1			
2	DR. JACOB EDWARD ALLGEIER (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9005-6432)		
3			
4			
5	Article type : Primary Research Articles		
6			
7	U		
8 9	Running Head: anthropogenic nutrients alter coral-symbiont relationships		
10	Re-wiring coral: anthropogenic nutrients shift diverse coral-symbiont nutrient and carbon		
11	interactions towards symbiotic algal dominance		
12			
13	Jacob E. Allgeier ^{a,1} , Mona A. Andskog ^a , Enie Hensel ^b , Richard Appaldo ^a , Craig Layman ^b ,		
14	Dustin W. Kemp ^c		
15	^a Department of Ecology, and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI		
16	USA, ^b Department of Applied Ecology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA,		
17	^c Department of Biology, University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA.		
18	Article type: Letter		
19	¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: jeallg@umich.edu		
20	Number of Figures and Tables: 4		
21			
22	Author Contribution: JEA and DWK designed experiment; JEA, DWK, RA, and EH		
23	conducted field work; JEA and DWK conducted laboratory analyses; MA and JEA conducted		
24	analyses; JEA wrote first draft with significant writing contributions from MA, DWK, CAL, and		
25	EH.		
26	Data Accessibility: Should the manuscript be accepted all data will be made accessible in an		
27	appropriate public repository.		
28	Keywords: fish nutrient supply, nitrogen, phosphorus, Symbiodiniaceae, eutrophication, phase-		
29	shift, coral reefs, symbiosis, marine conservation		
	This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u> . Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/GCB.15230</u>		

30

31 Abstract 32 Improving coral reef conservation requires heightened understanding of the mechanisms by 33 which coral cope with changing environmental conditions to maintain optimal health. We used a 34 long-term (10-month) in situ experiment with two phylogenetically diverse scleractinians 35 (Acropora palmata and Porites porites) to test how coral-symbiotic algal interactions changed 36 under real-world conditions that were *a priori* expected to be beneficial (fish-mediated nutrients) 37 and to be harmful, but non-lethal, for coral (fish + anthropogenic nutrients). Analyzing nine response variables of nutrient stoichiometry and stable isotopes per coral fragment, we found that 38 39 nutrients from fish positively affected coral growth, and moderate doses of anthropogenic 40 nutrients had no additional effects. While growing, coral maintained homeostasis in their nutrient pools, showing tolerance to the different nutrient regimes. Nonetheless, structural equation 41 42 models revealed more nuanced relationships, showing that anthropogenic nutrients reduced the 43 diversity of coral-symbiotic algal interactions and caused nutrient and carbon flow to be 44 dominated by the symbiont. Our findings show that nutrient and carbon pathways are fundamentally "rewired" under anthropogenic nutrient regimes in ways that could increase 45 46 corals' susceptibility to further stressors. We hypothesize that our experiment captured coral in a 47 previously unrecognized transition state between mutualism and antagonism. These findings 48 highlight a notable parallel between how anthropogenic nutrients promote symbiont dominance 49 with the holobiont, and how they promote macroalgal dominance at the coral-reef scale. Our findings suggest more realistic experimental conditions, including studies across gradients of 50 51 anthropogenic nutrient enrichment as well as the incorporation of varied nutrient and energy 52 pathways, may facilitate conservation efforts to mitigate coral loss.

53

54 Introduction

Understanding processes that drive nutrient dynamics within an ecosystem is a fundamental challenge in ecology. Nutrients are often limiting resources for productivity, and thus knowledge of how nutrients cycle (i.e., via fluxes and pools) within ecosystems has important implications for theory, as well as for conservation and management of ecosystems (Chapin et al. 2011). Unlike many ecosystems where the availability of nutrients is often positively correlated with productivity, coral reefs provide a 'nutrient paradox' in that they are among the most productive

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Commented [JEA1]: R2: line 41-46: could be combined and streamlined. -Any suggestions here. Even a slight change can be useful to say we did something

ecosystems in the world but typically thrive in highly oligotrophic environments. It is 61 62 hypothesized that the high levels of coral reef productivity are due to extremely efficient nutrient 63 recycling at both the organismal (e.g., coral-symbiotic algae) and ecosystem levels (Odum and 64 Odum 1955, Hatcher 1988, 1990). But exogenous sources of nutrients, such as those from upwelling (e.g., Rougerie et al. 1992), plankton (e.g., Richter et al. 2001), fishes (e.g., Meyer et 65 al. 1983), and seabirds (e.g., Graham et al. 2018), also contribute to enhanced production on 66 67 coral reefs. In contrast, anthropogenic nutrient enrichment is typically associated with negative impacts to coral reefs, with some important and interesting exceptions (e.g., see Szmant 2002). 68 69 Despite significant attention to this topic of nutrient enrichment (Fabricius 2005, D'Angelo and 70 Wiedenmann 2014), our basic understanding of how altered nutrient availability changes coral 71 nutrient dynamics remains limited. Fundamental to coral reef nutrient dynamics and productivity are scleractinian corals that 72 73 serves as the foundation species of these ecosystems. Their productivity and growth through 74 calcification depend on internal nutrient and energy exchange between the coral host and the 75 symbiotic algae (family: Symbiodiniaceae) within its tissues (Muscatine and Porter 1977). 76 Through photosynthesis, symbiotic algae provide organic carbon (C) to the coral host that in turn provides the algae with re-mineralized nutrients, e.g., nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) 77 78 (Muscatine and Porter 1977). Coral also feed heterotrophically, and thus can vacillate along a 79 continuum between heterotrophic and autotrophic nutrient acquisition to optimize efficiency under various conditions and resource availability, such as with nutrient enrichment (Porter 80 81 1976, Anthony and Fabricius 2000, Grottoli et al. 2006, Houlbreque and Ferrier-Pages 2009). 82 But there are costs associated with shifts from heterotrophy to autotrophy that alter organismal 83 nutrient cycling and influence productivity (Levas et al. 2016). For example, symbiotic algae are believed to be N-limited (Falkowski et al. 1993, Yellowlees et al. 2008, Wiedenmann et al. 84 2013), and under increased ambient availability of N have been shown to increase in density 85 (Muscatine et al. 1989, Falkowski et al. 1993, Ezzat et al. 2015). Increased symbiont density can 86 stimulate competition for the intracellular pool of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) between the 87 88 coral host and the symbiotic algae, that require DIC for calcification of the coral skeleton and 89 photosynthesis, respectively (Marubini and Davies 1996, but see also Hoadley et al. 2016). Such 90 scenarios that many alter C or nutrient allocation may be associated with 'harmful' effects from 91 human nutrient inputs. Yet, depending on the coral species and the severity or duration of

changing environmental conditions, shifts in nutrient acquisition can have variable outcomes
(Grottoli et al. 2006, Palardy et al. 2008, Anthony et al. 2009, Shantz et al. 2016). Understanding
underlying organismal mechanisms of how corals respond to such changes remains an important
challenge for coral reef ecology and conservation.

96 Research to understand how coral respond to different regimes of nutrient availability (e.g., 97 anthropogenic nutrient enrichment) often isolates potential drivers through experimental 98 manipulations in laboratory settings. Such experiments can help identify mechanistic 99 relationships, but one concern is that the experimental environment unlikely reflects the complex 100 setting that typifies a coral reef. Further, nutrients are often manipulated to reflect scenarios that 101 can exceed even extreme anthropogenic enrichment conditions (reviewed by Szmant, 2002). 102 This approach has been useful for determining thresholds of tolerance to high doses of nutrients, but the dynamic relationships that underpin the coral-symbiotic algae in situ, or under more 103 104 realistic nutrient enrichment scenarios, have not been researched as extensively. 105 Here we present a long-term (10-month) experiment to test how coral-symbiont C and 106 nutrient relationships change under altered nutrient regimes; the experiment includes a 107 recognized beneficial scenario-fish excretion (ammonium plus phosphate enrichment; (Meyer 108 et al. 1983, Holbrook et al. 2008, Shantz et al. 2015, Huntington et al. 2017, Shaver and Silliman 109 2017), and a recognized detrimental scenario-anthropogenic fertilizer (ammonium plus nitrate 110 plus phosphate enrichment; (Dubinsky and Stambler 1996, Fabricius 2011, Thurber et al. 2014). 111 We transplanted 128 coral fragments, from two species of scleractinian coral (Acropora palmata 112 and *Porites porites*), onto 16 artificial reefs in The Bahamas, with two treatments: (1) varying 113 densities of fish generated by differing artificial reef structures (n = 16 ARs, see Figure 1), and 114 (2) moderate levels of nutrient enrichment from fertilizer (n = 8). For each fragment, we 115 measured nine response variables that included: coral growth rate, symbiotic algal density, elemental nutrient content (C and N for coral and algal tissue and P for algal tissue), and natural 116 abundance of stable isotopes (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N). Specifically, we had three objectives: 117 1) Test how nine response variables of coral and symbiotic algae change across the fish and 118 119 anthropogenic nutrient gradient.

