

Biinvariant Operators on Nilpotent Lie Groups

David Wigner*

The University of Michigan, Department of Mathematics Ann Arbor,
Michigan 48109, USA

The purpose of this article is to prove P -convexity for biinvariant differential operators on connected simply connected nilpotent Lie groups. More precisely, we show that for any compact subset K of a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group N , and for any non-zero biinvariant differential operator P on N , there is a compact subset $L \supset K$ with the property that whenever the support of Pu is contained in L for a C^∞ function of compact support u on N , then the support of u is contained in L . I am grateful to M. Duflo, to A. Cerezo, and to F. Rouvière for several helpful discussions.

Solvability properties of biinvariant operators have been considered by several authors. S. Helgason [6] proves local solvability of biinvariant operators on semisimple Lie groups. Rais [8] proves the existence of a fundamental solution for a biinvariant operator on a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group. Duflo and Rais [4] prove the local solvability of biinvariant operators on a solvable Lie group and Rouvière [9] proves semi-global solvability for biinvariant operators on simply connected solvable groups. Finally, Duflo [3] proves local solvability of biinvariant operators on any Lie group whatsoever.

Semi-global solvability is in general false even for noncompact simple groups as was demonstrated by A. Cerezo and F. Rouvière [2]. Finally, even local solvability of left invariant operators is frequently false as was shown by L. Hormander, c.f. [6] and independently by A. Cerezo and F. Rouvière [1]. From our result and that of Rais [8] or Rouvière [9], we conclude the global solvability of biinvariant operators on simply connected nilpotent Lie groups, i.e. that for any C^∞ function f and nonzero biinvariant operator P on a simply connected nilpotent Lie group N , there exists a C^∞ function u on N such that $Pu=f$. For simply connected abelian Lie groups, this reduces to the theorem of Malgrange and Ehrenpreis that constant coefficient differential operators on \mathbb{R}^n are globally solvable, c.f. [11]. Thus our Theorem 2 can be regarded as a generalization of the Malgrange-Ehrenpreis theorem.

Henceforward N will denote a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and \mathfrak{N} its Lie algebra. We write $\exp: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow N$ for the exponential map of \mathfrak{N}

* Partially supported by NSF MCS 74-07607

onto N , which is known to be an analytic diffeomorphism and $\log: N \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ will denote the analytic diffeomorphism inverse to \exp . We recall that the center of N is connected and simply connected. Since a connected and simply connected abelian Lie group has a natural translation invariant convex structure, we may define a subset S of N to be C -convex if its intersection with every coset of the center $C(N)$ of N is convex or in other words if $x^{-1}(S \cap C(N))$ is a convex subset of $C(N)$ for every $x \in N$.

The support of a function will mean the set of points where it is non-zero (this is a departure from the usual usage). When we say a function has compact support, we mean that its support is contained in some compact set (this is the usual usage). $\text{Supp } f$ will denote the support of f , a complex valued C^∞ function. Z will denote a central one parameter subgroup of N , and x will denote a generator of the Lie algebra of Z . Thus x is a biinvariant vector field on N . We denote a Haar measure on Z by $d\mu(z)$. If f is a C^∞ function of compact support on N then \hat{f} will denote the function on N/Z defined by $\hat{f}(xz) = \int_Z f(xz) d\mu(z)$.

We note that if $\hat{f} \equiv 0$ then there is a C^∞ function u of compact support on N such that $xu = f$. We denote the natural projection of N onto N/Z by π and remark that the inverse image under π of a C -convex subset of N/Z is C -convex. P will denote a biinvariant differential operator on N . We shall identify the algebra of left invariant differential operators on N with the complexified universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{N})$ of \mathfrak{N} . Following Trèves [10], we say that a subset S of N is P -full if whenever $Pu = f$ is a C^∞ function of compact support whose support is contained in S , and u has compact support, then the support of u is contained in S . Since P is biinvariant, any (left or right) translate of a P -full set is P -full. A C -convex set is x -full for any biinvariant vector field x .

