

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

DR. JAIME JORDAN (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6573-7041)

DR. JASON WAGNER (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-8702-0706)

Article type : Commentary and Perspective

Optimizing Lectures From a Cognitive Load Perspective

Jaime Jordan, MD, Jason Wagner MD, David E. Manthey, MD, Meg Wolff MD, MHPE, Sally Santen MD, PhD, Stephen J. Cico, MD, MEd.

Jaime Jordan is Associate Director, Residency Training Program, Department of Emergency Medicine, Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center and Assistant Professor of Clinical Emergency Medicine, Vice Chair, Acute Care College, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA.

Jason Wagner is Assistant Professor of Emergency Medicine and Residency Program Director, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis MO.

David Manthey is Professor of Emergency Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC.

Meg Wolff is Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine and Pediatrics, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/AET2.10389](https://doi.org/10.1002/AET2.10389)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

27

28 Sally Santen is Senior Associate Dean for Evaluation, Assessment, and Scholarship of Learning,
29 Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, VA.

30

31 Stephen Cico is Assistant Dean for Graduate Medical Education, Associate Professor of Clinical
32 Emergency Medicine & Pediatrics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN.

33

34 Corresponding author:

35 Jaime Jordan, MD

36 924 Westwood Blvd., Suite 300

37 Los Angeles, CA 90024

38 P: 310-794-0585

39 F: 310-794-0599

40 Email: jaimejordanmd@gmail.com

41

42 JJ, JW, DM, MW, SS, SJC report no conflicts of interest.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 **Abstract**

50 Lectures are a common instructional method in medical education. Understanding the
51 cognitive processes and theories involved in learning is essential for lecturers to be effective.
52 Cognitive load theory is one theory that is becoming increasingly recognized in medical
53 education and addresses the appropriate use of one's working memory. Memory is essential to
54 knowledge acquisition. Two types of memory can be considered, working memory (processing
55 of information) and long term memory (storage of information). Working memory has a limited
56 capacity. Cognitive load refers to the amount of information processing activity imposed on
57 working memory and can be divided into three domains: Intrinsic, Extraneous, and Germane.

58 By attending to cognitive load, educators can promote learning. This paper highlights various
59 ways to improve cognitive load for learners during lecture based instruction by minimizing
60 extraneous load, optimizing intrinsic load and promoting germane load.

61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68

69 **Introduction**

70
71
72
73
74
75

Lectures are a common instructional method in medical education. In order for educators to optimize their lectures and effectively convey information, it is important to understand the cognitive processes and theories involved in learning. One such theory that is becoming increasingly recognized in medical education is cognitive load theory. Cognitive load theory addresses the appropriate use of working memory.

76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85

Memory is essential to knowledge acquisition. We can consider two types of memory, working memory and long term memory. Working memory has limits, both in the amount of information and the duration of time that information can be retained. In contrast, long term memory has a much larger storage capacity. In an effort to promote learning, educators seek to help students process and package new information in their working memory so that it may be stored and recalled from their long term memory. Both the number of pieces of information and the complexity of the information being learned or retained can have an effect on the overall retention of information.¹ Cognitive load refers to the amount of information processing activity imposed on working memory.² An example is a case presentation where the students are expected to calculate Sgarbossa's criteria in a patient with an abnormal ECG while thinking

86 about the medication dosages for the treatment of shock; so it can also be thought of as the effort
87 being used in working memory.³

88

89 When presented new material, our minds work to process that information from our
90 working memory into our long term memory. Three core concepts to understand about working
91 memory are information processing, short term memory and limited capacity.⁴ The information
92 processing of new material is handled through visual-spatial and auditory pathways. When those
93 two pathways are at odds with one another, it slows the ability to process new information. Most
94 experts suggest that working memory can only handle seven (plus or minus two) items at any
95 one time.⁵ Presenting too much information will decrease the amount of information retained
96 given that working memory has a limited capacity and can only handle so much processing at
97 one time before becoming saturated. A representation of the mental architecture of memory and
98 the role of Cognitive Load Theory from *Orru and Longo* is shown in Figure 1.

99 Cognitive load can be divided into three domains: Extraneous, Intrinsic, and Germane.⁴

100 Decreasing any one of these domains allows the others more space to function. Extraneous
101 cognitive load refers to the resources devoted to the processing of the information presented.

