Article Type: Article

Genetic Variants Associated with Vincristine-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy in Two Populations of Children with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Lang Li PhD[1], Tammy Sajdyk PhD[2], Ellen M. L. Smith PhD, APRN[3], Chien Wei Chang PhD[2], Claire Li PhD[4], Richard H. Ho MD[5], Raymond Hutchinson MD, MS[3], Elizabeth Wells MD[6], Jodi L. Skiles MD, MS[2], Naomi Winick MD[7], Jamie L. Renbarger MD, MS[2].

Author Institute Affiliation:

[1]Ohio State Univ., Columbus, OH; [2]Indiana Univ. School of Med., Indianapolis, IN; [3]Univ.of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; [4]Merck, Upper Gwynedd, PA [5]Vanderbilt Univ., Nashville, TN; [6]Children's Children Res. Inst., Washington, DC, [7]University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

Corresponding Author:
Jamie L. Renbarger, M.D.
705 Riley Hospital Dr., RI4340
Indianapolis, IN 46208
Phone: 317.944.8784

Fax: 317.

Email: jarenbar@iu.edu

Author Manuscript

Abstract

Vincristine is one of the core chemotherapy agents used in the treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). However, one of the major toxicities resulting from vincristine exposure is vincristine-induced peripheral neuropathy (VIPN). When VIPN results in significant morbidity, the vincristine dose may need to be reduced, thus potentially decreasing the effectiveness of treatment. To date there are no robust biomarkers used clinically to determine which patients will be at risk for worse neuropathy. The current study included genome wide association analyses in two independent cohorts: Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) ALL trials and a multicenter study based at Indiana University in children with ALL. A meta-analysis of the cohorts identified two SNPS, rs1045644 and rs7963521, as being significantly (p-value threshold 0.05/4749 =1.05E-05) associated with neuropathy. Subsequently these SNPS may be effective biomarkers of VIPN in children with ALL.

INTRODUCTION

Leukemia is the most commonly diagnosed pediatric cancer (ages 0-19: 26% of pediatric cancers) with acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) being the most common subtype (75%)[1]. Due to improved multidrug treatment regimens developed over the past 40 years, the 5-year survival rate for pediatric ALL has increased from 57% to 90%[1]. Vincristine is one of the core chemotherapeutic agents used in the standard multidrug treatment plan[1]. However, due to vincristine exposure, approximately 78% of these children develop clinically significant neuropathy (Vincristine-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy: VIPN) [2]. The development of VIPN is characterized by progressive motor, sensory and autonomic nerve dysfunction[3-5] and may result in vincristine dose reductions during treatment which increase risk for an overall loss of therapeutic efficacy.

Vincristine is metabolized by the cytochrome P450 CYP3A family of phase I drug-metabolizing enzymes[6]. Previous work from our laboratory revealed that children with the active form of the *CYP3A5* (high CYP3A5 protein expresser genotype) had a 5-fold greater clearance of the drug and experienced less VIPN than the CYP3A5 low expressers. Furthermore, subjects in the CYP3A5 high expresser genotype group had lower neuropathy scores over the course of ALL treatment[6,7]. In addition, low expressers experienced more persistent neuropathy after completion of vincristine therapy[8]. Further studies have demonstrated it is specifically the *CYP3A5*1* allele which allows for expression of the active CYP3A5 enzyme. As such, in our prior study, subjects carrying at most one *CYP3A5*1* allele (CYP3A5 high expressers) are less likely to experience severe VIPN[9].

The development of VIPN not only jeopardizes therapy, but also may negatively affect the function and quality of life for children long after the completion of treatment[10-12]. Ness and colleagues evaluated a large cohort of adult survivors of pediatric cancer and found that exposure to vincristine during childhood was associated with a higher risk for motor impairment later in life[13]. Motor

impairment can lead to decreased physical activity, obesity, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease[14-17]. A recent study by Ou et al. (2017) found that pediatric survivors 5 – 10 years post ALL diagnosis and only receiving chemotherapy had significantly more hospitalizations after completion of ALL treatment than that of the general population[18]; thus suggesting the presence of late effect health burdens in cancer survivors.

Since the survival rate is so high and the long-term sequlae of vincristine exposure so prevalent in children with ALL, it is imperative that we elucidate the key factors underlying the vulnerability of some children to develop severe and/or persistent neurotoxicity. This is an important step toward not only maximizing efficacy but also minimizing toxicities in the treatment of pediatric ALL. In this study, we conducted a genome wide association analysis to investigate genetic biomarkers in two vincristine treated cohorts of children with preB ALL.