120 2) Test seven prevailing hypotheses generated from the literature of coral-symbiont nutrient121 and carbon relationships (see Figure 2) under the two nutrient regimes.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Commented [JEA2]: I don't know what more to do about this comment. This paragraph is fine. I have added text to the response doc. See what you thinkg.

122	3) Quantify potentially unidentified coral-symbiotic algal nutr	rient and carbon relationships
123	under the two nutrient regimes.	

124

125 Our study had three key strengths: (1) it was a long-term field experiment, (2) the complex, nonlethal nature of nutrient enrichment design, and (3) the large number of response variables 126 127 measured for both coral and algae. Key findings from our study were that coral growth rate 128 increased with fish-mediated nutrients, but anthropogenic nutrients, surprisingly, had no 129 additional effect. Nonetheless, we found that coral-symbiont associations were different under conditions of anthropogenic nutrients, whereby diverse nutrient and C relationships under fish-130 131 mediated nutrients shifted to being dominated by the algal symbiont. These findings provide new 132 perspectives on how anthropogenic nutrients 'rewire' coral-symbiont and coral-coral

133 interactions, and we discuss how these might inform avenues of future research.

135 Methods

134

136 Experimental Design 137 The study was conducted in The Bight of Old Robinson, Abaco, The Bahamas (N26 20.735 138 W77 00.016), a semi-enclosed bay dominated by seagrass that is interspersed with sand and 139 hard-bottom habitats (Yeager et al. 2011). We took advantage of an on-going, artificial reef 140 nutrient enrichment study, see Allgeier et al. (2018). The study included 16 artificial reefs, 141 constructed in December 2010 from 30 cinder blocks (~40cm x 20 cm x 20 cm) in a pyramid shape ($\sim 100 \text{ cm x } 80 \text{ cm}$ at base, 60 cm height), on sparse seagrass habitat dominated by 142 common turtle grass, *Thalassia testudinum*, at a depth of 3-4 meters. Artificial reefs provide 143 144 replicable units of discrete size from which ecological responses in the local ecosystem can be 145 measured (Hixon and Beets 1989, Carr and Hixon 1997). Environmental conditions such as salinity, temperature (range from 29-31 C°), and irradiance are relatively consistent thought the 146 147 embayment (Allgeier et al. 2010, Allgeier et al. 2011, Stoner et al. 2011), thus likely varied little from reef to reef for the duration of the experiment, and were similar to conditions on nearby 148 149 coral reefs (< 1 km). 150 We manipulated nutrient regimes on reefs in two ways: (1) altered fish-mediated nutrient

supply via manipulated nutrient regimes on reers in two ways. (7) antered rish-mediated nutrient
supply via manipulation of the reef structure (+/- F), and (2) nutrient enrichment via the addition
of fertilizer (+/- N; Florikan 18-6-8 NPK 8 month, type 270; the N fraction of the fertilizer is





183 Figure 1. A) Reef-level bar plots of nutrient input (four reefs per treatment), differentiating fish-mediated and fertilizer nutrients. B) Treatment-level bar plots of nutrient input with significance tests. -/+F = low/high fish treatment, -/+N = absence/presence of fertilizer. This article is protected by a supervised. All rights programs d

Nutri ent enric hmen

t

184 Fish-mediated nutrient supply was quantified by modeling species-specific nutrient supply 185 rates onto repeated visual census data that estimated fish abundance and size. This approach has 186 been conducted previously by the authors on these same reefs (see Allgeier et al. 2013, 2018, 187 Layman et al. 2013), and other coral reef ecosystems in the Caribbean (e.g., Allgeier et al. 2015), 188 and is described in greater detail in Appendix B. Importantly, because the exact density of fish 189 could not be explicitly manipulated, the high/low fish treatment also provided a continuous 190 gradient of fish-mediated nutrient supply across all 16 reefs used for Objectives 2 and 3 (Figure 191 1A).

192 Anthropogenic nutrient enrichment was simulated using PVC diffusers filled with slow-193 release fertilizer Florikan (18-6-8 NPK, type 270, 8 month; the N fraction of the fertilizer is 194 8.3:9.7 ratio NO_3 : NH_4^+). Seven diffusers filled with ~500 g were suspended around each reef, on glass fritted poles, ~ 0.5 meters above the substrate. Diffusers have been changed every three 195 196 months since December 2010 as part of an on-going enrichment study (Allgeier et al. 2018); they 197 were changed every two months for the duration of this study to ensure more consistent 198 enrichment effects. Because of the low ambient nutrient availability (<20 µg/L NH₄⁺, <5 µg/L 199 PO₄; Allgeier et al. 2010, Stoner et al. 2011) and high levels of uptake, water column nutrients are not a reliable source for estimating enrichment effects (Allgeier et al. 2013, 2018, Smith et al. 200 201 1981). Nutrient release rates from fertilizer were estimated by calculating the total mass loss of 202 fertilizer on subset of diffusers (n=7) after deployment for 90 days (2.7 ± 0.3 SD, 0.039 ± 0.0042 203 SD, g reef¹ day⁻¹, for N and P, respectively; see Appendix B and Allgeier et al. (2018) for further 204 detail).

205 The likelihood that the coral fragments (located <1.5 meters from the diffusers and directly 206 in the center of fish activity; Appendix A,B) are affected by both sources of nutrients is high 207 because previous work on these same reefs has shown that seagrass is affected by fish nutrient 208 supply (Allgeier et al. 2013, Layman et al. 2013) and fertilizer (Allgeier et al. 2018) at a minimum of 3, and up to 8, meters from reefs (Appendix B). We can accurately estimate the 209 210 rates of nutrient supply from fishes moving within and around reefs, and from each nutrient 211 diffuser, and thus the amount of nutrient supply at the reef-scale. But the complex nature of the 212 environmental conditions, namely currents in a non-directional and tidal-dominated system, 213 precludes estimation of the exact amount of nutrients that reach the coral fragments. For these 214 reasons, we use our estimates of nutrient supply from fish and fertilizer to characterize the

Commented [JEA3]: Line 193-196: Did you actually measure this? These experiments were done at different times, so I am not sure how you can be "confident". Please rewrite this, and specify.

different nutrient regimes (fish only, and fish + anthropogenic nutrients) that a given coral
fragment is exposed to, but we do not suggest that this precisely represents the specific nutrient
supply rates or ratios that a given coral fragment experiences.

218

219 Coral Processing

220 Two phylogenetically diverse scleractinian species were used for this study: Acropora 221 palmata and Porites porites. In July 2015, corals were collected on nearby reefs at a depth of 2-3 222 meters. Fragments were ~30-40 cm² in size. Before deployment to experimental reefs, the corals 223 were photographed and weighed using the buoyant weight technique (Jokiel et al. 1978). Four 224 individuals of each species were suspended above the reef using ~30 cm length of monofilament 225 attached to a PVC rack (n=8 per reef; Appendix A Figure 1,2). 226 In May 2016, all coral fragments were removed from experimental treatments and 227 transferred in coolers filled with seawater and processed <5 h after collection. Fragments were

first weighed using the buoyant weight technique (Jokiel et al. 1978) before processing to

229 separate coral tissue and alga cells. Coral calcification rate was calculated per day and

230 normalized to coral surface area (mg cm⁻² day⁻¹), which was determined using a single paraffin

231 wax dipping at 65°C for 3 seconds (Stimson and Kinzie 1991, Veal et al. 2010).

