Environmental Toxicology

Laboratory and Field-Based Assessment of the Effects of Sediment Capping Materials on Zinc Flux, Bioavailability, and Toxicity

Eduardo Cimino Cervi,[a] Kesiree Thiamkeelakul,[a] Michelle Hudson,[a] Alison Rentschler,[a] Sara Nedrich,[a] Steven S. Brown,[b] and G. Allen Burton Jr.[a,*]

[a]School for Environment and Sustainability, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA
[b]Environmental Remediation and Restoration, Dow, Midland, Michigan, USA

Abstract: A former mining site has been the subject of extensive remediation and restoration, with a significant focus on disconnecting mine spoils from groundwater and managing the quantity and quality of runoff. A remaining task is ensuring concentrations of zinc (Zn) in the stream outflow of a pit lake are reduced below water quality standards. The efficacy of multiple capping materials for decreasing Zn dissolution from sediments was conducted under natural and reasonable worst-case conditions (pH = 5.5). Capping materials included AquaBlok™, limestone, and limestone–bone char. Field exposures were conducted in limnocorrals that isolated overlying water columns above the sediment and capping treatments. Simultaneous in situ and ex situ toxicity tests were conducted using *Daphnia magna*, *Hyalella azteca*, and *Chironomus dilutus*. In situ caged organisms were protected from temperature shock (warm epilimnetic waters) by deploying within a Toxicity Assessment Container System (TACS). Organisms were exposed to surficial sediments, caps, and hypolimnetic overlying waters for 4 d. Ex situ testing was conducted in core tube mesocosms containing sediments and caps at similar temperatures (15–19 °C). Results demonstrated the usefulness of TACS deployment in stratified lake systems. There were no differences in responses between treatments involving sediment capping materials in both in situ and ex situ tests. The lack of differences was likely due to dissolved Zn in surface water being below the hardness-adjusted threshold effects levels (164 µg L^{-1}). This field- and laboratory-based weight-of-evidence study provided site-specific data to support the selection of an effective remedy, with reduced uncertainty compared to laboratory and chemistry-only approaches. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 2020;39:240–249. © 2019 SETAC

Keywords: Sediment remediation; Sediment restoration; Capping effectiveness; In situ remediation; Zinc aquatic toxicity

INTRODUCTION

Bedded contaminated sediments are recognized as sinks for toxic and bioaccumulative substances (US Environmental Protection Agency 2013) and can be reservoirs for chemicals that may be transferred to benthic organisms (Burton 1992). Current remediation options for contaminated sediments include no action, monitored natural recovery, institutional controls (i.e., land-use restrictions), in situ and ex situ treatment, and removal (dredging and disposal; Libralato et al. 2018). Remedial decisions for contaminated sediments should be made based on ecological and human health risks (US Environmental Protection Agency 2002) and, as discussed in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and National Contingency Plan, with consideration to cost-effectiveness (US Environmental Protection Agency 2005). Although the aforementioned approaches will continue to be an integral part of sediment cleanup remedies, new remediation technologies are needed to supplement or provide alternatives to existing methods (Patmont et al. 2014). Capping is one of the most commonly used alternatives for in situ remediation of contaminated sediments. This involves leaving the contaminated sediment in place and covering it to isolate it from overlying waters and benthic organisms, thus reducing pollutant bioavailability and the potential for resuspension into the water column (US Environmental Protection Agency 2013). Capping is distinguished from in situ treatment (i.e., with activated

carbon), which reduces contaminant bioavailability (and risk) through sorptive or other chemical processes.

Demonstrating risk reduction that is convincing to stakeholders using isolation capping approaches has been somewhat challenging (National Research Council 2007; Bridges et al. 2010). Although capping has shown promise in bench-scale studies, there is a need for more field-based pilot studies that incorporate multiple lines of evidence, coupled laboratory and field experiments, and the use of test organisms to increase confidence that exposure pathways have been eliminated (Ghosh et al. 2011).

A former mining site near Hot Springs, Arkansas, USA, has undergone extensive reclamation over several years, with significant focus on preventing mine spoils from leaching into groundwater and managing the quantity and quality of runoff. Although site improvements were indeed highly successful, a remaining task was to evaluate remedial options to ensure that concentrations of Zn in a 16-acre pit lake, which drains into a stream, were reduced below water quality standards at the point of discharge. Prior to the experiments described in the present study, Zn concentrations in pit lake sediments were 143 to 417 mg kg^{-1} and dissolved Zn concentrations in hypolimnetic water ranged from 4.85 to 160 µg L^{-1} (CH2M 2016). Initial laboratory experiments were conducted, and geochemical models were developed to evaluate potential benefits of various capping materials on Zn flux. In the present study, we report results from field-based pilot studies and in situ and ex situ toxicity tests that were completed to directly assess the effectiveness of capping materials for reducing Zn flux, bioavailability, and toxicity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design

The study design consisted of 3 experiments, with the first 2 taking place in the field and the third taking place in the laboratory (Table 1). The first experiment involved in situ toxicity testing to evaluate the effectiveness of capping treatments at reducing bioavailability of Zn in pit lake sediments under ambient lake conditions where the pH of hypolimnetic waters was approximately 7.0. Specifically, in situ acute toxicity tests were conducted using benthic (*Hyalella azteca* and *Chironomus dilutus*) and pelagic (*Daphnia magna*) macroinvertebrates, and associated water quality parameters were measured.