RESULTS

POG GWAS Analysis

Starting with 1696 patients from POG 9904 and 9905 studies, 1103 patients were classified as Caucasian based on the population stratification classification using the GWAS data. Genotype data quality control (QC) analysis required a minimum sample call rate of 95%, a minimum SNP call rate of 95%, a Hardy-Weinberg p value > 0.0001, and a minor allele frequency > 0.05. This QC analysis reduced the total number of SNPs to 587,014 among 1068 patients. The overlapping POG GWAS SNPs with the ADVANCE Trial GWAS SNPs further reduced the number of SNPs to 4,749. A consort plot (Figure 1) summarizes these data filtering steps. Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons with this number of SNPs requires a p value of 0.0000105 for genome wide significance (0.05/4749).

Among these 1068 patients, 4.8% of patients experienced NCI CTCAE grade 3 or higher neuropathy (See Table 1b). As gender was not associated with the time to onset of grade 3 or 4 neuropathy, the GWAS was analyzed in a univariate SNP association analysis. POG GWAS Manhattan plots (Figure 2a) revealed only one SNP, rs7792939, meeting the minimum genome wide association level for significance (p = 2.39E-06). Patients with one or more copies of the minor allele for this SNP incurred a hazard ratio of 2.83 for developing grade 3 or 4 neuropathy (95% CI (1.82, 4.39).

ADVANCE Trial GWAS Analysis

The ADVANCE trial had data from 99 eligible patients available at the time of this GWAS analysis. After population stratification classification, 70 Caucasian patients were selected for inclusion in this analysis. The QC analysis required a minimum sample call rate of 90%, a minimum SNP call rate of 90%, a Hardy-Weinberg p value > 0.001, and a minor allele frequency > 0.05. It reduced the number of SNPs to 26,825. The overlapping SNPs between the genotyping platforms utilized in the POG and ADVANCE cohorts further reduced the number of SNPs for analysis to 4,749. The consort plot (Figure 1) summarizes these data filtering steps.

Among these 70 patients, 46% were male and 54% were female (see Table 1); the average age was

8.2 years (SD = 4.7), which ranged from 1 to 19 years; the average Total Neuropathy Score-pediatric Vincristine (TNS-PV) 5-item neuropathy score during the first 12 months of vincristine treatment was 3.78 (SD = 2.61) and ranged from 0 to 11; the maximum 5-item neuropathy score during the first 12 months was 6.95 (SD = 4.11) and ranged from 0 to 20. Neither gender nor age was associated with the average or maximum neuropathy score. None of the 4,749 SNPs showed significance in predicting either the average or maximum neuropathy scores. In particular, the SNP, rs7792939, which showed significance in the POG trial data analysis, was not significant (p = 0.37) in the ADVANCE trial (Figure 2b).

Two integrated GWAS analyses were conducted to test and validate the SNPs in their associations with the neuropathy among the two study populations. The first one was a meta-analysis, in which the association test statistics from the two studies (POG trials and ADVANCE trial) were weighted by their standard errors, and summarized into one statistic. The meta-analysis was conducted based on the same genetic model (additive or dominance), and the same direction of SNP effects between two studies. In addition, the statistics of two different neuropathy scores (average and max) from the ADVANCE Trial were integrated with the statistics of the POG neuropathy phenotype separately. Using the same genome wide p-value threshold $0.05/4749 = 1.05E-05$, we identified two SNPs that reached the genome-wide significance level (Table 2). The meta-statistics comparing the average ADVANCE Trial neuropathy and POG neuropathy scores showed SNP rs1045644 had a dominance effect with a p-value = 2.36E-06. This SNP rs1045644 effect remains significant (p-value = 3.78E-06) after multivariate analyses of both SNPs, rs1045644 and rs7963521. Both additive and dominance genetic model meta-analyses evaluating maximum ADVANCE Trial neuropathy and POG neuropathy scores were statistically significant, p = 8.66E-06 and 8.65E-07, respectively. In particular, in the POG trials, rs1045644 subjects with one or two copies of the minor allele (G=0.4113: 1000 Genomes) had a protective effect against developing neuropathy. These subjects had a hazard ratio of 0.27 (exp(-1.28) = 0.27) for developing grade 3 or 4 neuropathy compared to subjects with two major alleles (95% CI 0.16, 0.50). In the ADVANCE Trial, patients with one or two copies of the minor allele for the same SNP rs1045644 had significantly lower maximum neuropathy scores than subjects with two major alleles (3.56 units smaller, 95% CI -5.45, -1.67).
The other SNP showing genome wide significance was rs7963521. In this case, only the additive meta-analysis for maximum neuropathy scores for both the ADVANCE Trial and the POG trials were statistically significant, p = 1.05E-05. A second copy of rs7963521 minor allele (c=0.3822: 1000

Genomes) led to increased risk of grade 3 or 4 neuropathy in both study populations (POG Trial: HR 2.23 (1.49, 3.35); ADVANCE Trial: HR 2.16 (0.53, 3.70). Taking two SNPs together, rs1045644 and rs7963521, into the multivariate analysis and meta-analysis, rs1045644 remains significant (p-value = 3.78E-06). In the ADVANCE trial, both SNPs can predict 26% of variation in the VIPN among patients.