102 Therefore, this load is artificially introduced by how the educator chooses to deliver the
103 information and the setting in which the learning takes place. As this is the most malleable
104 domain of cognitive load, it is the educator's responsibility to keep this as low as possible.⁶

105 Intrinsic cognitive load refers to the resources devoted to understanding a specific topic.⁷
106 Consider electrocardiogram interpretation versus naming the four chambers of the heart. One is
107 intrinsically more difficult to learn. It is easier to simply recall names of anatomy compared to
108 understanding complex processes such as the electrical conduction system of the heart and how
109 abnormalities in processes are depicted in diagnostic testing such as an electrocardiogram.
110 However, this is dependent on the learner's expertise and experience in the topic area. This point
111 becomes important when introducing new material versus adding to their knowledge base or
112 teaching learners of different levels at any one time.

113 Germane cognitive load refers to the resources devoted to putting the newly acquired
114 material into the long term memory. Learning does not occur until the information is stored in
115 long term memory, so educators must promote giving most of the working memory capacity
116 over to this domain.⁸ Educators can also decrease germane load by developing a schema for the

117 new information or intertwining it with already developed schema. A schema is more than a
118 framework or outline; it is the arrangement of an experience into a specific organized manner of
119 perceiving it rationally. For example, a schema for pyloric stenosis could be a six week old male
120 with projectile, nonbilious emesis who is always hungry. This arrangement will also organize
121 how our memory responds to a complex situation or a specific stimuli. The brain often
122 recognizes a simple schema as a single item of the previously mentioned seven item limit.

123 Given the volume of information to be learned in medical school and residency, it is
124 important that educators understand the effects of cognitive load and attempt to minimize
125 unnecessary load whenever possible in order to allow maximal learning to occur. The aim of this
126 paper is to discuss various ways to improve cognitive load in lecture based instruction by
127 minimizing extraneous load, optimizing intrinsic load and promoting germane load.

128 A summary of tips for optimizing lectures from a cognitive load perspective can be found
129 in Table 1.

130

131 **Minimize Extraneous Load**

132 **Environment**

133 The education space should be optimized to minimize extraneous load. During education
134 sessions (especially large group didactics) educators are constantly vying for audience attention.⁹
135 English speakers talk at a rate of about 125 words per minute, while listeners can comprehend
136 roughly 400 words per minute. This extra bandwidth can be used by learners to mentally work
137 on more complex topics while listening, but is often used to attend to distractions or thoughts
138 other than the lecture. Electronic devices such as laptop computers, tablets, and smart phones are
139 a constant distractor in the modern world. It is the educator's job to engage the audience without
140 drowning them with information.

141

142 In considering the educational space, it is important to minimize potential distractions
143 from the environment. Avoid simple disruptions in the clinical environment such as high traffic
144 areas or spaces that are loud or have frequent overhead paging. In lecture halls, consider banning
145 electronic devices. Audience members may complain that they "need" their devices to take notes
146 or research questions about the content during the lecture, but research shows that analog notes
147 with paper and pen (rather than keyboard typed notes) enhance retention.¹⁰ The theory behind

148 this is that, since it is not possible to write down every word the instructor says, some pre-
149 processing of information is required to put it into shorthand.. This pre-processing jump starts the
150 neuronal connections necessary to transfer information from working memory to long-term
151 memory.¹⁰

152
153 An educator must carefully consider their instructional plan and tailor their learning
154 environment accordingly, taking into account room set-up, lighting, and audiovisual systems.
155 Will it be a large group didactic or small group discussion? Will there be hands on activities or
156 instructor lead demonstrations? It is important to size up the room and ensure that it set up
157 appropriately whenever possible. For example, chairs set up like a theater are less conducive to
158 small group discussions compared to round tables. If the group is very large and scattered
159 throughout a huge room, it may be difficult for all learners to see an instructor lead
160 demonstration. In this case, having a video camera with zoom capabilities that can be displayed
161 on a large screen may be helpful. With the education style in mind, ensure that there is proper
162 lighting and the audio visual system is operative. For visual screen presentations, lighting in the
163 front of the room should be dark enough for the screen to pop, but bright enough elsewhere to
164 keep the audience alert and allow for note taking. The instructor should be the main attraction,
165 and other distractions should be kept at bay.