Host tissue was removed from the coral skeleton with an airbrush in 0.45 µm filtered
seawater (Szmant and Gassman 1990). The saltwater tissue slurry was homogenized for 10 s
using a Tissue Tearor (BioSpec Products, Bartlesville, OK, USA) and subsamples were taken
and preserved in formalin for symbiotic algae density quantification. Cell enumerations were
done via replicate hemocytometer counts (n=8) using light microscopy. Symbiotic algal densities
were normalized to the skeletal surface area determined by the foil method (Marsh 1970).
Symbiotic algae and coral tissue were separated by a series of centrifugation washes. Each

algal and coral fraction was microscopically verified to ensure homogeneity, and placed on precombusted Whatman GF/F glass microfiber filters, sealed in individual bags, and kept frozen at
-20°C until analyzed. Elemental analysis for percent C and N, as well as the natural abundance of
stable isotopes (δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N) for coral tissue and algae were measured using a Carlo Erba CHN
Elemental Analyzer (Model NA1500) coupled to Thermo Finnigan Delta V Isotope Ratio Mass
Spectrometer via a Thermo Finnigan Conflo III Interface. Percent P was measured using dry
oxidation-acid hydrolysis extraction followed by colorimetric analysis (Alpkem RF300). All

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Commented [JEA4]: No comments needed in main text – addressed in full in response

246	elemental laboratory analyses were conducted at the University of Georgia, Center for Applied		
247	Isotope Studies.		
248			
249	Statistical Analysis		
250	We used two statistical modeling approaches to accomplish our three objectives outlined		
251	above.		
252	• Objective 1 tested treatment-level effects (fish-mediated and fish + anthropogenic		
253	nutrients) on the nine measures of coral and algae. To do this we ran a three-way		
254	ANOVA with an additional test for a block effect on all samples across all reefs		
255	(response = $F(+/-) * N(+/-)$ species + block).		
256	• Objective 2 tested how well seven hypotheses regarding coral-symbiont relationships,		
257	drawn from the literature (see Figure 2), explained the observed coral-symbiont		
258	interactions under the two nutrient regimes using confirmatory structural equation		
259	modelling.		
260	• Objective 3 extended the approach from Obj. 2 to quantify potentially unidentified coral-		
261	symbiont nutrient and carbon relationships under the two nutrient regimes using		
262	exploratory structural equation modelling.		
263	Structural equation models (SEMs) are probabilistic models specifying causal relationships		
264	between predictor and response variables in a single network. SEMs can incorporate indirect		
265	effects by allowing response variables to be functions of other response variables (Grace et al.		
266	2012). SEMs consist of multiple individual component models (i.e., here they are linear		
267	regressions) that each test for specific hypotheses. They can be used in a confirmatory manner to		
268	test these specific hypotheses (used for Objective 2) or in an exploratory manner to identify		
269	unspecified relationships and remove unimportant ones (used for Objective 3). Using the SEM		
270	approach, we were able to move beyond conventional approaches (e.g., ANOVA used for		
271	Objective 1) to identify and better understand the important coral-symbiont relationships as they		
272	pertain to different nutrient regimes of fish and anthropogenic enrichment.		
273	We generated a single SEM that consisted of seven component models – each articulating		
274	specific hypothesis that were drawn from the literature (Figure 2). This same model structure		
275	was used for Objective 2 and 3 (see below). These hypotheses included relationships between		
276	coral and symbiont elemental content and natural abundance of stable isotopes, as well as		

277	symbiotic alga densities (cm ⁻²) and coral growth (mg cm ⁻² d ⁻¹); see Figure 2 for the hypothesis-
278	based component models and appropriate citations. The hypothesized relationships outlined in
279	Figure 2 are not specified in terms of directionality (positive or negative); however, the output
280	

Nuthor Manuscr



Figure 2. Hypothesized C and nutrient relationships (or pathways) within the coral-algal symbiosis. Boxes are nutritional and physiological attributes of the coral (light blue) and the algal symbionts (dark blue). Arrows between boxes describe established pathways of nutrient and energy exchange between the coral and algae reported in the literature, as indicated by numbers and described by the component models in the table below. The hypothesized relationships outlined here are not specified in terms of directionality (positive or negative); however, output from the SEM provided directionality (Figure 4). DOM: Dissolved organic matter (i.e., photosynthates, amino acids, lipids), POM: Particulate organic matter (i.e., phytoplankton and zooplankton), DIC: Dissolved inorganic carbon (i.e., bicarbonate), DIN: Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (i.e., ammonium, nitrate), DIP: Dissolved inorganic phosphorus (i.e., phosphate).

281

from the SEM provided directionality, an important benefit of using SEMs because past findings 282 283 have found both negative and positive effects of, for example, nutrient supply on coral growth. 284 The seven component models were run using the *piecewiseSEM* package in R following 285 Lefcheck (2016) to generate two outcomes (one for each objective). For Objective 2, we 286 conducted a confirmatory analysis to test for support for the specified hypotheses, herein referred to as the 'Confirmatory model'. For Objective 3, using the same initial SEM with the seven 287 288 component models, we incorporated a stepwise process to explore important unspecified 289 relationships and eliminate specified relationships that were not significant from Objective 2 290 (Figure 2). By considering alternative models, we identified the best candidate model according 291 to AICc (corrected for sample size) and Fisher's C statistic (Grace et al. 2012), herein referred to 292 as the 'Exploratory model'. The stepwise process was conducted as follows: (1) Shipley's test of directional separation 293 294 (Shipley 2009) was run across the component models to determine missing significant 295 relationships between variables present in the SEM; (2) the missing relationships were added, 296 and this alternative model was run to determine the significance of model relationships, whereby 297 those with p-value>0.1 were removed - this step was conducted because some models with

298 marginally significant relationships had lower AICc (Burnham and Anderson 2002); and (3) we 299 then tested a series of candidate models by removing any non-significant relationships (p-300 value>0.05). The goodness-of-fit (Fisher's C statistic) and AICc were used to assess the best 301 model. To assess model validity, we plotted residuals against fitted values for each component 302 model. The data were hierarchically structured and thus each component model included random 303 effects for block, reef, and species. Although understanding the species-level effects is of 304 interest, we used species as a random effect for two reasons: (1) despite being significant in our 305 treatment-level analyses, there were no significant interactions between the species term and either nutrient treatment, suggesting that although there was a different mean effect by species, 306 the magnitude of change across treatments was similar (i.e., different intercept but not slope), 307 and (2) given the number of parameters of interest we had insufficient data to run separate 308 309 models for each species and for each nutrient regime, as the ratio of sample size to variables 310 should not be less than five (Grace et al. 2015). Variables that did not follow a normal

311 distribution were either log- or square root-transformed.

312	The Confirmatory model and Exploratory model approach were both applied to each nutrient	
313	regime scenario in our experiment, e.g., using the continuous gradient of fish-mediated nutrient	
314	supply with no fertilizer (+F-N or -F-N), and fish-mediated nutrient supply with fertilizer (+F+N	
315	or -F+N). This resulted in four separate SEMs (two each for <i>Objective 2</i> and <i>3</i>), each represented	
316	by path diagrams, allowing simple visualization of important relationships (or pathways) in the	
317	model (e.g., Figure 4). Standardized regression coefficients for each relationship and conditional	
318	r^2 values for each component model were used to compare differences among models.	
319	Results	
320	The experimental design created significantly different nutrient regimes across treatments	
321	(Figure 1B), consistent with previous research on these reefs (Allgeier et al. 2018). Of the 128	
322	individual coral fragments 13 died (3, 5, 3, and 2, from -F-N, +F-N, -F+N, and +F+N treatments,	
323	respectively), only one of which was <i>P. porites</i> . An additional eight were excluded due to large	
324	tissue lesions that likely affected fragment growth (half of which were from fertilized reefs),	
325	leaving 47 and 60 live coral fragments of A. palmata, and P. porites, respectively.	(
326	Objective 1) Coral growth (mg cm ⁻² day ⁻¹) and coral C:N increased significantly in the	
327	presence of high fish-mediated nutrient supply, but anthropogenic nutrients had no additional	
328	negative or positive effect (Figure 3). There were no significant effects due to anthropogenic	
329	nutrient enrichment (Figure 3). The interaction term between fish and anthropogenic nutrients	
330	was never significant. Species differed in the magnitude of their response to treatments in all	
331	cases, with the exception of symbiotic algal $\delta^{15}N$ in which there was no significant difference. In	
332	no case did species differ in the direction of the response, i.e., there were no significant	
333	treatment-species interactions. A significant block effect was found for algal C:N (p-value =	
334	0.05), algal δ^{13} C, and algal δ^{15} N.	(
335	Objective 2) Our 'Confirmatory model' allowed us to test seven hypotheses of coral-	
336	symbiotic algal nutrient and carbon interactions drawn from the literature (Figure 2), and found	
337	limited support for most under either nutrient regime scenario (Figure 4). These hypotheses were	
338	characterized by specific coral-symbiotic algae nutrient relationships, called 'pathways'	
339	following Grace et al. 2012, and are indicated by black and red arrows (positive and negative,	
340	respectively) of which only a few were significant ($p < 0.05$, solid arrows; Figure 4). Key findings	
341	from these models were: (1) the overall hypothesis support was similar across the two nutrient	
342	regimes, largely because most pathways were not significant in either (p >0.05, dashed arrows;	

-

Commented [JEA5]: R2:

Results:

Subsections are needed for reabability. How are the species differences accounted for? There are many differences, but the conclusions don't seem to address this. What this actually mean for the physiology of the coral ?