In a second meta-analysis, top SNPs were first selected from the POG GWAS; and only the top SNPs were validated in the ADVANCE Trial. This testing and validation analysis was only conducted within the same genetic model. In selecting the top three SNPs from the POG Trial GWAS, only rs1045644 was validated in the ADVANCE trial, wherein the p-value was less than 0.05/3 = 0.017 (see Table 2). All the other testing and validation SNP selection strategies led to the same results.

DISCUSSION

Treatment for ALL pediatric patients includes vincristine as part of the standard multidrug plan[1]. However, due to vincristine exposure, approximately 78% of these children will develop VIPN[2]. Even though many of these children will recover from VIPN, there is a subgroup who will continue to experience long-term effects well into adulthood[19]. Furthermore, there are significant concerns that late effects or chronic effects of cancer treatment, such as irreversible neuropathy, may be associated with decreased physical activity, which may ultimately increase the risk of obesity in cancer survivors as well as a multitude of downstream negative health effects.[20] As such, developing therapeutic approaches that avoid neuropathy in children at highest risk for neuropathy, particularly those with lower risk cancers, is crucial to optimizing long term outcomes in survivors of childhood cancers. The best possible solution is to find a chemotherapy agent that avoids neuropathy; however, until one is developed and successfully incorporated into care for childhood ALL, it is critical that we elucidate the key factors underlying the vulnerability of some children to significant neuropathy. This may ultimately allow eliminating or decreasing vincristine dosing in children at highest risk for severe neuropathy, particularly in those with low risk disease.

The objective of the current study was to utilize the patients from our limited institution ADVANCE trial as a validation cohort for a larger POG population in a genome wide association study for vincristine-induced peripheral neuropathy. The results from the meta-analysis for the ADVANCE and POG trials

revealed two SNPs, which met genome wide significance for association with the maximum TNS in year 1, but only in the additive model. The first SNP was rs1045466, located on chromosome 14 and part of the coagulation factor C homology (COCH) gene. The second SNP was rs7963521, located on chromosome 12 and associated with the regulation of chemerin plasma levels[21]. Specifically, rs1045466 is part of the COCH gene which encodes the secreted protein cochlin[22] and is associated with progressive hearing loss and vestibular imbalance[23]. It appears that a disruption in this gene can lead to loss of cellularity and aggregation of acellular esosinophilic deposits in the cochlear and vestibular labyrinths. Also, there is aggregation of cochlin in the areas which normally express the protein[23]. These deficits can begin to appear at any time in adulthood: early or late[24].

Although similar to the characteristics of Meniere's Disease, the current literature shows that this gene does not appear to be involved in risk for developing Meniere's[25] and is a syndrome unto itself. In a large retrospective study of childhood cancer survivors, Oeffinger and colleagues found that survivors had a relative risk of 6.3 (3.3 – 11.8) compared to their healthy siblings for developing severe or disabling hearing loss which was not correctable with the use of an aid. Although, it is not clear how many of the cases were in individuals treated with vincristine, the demographics do show that 29.5% of the surveyed population had a diagnosis of leukemia[26]. Another large more recent study found similar results of hearing loss in survivors as compared to their peers[27]. Our findings regarding SNP rs1045466 and the role it plays in deafness provides us with a clear starting point to find a potential biomarker for predicting which survivors of childhood cancers may develop severe hearing loss. Furthermore, cochlin is involved in embryonic stem cell self-renewal via stimulation by Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP). More importantly, if overexpressed, cochlin can substitute for

BMP[28]. This is a key point as BMP plays critical roles throughout neural stem cell maturation and neurogenesis well into adulthood[29]. In a recent study by Heggeness and colleagues (2017), they demonstrated that direct injection of BMP into a mouse model of sciatic injury could induce marked proliferation of previously quiescent cells within peripheral nerves[30]. Given our findings which show SNP rs1045466 is associated with lower neuropathy scores in our subjects, we hypothesize that the cochlin protein is being overexpressed and facilitating recovery from the toxicity of vincristine. Mechanistic studies linking cochlin expression to VIPN should be considered.

The second SNP, rs7963521, is located on chromosome 12 and is associated with plasma/serum levels of Chemerin[21]. Chemerin is a versatile protein which acts on the chemokine like receptor 1, G protein-coupled receptor 1 and the C-C chemokine-like receptor2 [31,32]. Depending on how the protein is processed from its preprochemerin form, it can be involved in angiogenesis[33], adipogenesis[34], osteoblastogenesis[35] diabetes[36] or inflammatory processes[37-39]. It is clear that many of these processes, if disrupted from normal functioning, could lead to long-term or chronic disorders. Findings from the pediatric cancer survivor studies mentioned previously indicate that many survivors have long-term disorders associated with these physiologic pathways[26,27]. It is possible that, in the setting of chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy, the impact of variability in chemerin levels could lead to impaired myelin repair via the role chemerin plays in adipogenesis. Specifically, chemerin is involved with cell differentiation of preadipocytes into adipocytes[55]. Subsequently in the presence of growth factors, adipocytes can differentiate into Schwann cells which re-myelinate peripheral nerves[40]. Additionally, chemerin levels have been identified as an independent predictor for 5-year mortality in other types of cancers such as gastric cancer[41]. Thus, in addition to being a predictor of long-term sequelae of chemotherapy treatment, SNP rs7963521 may also be useful as a predictor of long-term survival for pediatric cancer patients.

