# Metal-Dependent Assembly of a Protein Nano-Cage

Ajitha S. Cristie-David<sup>1</sup> and E. Neil G. Marsh<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

\*Corresponding author, e-mail: <u>nmarsh@umich.edu</u>

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/pro.3676

# Abstract

Short, alpha-helical coiled coils provide a simple, modular method to direct the assembly of proteins into higher order structures. We previously demonstrated that by genetically fusing de novo-designed coiled coils of the appropriate oligomerization state to a natural trimeric protein we could direct the assembly of this protein into various geometrical cages. Here we have extended this approach by appending a coiled coil designed to trimerize in response to binding divalent transition metal ions and thereby achieve metal ion-dependent assembly of a tetrahedral protein cage. Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions were evaluated, with Ni<sup>2+</sup> proving the most effective at mediating protein assembly. Characterization of the assembled protein indicated that the metal ion-protein complex formed discrete globular structures of the diameter expected for a complex containing 12 copies of the protein monomer. Protein assembly could be reversed by removing metal ions with EDTA or under mildly acidic conditions.

Keywords: protein nano-cages; protein design; metalloproteins; coiled coils.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Protein-based nanoparticles, both natural and designed, have gained increasing attention as promising materials for a wide variety of applications in nanotechnology, nano-medicine and materials science.<sup>1–15</sup> The growing interest in protein-based materials has stimulated interest in designing new protein assemblies of various architectures that incorporate structural and functional properties that extend beyond those found in Nature. The assembly of protein building blocks into large-scale cage-like structures has been accomplished through different approaches; these include the design of new protein-protein interfaces,<sup>16–18</sup> the fusion of oligomeric protein domains<sup>19,20</sup> and by using *de novo* designed oligomeric coiled coils to connect together larger, natural proteins.<sup>21–24</sup>

Our laboratory has focused on this latter approach.<sup>21–26</sup> Using parallel coiled coils that form well-specified trimeric, tetrameric and pentameric helical bundles, we have assembled a natural trimeric protein into, respectively, tetrahedral,<sup>22</sup> octahedral<sup>21</sup> and icosahedral cage-like structures.<sup>23</sup> These protein cages were constructed by genetically fusing the coiled coil domain to the C-terminus of the natural protein through an oligo-glycine linker sequence. Efficient assembly of the protein cages was achieved by optimizing the oligo-glycine linker length. This approach provides a simple, symmetry-based route for assembling protein cages that does not require extensive computational modeling and protein engineering.

A further challenge in the design of self-assembling proteins is to control the assembly process. Natural multimeric proteins such as actin,<sup>27</sup> the vertebrate nuclear pore complex<sup>28</sup> and

some viral capsids<sup>29</sup> undergo environmentally responsive assembly and disassembly. Inspired by Nature, various strategies have been developed to control the assembly of extended proteinbased nanomaterials that form filaments,<sup>30,31</sup> 2D lattices<sup>32,33</sup> and 3D crystals.<sup>34–36</sup> Assembly has been controlled by, for example, the judicious use of protein-protein disulfide linkages,<sup>33,37</sup> the use of bi-functional small molecules<sup>38</sup> to connect together protein subunits and the design of 'split' metal ion-binding sites<sup>30,31,33,36,39,40</sup> that span two protein subunits. Natural protein cages such as ferritin have been re-engineered to undergo environmentally responsive assembly and disassembly; for example, a Cu(II)-responsive ferritin cage was designed by introducing His residues at the inter-subunit interface of ferritin,<sup>41</sup> whereas a pH-responsive ferritin cage was engineered by introducing a pH-responsive amphipathic helix into the inter-subunit interface.<sup>42</sup> Most recently, a protein cage designed to assemble in response to binding gold ions has been described.<sup>43</sup>

In this work we have extended our coiled coil-based strategy for assembling proteins to design a cage that assembles and disassembles in response to binding divalent transition metal ions. We utilized a metal-dependent coiled coil design (IZ-3adH)<sup>44</sup> that was previously shown to assemble into a homo-trimeric parallel coiled coil in the presence of divalent transition metal ions (Fig. 1) and genetically fused it to a trimeric protein to facilitate the metal-dependent assembly of this protein into a tetrahedral structure (Fig. 2). In the presence of divalent metal ions, this construct assembled into discrete-high M<sub>w</sub> particles consistent with the formation of a

tetrahedral protein cage. Protein assembly could be reversed either by the addition of metal chelators or by decreasing the pH.

# RESULTS

# Design of a Metal-dependent Protein Cage Design

To facilitate comparison with our previous studies we employed the same trimeric esterase (TriEst; PDB ID: 1ZOI) as the protein building block (PBB) that we previously used to assemble tetrahedral,<sup>22</sup> octahedral<sup>21</sup> and icosahedral<sup>23</sup> protein cages. To make the assembly of TriEst into a tetrahedral cage metal ion dependent, we selected a *de novo*-designed 4-heptad coiled coil<sup>44</sup> that was previously designed to oligomerize into a parallel trimeric 3-helix bundle in the presence of divalent transition metal ions. A metal-binding site was introduced into the coiled coil by incorporating His residues at *a* and *d* positions in the 3<sup>rd</sup> heptad repeat to provide a potentially 6-coordinate metal-binding site (Fig. 1). The peptide was shown to assemble into a trimeric coiled coil in the presence of divalent transition metals such as Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> and returned to an unstructured monomeric form upon removal of metals or when the pH was lowered beyond ~5.5, when the histidine residues are presumably protonated.