I am not sure how your findings indicate "rewiring".

Commented [JEA6]: Specie shit for R2



Figure 3. Treatment-level means for each of the nine variables, measured for each coral fragment, with associated significance for a three-way ANOVA, including a test for a block effect. -/+F = low/high fish treatment, -/+N = absence/presence of fertilizer. There were no significant F*N interactions. Species differed in the magnitude of their response to treatments in all cases, with the exception of symbiotic algal δ^{15} N in which there was no significant difference. In no case did species differ in the direction of the response, i.e., there were no significant treatment-species interactions. *P. porites* and *A. palmata* are light green and dark green respectively.

- Figure 4a and b); (2) algal %P positively affected algal density (p < 0.05) in the fish-only
- 344 treatments (Figure 4a; Figure 2, component model 6); (3) coral δ^{13} C was positively correlated
- 345 with coral growth (p < 0.05) only under the fish only regime (Figure 4c: Figure 2, component
- 346 model 7); (4) under the anthropogenic nutrient regime, coral δ^{15} N was negatively correlated with
- 347 coral C:N (p<0.05; Figure 4b; Figure 2, component model 1); (5) Component model 2 was
- 348 partially confirmed by the correlation between algal and coral δ^{13} C; and (6) Component model 3
- 349 was partially confirmed in that algal C:N is correlated to coral C:N. In summary, the

Confirmatory models did not provide an overall good fit to the data in either nutrient regime 350 351 scenario; Fisher's C p-value = 0, and a model is interpreted as being consistent with the data if 352 the Fisher's C statistic is small and its *p*-value is large, i.e., alpha > 0.05 (Grace et al 2012). 353 Objective 3) Our goal was not to belabor confirmation or rejection of the literature-generated hypotheses, particularly because they were all generated under different conditions and with 354 355 various coral species. Instead, a primary motivation was to use previous findings to frame our 356 Exploratory models with the objective to identify novel pathways and generate new hypotheses 357 from which to motivate future research (Grace 2012, Lefcheck 2016). The Exploratory models 358 were generated using the Confirmatory model as the initial model structure to begin the stepwise 359 model selection process. For both nutrient regimes, the Shipley's test of directed separation 360 indicated that many significant, and reasonably plausible, pathways were missing. The 361 Exploratory models allowed us to identify the pathways that most accurately represent coralsymbiont interactions by including previously missing, and excluding non-significant, 362 363 relationships to generate the best-fit model (see Figure 4 for differences in AICc scores between 364 Confirmatory models and Exploratory models). 365 A key finding from the Exploratory models was that under the fish only regime, coral-366 symbiotic algal interactions were more diverse in terms the number of significant pathways 367 between variables (Figure 4c); under elevated anthropogenic nutrient enrichment, these pathways 368 became strongly mediated through the algal symbiont (Figure 4d). A useful aspect of SEMs is 369 that they identify which variables could not be explained by any other parameter in our model, 370 called exogenous variables. Under the fish only regime there were three exogenous variables, coral δ^{15} N, algal δ^{13} C, and coral C:N. In contrast, under the anthropogenic nutrient regime, there 371 372 was only one exogenous variable, algal δ^{13} C, highlighting the dominance of this variable for all 373 pathways.





Figure 4. Path diagrams of the *Confirmatory* and *Exploratory* SEMs that depict the significant (solid arrows) and non-significant (dashed arrows) relationships between coral (light blue) and algal (dark blue) variables in a single SEM. Arrow thickness corresponds to the standardized regression coefficient (-1 to 1), and arrow color refers to the direction of the relationship (black: positive, red: negative). The Confirmatory models include all of the tested hypotheses. The exploratory models are the best-fit models generated through a stepwise process for each nutrient enrichment scenario. Excluded variables (those that were not important for the model) are shown in smaller boxes (ambient nutrients in c and d). Model statistics include conditional R² (R²_c) for the response variable of each component model, Fisher's C (a lower score indicating a better fit), *p*-value (alpha = 0.05), and AICc for each complete SEM.

- 374 The *Exploratory model* for the fish only nutrient regime showed that coral growth was
- 375 directly affected by coral δ^{13} C (positively) and coral C:N (negatively)(Figure 4c; Figure 2,
- 376 component model 7). Under the fish-only nutrient regime, coral growth was indirectly mediated
- 377 through coral δ^{13} C by two factors: symbiotic algal δ^{13} C (positive) this strong correlation
- 378 confirmed that corals derive most of their C via algal produced photosynthate (Figure 2;

component model 2); and coral $\delta^{15}N$ (positive) - showing that heterotrophic feeding also positively contributes C flow to the coral. Symbiotic algal density had no direct or indirect effect on coral growth. Ambient nutrients were excluded from the best-fit model, as they did not significantly affect any other variable and did not improve the model fit – an expected finding because the random effect on reef in our model largely accounted for the variation in nutrient input. When this random effect was removed, ambient nutrients positively affected coral C:N and coral growth.

386 The best-fit Exploratory model under the anthropogenic nutrient scenario showed that coral 387 growth was only influenced by symbiotic algal δ^{13} C (Figure 4d). Despite being non-significant, 388 effects of algal %P on coral growth (negative) and on symbiont density (positive) were retained 389 in the model because of an improved fit relative to the model without these pathways ($\Delta AICc$ 390 7209.3). An additional difference associated with the presence of anthropogenic nutrients was 391 the decoupling of coral-coral C or nutrient pathways, e.g., under the fish-alone nutrient regimes 392 there were three direct and one indirect, coral-coral C or nutrient pathways, whereas under the 393 anthropogenic regime there was only one. Environmental nutrients were excluded from the best-394 fit model as it was not significantly affected by any other variable and did not contribute 395 substantially to the model fit.

396

397 Discussion

398 The mechanisms that underpin how coral respond to anthropogenic nutrient enrichment and 399 the degree to which this relates to global declines in coral reef health remain poorly understood (Szmant 2002, D'Angelo and Wiedenmann 2014). Central to this problem is identifying how 400 nutrient enrichment can alter the interaction between the coral host and its symbiotic algae. 401 402 Previous work has been dominated by experiments that expose coral to high levels of nutrients 403 that often exceed those found on eutrophied reefs, with the expectation of negative effects on 404 coral. The goal of our study was to shift perspectives by exploring the effect of in situ enrichment from 'beneficial' nutrients (fish excretion only), and the combination of 'beneficial' 405 406 and 'harmful' (anthropogenic nutrients), where all treatments were a priori anticipated to be non-407 lethal. Whereas findings from our treatment-level analyses corroborate previous research 408 showing beneficial effects of fish-mediated nutrients for coral growth (Meyer et al. 1983, Holbrook et al. 2008, Shantz et al. 2015, Huntington et al. 2017, Shaver and Silliman 2017), 409

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Commented [JEA7]: R2:

Discussion: Many statements are made without any confirmation of the data that was collected. For example, line 392: needs refs, why is fish poop beneficial, it isn't always? There are no refs to check this statement. Additionally, it would help to put this in context of the results that you actually measured.

I think that overall there is great information in this paper, but it needs to be rewritten with better context and explanation of why and what was done. Additionally, please check for sweeping statements that are not supported by your data.