Diouf et al. (2015) found that a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the CEP72 gene, which is involved in microtubule formation, is also associated with VIPN in children with ALL[42]. However, in our current study, this SNP was not associated with severe VIPN in either of our independent cohorts. Our findings are consistent with those of Gutuerrez-Camino et al[43], who also did not find an association with CEP72 in pediatric ALL patients. The difference in our results may be due to the use of the TNS-5-item TNS©-PV as the main measure of our neuropathy score as compared to the NCI CTCAE© V.4.0 scale. The TNS-5-item TNS©-PV is a more granular neuropathy assessment tool, and it is likely the subpopulation of patients with ALL we used for analysis was phenotypically different.

Overall, the GWAS results provide two biologically interesting SNPs that may be useful as biomarkers for prediction of severe VIPN in children with ALL. The next critical step will be to elucidate the functional consequences of these SNPs as well as to understand their potential relevance in predicting risk for irreversible VIPN in pediatric cancer survivors. This understanding will be important in optimizing long-term outcomes of survivors of childhood cancer as well as beginning to move toward individualized treatment strategies based on risk of disease and risk for significant neuropathy.

Limitations

The main limitation of this study is that neuropathy assessments varied in the POG and ADVANCE samples. The NCI CTCAE© v.2.0 was used as the primary tool for quantifying VIPN in the POG studies. Yet, the CTCAE© has been criticized as a neuropathy assessment measure due to concerns regarding limited inter-rater reliability and floor effects which result in most scores falling in the low range (CTCAE 1 or 2). Thus, although the CTCAE© can underestimate neuropathy severity, we attempted to compensate for this concern by using CTCAE© scores ≥ 3 as indicative of severe neuropathy. Secondly, CTCAE and 5-item TNS©-PV scores are not directly comparable because TNS-based scores arise from validated, standardized neurologic assessment procedures and scoring

criteria.[44,45] However, we justify our comparisons based on our prior work that demonstrates moderately strong correlations among TNS©-PV scores and CTCAE© sensory (r = 0.52) and motor scores (r = 0.48)[44]. Given these correlational data, and that the CTCAE was the only neuropathy measure available from the POG studies, use of data from these two different neuropathy measures is justified.

METHODS

Patients

Pediatric Oncology Group Studies 9904 and 9905

DNA samples were analyzed from patients enrolled in the Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) trials P9904 and P9905. A total of 1696 children with precursor B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia were included in the initial sample set. The purpose of POG 9904 and 9905 trials was to compare the efficacy of short and long infusion times of methotrexate with or without multidrug intensification. Patients received 18 to 23 doses of vincristine (1.5mg/m^2 per dose) depending on study arm over the

course of treatment along with other standard chemotherapy agents used in the treatment of childhood ALL. The complete treatment duration was 2.5 years from the date of diagnosis and patients remained on the study until completion, relapse or death. The data for these studies was frozen as of 9/30/2011. For the purposes of this neuropathy focused study, patients with the following conditions were excluded: Down's syndrome, Charcot Marie Tooth disease, baseline peripheral neuropathy, and history of liver disease with chronic elevation in serum liver transaminases and bilirubin to greater than 5-times the upper limit of normal based on normal values for age.

ADVANCE Trial

The ADVANCE Trial was a four-institution study with the goal to validate previous findings that children expressing the CYP3A5 genotype develop less severe VIPN as compared to non-

expressers, as well as, evaluating for other potential predictors of VIPN. In summary, children with newly diagnosed precursor B-cell ALL (*N*=99) were recruited from four academic medical centers: Indiana University School of Medicine/Riley Hospital for Children, the University of Michigan Comprehensive Cancer Center/Mott Children's Hospital, Vanderbilt University Medical Center/Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital, and George Washington University/Children's National Medical Center. Participants were between the ages of 1 and 18 at the time of diagnosis and received vincristine according to Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) treatment trials (including: POG studies 9904 and 9905). The standard vincristine dosage received was 1.5 mg/m^2 (capped at 2-mg maximum dose). Toxicity-based dose modifications were defined according to the specific POG protocol guiding the individual child's leukemia treatment. Patients were excluded if they had any of the following criteria: baseline peripheral neuropathy score greater than grade 1 per the NCI CTCAE© version 4.0; currently receiving erythropoietin, itraconazole, or vitamin supplement greater than 100% of the recommended daily allowance; Down's syndrome; pregnancy; or a history of coexisting serious illness that would limit neurological assessments. All procedures were reviewed by the Indiana University Internal Review Board and approved (protocol #1105005420).