We genetically fused this metal-binding coiled coil to the C-terminus of TriEst through a flexible 8-residue linker sequence (GTGGGGGG) that had been optimized in the original tetrahedral protein cage design<sup>22</sup> [Fig. 2(A)]. Although previous designs used a His-tag to facilitate protein purification, in this case we were concerned that a nickel-binding tag could

interfere with metal binding. Therefore, a maltose binding protein domain was appended to the N-terminus of TriEst through a 17-residue spacer to facilitate purification. This fusion construct was named as Tet8-M, to signify that it was designed to form a **Tet**rahedral cage with an **8**-residue spacer sequence separating the PBB from the **M**etal-binding coiled coil [Fig. 2(A), 2(B), Table S1].

Tet8-M was over-expressed in *E. coli* and purified to homogeneity, as judged by SDS-PAGE, by MBP affinity column chromatography followed by size exclusion chromatography, as described in the Methods section. The addition of the MPB domain also resulted in a significantly higher yield of purified protein, ~400 mg/L of culture, compared to previous designs that employed a His-tag for purification. The purified protein was dialyzed overnight at 4°C in buffer containing 2 mM EDTA to remove any bound metal ions. SEC of Tet8-M on a superose 6 10/300 column showed Tet8-M to elute as a single symmetrical peak at 14.5 mL, which is consistent with the expected  $M_r = 227.4$  kDa for the trimeric form of the protein [Fig. 3(A)].

Our original intention was to remove the MBP domain from Tet8-M by incorporating a TEV protease cleavage site into the spacer sequence between the MBP and TriEst domains. However, this strategy proved unsuccessful because, for reasons that are unclear, digestion of Tet8-M with TEV protease proceeded extremely slowly, requiring ~2 weeks to approach completion. The TEV-cleaved protein did not form well-defined complexes in response to the addition of metal ions, possibly due to degradation during the prolonged cleavage reactions.

Therefore, the full-length Tet8-M protein was used in all the experiments. The addition of the large MBP domain, which is separated from the trimeric esterase domain by a 13-residue spacer, did not seem to interfere with the assembly process.

# **Equilibrating Tet8-M with Metal Ions**

The de novo designed metal-binding coiled coil (IZ-3adH) was originally reported to have low micromolar affinities for several divalent metal ions with relative affinities in the order  $Ni^{2+} > Cu^{2+} > Co^{2+} \approx Zn^{2+}$ . We therefore evaluated the potential of the Tet8-M construct to assemble into protein cages in the presence of these metal ions. Because Ni<sup>2+</sup> was reported to have the highest affinity for the peptide (K\_d = 5.0  $\pm$  0.3  $\mu M$ ), we first studied the binding of Ni^{2+} by Tet8-M. A solution of 20 µM Tet8-M was equilibrated with concentrations of NiCl<sub>2</sub> varying from 5 µM to 200 µM at 4°C with gentle stirring overnight. The samples were then analyzed by SEC on a superose 6 10/300 column equilibrated with Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing the same NiCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Two peaks were observed for all the samples, at 10.5 mL and 14.5 mL indicating that some of the protein was assembling into higher molecular weight species [Fig. 3(B), S1(A)]. Ni<sup>2+</sup> concentrations between 20 µM and 200 µM resulted in the largest fraction of Tet8-M being converted to high molecular weight species. Analysis of the peak areas indicated that ~65%-75% of the protein was assembled into high molecular weight species at these Ni<sup>2+</sup> concentrations [Fig. S1(B)]. The behavior of Tet8-M was studied at higher Ni<sup>2+</sup> concentrations of 1 mM and 2 mM, however this resulted in a decrease in the fraction (~30-40%) of protein assembling into high molecular weight species. Equilibrating Tet8-M with Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions

at room temperature or for longer periods of time (up to 2 weeks) did not make any significant difference to the size distribution of Tet8-M species.

We also examined the effectiveness of  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  ions to mediate the assembly of Tet8-M. 20 µM samples of Tet8-M were equilibrated with 20 µM, 80 µM and 200 µM concentrations of each metal ion and evaluated by SEC. Co<sup>2+</sup> ions were found to be similarly as effective as Ni<sup>2+</sup> in mediating the formation of high molecular weight species at all the metal ion concentrations examined [Fig. S2(A)]. However, whereas lower concentrations of Cu<sup>2+</sup> mediated formation of a protein complex of the expected size, incubation of Tet8-M with 200  $\mu$ M Cu<sup>2+</sup> resulted in the protein eluting at a volume of 12 mL, indicating a complex smaller than expected, in addition to unassembled protein that eluted at 14.5 mL. 4-coordinate complexes are also common for Cu<sup>2+</sup>, so the peak at elution volume 12 mL might result from Tet8-M forming dimers with  $Cu^{2+}$  ions [Fig. S2(B)]. In support of this possibility, Suzuki et al. previously observed dimer formation with IZ-3adH peptides at higher Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentrations, whereas at low Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentrations IZ-3adH formed trimers.<sup>44</sup> Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions proved only to be effective at assembling Tet8-M at the lowest concentrations used (20 µM). At higher concentrations, only a single peak at elution volume 14.5 mL corresponding to unassembled material was observed [Fig. S2(C)]. The reason for this is unclear, but we note that the pseudo-octahedral metal binding site provided by the trimeric peptide is not optimal for binding zinc because the preferred geometry is for zinc in proteins is tetrahedral.