The second experiment employed in situ testing to assess the effects of changes in overlying water pH on Zn flux from the sediments under reasonable worst-case conditions where hypolimnetic waters were maintained at pH 5.5. Simultaneous with in situ testing, ex situ acute and short-term chronic toxicity tests were conducted in a field-based laboratory located close to the study site. Ex situ testing included 2 assays designed to assess Zn toxicity in water-only exposures and a third test to evaluate organism (*D. magna* and *H. azteca*) response to a pH-adjusted water and sediment exposure using sediment cores collected from the pit lake.

The third experiment complemented field investigations with a detailed 28-d laboratory study examining the efficacy of various sediment capping treatments at decreasing Zn bioavailability. Sediment cores and waters collected from the pit lake were shipped back to the University of Michigan, and 7-d short-term chronic laboratory toxicity tests were done with *D. magna* and *H. azteca*.

Sediment capping treatment plots

An initial series of laboratory studies was conducted to establish alternatives for sediment capping with different pH buffering, Zn-adsorptive media. This involved a series of bench-scale physical and settling studies (jar tests), isotherm analyses, geochemical modeling, and a literature review (unpublished data). Based on preliminary results, 3 sediment capping treatment plots (AquaBlok™, limestone, and limestone–bone char mix) were installed in the pit lake using a truck-mounted telescopic belt conveyor. A fourth test plot remained untreated as a noncapped reference (i.e., native pit lake sediments). The surface area of each cover plot was approximately 75–95 m^2 (~0.3% of the total water surface area), with each plot positioned in water depths of approximately 12 to 18 m below the surface (Supplemental Data, Figure S1).

Field-based ambient lake conditions

Limnocorrals Curry Industries™ (2016) were secured on top of each of the sediment capping treatments plots (AquaBlok, limestone, limestone–bone char, and noncapped sediment). Each limnocorral was suspended from a floating collar attached to a cylindrical curtain (90 cm i.d.) made of clear high-density polyethylene that extended 12–18 m to the bottom of the pit lake.

Within the limnocorrals, in situ acute toxicity tests were conducted to evaluate the effects of sediment cap materials on Zn flux and bioavailability (Table 1). In situ tests were conducted using *D. magna* (4 d old), *H. azteca* (8 d old), and *C. dilutus* (second larval instar), which were shipped from Aquatic Biosystems® (Fort Collins, CO, USA). Test organisms were slowly

TABLE 1: Field-based exposures under ambient lake and reasonable worst-case conditions, and laboratory microcosms toxicity testing

Experiment	Name	Organisms	Description
Field-based ambient lake (AL) conditions (pH = 7.0)	AL$_1$	*D. magna* *H. azteca*	48-h in situ exposure
	AL$_2$	*D. magna* *H. azteca*	96-h in situ exposure
	AL$_3$	*D. magna* *C. dilutus*	48-h in situ exposure 48-h ex situ water exposure
Field-based reasonable worst-case (RWC) scenario (pH = 5.5)	RWC$_1$	*D. magna* *H. azteca* *C. dilutus*	48-h in situ exposure 48-h ex situ sediment exposure
	RWC$_2$	*D. magna* *C. dilutus*	48-h in situ exposure 48-h ex situ water exposure
Laboratory toxicity testing	Microcosms	*D. magna* *H. azteca*	7-d microcosm exposure

FIGURE 1: Diagram and photographs showing (**A**) the Toxicity Assessment Container System (TACS) deployed within the limnocorrals, (**B**) TACS, (**C**) open TACS with bottom grate depicted, (**D**) open TACS with exposure cages, and (**E**) larger exposure cage (240 mL) used in field studies (left) and smaller chamber (40 mL volume) used for ex situ field and laboratory studies (right), with a ruler to scale.

acclimated overnight to site water at hypolimnetic temperatures. On the morning of deployment, 10 individuals of each species were added to exposure cages in triplicate, as described in Burton et al. (2005). A chiller was used to ensure test organisms were maintained at site temperatures throughout the deployment process.

Triplicate cages were secured to a plastic covered rack to allow for exposures to surficial sediments through a nylon mesh (pore size of 250 nm), and triplicate cages were also placed on top of the rack for exposure to near-bottom waters only. The rack of cages was then placed into a Toxicity Assessment Container System (TACS; Figure 1). The TACS was fabricated from aluminum, with the bottom covered with grated stainless steel to allow depositional sediment to contact the organism cages. The TACS was deployed inverted to allow the acclimated cold deployment water to safely pass through the warm epilimnion, thereby preventing temperature shock to the organisms. Once it passed into the hypolimnion, the TACS was inverted to allow for sediment contact (Supplemental Data, Figure S2).

Field-based reasonable worst-case scenario

Reasonable worst-case conditions were created to match lowest pH conditions (pH 5.5) observed during late summer in the hypolimnion. To simulate reasonable worst-case conditions, a 30% hydrochloric acid solution was used for acidification with 10% sodium hydroxide solution to buffer any pH overshoot. Acid was pumped into the bottom of each limnocorral through tygon tubing attached to a peristaltic pump, and mixing was facilitated by deploying a second tube with an air stone attached to an air compressor. The pH and dissolved oxygen of hypolimnetic water in limnocorrals were monitored approximately 0.25 m above the bottom, and acid addition/mixing was considered complete after pH was stablized at 0.25–1 m above the sediment surface.

Water samples were collected within the limnocorral by using tygon tubing (1/8" i.d.) secured at 3 depths: surface (8 cm), mid-depth, and near bottom (~30 cm above lake

bottom). Water samples were also collected external to the limnocorral for comparison.