166 167 **Content**

168 The content should be focused on the learning objectives. Extraneous material such as jokes,
169 vacation or family pictures, etc. will split audience attention and should be avoided. Attending to
170 the organization of material will prevent learners using valuable cognitive resources trying to
171 recall information that is separated by time, location, or type of source information. Examples
172 of negative strategies would be scrolling between different webpages or delivering instructions
173 on how to do an exam without the opportunity to practice what they have just been taught.

174 Another strategy that can be employed to decrease extraneous cognitive load includes
175 using examples that have previously been worked out. Reducing the need to figure out steps can
176 increase the ability of learners to focus on the content rather than the process. For example, if
177 your goal is for learners to understand ventilator management, you could provide examples of
178 various conditions, identify the underlying abnormal pathophysiology, and the appropriate

179 ventilator settings, rather than asking your learner to identify correct ventilator settings for a set
180 of diagnoses. However, there are times when the process is important, such as in teaching
181 procedures or how to diagnoses specific conditions, so that should be taken into account.
182 Another option is using a partially completed task as the starting point, so the focus of the
183 learning experience is on the most relevant portion of the assignment. Using the example above
184 of ventilator management, you could again provide examples of various conditions and identify
185 the underlying abnormal pathophysiology, but ask your learners to identify the appropriate
186 ventilator settings.

187

188 **Delivery**

189 Delivery can also influence extraneous load. - Lectures should tell stories that enhance
190 retention through imagery, oration, and audience engagement. Beginning the lecture with a
191 “hook” that emphasizes the relevance and importance of the subject, such as a clinical case, can
192 help capture the audience’s interest. It is important to present information in the format best
193 suited for delivery of the information and to avoid redundancy.¹¹⁻¹⁶ Slides serve as a visual guide
194 through the presentation and including variety in slide design with regards to color, movement,
195 and frequency may further enhance the value of this learning tool by helping to maintain
196 audience interest¹⁷ Aligning verbal and visual content and utilizing pictures and images rather
197 than text can also decrease extraneous load. As previously stated, humans can read and
198 comprehend words much faster than they can speak, so the audience will nearly always
199 preferentially read rather than listen when presented with both options. Additionally, both
200 reading and listening use the same brain regions to make sense of the sensory information
201 received thus the learner cannot process both messages simultaneously. Learners can, however,
202 process visual imagery and words simultaneously, further supporting the use of pictures or
203 images rather than text on lecture slides. Finally, note that the brain processes sentences by
204 breaking them all the way down into individual shapes that make up letters.¹⁸ While this is done
205 subconsciously it is not effortless, so reducing written words will also decrease extraneous load.
206 When a learner is trying to recall the content later, instead of trying to put back together all of the
207 disparate words in the talk, they can recall the image, which will assist them in extracting the
208 “chunk” of information.

209

210 There are some common pitfalls in multimedia design that can increase extrinsic load.
211 Transitions can be fun and entertaining, but they are distracting. Before including any animation,
212 consider its purpose in enhancing the lecture. Graphic Interchange Format (GIF) files can
213 reengage your audience, but it will be difficult for the audience to listen attentively while a GIF
214 is playing in the background. If GIFs are used, capture a screen shot of a still and paste it into a
215 duplicate of that slide. This allows the GIF to be played a few times and then the next slide to
216 advance, effectively pausing the GIF and ending the distraction. If video is utilized, embed it into
217 the lecture as part of the slide to avoid failure of internet streaming or the distraction of exiting
218 the slides to play off the internet. Having high resolution images and ensuring the
219 reproducibility of colors and backgrounds can ease eye strain and unnecessary concentration
220 thereby decreasing extraneous load. In summary, ensure that the multimedia used is high quality
221 and aligned with the educational content, prioritize images over text, and keep transitions simple.