# Characterization of Ni<sup>2+</sup>-mediated assembly of Tet8-M

As Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions appeared to be the most effective at causing the oligomerization of Tet8-M, subsequent characterization of the design focused on this metal. The high molecular weight oligomers formed by the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complex were purified by equilibrating the protein over night with 200 µM NiCl<sub>2</sub> and the oligomers separated from unassembled protein by SEC in the presence of NiCl<sub>2</sub>. When re-chromatographed in buffer containing NiCl<sub>2</sub>, the assembled protein eluted at the same elution volume in a symmetrical peak [Fig. 3(C)]. This suggested the assembled protein was fairly homogeneous and that, once formed, the assemblies were kinetically stable and did not re-equilibrate to form a mixture of assembled and unassembled species. In contrast, when the assembled species were re-chromatographed in buffer lacking divalent metal ions, the protein eluted as a mixture of assembled and unassembled forms [Fig. 3(D)]. This is consistent with the loss of Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions from the complex during chromatography. Complete disassembly of the complex could be achieved by subjecting the samples to SEC in Tris-HCl buffer containing 2 mM EDTA. This resulted in Tet8-M eluting as a single peak at elution volume ~14.5 mL, indicating complete dissociation of the complex into the original trimeric form [Fig. 3(E)]. Similar results were observed when the assembled protein complexes were re-chromatographed with buffer adjusted to pH 4.5, which again resulted in the protein eluting  $\sim 14.5$  mL [Fig. 3(F)].

Disassembly of the protein cages appeared to be reversible. Removing the EDTA by dialysis, followed by re-equilibrating the protein with Ni<sup>2+</sup>, resulted in most of the protein

reassembling [as judged by SEC; Fig. S3(A)] to give a distribution of assembled and unassembled species that was similar to the initially constituted material. Re-adjusting the pH of the acid-dissociated protein from pH 4.5 to pH 8.0 similarly resulted in the protein reassembling to give a distribution of assembled and unassembled species [Fig. S3(B)]. However, for the acid-dissociated cages, SEC of the reassembled material also indicated a small amount of aggregated protein, evident in the void volume, which might have resulted from denaturation of some of the TriEst domain at low pH [Fig. S3(B). In support of this, previous studies have demonstrated that TriEst has reduced activity and stability at acidic pH, and completely loses activity at pH 3.<sup>45,46</sup>

# **Characterization of Metal Dependent Assembly of Tet8-M**

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS). DLS is widely used to measure the hydrodynamic radius of particles and to assess the homogeneity of samples; therefore, we examined the effect of Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions on the particle size of Tet8-M. Scattering spectra were recorded for 20  $\mu$ M solutions of Tet8-M at pH 8.0 in Tris-HCl buffer in the absence of divalent metal ions and in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M NiCl<sub>2</sub>. In the absence of metal ions, the number-averaged hydrodynamic radius was 11.9 ± 1.0 nm, which is consistent with the modeled diameter of ~12 nm for the trimeric form of the protein (Fig. 4). In the presence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions the number-averaged hydrodynamic radius of Tet8-M increased to 23.8 ± 0.9 nm, which is in reasonable agreement with the calculated diameter of ~20 nm for a tetrahedral protein cage assembly (Fig. 4).

DLS spectra of Tet8-M were recorded after the Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions were removed from the assembled protein by chelation with EDTA or the pH reduced to 4.5 to dissociate the coiled coils (Fig. 4). The number-averaged hydrodynamic radius for the EDTA-treated sample was  $12.6 \pm 0.4$  nm and that for the low-pH sample, which showed some heterogeneity, was  $7.9 \pm 1.0$  nm. These results are consistent with the Tet8-M returning to a trimeric state, as indicated by the SEC experiments described above.

*Native-PAGE.* The assemblies formed by Tet8-M were further studied by native-PAGE, as this technique provides a sensitive method to assess the homogeneity of protein assemblies. The protein subunits of the Ni-Tet8-M complex were cross-linked using the lysine-selective cross-linking reagent bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate (BS3) prior to electrophoresis [Fig. 5(A), S4(A)]. This was necessary to prevent dissociation of the assembled material because the electrophoresis conditions stripped the Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions from the protein. Samples were analyzed on a 3-12% gradient polyacrylamide gel [Fig. 5(B), S4(B)]. Both the crosslinked Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complex and the non-crosslinked sample migrated as somewhat diffuse bands, but otherwise appeared fairly homogenous. The crosslinked Tet8-M sample migrated as a much larger species than the untreated Tet8-M sample, indicating Ni-mediated assembly of the protein [Fig. 5(B), 4S(B)]. Control experiments confirmed that treating Tet8-M with BS3 in the absence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions did not cause crosslinking into high molecular weight material [Fig. 5(B), 4S(B)].