Field-based ex situ testing

The ex situ water-only exposures (experiments AL$_3$ and RWC$_2$) were conducted using near-bottom water (~30 cm above lake bottom) collected from each limnocorral using a Van Dorn sampler. Water was placed into a container and transported to the field laboratory for ex situ toxicity tests. Within the container, 10 individuals of *D. magna* (4 d old), *H. azteca* (8 d old), and *C. dilutus* (second larval instar) were added to exposure cages in triplicate. A chiller was used to ensure test organisms were acclimated to in situ hypolimnetic temperatures (Supplemental Data, Figure S3).

Organisms from water-only exposures were retrieved following the in situ TACS procedures to determine survival. Surviving *H. azteca* were placed into 100 mL of 50 µM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to depurate overnight, then subsequently dried and placed into centrifuge tubes for tissue Zn residue analysis. Two 10-mL water samples were collected from each exposure container using prerinsed syringes and analyzed for dissolved and particulate Zn.

For experiment RWC$_1$, ex situ toxicity tests were conducted in sediment-water microcosms. Microcosms were acrylic core tubes (5 cm in diameter × 90 cm long) collected in triplicate from each test plot outside of and adjacent to the respective limnocorrals. Surface sediments were collected, capped, and maintained in a vertical position to minimize resuspension, then transported to the field laboratory for ex situ toxicity tests. Ex situ tests were initiated within 24 h of core collection.

Microcosms were placed into a container with water chilled to hypolimnetic temperature and the overlying water was pH-adjusted as it was for in situ tests. Again, the acid addition process was considered complete when the pH was stabilized at approximately 5.5. At this point, 10 *H. azteca* caged in small exposure cages (see Figure 1E) and 10 noncaged *D. magna* and 10 *C. dilutus* were added to each of the cores. After 48 h, organisms in each core were retrieved in polypropylene trays and counted to determine survival. Surviving *D. magna* and

H. azteca were placed into small plastic cups with approximately 200 mL of culture water for an additional 1-wk post-exposure short-term chronic toxicity study.

The *D. magna* and *H. azteca* were fed twice during the 1-wk post-exposure period, with *D. magna* fed as under normal culture conditions to promote reproduction. One week after the ex situ study, test organisms from the short-term chronic test were collected and counted to determine survival and reproduction (*D. magna* neonates). *H. azteca* were placed into 100 mL of 50 µM EDTA solution with Tetramin® to depurate overnight, then subsequently dried and placed into centrifuge tubes for tissue Zn residue analysis.

Laboratory microcosm testing

Laboratory investigations involved a series of 7-d short-term chronic toxicity tests and water chemistry characterizations conducted over 4 consecutive weeks in sediment-water microcosms (Figure 2). Surface sediments were collected from the northern end of the pit lake, away from the sediment capping pilot plots. These sediments were added to each of 15 microcosms (acrylic core tubes 5 cm in diameter × 50 cm long). The core tubes were cut at the sediment line (no overlying water) with a pipe cutter, capped, and secured with duct tape to minimize vertical gradient alterations. All cores were tightly packed into a cooler for overnight shipment to the University of Michigan laboratory. Cores were placed at 4 °C on receipt.

In the laboratory, each core tube was cut so that there was approximately 35 cm of sediment in each microcosm. Then, the bottom was capped and secured with electrical tape, and the microcosm was placed upright in a plastic holder. Approximately 200 mL of overlying pit lake water was added immediately to minimize surficial sediment oxygenation. Resuspended sediment particles were allowed to settle, and approximately 10 cm of each capping material was added to the cores. In addition to AquaBlok and limestone, which were used in the pit lake

sediment capping field pilot study, apatite and zeolite were also tested as potential capping materials, and the limestone–bone char material was not used in the laboratory studies (i.e., 4 treatments + a noncapped control). Apatite is composed of mined phosphate rock with a characteristically high cation exchange capacity and has the capability to preferentially adsorb select metals (Singh et al. 2001; Cao et al. 2004). Zeolites are crystalline, hydrated aluminosilicates of alkali and alkaline earth elements (Jacobs and Forstner 1999).

Within each microcosm, Rhizon® samplers were inserted at 3 locations: within the capping layer (~1.5 cm below the cap-water interface), at the interface of the capping layer and pit lake sediment (mixing layer), and within the pit lake sediment layer (~1.5 cm below the cap-pit lake sediment interface). Rhizon samplers allow for the collection of overlying water and sediment porewater, drawing it through a 0.22-µm built-in filter and immediately into a BD vacutainer®. For the noncapped (i.e., control) microcosms, Rhizons were inserted at 1, 2, and 3 cm below the sediment surface (Supplemental Data, Figure S4).

Surface water samples were collected from microcosms using prerinsed syringes and analyzed for dissolved and particulate Zn. All surface water samples collected for dissolved Zn analysis were syringe-filtered with a 0.45-µm Isopore™ polycarbonate membrane filter (EMD Millipore Corporation, Burlington, MA, USA). After all water samples were collected (at days 1, 4, and 6), the remaining surface water in each core was siphoned until approximately 3 cm above the sediment or capping layer. Fresh pit lake water was carefully added back into each microcosm. All water samples were acidified with 30% trace metal grade nitric acid (Fisher Scientific®) and analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy using US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) method 6010B for Zn.

