNA to date enrolled almost 10,000 participants, including 10.7% African

1
2
3 Americans, but did not stratify results by race or ethnicity (5). Thus, we performed a
4
5 prospective cohort study to evaluate and compare the test performance of the
6
7 commercially available mt-sDNA (Cologuard, Exact Sciences) in African Americans and
8
9 whites. Our goal was to determine if the sensitivity of mt-sDNA in African American was
10
11 comparable to that in white patients and thus, an appropriate screening test in this
12
13 traditionally underserved patient population.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Author Manuscript

Methods

The study was conducted from 2012 to 2015 at three sites in metropolitan Cleveland, Ohio – an urban, tertiary care academic medical center, an affiliated suburban community hospital and an affiliated suburban ambulatory surgery center. Methods and results are reported in accordance with the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines (6). Approval for the study was obtained from the University Hospitals Institutional Review Board. Patients aged 40-80 who were referred for colonoscopy by their healthcare providers were eligible for enrollment. Patients less than age 50 were largely referred because of a family history of colorectal cancer or nonspecific lower gastrointestinal symptoms such as constipation. Exclusion criteria were: a known history of any malignancy, a prior history of adenomatous polyps or serrated neoplasia in the colon, previous colon resection, prior colonoscopy within 5 years, overt gastrointestinal bleeding, a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease, or inability to provide informed consent or understand English. In addition, for this analysis, we excluded patients with race other than white or African American, or with unknown race.

Prior to undergoing colonoscopy and the bowel preparation, all patients collected stool for mt-sDNA that was processed according to standard protocol as well as a commercially available FIT (OC-FIT CHEK, Polymedco). A questionnaire was completed prior to colonoscopy and include health risk factors including height and weight and whether the patient ever used tobacco products. Patients then underwent standard colonoscopy with the endoscopist unaware of the mt-sDNA or FIT results but knew that the patient was enrolled in the study. All visible lesions were removed or if

1
2
3 not feasible, biopsied, and a positive test included findings of one or more adenomas,
4
5 sessile serrated adenomas or carcinomas. Advanced lesions were defined as an
6
7 adenoma measuring 1 cm or larger and/or containing high grade dysplasia or
8
9 adenocarcinoma. Adenoma location was divided into rectum/rectosigmoid, left colon
10
11 (sigmoid, descending, splenic flexure) and right colon (transverse, hepatic flexure,
12
13 ascending, cecum) based on the colonoscopy report. All colonoscopies were
14
15 performed by faculty endoscopists, all of whom met or exceeded established quality
16
17 metrics.
18
19

20
21 Using the findings at colonoscopy as the reference standard, we determined the
22
23 sensitivity, specificity and area under the receiving operator characteristic (ROC) curve
24
25 of both mt-sDNA and FIT for any adenoma and for advanced lesions. Test
26
27 characteristics were then compared between whites and African Americans.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results

A total of 844 patients agreed to participate in the study. We excluded 57 for the following reasons: failure to collect a stool sample prior to the colonoscopy (n=6), did not keep appointment for colonoscopy (n=44), and poor preparation at colonoscopy without rescheduling (n=7). For this analysis, we further excluded 27 patients with self-identified race other than African American or white (n=26) or unknown race (n=1), leaving 760 subjects available for analysis.

The mean age of the cohort was 56.7 ± 8.0 years, 60.2% were female, 495 were white (65.1%) and 265 were African American (34.9%). Compared to white patients, African Americans were more frequently female, but there was no difference in the mean age (Table 1). The average body mass index was higher in African Americans and African Americans had a greater prevalence of smoking. One or more adenomas were found in 103 (38.9%) African Americans and 168 (33.9%) whites ($p=0.36$) and advanced lesions, including two cancers, were detected in 18 African Americans (6.8%) and 33 whites (6.7%) ($p=0.12$). Among patients with adenomas, there were a somewhat greater mean number among African Americans. The distribution of adenomas in the colon was also similar between groups and the prevalence of sessile serrated adenomas was comparable (Table 1).