Commented [JEA8]: Secondly, while it is novel that nutrients had an impact of the symbiotic nutrient metabolism without any adverse affects for coral health, I don't think it is suprising. A very similar "transitional" state of the nutrient metabolism from symbiont mutualism to symbiont parasitism has been shown before in relation to thermal stress. Gibbin et al 2018 showed that short-term termperature acclimation resulted in reconfiguration of carbon and nitrogen metabolism when the coral-algal symbiosis, and without any physiological impact. In this instance, it was not known whether this change was a result of host acclimation or symbiont parasitism. Other experiments have shown positive (e.g. Krueger et al. 2017) or negative (e.g. Baker et al. 2018) responses of corals to sub-bleaching thermal stress associated with changes to carbon and nitrogen metabolism. It seems to me that a transition from a cooperative to uncooperative nutrient metabolism may well be pre-cursor to many stress responses in corals, regardless of the environmental driver (reviewed in Morris et al. 2019 for coral bleaching). I think this would be worth mentioning as well

410 anthropogenic nutrients were found to be relatively benign. These findings contrast general 411 expectations of negative effects of nutrient enrichment, but support findings that moderate 412 enrichment may positively affect coral growth (D'Angelo and Wiedenmann 2014, Shantz et al. 413 2016). Despite this, a more comprehensive analysis of all coral and symbiotic algae relationships 414 revealed that anthropogenic nutrients highly altered internal nutrient and carbon relationships 415 such that they were dominated by the algal symbiont. These findings highlight a striking parallel 416 between how anthropogenic nutrients promote symbiont dominance with the holobiont, and how 417 they promote macroalgal dominance at the coral-reef scale (Fabricius 2005, D'Angelo and Wiedenmann 2014). We hypothesize that our experiment captured coral in a transition state, 418 419 from a mutualistic symbiosis to a potentially antagonistic interaction (D'Angelo and 420 Wiedenmann 2014, Shantz et al. 2016), and provide a new perspective on the mechanisms by which a single stressor-nutrient enrichment-can compromise coral such that they are more 421 422 susceptible to additional stressors such as overfishing or climate change. 423 Our experimental design allowed us to analyze results categorically at the treatment-level 424 (ANOVAs), and in a continuous regression framework (SEMs). From the treatment-level 425 perspective, findings revealed that fish-mediated nutrients enhanced coral growth rates -426 corroborating previous findings (Meyer et al. 1983, Holbrook et al. 2008, Shantz et al. 2015, 427 Huntington et al. 2017). Addition of anthropogenic nutrients did not change the overall positive 428 effect of fish-mediated nutrients, demonstrating that we achieved our goal of non-lethal 429 enrichment, which was supported by a $\sim 90\%$ survivorship of transplanted coral – a high success rate for coral transplantation (Okubo et al. 2005). At face value, this finding contrasts previous 430 findings that anthropogenic nutrients are detrimental for coral growth and survival (Marubini and 431 432 Davies 1996, Fabricius 2005), particularly because the fertilizer treatment did not significantly 433 alter any response variable. However, this is an important finding in light of the results from our 434 more comprehensive SEM analysis, as it demonstrates coral were able to maintain net positive 435 growth and homeostasis in internal nutrient pools despite changes in the way in which the coral mediates nutrients between these pools. One hypothesis supporting these findings is that coral 436 437 have likely evolved relatively high levels of flexibility in coping with variable nutrient inputs at the reef-scale from sources, such as upwelling (Rougerie et al. 1992) and great variation in 438 439 consumer-mediated nutrient supply (Allgeier et al. 2014), but also spatially within reefs at more localized scales (Meyer et al. 1983, Holbrook et al. 2008, Shantz et al. 2015, Graham et al. 2018, 440

441 Savage 2019). In a more general sense, these findings suggest the outward appearance of coral at 442 the individual- or reef-scale may be an insufficient measure of stress, and that additional 443 assessment of nutrient and energy pathways may be needed to assess reef health. 444 Extending our analysis by using SEMs to fit more complex models revealed a more nuanced 445 understanding of the dynamics of the coral-symbiont system. Our Confirmatory model approach allowed us to simultaneously test seven hypotheses of coral-symbiont inorganic nutrient and 446 447 carbon interactions drawn from the literature in a single SEM that was applied independently to 448 the fish-only (F+N- and F-N-), and the fish + anthropogenic nutrient regimes (F+N+, F-N+; Figure 2 and 4a and b). These models confirmed an essential energy pathway of C transfer 449 450 between coral and algae with the directional positive relationship between coral and algal δ^{13} C 451 (Figure 4a and b) – a hallmark relationship of all animal-algal mutualisms that indicates algae are providing their host with fixed C through photosynthesis (Venn et al. 2008). Whereas other 452 hypothesized relationships were confirmed (e.g., coral $\delta^{13}C$ – coral growth, coral C:N – algal 453 C:N, coral δ^{15} N – coral C:N, algal %P – algal density) the overall poor model fit for both 454 455 Confirmatory models suggests that coral growth is more likely mediated through additional 456 pathways. 457 Building on our *Confirmatory models* and the hypotheses provided by past work (e.g., cited 458 studies in Figure 2), a key outcome of our Exploratory models was that the anthropogenic 459 nutrient regimes fundamentally restructured nutrient and C coral-symbiont interactions (Figure 4). Under conditions of fish-only nutrients, coral growth was supported directly by two 460 pathways: (1) increased availability of N in coral tissue (negative relationship with coral C:N), 461 and (2) higher availability of photosynthate (positive relationship with coral δ^{13} C; Tremblay et 462 al. 2014, Tremblay et al. 2015, Tanaka et al. 2018). Coral growth was additionally supported by 463 two indirect pathways: (1) the positive relationship between algal δ^{13} C and coral δ^{13} C showing a 464 positive relationship between algal photosynthesis and coral growth, and (2) the positive 465 relationship with coral δ^{15} N suggesting that coral were also feeding heterotrophically (Muscatine 466 et al. 2005) and that this positively influenced growth. 467 468 In contrast to the more diverse pathways that underpinned coral growth under fish-only nutrient enrichment, coral growth was likely only supported by photosynthate from the algae in 469

- 470 the anthropogenic nutrient regime as indicated by a direct positive pathway from algal δ^{13} C
- 471 (Muscatine et al. 2005). In fact, a key finding from the *Exploratory* anthropogenic nutrient model

was overall algal dominance of all nutrient and C pathways (Figure 4d). For example, algal δ^{13} C 472 473 was linked to every other variable in the model, with the exception of coral δ^{15} N, via six direct 474 and two indirect pathways, whereas in the fish only model algal δ^{13} C was associated with only 475 three direct and three indirect pathways. These findings are consistent with past work that shows 476 that moderate nutrient levels can increase algal dominance in the holobiont without necessarily 477 having an effect on coral growth (Tanaka et al. 2007). However, algal proliferation may lead to 478 stress and increasingly antagonistic interactions for the coral - the primary mechanism for this 479 being that increased algal densities create a greater demand of resources on the coral, shifting the 480 mutualism toward antagonism with negative consequences for growth or calcification (Marubini 481 and Davies 1996), and/or increased susceptibility to bleaching (Baker and Cunning 2012, Wiedenmann et al. 2013). Yet, we found no evidence that algal cell density increased in response 482 483 to the anthropogenic nutrient enrichment regime. Instead, we found that algal cell density was no longer significantly predicted by any coral or algal variables (Figure 4D), as was the case under 484 485 the fish-only conditions (e.g., %Palgae was positively related to algal density; Figure 4C). It is possible, however, that the increased ambient availability of nutrients from our fertilizer 486 487 disrupted internal nutrient dynamics such that the algal density was more strongly governed by 488 extrinsic nutrient availability. Further, previous research has shown that the presence of nitrate 489 from anthropogenic nutrients (that includes NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, whereas fish excretion is exclusively 490 NH_4^+) would in of itself encourage algal proliferation within the host (Ezzat et al. 2015, Shantz 491 et al. 2016). As such, it is possible that other metrics of symbiont growth would have supported this expectation (e.g., increased cell size, or density per host protein or lipid content). 492 493 Nonetheless, we hypothesize we did not find increases in algal density because of the moderate 494 level of our enrichment regime. We suggest this provides further support that our experiment 495 captured coral at a transition state whereby further increases in ambient nutrient availability would result in increased algal densities with potentially harmful implications for the coral host. 496 Our findings help identify new, and hone existing, hypotheses that we hope can inform future 497 research to understand coral-symbiotic algal interactions and how they respond to changing 498 environmental conditions. Resulting Hypothesis 1: Considering interactions between the coral 499 500 host and the algal symbiont in a traditional food web context may be a useful framework through 501 which to quantitatively understand coral health. The successful application of SEMs in our analysis highlights the potential utility of framing the complex coral-algal symbiont relationships 502

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Commented [JEA9]: Lines 468-479: Although symbiont cell density did not increase per surface area under anthropogenic nutrients, maybe other metrics of symbiont growth changed. For example, maybe they increased in density per host protein instead. Maybe they invested in increasing chlorophyll production, cell size and nutrient storage. While perhaps the nutrient content/isotope evidence presented suggests this is not the case, I don't think it can be ruled out and it should be mentioned.