Dissolved oxygen, temperature, and pH were measured in surface and porewater 3 times a week prior to overlying water exchanges. Sediment/porewater and overlying water toxicity were assessed with *H. azteca* (10 individuals, 8 d old) and


FIGURE 2: Laboratory microcosms were used to conduct 7-d short-term chronic toxicity tests of 2 species over 4 consecutive weeks. AVS-SEM = acid volatile sulfide-simultaneously extracted metals.

D. magna (10 individuals, 4 d old), respectively. *Hyalella azteca* were caged in small exposure cages and noncaged *D. magna* were added to the overlying water of each of the microcosms. *Daphnia magna* were fed Sel-Cero 3 times during the exposure. At the end of the 7-d exposure period, *D. magna* were assessed for survival.

Sediment/porewater toxicity tests with the amphipod *H. azteca* were conducted to assess possible effects on survival and growth, and to determine Zn body concentration (Borgmann and Norwood 1995). Exposure cages were placed vertically with a 250 nm mesh opening approximately 0.5 cm deep into sediment. This method exposes *H. azteca* to surficial sediments while enabling organism recovery. *Hyalella azteca* were not fed to promote sediment grazing. After the 7-d exposure period, organisms were counted, depurated, weighed, and digested.

A 24-h depuration in 50 mM EDTA solution adequately removed undigested gut material so metal content reflected true tissue concentrations (Neumann et al. 1999). Organisms were desiccated for several days and weighed for growth. Ten sets of representative groups (8–10 organisms each) were collected from stock cultures to estimate initial mass. Individual growth rate (IGR) was calculated according to Nedrich and Burton (2017) as follows:

$$IGR = \frac{\left[\frac{\sum (mass_{org})_{final}}{n_{org}} - \frac{\sum (mass_{org})_{initial}}{n_{org}} \right]}{time}$$

where mass is in µg, n is the number of organisms per replicate, and time is days.

Body tissue was digested with trace metal grade HNO_3 and measured on an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer for Zn (Norwood et al. 2006). Final body concentration (BC_{Zn}) was calculated by subtracting sediment-exposed organism tissue concentrations by culture water exposed control organisms (i.e., BC_{SED} e BC_{CNTL}).

Quality assurance/quality control

Analytical data quality was guaranteed through the implementation of laboratory quality assurance/quality control protocols, including the use of standard curves, reagent blanks, percent recoveries, and analysis of triplicates. All reagents used were analytical grade (certified purity >99.9%). All plastic and glassware used during the experiments were new or soaked in 12% (v/v) hydrochloric acid for at least 24 h followed by 2 rinses with deionized water (prepared using a Milli-Q 18 mΩ cm).

To confirm the viability of organisms used in the laboratory toxicity tests, laboratory controls were set up and maintained for the duration of each test. Low-metal reference sediment was collected from River Raisin in Manchester, Michigan, USA, and used as a sediment control for toxicity tests. Controls consisted of 3 sets of 10 *D. magna* and 3 sets of *10 H. azteca* in 200 mL of pit lake water, plus 3 sets of 10 *D. magna* and 10 *H. azteca* in 200 mL of ion-enriched water. *Daphnia magna* controls were fed Sel-Cero at the same intervals as the test organisms. *Hyalella azteca* were not fed, but instead provided with approximately 5 g of River Raisin sediment at the beginning of each 7-d test to graze on.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using RStudio 1.1.383 (R Development Core Team). Prior to significance testing, the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality was applied to determine whether a given dataset was normally or non-normally distributed. Levene's test was used to determine whether variances were equal among treatments. All tests for significant differences were at $p < 0.05$. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for multivariate comparisons of nonparametric variables. When warranted, the Post-hoc Kruskal-Nemenyi test (R package PMCMR) was used for further post-hoc testing between treatment types, with any apparent ties in data broken assuming averages.

For survival data, binomial generalized linear models were also used as an additional point of comparison. Otherwise, equivalent one- or two-way analyses of variance was used for multivariate comparisons of normally distributed variables, followed up with Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post-hoc test when warranted. Welch's *t*-test was used to compare differences in Zn between ambient lake and reasonable worst-case conditions.

RESULTS

Field-based investigation

Water temperature profiles indicated stratification within the pit lake, with lower temperatures in the hypolimnion (17.3 ± 1.73 °C) and progressively higher temperatures in the epilimnion (23.3 ± 2.98 °C). Lower pH was observed in the hypolimnion (7.09 + 0.81 at depth of 12–18 m) and pH gradually increased in the epilimnion (7.46 ± 0.17). Dissolved oxygen ranged from 8.79 ± 0.48 mg L^{-1} in the epilimnion to 10.06 ± 0.71 mg L^{-1} in the hypolimnion.

Dissolved and particulate Zn concentrations measured in the limnocorrals during in situ experiments are shown in Figure 3. Under ambient lake conditions, dissolved and particulate Zn concentrations were consistently higher near the bottom (150–200 µg L^{-1} for dissolved Zn and 250–375 µg L^{-1} for particulate Zn) compared to mid-depth and near the surface. However, Zn concentrations were variable, and these differences were not statistically significant. Under reasonable worst-case conditions (pH = 5.5), differences in Zn concentrations based on depth were not observed.