Combined Meta analysis

Initially each group was analyzed separately utilizing their maximal neuropathy score during the first year – NCI CTCAE for the POG patients and TNS©-PV for the ADVANCE trial patients. The overlap in SNPs between the groups was examined for those that had the same minor and major allele. The p value from each group was combined using the equation below. This allowed for a comparison between the two groups regardless of neuropathy assessment tool utilized.

.

$$\text{Equation: } Z \sim \frac{n_1 \times est_1 + n_2 \times est_2}{\sqrt{n_1{}^2 \times se_1{}^2 + n_2{}^2 \times se_2{}^2}}.$$

Neuropathy Phenotype

<u>Pediatric Oncology Group Studies 9904 and 9905</u> As part of the required clinical trial adverse event monitoring, children enrolled on the POG trials were clinically assessed by physical examination for peripheral neuropathy by their treating oncologists throughout treatment at each clinic visit. Based on National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 2.0 (CTCAE v2.0), the vincristine induced neuropathy (VIPN) events are defined when patients experienced symptomatic neurotoxicity with neuropathy grade ≥ 3 in either motor or sensory neurons.

<u>ADVANCE Trial</u>

Vincristine induced neuropathy was assessed weekly for the first month of ALL treatment, followed by monthly for the remainder of the first year, followed by quarterly for the duration of ALL treatment using the Total Neuropathy Score (TNS©), NCI CTCAE© V.4.0, and the Modified "Balis" Pediatric Scale of Peripheral Neuropathy©[45]. We used a TNS© variant the TNS – Pediatric Vincristine (PV) - revised and validated by our team for use in children receiving vincristine (TNS©-PV)[44]. VIPN-

associated pain was measured using the Pediatric Neuropathic Pain Scale©–Five (PNPS©-5). The FACES© pain scale[46,47] was used to assist children to select a PNPS©-5 pain severity rating. If the child did not understand a question, parents/guardians estimated the pain scores based on observations of their children. Based on our previous findings of the five TNS items most associated with VIPN[44], a 5-item total neuropathy score (TNS©-PV) was used to summarize the VIPN in this genetic association data analysis. The 5-item score includes sensory symptoms (i.e., numbness, tingling, neuropathic pain), temperature sensibility, vibration sensibility, strength, and tendon reflexes. TNS and PNPS©-5 scores were assigned by trained evaluators. Neuropathy assessment training was completed as previously described[44]. NCI-CTCAE neuropathy scores were assigned by treating oncologists and advanced practice providers as part of clinical care. Medical caregivers and trained neuropathy evaluators were blinded to each other's neuropathy scores.

Sample Genotyping

Pediatric Oncology Group Studies 9904 and 9905

POG ALL Trial Genotyping

DNA (500ng) was digested with restriction enzymes, amplified, labeled, and hybridized to the Affymetric GeneChip Human Mapping 6 set for P9904 and 9905. The genotypic data used for analysis are in the Plink format, which includes genotype calls and on-calls for each SNP per sample.

ADVANCE Trial

DNA (750ng-1500ng) was amplified, fragmented, precipitated, and hybridized to the Human Exome Bead Chip at the Center for Inherited Disease Research at Johns Hopkins University. The genotypic data used for analysis are in the Plink format, which includes genotype calls and on-calls for each SNP per sample.

Genotype Quality Control Analysis

Quality control (QC) was performed to remove both samples and markers, which were unreliable using the criteria described in this section. Samples with >5% missing rate were excluded. Furthermore, SNPs with a study-wide missing data rate of >5% and/or evidence of Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium (p≤ 0.0001) were discarded. SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) of <0.05 were also removed from the analysis because previous studies have shown that these SNPs have little power to detect association and are more prone to genotypic errors resulting in false positive evidence of association (see figure 1). PLINK was utilized to manipulate the data by generating both per sample and per SNP metrics to assess the quality of the genotypic data[48]. Upon completion of the quality control assessment, the final patient sample number for analysis was 1068.