222

223 Apart from visual aids, lecturer performance can also impact extraneous load. The
224 presentation should be well-rehearsed to avoid distracting long pauses and oratory fumbles.
225 Confidence and stage presence are extremely important in maintaining audience attention. One
226 way to connect with the entire audience is to make eye contact and slowly move around the
227 room. Speech needs to be clear and at the appropriate volume, cadence and tempo. The lecturer
228 must be aware of nonverbal distractions such overly zealous hand gestures and minimize these.
229 A lecturer who minimizes distractions, matches their content to the learning objectives, is well
230 prepared, speaks clearly and loud enough for all learners to hear, and makes eye contact around
231 the room will be best able to convey their message by decreasing the extraneous load of their
232 learners.

233

234 **Optimize Intrinsic Load**

235

236 The next important step for educators to consider is how to optimize intrinsic cognitive
237 load for the learners, so that it is not too great nor too small. One way to decrease the intrinsic
238 cognitive load is to activate prior knowledge.¹⁹ Educators can specifically call out information
239 that was previously learned or instruct learners to review important concepts prior to the
240 education session. If an educator is presenting a series of lectures, they can pull previous

241 information forward as a refresher. Spaced-intermittent-repetition (intermittently returning to
242 material previously presented after a period of time) is a well-proven method of improving recall
243 and retention.²⁰

244

245

246 Educators must consider the amount of material to be covered. As previously stated,
247 working memory is limited. Miller wrote that the “magical number” for working memory was
248 seven items, plus or minus two.⁵ This number does vary between individuals and changes with
249 age, but the general concept remains the same.²¹ An educator would do well to select a few key
250 concepts they want their audience to walk away with after the time allotted. If one tries to cover
251 too much, the learners will be overwhelmed, and retain nothing from the talk, or they will retain
252 a couple of items at the expense of everything else.

253

254 It is also important to consider the interactions between a learner’s level of domain
255 competence and the required intrinsic load of a given task for that learner and ensure that these
256 are aligned.²² Discordant content and learner level can increase intrinsic load. If the material
257 presented is too advanced, learners will use all their resources to understand the information and
258 won’t have any left to process it and store it in long term memory. If the material presented is
259 too basic, learners will become distracted by other things. As previously mentioned, matching
260 content to learner level may be difficult in a large lecture hall filled with learners spanning from
261 early medical students to seasoned faculty. While it may not be possible to satisfy the needs of
262 all learners, there are still methods that can be employed to improve their experience. One option
263 is to split up the audience into learner levels. This seems logical, but requires multiple educators
264 (one for each group rather than a single person for the whole) along with unique content for each
265 group. Another option is to create separate content in the lecture for multiple learner levels. The
266 key here is to indicate what content is aimed at what level. This can be done through symbolism
267 or color-coding. For example, interns are yellow, PGY-2s and PGY-3s are green, PGY-4s and up
268 are red. The color or symbol can be placed in front of points (or on slides) aimed at the
269 appropriate audience. Matching content to learner level is yet another way educators can
270 optimize intrinsic load.

271

272 Lastly, educators must keep in mind that the less background knowledge a learner has in
273 a given area, the more complex new learning is going to be. Intrinsic load of a complex topic can
274 be eased by breaking it into smaller manageable pieces.^{23,24} Content should flow from simple to
275 complex, starting with something basic and building. As learners progress from less complex to
276 more complex information or tasks, the overall sense of complexity is perceived as
277 lower.^{3,11,16,19} By activating prior knowledge, being realistic about the amount of material
278 covered, matching content difficulty to learner level, and breaking down complex concepts into
279 smaller pieces presented in an organized fashion, lecturers will be able to optimize the intrinsic
280 load for their learners.

281

282

283 **Promote Germane Load**

284

285 Specific attention must be paid to enhancing germane load as this relates to how
286 information becomes stored in long term memory and thus how learning occurs. Educators can
287 promote germane cognitive load by presenting information in a developed schema from which to
288 work or “chunking” information in meaningful ways. When one memorizes parts of the body,
289 typically these parts are memorized as parts of an organ system. These systems have meaning,
290 with all parts in that system contributing to a certain overall function. In this way, rather than
291 learning all the parts of the body separately, one learns “chunks” of information, thus decreasing
292 intrinsic load and promoting germane load.^{16,25} Different information can be organized or

293 “chunked” in a variety of ways. For example, to memorize a list of items, one can repeat
294 the list in one’s mind over and over. Imagery can be used to create a picture or schema of items
295 (a thin frail elderly patient with pursed lips sitting in the tripod position attached to their home
296 oxygen tank). Another way to “chunk” information would be to describe connections between
297 items. For example in identifying the major components of the circulatory system, an instructor
298 could draw the route of blood flow from the heart to the aorta to the arteries and arterioles to the
299 capillaries to the venules and veins, to the inferior vena cava and back to the heart. Each of these
300 examples of “chunking” can augment germane load.