In situ acute toxicity tests (Figure 4) showed variable responses that impeded detection of treatment differences in survival of *D. magna* and *C. dilutus*. Toxicity was not observed in any of the capped sediments compared with noncapped limnocorral, indicating that none of the capping materials appeared to cause toxicity. Also, results from the first round of toxicity tests with *D. magna* conducted under ambient lake conditions (AL$_1$) indicated that all of the sediment capping treatments reduced toxicity versus the noncapped control, indicating that some form of sediment cap is beneficial. Observed low survival in the experiments with limestone in AL$_3$ appeared to result from substantial accumulation of sediment and iron oxide particulates on top of the cap, which increased suspended solids when the cages were deployed. This iron oxide accumulation was due to pit lake bank sloughing onto the treatment plot after the sediment cap

FIGURE 3: Dissolved and particulate Zn concentrations for multiple capping materials at near bottom, mid-depth, and surface water of the pit lake (±standard deviation). Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) differences between capping treatments and noncapped control are denoted with an asterisk. AQ = AquaBlok™; LS-B = limestone–bone char; LS = limestone; NC = noncapped.

material was added. Poor survival, which was observed in tests associated with AL_2, is believed to have resulted from the attempt to extend the test duration/exposure time from 48 to 96 h.

Field-based ex situ testing

Results from ex situ acute toxicity testing showed that, with 2 exceptions, there were no treatment-based (i.e., capped vs noncapped) differences in test organism survival (Supplemental Data, Figure S5). In AL_3, *D. magna* survival was significantly lower, and low survival was also observed in *H. azteca* in RWC_1 for noncapped and capped treatments compared to laboratory control ($p < 0.02$).

For the post-exposure chronic toxicity test (RWC_1), there were no differences in test organism performance between the capped treatments and noncapped control. Survival of *D. magna* 1-wk post-exposure was high (~80%), and reproduction was also high, showing no chronic toxicity (Supplemental Data, Figure S6). On the other hand, *H. azteca* survival in the post-exposure chronic toxicity test was low in both the noncapped and capped treatments.

Laboratory investigation

For the laboratory tests in sediment microcosms, temperature ranged from 18.4–22.6 °C (average 21.1 ± 0.8 °C),

pH averaged 7.53 ± 0.45, and dissolved oxygen averaged 5.33 ± 0.71 mg L^{-1}. Dissolved oxygen and temperature were similar across treatments. Not surprisingly, significantly higher pH was observed in the overlying water in the zeolite treatment compared with other treatments ($p < 0.001$).

Dissolved Zn concentrations in the overlying water of laboratory microcosms ranged from 25 to 60 µg L^{-1} (Figure 5). Dissolved Zn concentrations in the overlying water of microcosms treated with zeolite were lower than levels in other treatments and the noncapped control ($p < 0.01$).

Over the course of the 28-d laboratory study, with one exception, dissolved Zn concentrations in porewater remained relatively low (<30 µg L^{-1}) in both capped and noncapped microcosms (Figure 6). The exception was that microcosms treated with AquaBlok exhibited sediment porewater with levels of dissolved Zn that were somewhat elevated. Only the zeolite treatment exhibited levels of dissolved Zn that were lower than the noncapped control ($p < 0.04$).

There were no significant differences in organism survival between the noncapped control and capped treatments during the 7-d laboratory exposures (Figure 7), apart from the fact that *H. azteca* exhibited lower survival in microcosms containing zeolite compared to the noncapped control ($p < 0.02$).

Results for *H. azteca* individual growth rate and Zn tissue concentrations are shown in Figure 8. The individual growth rate was highly variable within treatments and, although mean

FIGURE 4: In situ toxicity to *Daphnia magna* and *Chironomus dilutus* in overlying water and sediment for noncapped and capped pit lake sediments (±standard deviation). Laboratory culture water (CTRL) and noncapped treatment were used as reference control for overlying water and sediment, respectively. Statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) is denoted with an asterisk. AQ = AquaBlok™; LS-B = limestone–bone char; LS = limestone; NC = noncapped.

individual growth rates were higher for capped treatments versus noncapped controls, no statistically significant differences were observed. Zn tissue concentrations in *H. azteca* were also comparatively similar among treatments.

DISCUSSION

Field investigation

In situ studies using limnocorrals were started during summer when the pit lake was thermally stratified and initial exposures were done under ambient lake conditions involving no pH manipulation. These studies showed that dissolved and particulate Zn concentrations were higher near the pit lake

bottom (hypolimnion) and declined near the surface. This pattern was evident in both capped and noncapped limnocorrals, and so, at least under ambient conditions, Zn concentrations in the overlying water of limnocorrals treated with capping materials were not different from levels in the noncapped control.

In situ experiments in limnocorrals simulating reasonable worst-case conditions where pH was adjusted to 5.5 began in early fall at roughly the same time the pit lake started to thermally mix (lake turnover). Under these conditions of low pH and mixing/destratification, Zn concentrations in overlying water of some of the capped limnocorrals differed significantly from levels observed in the noncapped control (although ratios of dissolved and particulate Zn were similar). These results

FIGURE 5: Dissolved Zn concentrations (±standard deviation) in overlying water of pit lake microcosms treated with different capping materials. Statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) is denoted with an asterisk. AP = apatite; AQ = AquaBlok™; LS = limestone; ZE = zeolite; NC = noncapped; U.S. EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency.

FIGURE 7: Laboratory toxicity results (mean survival ± standard deviation) for *Daphnia magna* and *Hyalella azteca* exposed in microcosms containing capping treatments versus non-capped controls. Statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) is denoted with an asterisk. AP = apatite; AQ = AquaBlok™; LS = limestone; ZE = zeolite; NC = noncapped.

suggest that Zn flux from pit lake sediments may be influenced by changes in pH (or other water quality variables related to destratification), and that sediment cap materials can mitigate these effects.