GWAS Analysis

A principal components approach was applied to correct for any population stratifications[49]. Using the first two principle components, the Caucasian patient samples were selected for GWAS analysis. In the POG trials, the association between genotype and the time to the first neuropathy event (neuropathy grade ≥ 3 in either motor or sensory neurons) was analyzed through the Cox proportional hazard regression model. In the ADVANCE Trial, the association between genotypes and neuropathy scores was analyzed through linear regression. Other clinical, demographic, and population stratification variables (i.e. genomic data derived race) were tested as covariates in the regression analyses. The genetic effect of any individual SNP was tested in dominant and additive (gene-dose) models. These analyses were performed in R coxph and lm packages[50]. Both univariate and multi-variate analyses were conducted. The corresponding p-value of each SNP was summarized across chromosomes in Manhattan and QQ plots. Genetic analysis was only conducted among SNPs included in genotyping platforms utilized in both the POG trials and the ADVANCE Trial. A meta-analysis was implemented between two sets of genetic association analysis results. In this meta-analysis, the directionality of the SNPs was first confirmed by the minor allele frequency. The summary statistics was a weighted mean of two regression coefficients by their corresponding standard errors, respectively. The Bonferroni correction was used to justify the genome wide significant p-values. Furthermore, in multivariate analysis of ADVANCE trial data, the R-square of the SNP combination predictive effect on VIPN is reported.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.R., L.L., T.S., E.S., C.C., and C.L. wrote the manuscript; J.R., E.S., and C.C. designed the research; J.R., E.S., R.H., R.H., E.W., and N.W. performed the research; L.L., C.C., C.L., and R.H. analyzed the data.

STUDY HIGHLIGHTS

What is the current knowledge on the topic?

There are limited published data on validated genomic predictors of chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy and none published with the comprehensive and validated phenotyping that was accomplished in this study.

What question did this study address?

What genetic mutations are associated with vincristine induced peripheral neuropathy in children with ALL.

What does this study add to our knowledge?

The results from this study provide two additional therapeutic targets for addressing neuropathy in children diagnosed with ALL and receiving vincristine.

How might this change clinical pharmacology or translational science?

Neuropathy has a significant impact on the health trajectory of the children with ALL and developing novel treatments to alleviate or eliminate this side effect would greatly increase the quality of life for these survivors. Furthermore, having the ability to predict children at highest risk for significant neuropathy could eventually provide an opportunity for balancing disease risk with risk for severe, irreversible adverse side effects to optimize outcomes in kids with curable cancers.

REFERENCES

1. Society AC: Cancer Facts and Figures, 2014

2. Lavoie Smith EM, Li L, Chiang C, et al: Patterns and severity of vincristine-induced peripheral neuropathy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Peripher Nerv Syst 20:37-46, 2015

3. Gidding CE, Meeuwsen-de Boer GJ, Koopmans P, et al: Vincristine pharmacokinetics after repetitive dosing in children. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 44:203-9, 1999

4. Toopchizadeh V, Barzegar M, Rezamand A, et al: Electrophysiological consequences of vincristine contained chemotherapy in children: A cohort study. J Pediatric Neurology 7:351-356, 2009

5. Gomber S, Dewan P, Chhonker D: Vincristine induced neurotoxicity in cancer patients. Indian J Pediatr 77:97-100, 2010

6. Dennison JB, Jones DR, Renbarger JL, et al: Effect of CYP3A5 expression on vincristine metabolism with human liver microsomes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 321:553-63, 2007

7. Dennison JB, Kulanthaivel P, Barbuch RJ, et al: Selective metabolism of vincristine in vitro by CYP3A5. Drug Metab Dispos 34:1317-27, 2006

8. Renbarger JL, McCammack KC, Rouse CE, et al: Effect of race on vincristine- associated neurotoxicity in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients. Pediatr Blood Cancer 50:769-71, 2008

9. Egbelakin A, Ferguson MJ, MacGill EA, et al: Increased risk of vincristine neurotoxicity associated with low CYP3A5 expression genotype in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer 56:361-7, 2011

10. Postma TJ, Benard BA, Huijgens PC, et al: Long-term effects of vincristine on the peripheral nervous system. J Neurooncol 15:23-7, 1993

11. Lehtinen SS, Huuskonen UE, Harila-Saari AH, et al: Motor nervous system impairment persists in long-term survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer 94:2466-73, 2002

12. Wright MJ, Halton JM, Martin RF, et al: Long-term gross motor performance following treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Med Pediatr Oncol 31:86-90, 1998

13. Ness KK, Jones KE, Smith WA, et al: Chemotherapy-related neuropathic symptoms and functional impairment in adult survivors of extracranial solid tumors of childhood: results from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 94:1451-7, 2013

14. Armstrong GT, Oeffinger KC, Chen Y, et al: Modifiable risk factors and major cardiac events among adult survivors of childhood cancer. J Clin Oncol 31:3673-80, 2013

15.   Barnea D, Raghunathan N, Friedman DN, et al: Obesity and Metabolic Disease After Childhood Cancer. Oncology (Williston Park) 29:849-55, 2015

16.   Cheung YT, Edelmann MN, Mulrooney DA, et al: Uric Acid and Neurocognitive Function in Survivors of Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Treated with Chemotherapy Only. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 25:1259-67, 2016

17.   Chow EJ, Pihoker C, Hunt K, et al: Obesity and hypertension among children after treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer 110:2313-20, 2007