A C^∞ function f on N will be called Z -invariant if $f(xz) = f(x)$ for all $x \in N$ and all $z \in Z$. When P is biinvariant differential operator on N , then the action of P on Z -invariant functions defines a differential operator on N/Z , denoted \hat{P} . By "differentiating under the integral", we have $\hat{P}u = \hat{P}\tilde{u}$ for a C^∞ function u of compact support on N .

We begin with some preparatory lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let Z be a central one parameter subgroup of N , and let x be a generator of the Lie algebra of Z . Let D be a left invariant differential operator on N which annihilates all Z -invariant functions. Then $D = D_1 \circ x$ where D_1 is some left invariant operator on N . If D is biinvariant, so is D_1 .*

Proof. Let $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_n$ be a basis of the Lie algebra of N/Z and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x be a basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{N} of N such that the projection of x_i onto the Lie algebra of N/Z is \tilde{x}_i . The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem implies that monomials of the form $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_n^{a_n} x^k$, with a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, k non-negative integers form a basis of the vector space of left invariant differential operators on N so that we may write

$$D = \sum_{(a_1 \dots a_n, k)} C_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, k)} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} x^k$$

where the sum runs over $(n+1)$ -tuples of non-negative integers, and all but finitely many of the $C_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, k)}$ are zero. The action of D on Z -invariant functions

defines an operator \tilde{D} on N/Z and we have

$$0 = \tilde{D} = \sum_{\substack{(a_1 \dots a_n, k) \\ k > 0}} C_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, k)} \tilde{x}_1^{a_1} \tilde{x}_2^{a_2} \dots \tilde{x}_n^{a_n}.$$

The Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem now implies that $C_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, k)} = 0$ whenever $k = 0$ so that we may write

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \sum_{\substack{(a_1 \dots a_n, k) \\ k > 0}} C_{(a_1 \dots a_n, k)} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} x^k \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(a_1 \dots a_n, k) \\ k > 0}} C_{(a_1 \dots a_n, k)} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} x^{k-1} \circ x = D_1 \circ x \end{aligned}$$

where $D_1 = \sum_{\substack{(a_1 \dots a_n, k) \\ k > 0}} C_{(a_1 \dots a_n, k)} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} x^{k-1}$.

Now suppose D is biinvariant and let ρ_g denote right translation by $g \in N$. We then have

$$D_1 \circ x = D = \rho_g D = \rho_g (D_1 \circ x) = (\rho_g D_1) \circ (\rho_g x) = \rho_g D_1 \circ x$$

so that $(\rho_g D_1 - D_1) \circ x = 0$.

But the universal enveloping algebra has no divisors of zero and $x \neq 0$ so $\rho_g D_1 - D_1 = 0$ and $\rho_g D_1 = D_1$. Therefore D_1 is biinvariant.

Lemma 2. *If u has compact support on N , then $\pi \text{supp } x u = \pi \text{supp } u$.*

Proof. Since $\text{supp } x u \subset \text{supp } u$, clearly $\pi \text{supp } x u \subset \pi \text{supp } u$. Now let $x \in \text{supp } u$ so that $\pi(x) \in \pi \text{supp } u$. Define

$$\phi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{by } \phi(z) = u(xz).$$

ϕ is a non-zero function on Z of compact support so $x\phi$ is non-zero of compact support on Z . But $xu(xz) = x\phi(z)$ so xu is not identically zero on xZ so $\pi(x) \in \text{supp } x u$.

Proposition 1. *If K is a P -full set in N/Z , then $L = \pi^{-1}(K)$ is a P -full set in N .*

Proof. Let b be a smooth function of compact support on Z with $\int b(z) d\mu(z) = 1$.

Let $\sigma: N/Z \rightarrow N/Z$ be a continuous map satisfying $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_{N/Z}$.