*Electron Microscopy.* The particles formed by Tet8-M were visualized by negative-stain TEM. The trimeric form of the protein (fractions from elution volume 14.5 mL) appeared as small,

unassembled species by EM [Fig. 6(A)]. In preliminary experiments, we found that the Ni<sup>2+-</sup> Tet8-M complexes partly dissociated on the EM grids during sample preparation. This was not observed for our previous cage designs and might be due to interactions between the coiled coils domains and Cu ions on the surface of the TEM grid (Fig. S5). This problem was overcome by covalently crosslinking the assembled protein with BS3 reagent, as described above [Fig. 5(A), 4S(A)]. The crosslinked material appeared as discrete, homogenous particles [Fig. 6(C), 6(D)]. However, for most of the particles neither details of the symmetry nor the shape of the particles could be discerned in the TEM images, possibly because the peripheral MBP domain linked to TriEst masked the core structure. The diameter distribution of TEM images analyzed using Image J software gave an average diameter of ~18 nm for the particles [Fig. 6(E)].

*Catalytic Activity.* The esterase activities of the trimeric Tet8-M construct and the high molecular weight species assembled from Tet8-M in the presence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> were compared with the unmodified esterase, TriEst, from which Tet8-M is derived. With 2,4-dinitrophenyl acetate (2,4-DNPA) as a substrate, the turnover number for unmodified TriEst was  $14.2 \pm 2.3 \text{ min}^{-1}$  whereas the turnover number for trimeric Tet8-M was  $22.3 \pm 1.9 \text{ min}^{-1}$  and turnover number for the Ni- Tet8-M complex was  $15.5 \pm 1.7 \text{ min}^{-1}$ . These results confirmed that neither the assembly process nor fusion of the coiled coil with MBP domain significantly alters the functional properties of TriEst.

# DISCUSSION

There has been extensive interest in creating metal-dependent protein assemblies that may have uses as sensors or responsive biomaterials.<sup>33,34,36,39,40,43</sup> The experiments we describe here demonstrate the potential of using metal-binding coiled coils to mediate protein assembly, a concept that could be extended to other environmentally responsive coiled coil designs.

Characterization of the complex formed by Tet8-M with Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions using SEC, DLS, TEM and native-PAGE were all consistent with the trimeric protein building block assembling into the intended tetrameric structure. The hydrodynamic radius determined by DLS and the diameter determined by analysis of negative stain TEM images were in a good agreement with the diameter predicted from simple molecular modeling (~20 nm). For our previously designed protein cages it was possible to obtain structural information on the geometry of the cage from cryo-EM; however, in this case it was not possible to verify that Tet8-M adopts at tetrahedral structure using this technique. The MBP domain, which proved necessary to obtain sufficient yields of soluble protein, probably masks the core cage structure so that the symmetry of the assembled structures was not apparent in most of the particles imaged by negative stain TEM.

Although conceptually simple and easily accomplished, our approach also illustrates some of the problems inherent in constructing ligand-responsive protein assemblies. The Tet8-M construct assembled into higher order species with each of the divalent metal ions examined; however, despite varying the metal ion concentrations and other conditions it was not possible to achieve complete assembly of the trimeric building block. Even under the most favorable conditions only 65–75% of the protein assembled and, interestingly, higher metal ion concentrations inhibited assembly. Once assembled, the metal-protein complex appeared stable and could be readily purified from the unassembled material by SEC.

Either treating the assembled protein with chelating agents or lowering the pH of the buffer led to disassembly of the cages. The protein could then be reassembled by removing the chelating agent and incubating with metal ions or raising the pH, confirming that the assembly process is fully reversible. However, reassembly resulted in a similar ratio of assembled cages to unassembled protein being formed (as judged by SEC) as seen initially. One explanation is that metal ions may form coordination complexes within the same trimeric protein building block so that the coiled coil domains are not available to interact with other subunits and so become kinetically trapped in unproductive conformations. This observation points to the importance of optimizing the strength of the metal-protein interaction in addition to the coiled coil interaction to achieve efficient assembly. We note that the pseudo-octahedral metal binding site afforded by positioning histidine residues at 'a' and 'd' positions of the coiled coil heptad (Fig. 1) provides a fairly crude mimic of the metal binding sites found in natural metalloproteins. Indeed, we are not aware of any natural example of a "6-His" metal binding site. The metal binding sites of natural proteins nearly always comprise more than one type of side-chain and are fine-tuned by second sphere interactions with the primary coordination sphere.