18.   Ou JY, Smits-Seemann RR, Kaul S, et al: Risk of hospitalization among survivors of childhood and adolescent acute lymphoblastic leukemia compared to siblings and a general population sample. Cancer Epidemiol 49:216-224, 2017

19.   Khan RB, Hudson MM, Ledet DS, et al: Neurologic morbidity and quality of life in survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a prospective cross-sectional study. J Cancer Surviv 8:688-96, 2014

20.   Ness KK, Leisenring WM, Huang S, et al: Predictors of inactive lifestyle among adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer 115:1984- 94, 2009

21.   NHGRI-EBI: GWAS Catelog, Last updated September 25, 2016

22.   Gene N: COCH cochlin, Last updated September 4, 2016

23.   Robertson NG, Cremers CW, Huygen PL, et al: Cochlin immunostaining of inner ear pathologic deposits and proteomic analysis in DFNA9 deafness and vestibular dysfunction. Hum Mol Genet 15:1071-85, 2006

24.   Pauw RJ, Huygen PL, Colditz GM, et al: Phenotype analysis of an Australian DFNA9 family with the 1109N COCH mutation. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 120:414-21, 2011

25.   Usami S, Takahashi K, Yuge I, et al: Mutations in the COCH gene are a frequent cause of autosomal dominant progressive cochleo-vestibular dysfunction, but not of Meniere's disease. Eur J Hum Genet 11:744-8, 2003

26.   Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, et al: Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. N Engl J Med 355:1572-82, 2006

27.   Chao C, Xu L, Bell E, et al: Long-term Health Outcomes in Survivors of Childhood Cancer Diagnosed Between 1990 and 2000 in a Large US Integrated Health Care System. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 38:123-30, 2016

28.   Zhang J, Fei T, Li Z, et al: BMP induces cochlin expression to facilitate self-renewal and suppress neural differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. J Biol Chem 288:8053-60, 2013

29.   Bond AM, Bhalala OG, Kessler JA: The dynamic role of bone morphogenetic proteins in neural stem cell fate and maturation. Dev Neurobiol 72:1068-84, 2012

30. Heggeness MH, Strong N, Wooley PH, et al: Quiescent pluripotent stem cells reside within murine peripheral nerves that can be stimulated to proliferate by recombinant human bone morphogenic protein 2 or by nerve trauma. Spine J 17:252-259, 2017

31. Wittamer V, Franssen JD, Vulcano M, et al: Specific recruitment of antigen-presenting cells by chemerin, a novel processed ligand from human inflammatory fluids. J Exp Med 198:977-85, 2003

32. Zabel BA, Nakae S, Zuniga L, et al: Mast cell-expressed orphan receptor CCRL2 binds chemerin and is required for optimal induction of IgE-mediated passive cutaneous anaphylaxis. J Exp Med 205:2207-20, 2008

33. Bozaoglu K, Curran JE, Stocker CJ, et al: Chemerin, a novel adipokine in the regulation of angiogenesis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 95:2476-85, 2010

34. Roh SG, Song SH, Choi KC, et al: Chemerin--a new adipokine that modulates adipogenesis via its own receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 362:1013-8, 2007

35. Muruganandan S, Roman AA, Sinal CJ: Role of chemerin/CMKLR1 signaling in adipogenesis and osteoblastogenesis of bone marrow stem cells. J Bone Miner Res 25:222-34, 2010

36. Sell H, Eckel J: Chemotactic cytokines, obesity and type 2 diabetes: in vivo and in vitro evidence for a possible causal correlation? Proc Nutr Soc 68:378-84, 2009

37. Cash JL, Hart R, Russ A, et al: Synthetic chemerin-derived peptides suppress inflammation through ChemR23. J Exp Med 205:767-75, 2008

38. Zabel BA, Allen SJ, Kulig P, et al: Chemerin activation by serine proteases of the coagulation, fibrinolytic, and inflammatory cascades. J Biol Chem 280:34661-6, 2005

39. Zabel BA, Zuniga L, Ohyama T, et al: Chemoattractants, extracellular proteases, and the integrated host defense response. Exp Hematol 34:1021-32, 2006

40. Wakao S, Matsuse D, Dezawa M: Mesenchymal stem cells as a source of Schwann cells: their anticipated use in peripheral nerve regeneration. Cells Tissues Organs 200:31-41, 2014

41. Zhang J, Jin HC, Zhu AK, et al: Prognostic significance of plasma chemerin levels in patients with gastric cancer. Peptides 61:7-11, 2014

42. Diouf B, Crews KR, Lew G, et al: Association of an inherited genetic variant with vincristine-related peripheral neuropathy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. JAMA 313:815-23, 2015

43. Gutierrez-Camino A, Martin-Guerrero I, Lopez-Lopez E, et al: Lack of association of the CEP72 rs924607 TT genotype with vincristine-related peripheral neuropathy during the early phase of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia treatment in a Spanish population. Pharmacogenet Genomics 26:100-2, 2016