Mixing and stratification in the pit lake is important because it likely dilutes and disperses Zn (i.e., mixing of the entire waterbody) and also alters bioavailability via shifts in reducing to oxidizing conditions (Cantwell et al. 2002; Atkinson et al. 2007). Oxygenation of the water column increases the precipitation of manganese and iron oxyhydroxides, both of which are important ligands for Zn (Terzano et al. 2007). This could explain why there were, on average, significantly lower levels of dissolved Zn in reasonable worst-case conditions versus ambient lake conditions, as the limnocorrals prevented water column mixing and turnover. This could also explain why Zn was concentrated in hypolimnetic waters during system stratification.

These results are similar to those from other studies showing relationships between lake turnover and changes in metal concentrations in the water column (Cover and Wilhm 1982). The timing of fall turnover observed in the present study (October–November) is consistent with results from previous

investigations of the pit lake and with observations from other Arkansas reservoirs (Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 1999). Such seasonal changes in physical lake conditions have implications for water quality and potential metal toxicity to aquatic organisms (Zhuang et al. 1994). Partitioning of Zn to sediment depends on the availability (concentration) and speciation of ligands (e.g., Fe oxyhydroxides, organic matter), and on water column characteristics such as pH and redox potential (Atkinson et al. 2007; Huang et al. 2017).

Across all in situ treatments and experiments (capped and noncapped, ambient lake and reasonable worst-case conditions), dissolved Zn concentrations in surface water were below the hardness-adjusted USEPA water quality criteria threshold for acute and chronic toxicity to freshwater organisms ($164 \mu g L^{-1}$; US Environmental Protection Agency 2016a). It is not surprising, therefore, that differences in responses were generally not observed in in situ acute tests conducted in limnocorrals where sediments were either capped or noncapped.

Similar to results from in situ toxicity tests, few adverse biological effects were observed in ex situ tests. Low survival was observed in both experiments involving the addition of limestone in AL_3, and this was likely due to accumulation of sediment and iron oxide particulates on top of the cap, leading to high turbidity in the exposure cages. Turbidity has been linked to adverse effects on motility, fecundity, growth, and survival (Robinson et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2012). Bottom cameras confirmed that loose sediments sloughed off the sides of the pit lake and settled on the bottom when the limestone capping material was deployed. These sediments contained high levels of iron oxides.

In general, organism responses in in situ and ex situ toxicity tests appeared to either benefit from or be unaffected by the presence of a cap. However, a further laboratory investigation was conducted at the pit lake (Cervi et al. 2019, unpublished manuscript) to evaluate the need and efficacy of multiple capping materials for decreasing Zn flux. The tests were conducted in sediment-water microcosms at reasonable worst-case conditions (pH = 5.5) over 28 d. Results showed that dissolved Zn was maintained below $40 \mu g L^{-1}$ in the overlying water (OW) of all capped microcosms. Zinc release sharply increased between days 7 and

FIGURE 6: Dissolved Zn in porewater of pit lake microcosms with multiple capping materials (±standard deviation). Statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) is denoted as difference between treatments with corresponding colored asterisk. AP = apatite; AQ = AquaBlok™; LS = limestone; ZE = zeolite; NC = noncapped.

FIGURE 8: Individual growth rates and Zn tissue concentrations for *Hyalella azteca* exposed to pit lake sediment mesocosms with capping treatments versus noncapped controls (±standard deviation). Individual growth rate and zinc tissue concentration in all treatments were similar. IGR = individual growth rate; AP = apatite; AQ = AquaBlok™; LS = limestone; ZE = zeolite; NC = noncapped.

21 in the OW of the control, but still remained below the USEPA Water Quality Criterion for acute and chronic effects in freshwater organisms (120 µg L⁻¹). Zn concentrations in porewaters within the capping materials also remained low (<30 µg L⁻¹).

Laboratory investigation

As was found in the field studies, results from investigations conducted in laboratory microcosms indicated no effects of sediment capping materials on growth and survival of *D. magna* and *H. azteca*, and no effects on Zn biouptake in *H. azteca*. *Hyalella azteca* growth was reduced and tissue Zn concentrations were higher in exposures to noncapped sediments, but these differences were not significant. These laboratory studies also showed there were no differences among capping treatments. Again, as was observed with in situ exposures, dissolved Zn concentrations in overlying water of laboratory microcosms never exceeded 164 µg L⁻¹, the hardness-adjusted USEPA threshold for acute and chronic toxicity to freshwater organisms (US Environmental Protection Agency 2016a).

Lower concentrations of dissolved Zn were observed in overlying water and porewater collected from microcosms treated with zeolite, suggesting it was effective at reducing Zn release from underlying sediments. However, *H. azteca* exhibited lower survival in microcosms containing zeolite. Zeolite exhibits exceptionally high cation exchange capacity and is widely used as a chelating agent in industrial, wastewater treatment, and agricultural processes (Babel and Kurniawan 2003; Wang and Peng 2010). Observed reductions in test organism survival may be due to increases in pH in laboratory microcosms caused by zeolite addition, because both *H. azteca* and *D. magna* are sensitive to sudden changes in pH (Pilgrim and Burt 1993). These effects in laboratory microcosms may be ameliorated in field scenarios where the influence of pH increase is mitigated by mixing and dilution. Although zeolites may be an effective capping material for the containment of metals, the potential ecological effects of using zeolites in sediment remediation (capping) are essentially unknown (Xiong et al. 2018).