503 in a food web context whereby food web networks (e.g., Dunne et al. 2002), or interaction 504 strengths (e.g., McCann et al. 1998), could directly quantify the diversity and stability of these 505 interactions under changing environmental conditions. The use of isotopes, either natural 506 abundance, or tracers, could also complement this approach by providing means to quantify 507 interaction strengths. Resulting Hypothesis 2: Coral-symbiotic algal interactions exist along a continuum between mutualism and antagonism that is highly dynamic. Our findings provide new 508 509 evidence in support of this long-standing hypothesis by showing that anthropogenic nutrients can to some extent appear benign (e.g., no effect on growth), but also fundamentally shift internal 510 511 processes. This strongly suggests that corals can persist under highly variable relationships with 512 their symbiont. Similar evidence suggest that coral subjected to short-term temperature shift can 513 exhibit substantial reconfiguration of their coral-symbiont interactions that appear 'benign' in that they do show pronounced external changes (Morris et al. 2019). Therefore it appears that the 514 515 transition state that we hypothesize captured in our study, may also occur from additional 516 stressors. A key challenge is to extend experiments to include an even greater range of nutrients 517 (or other stressors) such that species interaction dynamics can be more rigorously captured. 518 Resulting Hypothesis 3: The ratio (N:P) of nutrient supply may be more important than supply 519 rate for understanding nutrient enrichment for coral. This hypothesis is rooted in the resource 520 ratio hypothesis (Tilman 1982), ecological stoichiometry (Sterner and Elser 2002), and more 521 contemporary work with corals (e.g., Wiedenmann et al. 2013). It is also supported by this study 522 in that the N:P ratio across the two nutrient regimes differed more than the nutrient supply rates 523 (Allgeier et al. 2018). Previous work has supported the hypothesis that fishes supply nutrients at an optimal ratio for coral, and this may be disrupted by the low N:P ratio of anthropogenic 524 525 nutrients (Allgeier et al. 2014). However, testing this requires overcoming the fundamental 526 challenge for any field-based study where water movement and/or fish movement are present -527 i.e., knowing the exact amount of nutrients coral are subjected to, a key limitation in this study. 528 Substantial debate remains over the role of anthropogenic nutrient enrichment for coral 529 health (see discussion in Bruno et al. 2019). A key challenge is that nutrient enrichment often 530 does not result in drastic changes in ambient nutrient avialability, making it difficult to quantify 531 net effects to ecosystems. For example, in a long-term study over the course of nearly four 532 decades in the Floriday Keys, Lapointe et al. (2019) found a marginal increase in dissolved inorganic nitrogen over time, and negligible increases in NH4⁺, NO3⁻, or PO4³⁻, despite the 533

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Commented [JEA10]:

Secondly, while it is novel that nutrients had an impact of the symbiotic nutrient metabolism without any adverse affects for coral health. I don't think it is suprising. A very similar "transitional" state of the nutrient metabolism from symbiont mutualism to symbiont parasitism has been shown before in relation to thermal stress. Gibbin et al 2018 showed that shortterm termperature acclimation resulted in reconfiguration of carbon and nitrogen metabolism when the coral-algal symbiosis, and without any physiological impact. In this instance, it was not known whether this change was a result of host acclimation or symbiont parasitism. Other experiments have shown positive (e.g. Krueger et al. 2017) or negative (e.g. Baker et al. 2018) responses of corals to sub-bleaching thermal stress associated with changes to carbon and nitrogen metabolism. It seems to me that a transition from a cooperative to uncooperative nutrient metabolism may well be pre-cursor to many stress responses in corals, regardless of the environmental driver (reviewed in Morris et al. 2019 for coral bleaching). I think this would be worth mentioning as well.

tremendous amount of nutrients entering the system from the Florida Everglades. While 534 535 Lapointe et al. (2019) claimed that these increases in DIN led to reduced coral cover, research in 536 the same region reported that that live coral cover was positively correlated to the proximity to 537 shore on 84 patch reefs, indicating that increased land-based nutrients may actually be promoting coral health (Lirman and Fong 2007). The fact that outcomes to nutrient enrichment on coral 538 539 reefs are so variable, plus findings from our study, provide a strong case that nutrient enrichment 540 effects on coral reefs maybe in fact common, but simply highly cryptic. The significance of this 541 for conservation is that improved monitoring of coral reefs to understand the degree to which 542 they are stressed, may require additionally sampling coral and algal tissue to characterize nutrient 543 and energy pathways. Insights from our research may also benefit the study of coral survivorship, and development 544 545 beyond early recruitment - processes that are considered to be essential for maintaining reef reslience (Gleason and Hofmann 2011, Doropoulos et al. 2016). Immediately following 546 547 settlement, coral are particularly susceptible to stressors (Graham et al. 2008), and further 548 understanding of the nutrient and energy pathways that underpin the early colonization of the 549 coral by symbiotic algae may provide important insights into this critical life history stage (Harii 550 et al. 2009, Graham et al. 2013, Humanes et al. 2017). Further, this approach may help identify 551 individuals or species that may be most resilient to stress associated with tranplantation. Our 552 findings suggest that utilization of more realistic experimental conditions, including studies 553 across gradients of anthropogenic nutrient enrichment as well as the incorporation of quantifying 554 nutrient and energy pathways, may facilitate conservation efforts to mitigate coral loss. 555 Our study brings to light new perspectives and hypotheses about the effects of nutrient 556 enrichment for coral. We provided additional support for the important role that consumers play 557 in mediating nutrients in near-shore environments (Allgeier et al. 2017) and highlighted the cryptic effects anthropogenic nutrients can have in these systems. Interestingly, the patterns that 558 559 emerge in our findings also parallel our understanding of the impacts of nutrient enrichment on coral reefs at the ecosystem scale – anthropogenic nutrients shunt energy away from coral 560 561 pathways and through algal pathways. This pattern can only be speculated on at this point, but is suggestive of the consistent manner in which human activity tends to rewire ecological 562 interactions at different scales of biological organization. 563 564

Acknowledgements: JEA was supported by a National Science Foundation DDIG and an 565 National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship during this project, and CAL by National 566 Science Foundation OCE #0746164 and start-up funds from NCSU. DWK was supported by 567 568 start-up funds provided by the University of Alabama at Birmingham. We would like to thank Mark Warner for thoughtful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript, and Dillard Bray 569 570 for his intellectual support of these ideas. We would also like to thank the Bahamian Government 571 for permission to conduct our research (permit #0933604008). 572 Literature Cited 573 Allgeier, J. E., D. E. Burkepile, and C. A. Layman. 2017. Animal pee in the sea: consumer-574 mediated nutrient dynamics in the world's changing oceans. Global Change Biology 575 **23**:2166-2178. Allgeier, J. E., C. A. Layman, C. G. Montaña, E. Hensel, R. Appaldo, and A. D. Rosemond. 576 577 2018. Anthropogenic versus fish-derived nutrient effects on seagrass community 578 structure and function. Ecology 99:1792-1801. 579 Allgeier, J. E., C. A. Layman, P. J. Mumby, and A. D. Rosemond. 2014. Consistent nutrient storage and supply mediated by diverse fish communities in coral reef ecosystems. 580 Global Change Biology 20:2459-2472. 581 582 Allgeier, J. E., C. A. Layman, P. J. Mumby, and A. D. Rosemond. 2015. Biogeochemical 583 implications of biodiversity loss across regional gradients of coastal marine ecosystems. 584 Ecological Monographs 85:117:132. Allgeier, J. E., C. A. Layman, and A. D. Rosemond. 2011. Variation in nutrient limitation and 585 seagrass nutrient content in Bahamian tidal creek ecosystems. Journal of Experimental 586 Marine Biology and Ecology 407:330-336. 587 588 Allgeier, J. E., A. D. Rosemond, A. S. Mehring, and C. A. Layman. 2010. Synergistic nutrient 589 co-limitation across a gradient of ecosystem fragmentation in subtropical mangrovedominated wetlands. Limnology and Oceanography 55:2660-2668. 590 Allgeier, J. E., L. A. Yeager, and C. A. Layman. 2013. Consumers regulate nutrient limitation 591 regimes and primary production in seagrass ecosystems. Ecology 94:521-529. 592 593 Anthony, K. R. N., and K. E. Fabricius. 2000. Shifting roles of heterotrophy and autotrophy in coral energetics under varying turbidity. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and 594 Ecology 252:221-253. 595