44. Lavoie Smith EM, Li L, Hutchinson RJ, et al: Measuring vincristine-induced peripheral neuropathy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Nurs 36:E49-60, 2013

45.   Smith EM, Beck SL, Cohen J: The total neuropathy score: a tool for measuring chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. Oncol Nurs Forum 35:96-102, 2008

46.   Bosenberg A, Thomas J, Lopez T, et al: Validation of a six-graded faces scale for evaluation of postoperative pain in children. Paediatr Anaesth 13:708-13, 2003

47.   Wong's Essentials of Pediatric Nursing (ed 7th). St. Louis, Elsevier Mosby, 2005 pp. 1307

48.   Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al: PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 81:559-75, 2007

49.   Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, et al: Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 38:904-9, 2006

50.   Therneau TM: R package "survival v2.37-7", 2014

Legends

Table 1. Demographic variable and neuropathy score for POG and ADVANCE Trial

Table 2. POG and ADVANCE Neuropathy P-Values

Figure 1. Patient and SNP selection flowchart for POG and ADVANCE Trial

Figure 2a. Manhattan plot and Quantile-Quantile plot for POG study.

Figure 2b. Manhattan plot and Quantile-Quantile plot for ADVANCE Trial

Table 1. Demographic variable and neuropathy score for POG and ADVANCE Trial

		Total Sample
ADVANCE Trial Sample (*N*)		63
POG Sample (*N*)		1068
Age – ADVANCE Trial - only	*Mean (SD)*	8.22 (4.68)
	Range	1-19
Gender		*n (%)*
ADVANCE Trial	Male	29 (46.0)
POG	Male	559 (52.3)
ADVANCE Trial	Female	34 (54.0)
POG	Female	509 (47.7)
ADVANCE Trial -only TNS 5-item score (12 month average)	*Mean (SD)*	3.78 (2.61)
	Range	0-11
ADVANCE Trial - only TNS 5-item score (12 month maximum)	*Mean (SD)*	6.95 (4.11)
	Range	0-20
		n (%)
POG – only CTC neuropathy event	NE = 3,4	51 (4.8)
	NE = 2,3,4	87 (8.1)

Table 2. POG and ADVANCE Neuropathy P-Values

		rs1045644				rs7963521	
Study	POG	ADVANCE	POG and ADVANCE Combined		POG	ADVANCE	POG and ADVANCE Combined
Sample Size (n)	1065	63			1046	63	
Minor Allele Frequency	0.38	0.33	---		0.41	0.43	---
Average in Year 1 (ADD)							
p-value	7.32E-05	2.15E-03	1.85E-05		1.02E-04	1.32E-02	2.56E-05
Effect Size	-1.02	-1.48			0.80	1.26	
95% CI	[-1.52,-0.51]	[-2.40,-0.56]			[0.4,1.21]	[0.27,2.25]	
Average in Year 1 (DOM)							
p-value	1.72E-05	3.33E-04	*2.36E-06		7.82E-03	2.69E-03	2.92E-03
Effect Size	-1.28	-2.28			1.03	2.133	
95% CI	[-1.86,-0.69]	[-3.48,-1.08]			[0.27,1.78]	[0.77,3.50]	
Maximum in Year 1 (ADD)							
p-value	7.32E-05	1.79E-03	*8.66E-06		1.02E-04	6.57E-03	*1.05E-05
Effect Size	-1.02	-2.36			0.80	2.161	
95% CI	[-1.52,-0.51]	[-3.80,-0.91]			[0.4,1.21]	[0.63,3.70]	
Maximum in Year 1 (DOM)							
p-value	1.72E-05	3.80E-04	*8.65E-07		7.82E-03	2.66E-03	1.69E-03
Effect Size	-1.28	-3.56			1.03	3.36	
95% CI	[-1.86,-0.69]	[-5.45,-1.67]			[0.27,1.78]	[1.21,5.50]	

The 5 item TNS©-PV score includes sensory symptoms, temperature sensibility, vibration sensibility, strength, and tendon reflexes. The POG and ADVANCE

Combined Score is the combined p-values from the COG and ADVANCE 5-item TNS©-PV score.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ADD, additive model; DOM, dominant model

* indicates sample statistically significant after meta analysis

Pediatric Oncology Group

ADVANCE Trial

P9904/P9905
N=1696

•Population
Stratification

Caucasian
N=1103

•Sample call
rate 95%

909,622 SNPS
N=1068

•SNP call rate 95%
•MAF>0.05
•HW p>0.0001

587,014 SNPS
N=1068

N=99

•Population
Stratification

Caucasian
N=70

•Sample call
rate 95%

247,451 SNPS
N=70

•SNP call rate 95%
•MAF>0.05
•HW p>0.0001
•With 5-items TNS score

26,841 SNPS
N=63

POG AT

4,749 SNPS

cpt_1324_f2.pdf