301

302 Educators can also encourage learners to develop how to utilize the new information
303 (concept mapping).²⁶ Graphical or pictorial representations that organize and represent new
304 knowledge and connect it back to prior knowledge can be useful in helping learners create and
305 build schema.²⁷ Evoking emotional responses through shared experiences or relatable stories can
306 also assist learners in recalling prior knowledge and schema from which to build upon. This will
307 also promote learner engagement which is important as learners must still choose to deliberately
308 engage with the material and develop new schemata.

309
310 Educators must also keep in mind that novices and experts learn differently. With age,
311 germane load decreases since individuals have more prior elements that can be activated
312 simultaneously. People also become more efficient at dampening or suppressing extraneous
313 information.¹⁶ Learning “chunks” of information comes at a lower cognitive cost than learning
314 the pieces of information individually.²⁸ When a novice learner is presented with new material,
315 the intrinsic load of the task may exceed a learner’s working memory thereby leaving no mental
316 effort to develop schema. As a result, the learner will not be able to process and commit all of the
317 material to long term memory. Conversely, when an expert is presented with the same material,
318 they are able to retrieve previously developed schema from their long-term memory thus
319 decreasing the intrinsic load and augmenting germane load. With less of their working memory
320 devoted to the intrinsic load of a task, they have more working memory available for germane
321 cognitive load. The more knowledge learners acquire, the more extensive their schemata become
322 and the more likely it is that new material will relate to a previous schemata. By fostering the
323 development of schemata, educators will promote germane load, which has the greatest impact
324 on learning.

325

326

327

328 **Summary**

329 In summary, working memory is limited. Educators must be aware of the cognitive load
330 experienced by learners and work to optimize this in their lectures. By minimizing distractions,
331 tailoring content to learner level, organizing information from simple to complex, and assisting
332 in the formation of schema, educators can minimize extraneous load, optimize intrinsic load and

333 promote germane load. Educators can help increase the amount of information that is committed
334 to long term memory by allowing learners to devote most of their working memory resources to
335 germane load, thus promoting learning.

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344 **References**

345 1. Leppink J, van den Heuvel A. The evolution of cognitive load theory and its application to
346 medical education. *Perspect Med Educ*. 2015;4(3):119-27.

347 2. IGI Global – Disseminator of knowledge. Available at: [https://www.igi-](https://www.igi-global.com/dictionary/cognitive-load/4228)
348 [global.com/dictionary/cognitive-load/4228](https://www.igi-global.com/dictionary/cognitive-load/4228). Accessed January 18th, 2019.

349 3. Leppink J & Duvivier R. Twelve tips for medical curriculum design from a cognitive load
350 theory perspective. *Med Teach*. 2016;38: 669–674

351 4. Sweller J. Cognitive load during problem solving - effects on learning. *Cogn. Sci*.
352 1988;12:257–285.

353 5. Miller GA. The magical number seven plus or minus two: some limits on our capacity for
354 processing information. *Psychol Rev*. 1956;63(2):81–97.

355 6. Clark R, Nguyen F, Sweller J. (2006). *Efficiency in Learning: Evidence-Based*
356 *Guidelines to Manage Cognitive Load*. San Francisco, CA: Pfeiffer; 2006

357 7. Chandler P, Sweller J. Cognitive Load Theory and the Format of Instruction. *Cognition*
358 *and Instruction*. 1991;8(4):293–332.6.

359 8. Ayres P. Using subjective measures to detect variations of intrinsic cognitive load within
360 problems. *Learn. Instr*. 2006;16:389–400.