For any complex function f of compact support on N define $f^*: N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f^*(x) = f(x) - \int \tilde{f}(\pi(x)) \cdot b(x \cdot (\sigma(\pi(x))))^{-1} d\mu(z).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int f^*(xz) d\mu(z) &= \int f(xz) d\mu(z) - \int \tilde{f}(\pi(xz)) \cdot b(xz \cdot (\sigma(\pi(xz))))^{-1} d\mu(z) \\ &= \tilde{f}(\pi(x)) - \int \tilde{f}(\pi(x)) \cdot b(xz(\sigma(\pi(x))))^{-1} d\mu(z) \\ &= \tilde{f}(\pi(x)) - \tilde{f}(\pi(x)) \int b(xz(\sigma(\pi(x))))^{-1} d\mu(z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a function f^h of compact support on N satisfying $\varkappa f^h = f^*$. Let f be a function of compact support on N whose support is contained in L . Let $Pu = f$, where u is also a function of compact support. Define inductively $u_0 = u$ and $u_{n+1} = u_n^h$. We have $\pi \text{supp } Pu_{n+1} = \pi \text{supp } Pu_n^h = \pi \text{supp } \varkappa Pu_n^h = \pi \text{supp } P \varkappa u_n^h = \pi \text{supp } Pu_n^* \subset \pi \text{supp } Pu_n \cup \text{supp } \tilde{u}_n$. If $\pi \text{supp } Pu_n \subset K$ then $\text{supp } \tilde{u}_n \subset K$ since then $K \supset \text{supp } \tilde{P} \tilde{u}_n = \text{supp } \tilde{P} \tilde{u}_n$ and K is \tilde{P} -full. Therefore if $\pi \text{supp } Pu_n \subset K$ then $\pi \text{supp } Pu_{n+1} \subset K$ and also $\text{supp } \tilde{u}_n \subset K$. But $\pi \text{supp } Pu_0 \subset K$ so by induction on n we have $\pi \text{supp } Pu_n \subset K$ and $\text{supp } \tilde{u}_n \subset K$, for all n .

Furthermore $\pi \text{supp } (u_n^* - u_n) \subset \text{supp } \tilde{u}_n \subset K$ and $\pi \text{supp } u_{n+1} = \pi \text{supp } \varkappa u_{n+1} = \pi \text{supp } u_n^*$.

Suppose now that $x \notin L$. On the set xZ we have

$$u_n^*(xz) = u_n(xz) \quad \text{and} \quad \varkappa u_{n+1}(xz) = u_n^*(xz)$$

since xZ is disjoint from $L = \pi^{-1}(K)$. So on xZ we have $\varkappa u_{n+1} = u_n$ and $\varkappa^n u_n = u_0 = u$. Therefore, if $\phi_n(z) = u_n(xz)$, then ϕ_0 is a function of compact support on Z such that for arbitrary n there exists a function ϕ_n of compact support on Z such that $\varkappa^n \phi_n = \phi_0$. Applying the Fourier transform to ϕ_0 , we see that $\hat{\phi}_0$ is a real analytic function on the dual \hat{Z} of Z with a zero of arbitrary high order at $0 \in \hat{Z}$. Therefore $\hat{\phi}_0 \equiv 0$ and $\phi_0 \equiv 0$. Therefore $u(xz) = 0$ for all z and $x \notin \text{supp } u$. QED.

Theorem 1. *Let P be a non-zero biinvariant differential operator on a simply connected nilpotent Lie group N . Then any compact set of N is contained in a compact C -convex P -full subset of N .*

Proof. The proof is by double induction on the dimension of N and the degree of P , the assertion being trivial if the dimension of N or the degree of P is ≤ 1 . We, therefore, suppose the theorem true whenever the dimension of the nilpotent group is $< n = \dim N$ or the degree of the operator is $< p = \text{degree } P$.