In conclusion, we successfully constructed a metal-dependent protein cage by utilizing a symmetry-based design strategy together with coiled coil sequences that oligomerize in response to binding divalent transition metal ions. However, although reversible, the assembly process

was not highly efficient and depended critically on the metal ion concentrations used to mediate oligomerization, likely because some of the Tet8-M protein became trapped in nonproductive metal-protein complexes. These observations illustrate the need to optimize the strength of both the coiled coil interaction and the metal-binding interaction to achieve efficient assembly.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Construction of gene encoding Tet8-M**

A synthetic gene encoding the metal-binding coiled coil sequence together with a 5'sequence encoding an 8-Gly spacer sequence was commercially synthesized. This DNA sequence was genetically fused to the 3'-end of the gene encoding TriEst housed in the expression vector pET-28B using standard protocols. The MBP domain encoded by the plasmid pMAL-c5X was subsequently fused to the N-terminus of TriEst through a 17-residue spacer sequence (GGGGGGGGGGENLYFQGG) by standard protocols to give the final Tet8-M fusion protein. The complete sequence of the Tet8-M design is given in Table S1.

### **Protein expression and purification**

Protein was expressed using standard methods as previously described.<sup>21</sup> Briefly, the protein was expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells grown in 2xYT medium with 100 mg/L ampicillin at  $37^{\circ}$ C. At an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.8, the temperature was reduced to  $18^{\circ}$ C, and at an OD<sub>600</sub> of

1.0, protein expression was induced by addition of IPTG to 0.1 mM final concentration. Cells were grown for a further 18 h, harvested by centrifugation and stored at -80°C.

Protein was purified using maltose affinity column chromatography as previously described<sup>21,24</sup> and summarized here. All purification steps were performed on ice or at 4°C. ~4 g of cell pellet were thawed on ice for ~20 min, resuspended in buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, 100 mM ammonium acetate, 5% glycerol, 1 FAST protease inhibitor tablet (Sigma), and ~50 mg of chicken egg lysozyme (Sigma) and gently agitated for ~15-20 min. Cells were lysed by sonication (10 sec "ON", 30 sec "OFF" for 10 min) and the lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 40,000 g for 20 min. The supernatant was loaded on to a 5 mL MBP-trap column (GE Healthcare) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The column was washed with ~80 mL of the same buffer at a flow rate of 1 mL/min and then the protein eluted at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min with buffer containing 10 mM maltose, 50 mM HEPES, 100 mM ammonium acetate and 5% glycerol. Fractions containing the desired protein (approximately 10-15 mL) were combined and treated with 2  $\mu$ l of benzonase. The resulting solution was dialyzed against buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, 100 mM ammonium acetate, 2 mM EDTA and 10% glycerol approximately for 2 days at 4°C.

SEC was used as an additional purification step and to assess the molecular weights of the complexes formed by Tet8-M. SEC was performed on a superose-6 10/300 column equilibrated with Tris HCl SEC buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) at 4°C. 400  $\mu$ L injections of samples at concentrations of 2-3 mg/mL were chromatographed 0.3 mL/min.

Trimeric Tet8-M eluted at a volume 14.5 mL. Protein samples were pooled and stored in the same elution buffer at 4°C. If needed, the protein was concentrated using 100-kDa cut-off centrifugal ultra-filtration concentrator.

## **Evaluation of metal-dependent protein assembly**

 $200 \ \mu\text{L}$  samples of  $20 \ \mu\text{M}$  Tet8-M were equilibrated with various concentrations of NiCl<sub>2</sub>, CoCl<sub>2</sub>, CuCl<sub>2</sub> or ZnCl<sub>2</sub> to study the metal-dependent assembly of the protein. Samples were incubated o/n at 4°C with gentle agitation. Samples were analyzed on a superpose-6 10/300 column equilibrated with same Tris-HCl buffer containing the various metal ions at the same concentrations. To study the stability of assembled protein, the high molecular weight complexes eluting at 10.5 mL were re-chromatographed under same buffer conditions.

To further characterize the complexes formed by Tet8-M with Ni<sup>2+</sup>, the complex was prepared on a larger scale as follows: 400  $\mu$ l of 50-200  $\mu$ M protein was incubated with 200  $\mu$ M NiCl<sub>2</sub> o/n at 4°C with gentle agitation and purified by SEC using a superpose-6 10/300 column equilibrated with Tris HCl containing 200  $\mu$ M NiCl<sub>2</sub>. The fractions at elution volume 10.5 mL were pooled, concentrated and used for further characterization by the techniques described below.

### **Cross-linking with BS3 reagent**

Assembled cages were cross-linked using the lysine-specific reagent (bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate) (Thermo Fisher) as previously described<sup>22</sup> except that the Tris

HCl used for SEC was used for this experiment. These cross-linked cages were visualized by negative-stain TEM and also were used for characterization by (3-12 %) native-PAGE.

# Negative-stain TEM imaging

Negative-stain TEM was performed as previously described.<sup>22</sup> Protein samples were adjusted to a concentration 0.04 mg/mL and fixed on a Formvar/Carbon 400 Mesh Cu grid using conventional negative staining procedures. Imaging was performed using a JEOL 1500 electron microscope equipped with tungsten filament, XR401 high sensitivity sCMOS camera and operated at 90 kV.