- Anthony, K. R. N., M. O. Hoogenboom, J. A. Maynard, A. G. Grottoli, and R. Middlebrook.
- 597 2009. Energetics approach to predicting mortality risk from environmental stress: a case
 598 study of coral bleaching. Functional Ecology 23:539-550.
- Baker, A., and R. Cunning. 2012. Excess algal symbionts increase the susceptibility of reefcorals to bleaching. Nature Climate Change 3:259.
- Bruno, J. F., I. M. Cote, and L. T. Toth. 2019. Climate Change, Coral Loss, and the Curious Case
 of the Parrotfish Paradigm: Why Don't Marine Protected Areas Improve Reef Resilience?
 Annual Review of Marine Science, Vol 11 11:307-334.
- Burnham, K. P., and D. R. Anderson. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a
 practical information theoretic approach.488.
- Carr, M. H., and M. A. Hixon. 1995. Predation effects on early postsettlement survivorship of
 coral reef fishes. Marine Ecology-Progress Series 124:31-42.
- Carr, M. H., and M. A. Hixon. 1997. Artificial reefs: The importance of comparisons with
 natural reefs. Fisheries 22:28-33.
- Chapin, F. S., P. A. Matson, and P. Vitousek. 2011. Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology.
 Second edition. Springer, New Yord.
- 612 D'Angelo, C., and J. Wiedenmann. 2014. Impacts of nutrient enrichment on coral reefs: new
- 613 perspectives and implications for coastal management and reef survival. Current Opinion614 in Environmental Sustainability 7:82-93.
- 615 D'Angelo, C., and J. Wiedenmann. 2014. Impacts of nutrient enrichment on coral reefs: new
- 616 perspectives and implications for coastal management and reef survival. Current Opinion
 617 in Environmental Sustainability 7:82-93.
- Doropoulos, C., G. Roff, Y. M. Bozec, M. Zupan, J. Werminghausen, and P. J. Mumby. 2016.
 Characterizing the ecological trade-offs throughout the early ontogeny of coral

620 recruitment. Ecological Monographs **86**:20-44.

- Dubinsky, Z., and N. Stambler. 1996. Marine pollution and coral reefs. Global Change Biology
 2:511-526.
- 623 Dunne, J. A., R. J. Williams, and N. D. Martinez. 2002. Network structure and biodiversity loss
- 624 in food webs: robustness increases with connectance. Ecology Letters 5:558-567.



625	Errot I. J. F. Manuer, D. Grouer, and C. Farrian Dacks, 2015, New insidets into earlier		
625	Ezzat, L., JF. Maguer, R. Grover, and C. Ferrier-Pages. 2015. New insights into carbon		
626	acquisition and exchanges within the coral-dinoflagellate symbiosis under NH4+ and		
627	NO3- supply. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 282.		
628	Fabricius, K. E. 2005. Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs:		
629	review and synthesis. Marine Pollution Bulletin 50 :125-146.		
630	Fabricius, K. E. 2011. Factors Determining the Resilience of Coral Reefs to Eutrophication: A		
631	Review and Conceptual Model. Pages 493-505 in Z. Dubinsky and N. Stambler, editors.		
632	Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition.		
633	Falkowski, P. G., Z. Dubinsky, L. Muscatine, and L. McCloskey. 1993. Population control in		
634	symbiotic corals. Bioscience 43:606-611.		
635	Gleason, D. F., and D. K. Hofmann. 2011. Coral larvae: From gametes to recruits. Journal of		
636	Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 408:42-57.		
637	Grace, J. B., D. R. Schoolmaster, G. R. Guntenspergen, A. M. Little, B. R. Mitchell, K. M.		
638	Miller, and E. W. Schweiger. 2012. Guidelines for a graph-theoretic implementation of		
639	structural equation modeling. Ecosphere 3 :44.		
640	Graham, E. M., A. H. Baird, and S. R. Connolly. 2008. Survival dynamics of scleractinian coral		
641	larvae and implications for dispersal. Coral Reefs 27:529-539.		
642	Graham, E. M., A. H. Baird, S. R. Connolly, M. A. Sewell, and B. L. Willis. 2013. Rapid		
643	declines in metabolism explain extended coral larval longevity. Coral Reefs 32:539-549.		
644	Graham, N. A. J., S. K. Wilson, P. Carr, A. S. Hoey, S. Jennings, and M. A. MacNeil. 2018.		
645	Seabirds enhance coral reef productivity and functioning in the absence of invasive rats.		
646	Nature 559 :250-253.		
647	Grottoli, A. G., L. J. Rodrigues, and J. E. Palardy. 2006. Heterotrophic plasticity and resilience in		
648	bleached corals. Nature 440:1186-1189.		
649	Harii, S., N. Yasuda, M. Rodriguez-Lanetty, T. Irie, and M. Hidaka. 2009. Onset of symbiosis		
650	and distribution patterns of symbiotic dinoflagellates in the larvae of scleractinian corals.		
651	Marine Biology 156 :1203-1212.		
652	Hatcher, B. G. 1988. Coral reef primary productivity - A beggars banquet. Trends in Ecology &		
653	Evolution 3 :106-111.		
654	Hatcher, B. G. 1990. Coral reef primary productivity - A hierarchy of patterns and process.		
655	Trends in Ecology & Evolution 5:149-155.		

Hixon, M. A., and J. P. Beets. 1989. Shelter characteristics and caribbean fish assemblages -656 657 experiments with artificial reefs. Bulletin of Marine Science 44:666-680. 658 Hoadley, K. D., D. T. Pettay, D. Dodge, and M. E. Warner. 2016. Contrasting physiological 659 plasticity in response to environmental stress within different cnidarians and their respective symbionts. Coral Reefs 35:529-542. 660 Holbrook, S. J., A. J. Brooks, R. J. Schmitt, and H. L. Stewart. 2008. Effects of sheltering fish on 661 growth of their host corals. Marine Biology 155:521-530. 662 Houlbreque, F., and C. Ferrier-Pages. 2009. Heterotrophy in tropical scleractinian corals. Biol 663 Rev Camb Philos Soc 84:1-17. 664 665 Humanes, A., A. Fink, B. L. Willis, K. E. Fabricius, D. de Beer, and A. P. Negri. 2017. Effects 666 of suspended sediments and nutrient enrichment on juvenile corals. Marine Pollution 667 Bulletin 125:166-175. Huntington, B. E., M. W. Miller, R. Pausch, and L. Richter. 2017. Facilitation in Caribbean coral 668 669 reefs: high densities of staghorn coral foster greater coral condition and reef fish 670 composition. Oecologia 184:247-257. 671 Jokiel, P., J. Maragos, and L. Franziskey. 1978. Coral growth: buoyant weight technique. In: 672 Coral Reefs: reserach methods. UNESCO, Paris, France. 673 Lapointe, B. E., R. A. Brewton, L. W. Herren, J. W. Porter, and C. M. Hu. 2019. Nitrogen 674 enrichment, altered stoichiometry, and coral reef decline at Looe Key, Florida Keys, 675 USA: a 3-decade study. Marine Biology 166:31. Layman, C. A., J. E. Allgeier, L. A. Yeager, and E. W. Stoner. 2013. Thresholds of ecosystem 676 response to nutrient enrichment from fish aggregations. Ecology 94:530-536. 677 Levas, S., A. G. Grottoli, V. Schoepf, M. Aschaffenburg, J. Baumann, J. E. Bauer, and M. E. 678 679 Warner. 2016. Can heterotrophic uptake of dissolved organic carbon and zooplankton mitigate carbon budget deficits in annually bleached corals? Coral Reefs 35:495-506. 680 Lirman, D., and P. Fong. 2007. Is proximity to land-based sources of coral stressors an 681 appropriate measure of risk to coral reefs? An example from the Florida Reef Tract. 682 683 Marine Pollution Bulletin 54:779-791. Marsh, J. A. 1970. Primary productivity or reef-building calcareous red algae. Ecology 51:254-684 685 &.