Results indicated that capping sediments with AquaBlok provided no unique benefits beyond other capping materials

for addressing Zn flux. In fact, AquaBlok-treated sediments exhibited increased concentrations of dissolved Zn in the porewater of laboratory microcosms, which may have resulted from a lack of sequestering ligands in AquaBlok compared to the other cap materials (US Environmental Protection Agency 2006). This suggests that perturbations to an AquaBlok cap, such as currents, upwellings, gas ebullition, and bioturbation, could mobilize porewater Zn and reduce long-term containment and effectiveness, as demonstrated by previous studies (Liu et al. 2001; Reible et al. 2006).

In contrast, laboratory microcosms treated with limestone and apatite (clay) exhibited no increase in dissolved Zn levels in porewater or overlying water and resulted in high survival and growth of *H. azteca*. Limestone can enhance cover performance by acting as a pH buffering agent to reduce sediment Zn leaching (RowChowdhury et al. 2015). Apatite acts as a medium for ion exchange and adsorption, and can mitigate the effects of seasonality, because metal phosphates have low solubility and are stable at a wide range of E$_H$-pH conditions (Sneddon et al. 2006). In addition, semipermeable, chemically reactive capping materials have had demonstrated success in reducing contaminant breakthrough over the long run (Reible et al. 2006; US Environmental Protection Agency 2016b).

CONCLUSIONS

There were no differences in organism responses between treatments involving sediment capping materials and the noncapped control in both in situ and ex situ tests. The lack of adverse effects was likely due to dissolved Zn in surface water being below threshold effects levels. Differences in particulate and dissolved Zn concentrations under ambient lake and reasonable worst-case conditions were due to mixing and stratification in the pit lake. The present study demonstrated the usefulness of the TACS acclimation system for use in stratified lakes and reservoirs, where temperatures vary dramatically between the epilimnion and hypolimnion. Both field- and laboratory-based weight-of-evidence studies provided site-specific data to support decisions on optimal remedies, with reduced uncertainty compared to traditional approaches.

REFERENCES

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality. 1999. *Water Quality Assessment of Arkansas' Significant Publicly-Owned Lakes.* North Little Rock, AR, USA. [cited 2019 March 26]. Available from: https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/planning/pdfs/publications/WQ00-06-1.pdf

Atkinson CA, Jolley DF, Simpson SL. 2007. Effect of overlying water pH, dissolved oxygen, salinity, and sediment disturbances on metal release and sequestration from metal contaminated marine sediments. *Chemosphere* 69:1428–1437.

Babel S, Kurniawan TA. 2003. Low-cost adsorbents for heavy metals uptake from contaminated water: A review. *J Hazard Mater* 97:219–243.

Borgmann U, Norwood WP. 1995. Kinetics of excess background copper and zinc in *Hyalella azteca* and their relationship to chronic toxicity. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 52:864–874.

Bridges T, Gustavson K, Schroeder P, Ells S, Hayes D, Nadeau S, Palermo M, Patmont C. 2010. Dredging processes and remedy effectiveness: Relationship to the 4Rs of environmental dredging. *Integr Environ Assess Manag* 6:619–630.

Burton GA Jr. 1992. *Sediment Toxicity Assessment.* Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, USA.

Burton GA Jr., Greenberg MS, Rowland CD, Irvine CA, Lavoie DR, Brooker JA, Moore L, Raymer DFN, McWilliam RA. 2005. In situ exposures using caged organisms: a multi-compartment approach to detect aquatic toxicity and bioaccumulation. *Environ Pollut* 134:133–144.

Cantwell MG, Burgess RM, Kester DR. 2002. Release and phase partitioning of metals from anoxic estuarine sediments during periods of simulated resuspension. *Environ Sci Technol* 36:5328–5334.

Cao X, Maa LQ, Rhuea DR, Appel CS. 2004. Mechanisms of lead, copper, and zinc retention by phosphate rock. *Environ Pollut* 131:435–444.

CH2M. 2016. East Wilson Pond Alternatives Evaluation—a technical memorandum prepared for Umetco Minerals Corporation. Final/Technical Report. CH2MHill Engineers, Inc., Hot Springs, AK.

Chen L, Fu X, Zeng Y, Ren Z. 2012. Influences of temperature, pH, and turbidity on the behavioral responses of *Daphnia magna* and Japanese Mendake (*Oryzia latipes*) in the biomonitor. *Procedia Environ Sci* 13:80–86.

Cover E, Wilhm J. 1982. Seasonal changes in the iron, manganese, and zinc concentrations in the sediments, *Chaoborus Punctipennis* (Say), and *Chironomous tenans* (Fabr.) in Arbuckle Lake, Oklahoma. *Proc Okla Acad Sci* 62:1982.

Curry Industries Ltd. 2016. Limnocorrals, mesocosm, lake divider curtains. Winnipeg, MB, Canada. [cited 2019 March 26]. Available from: https://www.curryindustries.com/limnocorrals/

Ghosh U, Luthy R, Cornelissen G, Werner D, Menzie CA. 2011. In-situ sorbent amendments: A new direction in contaminated sediment management. *Environ Sci Technol* 45:1163–1168.

Huang Y, Zhang D, Xu Z, Yuan S, Li Y, Wang L. 2017. Effect of overlying water pH, dissolved oxygen, and temperature on heavy metal release from river sediments under laboratory conditions. *Arch Environ Prot* 43:28–36.