# **Enzyme activity assays**

The esterase activity of the parent TriEst enzyme and the assembled and unassembled Tet8-M were assessed using 2,4 *di*-nitrophenyl acetate (2,4-DNPA) as the substrate and at 45°C as previously described<sup>21</sup> and in Tris HCl SEC buffer. Protein and 2,4-DNPA concentrations were 1  $\mu$ M and 1 mM respectively. Activity was monitored by following the increase in absorbance at 405 nm.

# Dynamic light scattering measurements

DLS was performed using DynaPro NanoStar ZS instrument as previously described.<sup>24</sup> Protein concentrations were adjusted to 20 µM. The samples were centrifuged at 25,000 g for 10 min to remove any suspended particles. Samples were analyzed in 10  $\mu$ L cuvettes at 25°C. The refractive index and absorption coefficient for the particles were set at 1.45 and 0.001, respectively. Runs were performed in triplicate and each run is an average of 10 scans.

This research was supported in part by a grant from the Army Research Office ARO W911NF-16-1-0147 to E.N.G.M. Electron microscopy was performed at the Microscope Imaging Laboratory (MIL) core facility at University of Michigan. Dynamic Light Scattering was performed at Biophysics Research Facility at University of Michigan.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# **Supplementary Information Available**

Complete protein sequence of the of Tet8-M fusion protein and supporting figures.

# REFERENCES

1. Aumiller WM, Uchida M, Douglas T (2018) Protein cage assembly across multiple length scales. Chem Soc Rev 47:3433–3469.

2. Ma Y, Nolte RJM, Cornelissen JJLM (2012) Virus-based nanocarriers for drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 64:811–825.

3. Schwarz B, Douglas T (2015) Development of virus-like particles for diagnostic and prophylactic biomedical applications: Development of virus-like particles. Wires Nanomed Nanobi 7:722–735.

4. Shirbaghaee Z, Bolhassani A (2016) Different applications of virus-like particles in biology and medicine: Vaccination and delivery systems: Different applications of virus-like particles. Biopolymers 105:113–132.

Lua LHL, Connors NK, Sainsbury F, Chuan YP, Wibowo N, Middelberg APJ (2014)
Bioengineering virus-like particles as vaccines: Virus-like particles as vaccines. Biotechnol
Bioeng 111:425–440.

6. Allen M, Willits D, Mosolf J, Young M, Douglas T (2002) Protein cage constrained synthesis of ferrimagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. Adv Mater 14:1562–1565.

7. Bhaskar S, Lim S (2017) Engineering protein nanocages as carriers for biomedical applications. NPG Asia Mater 9:e371–e371.

8. de la Escosura A, Nolte RJM, Cornelissen JJLM (2009) Viruses and protein cages as nanocontainers and nanoreactors. J Mater Chem 19:2274.

9. Yildiz I, Shukla S, Steinmetz NF (2011) Applications of viral nanoparticles in medicine. Curr Opin Biotechnol 22:901–908.

 Comellas-Aragonès M, de la Escosura A, Dirks A (Ton) J, van der Ham A, Fusté-Cuñé A, Cornelissen JJLM, Nolte RJM (2009) Controlled integration of polymers into viral capsids. Biomacromolecules 10:3141–3147.

11. Kramer RM, Li C, Carter DC, Stone MO, Naik RR (2004) Engineered protein cages for nanomaterial synthesis. J Am Chem Soc 126:13282–13286.

12. Uchida M, McCoy K, Fukuto M, Yang L, Yoshimura H, Miettinen HM, LaFrance B, Patterson DP, Schwarz B, Karty JA, Prevelige PE Jr, Lee B, Douglas T (2018) Modular self-assembly of protein cage lattices for multistep catalysis. ACS Nano 12:942–953.

13. Edwardson TGW, Mori T, Hilvert D (2018) Rational engineering of a designed protein cage for siRNA delivery. J Am Chem Soc 140:10439–10442.

14. Bhattacharya P, Du D, Lin Y (2014) Bioinspired nanoscale materials for biomedical and energy applications. J Royal Soc Interface 11:20131067–20131067.

15. Tetter S, Hilvert D (2017) Enzyme encapsulation by a ferritin cage. Angew Chem Intl Ed 56:14933–14936.

16. King NP, Sheffler W, Sawaya MR, Vollmar BS, Sumida JP, Andre I, Gonen T, Yeates TO,Baker D (2012) Computational design of self-assembling protein nanomaterials with atomiclevel accuracy. Science 336:1171–1174.

17. Hsia Y, Bale JB, Gonen S, Shi D, Sheffler W, Fong KK, Nattermann U, Xu C, Huang P-S, Ravichandran R, Yi S, Davis TN, Gonen T, King NP, Baker D (2016) Design of a hyperstable 60-subunit protein icosahedron. Nature 535:136–139.

18. Bale JB, Gonen S, Liu Y, Sheffler W, Ellis D, Thomas C, Cascio D, Yeates TO, Gonen T, King NP, Baker D (2016) Accurate design of megadalton-scale two-component icosahedral protein complexes. Science 353:389–394.

19. Padilla JE, Colovos C, Yeates TO (2001) Nanohedra: Using symmetry to design self assembling protein cages, layers, crystals, and filaments. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:2217–2221.