686	Marubini, F., and P. S. Davies. 1996. Nitrate increases zooxanthellae population density and
687	reduces skeletogenesis in corals. Marine Biology 127:319-328.
688	McCann, K. S., A. Hastings, and G. R. Huxel. 1998. Weak trophic interactions and the balance
689	of nature. Nature 395 :794-798.
690	Meyer, J. L., E. T. Schultz, and G. S. Helfman. 1983. Fish schools - an asset to corals. Science
691	220 :1047-1049.
692	Morris, L. A., C. R. Voolstra, K. M. Quigley, D. G. Bourne, and L. K. Bay. 2019. Nutrient
693	Availability and Metabolism Affect the Stability of Coral-Symbiodiniaceae Symbioses.
694	Trends in Microbiology 27:678-689.
695	Muscatine, L., P. G. Falkowski, Z. Dubinsky, P. A. Cook, and L. R. McCloskey. 1989. The
696	effects of external nutrient resources on the population dynamics of zooxanthellae in a
697	reef coral. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 236:311-324.
698	Muscatine, L., C. Goiran, L. Land, J. Jaubert, J. P. Cuif, and D. Allemand. 2005. Stable isotopes
699	(delta C-13 and delta N-15) of organic matrix from coral skeleton. Proceedings of the
700	National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102:1525-1530.
701	Muscatine, L., and J. W. Porter. 1977. Reef corals - mutualistic symbiosis adapted to nutrient-
702	poor environments. Bioscience 27:454-460.
703	Odum, H. T., and E. P. Odum. 1955. Trohic structure and productivity of a windward coral reef
704	community on Eniwetok Atoll. Ecological Monographs 25:291-320.
705	Okubo, N., H. Taniguchi, and T. Motokawa. 2005. Successful methods for transplanting
706	fragments of Acropora formosa and Acropora hyacinthus. Coral Reefs 24:333-342.
707	Palardy, J. E., L. J. Rodrigues, and A. G. Grottoli. 2008. The importance of zooplankton to the
708	daily metabolic carbon requirements of healthy and bleached corals at two depths.
709	Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 367:180-188.
710	Porter, J. W. 1976. Autotrophy, heterotrophy, and resource partitioning in Caribbean reef-
711	building corals. American Naturalist 110:731-742.
712	Richter, C., M. Wunsch, M. Rasheed, I. Kotter, and M. I. Badran. 2001. Endoscopic exploration
713	of Red Sea coral reefs reveals dense populations of cavity-dwelling sponges. Nature
714	413 :726-730.
715	Rougerie, F., J. A. Fagerstrom, and C. Andrie. 1992. Geothermal Endoupwelling - a solution to
716	the reef nutrient paradox. Continental Shelf Research 12:785-798.

717	Savage, C. 2019. Seabird nutrients are assimilated by corals and enhance coral growth rates.
718	Scientific Reports 9:4284.
719	Shantz, A. A., M. C. Ladd, E. Schrack, and D. E. Burkepile, 2015. Fish-derived nutrient hotspots

shape coral reef benthic communities. Ecological Applications **25**:2142-2152.

- Shantz, A. A., N. P. Lemoine, and D. E. Burkepile. 2016. Nutrient loading alters the performance
 of key nutrient exchange mutualisms. Ecol Lett 19:20-28.
- Shaver, E. C., and B. R. Silliman. 2017. Time to cash in on positive interactions for coral
 restoration. PeerJ 5:e3499.
- Shipley, B. 2009. Confirmatory path analysis in a generalized multilevel context. Ecology
 90:363-368.
- Smith, S. V., W. J. Kimmerer, E. A. Laws, R. E. Brock, and T. W. Walsh. 1981. Kaneohe bay
 sewage diversion experiment perspectives on ecosystem responses to nutrient
 perturbation. Pacific Science 35:279-402.
- Sterner, R. W., and J. J. Elser. 2002. Ecological Stoichiometry: The Biology of Elements from
 Molecules to the Biosphere.429.
- 732 Stimson, J., and R. A. Kinzie. 1991. The temporal pattern and rate of release of zooxanthellae
- from the reef coral pocillopora-damicornis under nitrogen-enrichment and control
 conditions. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 153:63-74.
- Stoner, E. W., C. A. Layman, L. A. Yeager, and H. M. Hassett. 2011. Effects of anthropogenic
 disturbance on the abundance and size of epibenthic jellyfish Cassiopea spp. Marine
 Pollution Bulletin 62:1109-1114.
- Szmant, A. M. 2002. Nutrient enrichment on coral reefs: Is it a major cause of coral reef decline?
 Estuaries 25:743-766.
- Szmant, A. M., and N. J. Gassman. 1990. The effects of prolonged bleaching on the tissue of
 biomass and reproduction of the reef coral *Montastreat annularis*. Coral Reefs 8:217-
- 742 224.
- Tanaka, Y., A. Suzuki, and K. Sakai. 2018. The stoichiometry of coral-dinoflagellate symbiosis:
 carbon and nitrogen cycles are balanced in the recycling and double translocation system.
 The ISME Journal 12:860-868.



746	Thurber, R. L. V., D. E. Burkepile, C. Fuchs, A. A. Shantz, R. McMinds, and J. R. Zaneveld.
747	2014. Chronic nutrient enrichment increases prevalence and severity of coral disease and
748	bleaching. Global Change Biology 20:544-554.
749	Tilman, D. 1982. Resource competition and community structure.
750	Tremblay, P., R. Grover, J. F. Maguer, M. Hoogenboom, and C. Ferrier-Pages. 2014. Carbon
751	translocation from symbiont to host depends on irradiance and food availability in the
752	tropical coral Stylophora pistillata. Coral Reefs 33 :1-13.
753	Tremblay, P., J. F. Maguer, R. Grover, and C. Ferrier-Pages. 2015. Trophic dynamics of
754	scleractinian corals: stable isotope evidence. Journal of Experimental Biology 218:1223-
755	1234.
756	Veal, C. J., M. Carmi, M. Fine, and O. Hoegh-Guldberg. 2010. Increasing the accuracy of
757	surface area estimation using single wax dipping of coral fragments. Coral Reefs 29:893-
758	897.
759	Venn, A. A., J. E. Loram, and A. E. Douglas. 2008. Photosynthetic symbioses in animals.
760	Journal of Experimental Botany 59 :1069-1080.
761	Wiedenmann, J., C. D'Angelo, E. G. Smith, A. N. Hunt, F. E. Legiret, A. D. Postle, and E. P.
762	Achterberg. 2013. Nutrient enrichment can increase the susceptibility of reef corals to
763	bleaching. Nature Climate Change 3:160-164.
764	Yeager, L. A., J. E. Allgeier, and C. A. Layman. 2011. Effects of heterogeneity at multiple
765	spatial scales on fish community assembly. Oecologia:157-168.
766	Yellowlees, D., T. A. Rees, and W. Leggat. 2008. Metabolic interactions between algal
767	symbionts and invertebrate hosts. Plant Cell Environ 31:679-694.
768	0

Autho

Figure 1





*indirect: feeding led to increased algal density. N must have passed

POM = particulate organic matter DOM = dissolved organic matter DIC/N/P = dissolved inorganiccarbon/nitrogen/phosphorus

Component models that make up the complete SEM. Each model, described in text, represents a general hypothesis generated from the literature (numbers in Figure 2 correspond with citations below).

Component model	Response	Predictor(s)
1	C:N _{coral}	Nutrients $+ \delta^{15}N_{coral}$
Coral and algae can take up N	from the water column	and heterotrophically feed on particulate matter with consequences for increased
δ ¹⁵ N signature of the holobiont (Tanaka et al 2018, 2015, 2007, Muscatine et al. 2005, Tremblay et al 2015)		
2	$\delta^{13}C_{coral}$	$C:N_{symbiont} + \delta C^{13}_{symbiont}$
Dissolved organic carbon produced from the photosynthetic activity of the algae ($\delta^{13}C_{algae}$) is a primary source of C to coral and thus is		

expected to be positively correlated with $\delta^{13}C_{coral}$. Additionally, a larger C pool in the algae means that more C is available to be transferred to the coral (Tanaka et al 2018, 2015, 2007).

C:N_{symbiont} C:N_{coral} + Nutrients_{ambient} 3 Ambient nutrients are expected to increase N concentrations in the algae (decreased C:N), and the recycling of nutrients via metabolic waste products are expected to influence the correlation between the coral C:N and algae C:N (Tanaka et al 2018, 2015, 2007). The SEM cannot account for recycling (called feedback loops) (Lefcheck et al 2016) and the direction of N flow therefore goes from the coral to algae. The recycling of C and N is also expressed in component model 2. %P_{symbiont} Nutrients 4 P concentrations in symbiotic algae tend to increase with ambient phosphate concentrations (Godinot et al 2011). $\overline{\delta^{15}N}_{symbiont}$ $\delta^{15}N_{coral} + C:N_{coral}$ 5 Coral heterotrophy of particulate matter from the water column, that is indicated by an increased $\delta^{15}N$ signature in the coral, can be a source of N that is mediated into the N cycling loop between the coral and algae (Muscatine et al. 2005, Tremblay et al 2015). Density_{symbiont} $Nutrients_{ambient} + C:N_{symbiont} + \%P_{symbiont} + C:N_{coral}$ Algae can take up nutrients from the water column increasing the nutrient pool available for their growth (Tanaka et al 2018, 2015,

2007), which can lead to increased algal density cm⁻² (Ezzat et al 2015, Wiedenmann et al 2012).









b) Fish + anthropogenic nutrients



Figure 1





gcb_15230_f2.png