Jacobs PH, Forstner U. 1999. Concept of subaqueous capping of contaminated sediments with active barrier systems (ABS) using natural and modified zeolites. *Water Res* 33:2083–2087.

Libralato G, Minetto D, Lofrano G, Guida M, Carotenuto M, Aliberti F, Conte B, Notarnicola M. 2018. Toxicity assessment within the application of in situ contaminated sediment remediation technologies: A review. *Sci Tot Environ* 621:85–94.

Liu C, Jay JA, Ika R, Shine JP, Ford TE. 2001. Capping efficiency for metal-contaminated sediment under conditions of submarine groundwater discharge. *Environ Sci Technol* 35:2334–2340.

National Research Council. 2007. *Sediment Dredging at Superfund Megasites: Assessing the Effectiveness.* National Academies, Washington, DC, USA. [cited 2019 March 25]. Available from: http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11968

Nedrich SM, Burton GA. 2017. Sediment Zn-release during post-drought reflooding: Assessing environmental risk to *Hyalella azteca* and *Daphnia magna. Environ Pollut* 230:1116–1124.

Neumann PTM, Borgmann U, Norwood W. 1999. Effect of gut clearance on metal body concentrations in *Hyalella azteca. Environ Toxicol Chem* 18:976–984.

Norwood WP, Borgmann U, Dixon DG. 2006. Saturation models of arsenic, cobalt, chromium and manganese bioaccumulation by *Hyalella azteca. Environ Pollut* 143:519–528.

Patmond CR, Ghosh U, LaRosa P, Menzie CA, Luthy RG, Greenberg MS, Cornelissen G, Eek E, Collins J, Hull J, Hjartland T, Glaza E, Bleiler J, Quadrini J. 2014. In situ sediment treatment using activated carbon: A demonstrated sediment cleanup technology. *Integr Environ Assess Manag* 11:195–207.

Pilgrim W, Burt MD. 1993. Effect of acute pH depression on the survival of the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca* at variable temperatures: Field and laboratory studies. *Hydrobiologia* 254:91–98.

Reible D, Lampert D, Constant D, Mutch RD Jr., Zhu Y. 2006. Active capping demonstration in the Anacostia River, Washington, DC. *Remed J* 17:39–53.

Robinson SE, Capper NA, Klaine SJ. 2010. The effects of continuous and pulsed exposures of suspended clay on the survival, growth, and reproduction of *Daphnia magna. Environ Toxicol Chem* 29:168–175.

RowChowdhury A, Dibyendu S, Datta R. 2015. Remediation of acid-mine drainage-impacted water. *Curr Pollut Rep* 1:131–141.

Singh S, Ma L, Harris W. 2001. Heavy metal interactions with phosphatic clay: Sorption and desorption behavior. *J Environ Qual* 30:1961–1968.

Sneddon IR, Schofield PF, Valsami-Jones E, Orueetxebarria M, Hoodson ME. 2006. Use of bone meal amendments to immobilize Pb, Zn, and Cd in soil: A leaching column study. *Environ Pollut* 144:816–825.

Terzano R, Spagnuolo M, Vekemans B, De Nolf W, Janssens K, Falkenberg G, Fiore S, Ruggiero P. 2007. Assessing the origin and fate of Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, and V in industrial polluted soil by combined microspectroscopic techniques and bulk extraction methods. *Environ Sci Technol* 41:6762–6769.

US Environmental Protection Agency. 2002. Risk assessment guidance for superfund: Volume III—Part A, Process for conducting probabilistic risk assessment. EPA 540-R-02-002. Washington, DC. [cited 2019 February 3]. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-09/documents/rags3adt_complete.pdf

US Environmental Protection Agency. 2005. Procedures for derivation of equilibrium partitioning sediment benchmarks (ESBs) for the protection of benthic organisms: Metal mixtures (cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, silver, and zinc). EPA-600-R-02-011. United States Protection Agency, Washington, DC. [cited 2018 April 16]. Available from: https://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si_public_record_report.cfm?Lab=NHEERL&dirEntryId=130408

US Environmental Protection Agency. 2006. *Innovative Technology Evaluation Report: Demonstration of the AquaBlok Sediment Capping Technology.* Washington, DC. [cited 2018 February 21]. Available from: http://www.epa.gov/ORD/SITE/reports/540r07008/540R07008.pdf

US Environmental Protection Agency. 2013. *OSWER Directive 9200.2-128FS—Use of Amendments for* In situ *Remediation at Superfund Sediment Sites—April 2013.* Washington, DC.

US Environmental Protection Agency. 2016a. *National Recommended Water Quality Criteria—Aquatic Life Criteria.* Washington, DC. [cited 2018 February 14]. Available from: http://www.epa.gov/wqc/national-recommended-water-quality-criteriaaquatic-life-criteria-table

US Environmental Protection Agency. 2016b. *Fourth Five-Year Review Report for McCormick & Baxter Creosoting Company Superfund Site: Multnomah County, Oregon.* Prepared by Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Portland, Oregon and US Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, Seattle, Washington.

Wang S, Peng Y. 2010. Natural zeolites as effective adsorbents in water and wastewater treatment. *Chem Eng J* 156:11–24.

Xiong C, Wang D, Tam NF, Dai Y, Zhang X, Tang X, Yang Y. 2018. Enhancement of active thin-layer capping with natural zeolite to simultaneously inhibit nutrient and heavy metal release from sediments. *Ecol Eng* 119:64–72.

Zhuang Y, Allen HE, Fu G. 1994. Effect of aeration of sediment on cadmium binding. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 13:717–724.