20. Lai Y-T, Reading E, Hura GL, Tsai K-L, Laganowsky A, Asturias FJ, Tainer JA, Robinson CV, Yeates TO (2014) Structure of a designed protein cage that self-assembles into a highly porous cube. Nat Chem 6:1065–1071.

21. Sciore A, Su M, Koldewey P, Eschweiler JD, Diffley KA, Linhares BM, Ruotolo BT, Bardwell JCA, Skiniotis G, Marsh ENG (2016) Flexible, symmetry-directed approach to assembling protein cages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:8681–8686.

22. Badieyan S, Sciore A, Eschweiler JD, Koldewey P, Cristie-David AS, Ruotolo BT, Bardwell JCA, Su M, Marsh ENG (2017) Symmetry-directed self-assembly of a tetrahedral protein cage mediated by de novo-designed coiled coils. ChemBioChem 18:1888-1892.

23. Cristie-David AS, Chen J, Nowak DB, Bondy AL, Sun K, Park SI, Banaszak Holl MM, Su M, Marsh ENG (2019) Coiled-coil-mediated assembly of an icosahedral protein cage with extremely high thermal and chemical stability. J Am Chem Soc 141:9207-9216.

24. Cristie-David AS, Koldewey P, Meinen BA, Bardwell JCA, Marsh ENG (2018) Elaborating a coiled coil-assembled octahedral protein cage with additional protein domains: A multi-domain coiled coil-assembled protein cage. Protein Sci 27:1883-1890.

25. Patterson DP, Su M, Franzmann TM, Sciore A, Skiniotis G, Marsh ENG (2014)Characterization of a highly flexible self-assembling protein system designed to form nanocages:Highly flexible self-assembling protein system. Protein Sci 23:190–199.

26. Patterson DP, Desai AM, Holl MMB, Marsh ENG (2011) Evaluation of a symmetry-based strategy for assembling protein complexes. RSC Adv 1:1004.

# 27. Dominguez R, Holmes KC (2011) Actin structure and function. Annu Rev Biophys 40:169– 186.

28. Otsuka S, Ellenberg J (2018) Mechanisms of nuclear pore complex assembly - two different ways of building one molecular machine. FEBS Lett 592:475–488.

29. Shoemaker GK, van Duijn E, Crawford SE, Uetrecht C, Baclayon M, Roos WH, Wuite GJL, Estes MK, Prasad BVV, Heck AJR (2010) Norwalk virus assembly and stability monitored by mass spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics 9:1742–1751.

30. Zhang W, Luo Q, Miao L, Hou C, Bai Y, Dong Z, Xu J, Liu J (2012) Self-assembly of glutathione S-transferase into nanowires. Nanoscale 4:5847.

31. Scotter AJ, Guo M, Tomczak MM, Daley ME, Campbell RL, Oko RJ, Bateman DA, Chakrabartty A, Sykes BD, Davies PL (2007) Metal ion-dependent, reversible, protein filament formation by a designed beta-roll polypeptide. BMC Struct Biol 7:63.

32. Ringler P, Schulz G (2003) Self-assembly of proteins into designed networks. Science 302:106–109.

33. Suzuki Y, Cardone G, Restrepo D, Zavattieri PD, Baker TS, Tezcan FA (2016) Selfassembly of coherently dynamic, auxetic, two-dimensional protein crystals. Nature 533:369–373.

34. Bailey JB, Zhang L, Chiong JA, Ahn S, Tezcan FA (2017) Synthetic modularity of protein– metal–organic frameworks. J Am Chem Soc 139:8160–8166.

35. Liljeström V, Mikkilä J, Kostiainen MA (2014) Self-assembly and modular functionalization of three-dimensional crystals from oppositely charged proteins. Nature Commun 5:445.

36. Sontz PA, Bailey JB, Ahn S, Tezcan FA (2015) A metal organic framework with spherical protein nodes: Rational chemical design of 3D protein crystals. J Am Chem Soc 137:11598–11601.

37. Ballister ER, Lai AH, Zuckermann RN, Cheng Y, Mougous JD (2008) In vitro self-assembly of tailorable nanotubes from a simple protein building block. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:3733–3738.

38. Moll D, Huber C, Schlegel B, Pum D, Sleytr UB, Sára M (2002) S-layer-streptavidin fusion proteins as template for nanopatterned molecular arrays. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:14646–14651.

39. Schurke P, Freeman JC, Dabrowski MJ, Atkins WM (1999) Metal-dependent self-assembly of protein tubes from *Escherichia coli* glutamine synthetase: Cu<sup>2+</sup> EPR studies of the ligation and stoichiometry of intermolecular metal binding sites. J Biol Chem 274:27963–27968.

40. Bailey JB, Subramanian RH, Churchfield LA, Tezcan (2016) FA metal-directed design of supramolecular protein assemblies. Methods Enzymol 580:223–250.

41. Huard DJE, Kane KM, Tezcan FA (2013) Re-engineering protein interfaces yields copperinducible ferritin cage assembly. Nat Chem Biol 9:169–176.