If Z is a one parameter central subgroup of N , the action of P on Z -invariant functions gives rise to a differential operator \tilde{P} on N/Z satisfying $Pf(x) = \tilde{P}\check{f}(\pi(x))$ whenever $f(x) = \check{f}(\pi(x))$ where \check{f} is a function on N/Z and $\pi: N \rightarrow N/Z$ is the natural projection. If $\tilde{P} \equiv 0$ it follows from lemma 1 that $P = \varkappa \circ P_1$ where \varkappa is a generator of the Lie algebra of Z and P_1 is a biinvariant operator on N . Since $\text{degree } P_1 = p - 1$ any compact set of N is contained in a P_1 -full compact C -convex subset K of N which is also \varkappa -full since this is the case for any C -convex subset of N . Now if $Pf = u$ where f and u are compactly supported functions on N with $\text{supp } u \subset K$, then $Pf = \varkappa \circ P_1 f = u$ so $P_1 f$ is supported in K since K is \varkappa -full and f is supported in K since K is P_1 -full. Thus the induction is valid whenever P annihilates all Z -invariant functions. Thus we can assume that whenever Z is a one-parameter central subgroup of N , the differential operator \tilde{P} on N/Z induced by the action of P on Z -invariant functions is non-zero and, therefore, by inductive hypothesis that any compact subset of N/Z is contained in a \tilde{P} -full compact C -convex subset of N/Z .

The remainder of the proof is divided into two cases, viz.

Case 1. The center of N has dimension 1.

Case 2. The center of N has dimension ≥ 2 .

We deal with Case 1 first. Let Z be the center of N , and let z be a generator of the Lie algebra of Z . Since the center of N/Z is non-trivial, we can find a vector $y \in \mathfrak{N}$, the Lie algebra of N such that for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}$, we have $[y, x] = \phi(x)z$ where ϕ is a non-zero linear functional on \mathfrak{N} . Also $[y, [x_1, x_2]] = [[y, x_1]x_2] + [x_1, [y, x_2]] = [\phi(x_1)z, x_2] + [x_1, \phi(x_2)z] = 0$ so $\phi([x_1, x_2]) = 0$ and ϕ vanishes on the derived algebra of \mathfrak{N} . The kernel \mathfrak{M} of ϕ is, therefore, a codimension one ideal of \mathfrak{N} and we let $M = \exp \mathfrak{M}$ which is a simply connected nilpotent Lie subgroup of N with Lie algebra \mathfrak{M} . We pick $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}$ with $\phi(\omega) = 1$. Let $i: U(\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{N})$ be the inclusion of envelopping algebras induced by the inclusion of \mathfrak{M} in \mathfrak{N} .

By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem we can write P uniquely as $P = \omega^k \circ i(p_0) + \omega^{k-1} \circ i(p_1) + \dots + \omega \circ i(p_{k-1}) + i(p_k)$ where the p_i 's are elements of $U(\mathfrak{M})$ then

$$0 = [y, P] = [k\omega^{k-1} \circ i(p_0) + (k-1)\omega^{k-2} \circ i(p_1) + \dots + i(p_{k-1})] \circ z.$$

This implies, again by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem that $0 = p_0 = p_1 = \dots = p_{k-1}$ and, therefore, that $P = i(p_k)$. It follows that any subset S of N such that $x^{-1}(S \cap xM)$ is a p_k -full subset of M for all x is a P -full subset of N . Furthermore, since the center of N is contained in M , if $x^{-1}(S \cap xM)$ is a C -convex subset of M for all $x \in N$, then S is a C -convex subset of N .

We pick a continuous M -equivariant projection $\psi: N \rightarrow M$ for instance

$$\psi(x) = x[\exp \phi(-\log x)\omega].$$

Now let K be a compact subset of N . By inductive hypothesis $\psi(K)$ is contained in a compact C -convex p_k -full subset L of M . Also $\phi(\log K)$ is contained in a compact connected interval I of \mathbb{R} . Now $\exp \phi^{-1}(I) \cap \psi^{-1}(L)$ is a compact C -convex P -full subset of N . This completes the proof of case 1.