Test characteristics of mt-sDNA and FIT for the overall cohort, African Americans and whites are shown in Table 2. In general, mt-sDNA was more sensitive and less specific than FIT for all adenomas as well as advanced lesions. When stratified by race, for mt-sDNA, the sensitivity, specificity and ROC curve area were similar between African American and white patients (ROC curve area $p=0.42$ and $p=0.48$ for advanced

1
2
3 lesions and any adenoma, respectively). Similarly, the test characteristics for FIT did
4
5 not differ by racial group (ROC curve area for advanced lesions and any adenoma,
6
7 $p=0.74$ and $p=0.98$, respectively).
8
9

10 There were a total of 40 patients with one or more SSP's in the study (Table 1).
11
12 The sensitivity of mt-sDNA and FIT were 28% and 2%, respectively, and the
13
14 corresponding specificities were 94% and 98%, respectively. For SSP's that were 1 cm
15
16 or larger, the sensitivities were 42% and 13%, respectively, and specificities were 92%
17
18 and 98%, respectively.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common causes of cancer mortality in the United States, and through the use of screening with detection of early stage cancer and removal of precursor adenomas, both the incidence and mortality can be reduced. African Americans are more likely to be diagnosed with and to die of colorectal cancer than any other racial group (3,4,7,8). Moreover, since 1960, although the mortality rate for whites has declined by 39%, it increased by 28% in African Americans (8). The incidence of colorectal cancer also remains 15-23% higher than in whites and other racial groups (7). Given the higher colorectal cancer incidence and mortality in African Americans as well as a somewhat lower population-based uptake of colonoscopy, the feasibility of alternative screening methods is worthy of investigation. As there may be differences in tumor related and genetic differences in colorectal cancer affecting African Americans, it is important to identify any differences in the performance characteristics of screening tests across racial groups. In this prospective study which included one-third African Americans, we found that mt-sDNA testing performed equally as well as in other ethnic groups in detecting advanced adenomas or any adenomas. These findings, coupled with a previous study that demonstrated comparable test acceptability in African Americans and others (9), suggest that mt-sDNA could be an effective approach to increasing colorectal screening in African Americans. In the previous study, the most commonly cited reasons for mt-sDNA preference were absence of bowel preparation, no loss of work and the ease of the test.

Although several studies have evaluated the adenoma and advanced lesion detection of mt-sDNA, few have specifically examined its performance among specific

1
2
3 racial or ethnic groups. In a prospective study of Alaskan natives (10), a population with
4 lower access to colonoscopy, the sensitivity and specificity of mt-sDNA for detecting
5 advanced lesions were 49% and 91%, respectively, which were both similar to the
6 large, multicenter study (5). Another recent study examined uptake of colorectal
7 screening in Olmsted County, Minnesota (11), where African Americans comprise only
8 3.5% of the population. During a twelve month period, use of both mt-sDNA and
9 colonoscopy were lower in African Americans (6.3% and 1.5%, respectively) than in the
10 general population (8.1% and 3.6%, respectively). However, test characteristics were
11 not compared between racial groups.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Although our study included a modestly large sample of patients with a
25 substantial proportion of African Americans undergoing age-appropriate screening, we
26 acknowledge some limitations. First, this was a single center study where patients were
27 specifically recruited from a screening population. However, we have no a priori reason
28 to question the generalizability of the findings to other centers and regions. Second, the
29 mt-sDNA findings were reported as a single, qualitative positive or negative result,
30 which is the reporting method in clinical practice. Thus, we were unable to ascertain
31 whether the distribution of positive results for specific markers differed between racial
32 groups. Third, both the American College of Gastroenterology (4) and US Multisociety
33 Task Force on Colorectal Cancer (12) guidelines recommend initiation of screening in
34 African Americans at age 45. However, due to sample size, we did not explicitly
35 evaluate the test performance of mt-sDNA in African American patients aged 45-50.
36
37 Finally, although colonoscopy was used as the reference standard for calculation of
38 sensitivity and specificity of mt-sDNA, we recognize that it is imperfect and associated
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 with a miss rate for both small and advanced lesions (13). However, unless the
4
5 adenoma detection at colonoscopy differed systematically between African American
6
7 patients and others, the overall findings should not be biased. The absence of
8
9 differences in lesion detection between white and African American patients are also
10
11 less likely given the similar prevalence of serrated lesions and right sided adenomas,
12
13 both of which are potentially more difficult to visualize.
14
15