- 361 9. Robinson SL, Sterling HE, Skinner CH, Robinson DH. Effects of Lecture Rate on Students'
362 Comprehension and Ratings of Topic Importance. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*.
363 1997;22:260-267.
- 364 10. Mueller P, Oppenheimer D. The Pen is Mightier Than the Keyboard: Advantages of
365 Longhand Over Laptop Note Taking. *Psychologic Science*. 2014;25:1159-1186.
- 366 11. Van Merriënboer JJ, Sweller J. Cognitive load theory in health professional education:
367 design principles and strategies. *Med Educ*. 2010;44:85–93.
- 368 12. Qiao YQ, Shen J, Liang X, et al. Using cognitive load theory to facilitate medical education.
369 *BMC Med Educ*. 2014;14:79–85.
- 370 13. Van Merriënboer JJ, Kirschner PA. Ten steps to complex learning: a systematic approach to
371 four-component instructional design. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Routledge; 2013.
- 372 14. Kalyuga S, Chandler P, Sweller J. Incorporating learner experience into the design of
373 multimedia instruction. *J Educ Psychol*. 2000;92:126–36.
- 374 15. Tindall-Ford S, Chandler P, Sweller J. When two sensory modes are better than one. *J Exp*
375 *Psychol Appl*. 1997;3:257–87.
- 376 16. Cowan N. The magical mystery four: how is working memory capacity limited, and why?
377 *Curr Dir Psychol Sci*. 2010;19(1):51-57.
- 378 17. Clark, J. (2008). Powerpoint and Pedagogy: Maintaining Student Interest in University
379 Lectures. *College Teaching*, 56(1), 39-45.
- 380 18. Huth A, de Heer W, Griffiths T, Theunissen F, Gallant J. Natural speech reveals the
381 semantic maps that tile human cerebral cortex. *Nature*. 2016;532:453-458.
- 382 19. Sweller J. Element interactivity and intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive load. *Educ*
383 *Psychol Rev*. 2010;22:123–138.
- 384 20. Melton AW. The situation with respect to the spacing of repetitions and memory. *Journal of*
385 *Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*. 1970;9:596–606.
- 386 21. Cowan N. The magical number 4 in short-term memory: A reconsideration of mental storage
387 capacity. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 2001;24:87–185.
- 388 22. Young JQ, Van Merriënboer J, Durning S, Ten Cate O. Cognitive Load Theory:
389 Implications for medical education: AMEE Guide No. 86. *Med Teach*. 2014;36(5):371-384.
- 390 23. Chase WG, Simon HA. Perception in chess. *Cognitive Psychology*. 1973;4(1):55–81.

- 391 24. Kirschner PA, Sweller J, Clark RE. (2006). Why Minimal Guidance During Instruction
 392 Does Not Work: An Analysis of the Failure of Constructivist, Discovery, Problem-Based,
 393 Experiential, and Inquiry-Based Teaching. *Educational Psychologist*. 2006;41(2):75–86.
- 394 25. Service E. The Effect of Word Length on Immediate Serial Recall Depends on Phonological
 395 Complexity, Not Articulatory Duration. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*
 396 *Section A*. 1998;51(2):283–304.
- 397 26. Novak, J. D. (1990). Concept mapping: A useful tool for science education. *Journal of*
 398 *Research in Science Teaching*, 27(10), 937-949
- 399 27. Novak, J. D., & Cañas, A. J. (2007). Theoretical origins of concept maps, how to construct
 400 them, and uses in education. *Reflecting Education*, 3(1), 29-42.
- 401 28. Debue N, van de Leemput C. What does germane load mean? An empirical contribution to
 402 the cognitive load theory. *Front Psychol*. 2014;5:1099

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415 Table 1. Tips to Optimize Instructional Sessions from a Cognitive Load Perspective

Domain	Tips
Extraneous Load	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minimize environmental distractions. 2. Ensure optimal room set-up and audio visual support. 3. Focus content only on the learning objectives, taking into account learner knowledge and prior experience.

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Utilize visual aids that emphasize imagery rather than text. 5. Rehearse the session in advance.
Intrinsic Load	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Activate prior learner knowledge. 2. Limit the amount of material to be covered. 3. Align content with learner level and experience. 4. Tailor content to flow from simple to complex.
Germane Load	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Utilize schema to present information. 2. “Chunk” information in meaningful ways. 3. Incorporate concept mapping 4. Decrease the level of support as learners advance.

Author Manuscript

Sensory Inputs

visual

auditory

Working Memory

New Info

optimal load

limits

CLT

overload

learning

retrieval

Long-Term Memory

schema