42. Choi S-H, Choi K, Chan Kwon I, Ahn HJ (2010) The incorporation of GALA peptide into a protein cage for an acid-inducible molecular switch. Biomaterials 31:5191–5198.

43. Malay AD, Miyazaki N, Biela A, Chakraborti S, Majsterkiewicz K, Stupka I, Kaplan CS, Kowalczyk A, Piette BMAG, Hochberg GKA, Wu D, Wrobel TP, Fineberg A, Kushwah MS, Kelemen M, Vavpetič P, Pelicon P, Kukura P, Benesch JLP, Iwasaki K, Heddle JG (2019) An ultra-stable gold-coordinated protein cage displaying reversible assembly. Nature 569:438–442. 44. Suzuki K, Hiroaki H, Kohda D, Nakamura H, Tanaka T (1998) Metal ion induced selfassembly of a designed peptide into a triple-stranded  $\alpha$ -helical bundle: A novel metal binding site in the hydrophobic core. J Am Chem Soc 120:13008–13015.

45. Ozaki E, Sakimae A (1997) Purification and characterization of recombinant esterase from Pseudomonas putida MR-2068 and its application to the optical resolution of dimethyl methylsuccinate. J Ferment Bioengineer 83:535–539.

46. Shaw S-Y, Chen Y-J, Ou J-J, Ho L (2006) Enzymatic resolution of methyl dl- $\beta$  - acetylthioisobutyrate and dl- $\beta$  -acetylthioisobutyramide using a stereoselective esterase from Pseudomonas putida IFO12996. J Mol Catal B-Enzym 38:163–170.

# **FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1.** Metal dependent IZ-3adH coiled coil motif. **A:** N-terminus view of helical wheel representation of the 3<sup>rd</sup> heptad (*top*) and the peptide sequence (*bottom*) of IZ-3ad. His residues at the 3<sup>rd</sup> "a" and "d" positions form a metal binding site with potential six-coordinate geometry B: A cartoon illustrating the metal-dependent trimerization of IZ-3adH mediated through metal ligation by histidine residues.

**Figure 2.** Outline of the strategy used to construct the metal-dependent protein cage. **A:** Domain structure of the Tet8-M fusion protein. **B:** Cartoon illustrating the metal-induced assembly of Tet8-M.

**Figure 3.** Size exclusion chromatography of Tet8-M under various conditions. **A:** Chromatography of Tet8-M trimers after purification. **B:** Chromatography of Tet8-M, 20  $\mu$ M, after equilibration with 80  $\mu$ M Ni<sup>2+</sup>. **C:** Re-chromatography of the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complex with 20  $\mu$ M Ni<sup>2+</sup> added to the buffer. **D:** Re-chromatography of the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complex in the absence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> in the buffer. **E:** Re-chromatography of the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complex in the presence of 2 mM EDTA. **F:** Re-chromatography of the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complex at pH 4.5 in buffer containing Ni<sup>2+</sup>.

**Figure 3.** Hydrodynamic diameter of complexes formed by Tet8-M analyzed by DLS. Dark blue trace: Tet8-M in the absence of metal ions; red trace: Tet8-M in the presence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions;

green trace  $Ni^{2+}$ -Tet8-M complexes after treatment with EDTA; black trace  $Ni^{2+}$ -Tet8-M complexes after reducing the pH to 4.5.

**Figure 5.** Electrophoretic analysis of Tet8-M **A:** SDS-PAGE of Tet8-M: *lane 1* molecular weight markers; *lane 2* Tet8-M, non-crosslinked and in the absence of Ni<sup>2+</sup>; *lane 3* Tet8-M crosslinked in the presence of Ni<sup>2+</sup>; *lane 4* Tet8-M crosslinked in the absence of Ni<sup>2+</sup>. **B:** Native PAGE of Tet8-M: *lane 1* Tet8-M assembled in the presence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> and crosslinked; *lane 2* Tet8-M assembled in the presence of Ni<sup>2+</sup> without crosslinking; *lane 3* Tet8-M, non-crosslinked and in the absence of Ni<sup>2+</sup>; *lane 4* Tet8-M crosslinking; *lane 3* Tet8-M, non-crosslinked and in the absence of Ni<sup>2+</sup>; *lane 4* Tet8-M crosslinked in the absence of Ni<sup>2+</sup>. Positions of native PAGE molecular weight markers are indicated.

**Figure 6.** Negative stain transmission electron microscopy of Tet8-M complexes. **A:** Purified, unassembled Tet8-M. **B:** Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complexes imaged without crosslinking show a background of dissembled trimers. **C, D:** SEC purified and cross-linked Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M complexes images at 2 magnifications. **E:** Size distribution analysis of cross-linked Ni<sup>2+</sup>-Tet8-M particles imaged by negative stain TEM.



vuthor Manuscri

----



-----











34 This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.



# PRO\_3676\_Final Fig 1.tiff

# \_ Author Manuscrip

Α



# PRO\_3676\_Final Fig 2.tiff

\_ Author Manuscrip



PRO\_3676\_Final Fig 3.tiff



PRO\_3676\_Final Fig 4.tiff

# -Author Manuscrip







PRO\_3676\_Final Fig 6.tiff