16
17 In summary, we found similar test performance of mt-sDNA in African Americans
18
19 compared to members of other racial groups, suggesting its feasibility as a screening
20
21 test in this traditionally underserved population.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Smith RA, Andrews KS, Brooks D, et al: Cancer screening in the United States, 2017: A review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and current issues in cancer screening. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2017; 67:100-21.
2. Liss DT, Baker DW: Understanding current racial/ethnic disparities in colorectal cancer screening in the United States. *Am J Prev Med* 2014; 46:228-36.
3. Carethers JM: Screening for colorectal cancer in African Americans: determinants and rationale for an earlier age to commence screening. *Dig Dis Sci* 2015; 60:711-21.
4. Agrawal A, Bhupinderjit A, Bhutani M, et al: Colorectal cancer in African Americans. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005; 100:515-23.
5. Imperiale TF, Ransohoff DF, Itzkowitz SH, et al: Multitarget stool DNA testing for colorectal-cancer screening. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370:1287-97.
6. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 147:573-7.
7. DeSantis C, Naishadham D, Jemal A: Cancer statistics for African Americans, 2013. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2013; 63:151-66.
8. Soneji S, Iyer SS, Armstrong K, Asch DA: Racial disparities in stage-specific colorectal cancer mortality: 1960-2005. *Am J Public Health* 2010; 100:1912-6.
9. Abola MV, Fennimore TF, Chen MM, Chen Z, Cooper G, Li L: Stool DNA versus colonoscopy based colorectal cancer screening: patient perceptions and preferences. *Fam Med Comm Health* 2015; 3:2-8.

- 1
2
3 10. Redwood DG, Asay ED, Blake ID, et al: Stool DNA testing for screening
4
5 detection of colorectal neoplasia in Alaska native people. Mayo Clin Proc 2016;
6
7 91:61-70.
8
9
10 11. Finney Rutten LJ, Jacobson RM, Wilson PM, et al: Early adoption of a multitarget
11
12 stool DNA test for colorectal cancer screening. Mayo Clin Proc 2017; 92:726-33.
13
14
15 12. Rex DK, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, et al: Colorectal cancer screening:
16
17 recommendations for physicians and patients from the U.S. Multi-Society Task
18
19 Force on Colorectal Cancer. Gastroenterology 2017; 153:307-23.
20
21
22 13. Van Rijn JC, Reitsma JB, Stoker J, Bossuyt PM, van Deventer SJ, Dekker E:
23
24 Polyp miss rate determined by tandem colonoscopy: a systematic review. Am J
25
26 Gastroenterol 2006; 101:343-50.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Table 1 – Clinical Characteristics of Study Participants

Characteristic	White (n=495)	African American (n=265)	P Value
Age (mean ± SD)	56.6 ± 8.1	57.2 ± 8.0	0.57
Female (N, %)	282 (57.0)	176 (66.4)	0.03
BMI (mean ± SD)	28.2 ± 6.0	32.2 ± 7.9	<0.0001
Current or Previous Smoker (N, %)	214 (43.2)	158 (59.6)	<0.001
Adenomas (N, %)	168 (33.9)	103 (38.9)	0.36
Adenomas per Patient (mean ± SD) *	1.7 ± 1.3	2.1 ± 1.6	0.07
Advanced Adenomas (N, %)	33 (6.7)	18 (6.8)	0.12
Distribution of Adenomas †			
Rectum/Rectosigmoid	18 (6.4)	26 (12.2)	0.49
Left Colon	81 (28.7)	72 (33.8)	0.28
Right Colon	183 (64.8)	115 (53.9)	0.20
Sessile Serrated Adenomas (N, %)	30 (6.1)	10 (3.8)	0.14

*Mean number of adenomas among patients with at least one adenoma.

†Distribution among all adenomas found.

Table 2 – Performance Characteristics of mt-sDNA and FIT According to Race

		Sensitivity		Specificity		ROC Curve Area		P Value	
		Advanced Lesions	Any Adenoma	Advanced Lesions	Any Adenoma	Advanced Lesions	Any Adenoma	Advanced Lesions	Any Adenoma
Overall	mt-sDNA	43%	19%	91%	93%	0.67	0.56		
Overall	FIT	32%	11%	97%	98%	0.64	0.54		
African American	mt-sDNA	50%	20%	92%	95%	0.71	0.57	0.42	0.48
White	mt-sDNA	39%	17%	91%	93%	0.65	0.55		
African American	FIT	35%	11%	97%	97%	0.66	0.54	0.74	0.98
White	FIT	33%	11%	97%	98%	0.64	0.54		