Case 2. The center of N has dimension greater than 1. Let x_1 and x_2 be vectors in the center of \mathfrak{N} which are orthonormal for a Euclidean metric ρ on \mathfrak{N} . Let $Z_1 = \exp \mathbb{R}x_1$ respectively $Z_2 = \exp \mathbb{R}x_2$, and let π_1 respectively π_2 be the projections of N on N/Z_1 , respectively N/Z_2 . Also let P_1 respectively P_2 be the differential operators on N/Z_1 respectively N/Z_2 induced by the action of P on Z_1 -invariant respectively Z_2 -invariant functions on N . We can assume that neither P_1 nor P_2 is the zero operator. Let K be a compact subset of N . Then $\pi_1(K)$ and $\pi_2(K)$ are compact subsets of N/Z_1 and N/Z_2 and by inductive hypothesis we can choose $F_1 \supset \pi_1(K)$ and $F_2 \supset \pi_2(K)$ such that F_i is a P_i -full compact C -convex subset of N/Z_i . Then $\pi_i^{-1}(F_i)$ is a C -convex P -full subset of N for $i=1, 2$ by Proposition 1 and, therefore, $Q = \pi_1^{-1}(F_1) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F_2)$ is a C -convex closed P -full subset of N containing K .

We assert Q is compact, or equivalently that $\log Q$ is compact. Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be the Euclidean metrics induced by ρ on $\mathfrak{N}_1 \cong x_1^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{N}_2 \cong x_2^\perp$, the Lie algebras of N/Z_1 and N/Z_2 . We can find a real number r such that the ρ_i distance of $\log F_i$ from the origin of \mathfrak{N}_i is $\leq r$ for $i=1, 2$. Then if $v \in \log(\pi_1^{-1}(F_1) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F_2))$ we have $\rho(v, \mathbb{R}x_1) \leq r$ and $\rho(v, \mathbb{R}x_2) \leq r$ so we can choose t_1 and t_2 such that $\rho(v, t_1x_1) \leq r$ and $\rho(v, t_2x_2) \leq r$. Then $\rho(t_1x_1, t_2x_2) \leq 2r$ so $\sqrt{t_1^2 + t_2^2} \leq 2r$ so

$t_1^2 \leq 4r^2$ and $\rho(t_1 x_1, 0) = |t_1| \leq 2r$. It follows that $\rho(v, 0) \leq 3r$ for all $v \in \log(\pi_1^{-1}(F_1) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F_2))$. Thus $\log(\pi_1^{-1}(F_1) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F_2))$ is a closed bounded subset of \mathfrak{N} and, therefore, compact. Therefore, $\pi_1^{-1}(F_1) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F_2)$ is a compact C -convex P -full subset of N containing K . This completes the inductive step in Case 2 and concludes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary. *If K is any compact set in N , then there is a compact set L such that whenever $Pu=f$ is a distribution supported in K and u is a distribution of compact support on N , then the support of u is contained in L .*

Proof. This follows immediately from the theorem upon convoluting with a smooth approximate identity of N . Here L can be any compact P -full set containing a compact neighborhood of K .

Theorem 2. *Any non-zero biinvariant differential operator on a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group is globally solvable.*

Proof. Semi-global solvability of such operators is contained in results of Rais [8] or Rouvière [9]. But by theorem 1.9 in the book of Trèves [11], global solvability follows from semi-global solvability and the P -convexity result proved above.

References

1. Cerezo, A., Rouvière, F.: Résolubilité locale d'un opérateur différentiel invariant du premier ordre. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure* **4**, 21–30 (1971)
2. Cerezo, A., Rouvière, F.: Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur un groupe de Lie. *Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1972–3*, École Polytechnique, Paris
3. Duflo, M.: Opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe de Lie. To appear
4. Duflo, M., Rais, M.: Sur l'analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie résolubles. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure* **9**, 107–144 (1976)
5. Helgason, S.: *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*. New York: Academic Press 1962
6. Helgason, S.: The surjectivity of invariant differential operators on symmetric spaces. I. *Annals of Mathematics* **98**, 451–479 (1973)
7. Hochschild, G.P.: *The Structure of Lie Groups*. San Francisco: Holden Day 1965
8. Rais, M.: Solutions élémentaires des opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe de Lie nilpotent. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris* **273**, 495–498 (1971)
9. Rouvière, F.: Sur la résolubilité locale des opérateurs biinvariants. *Annali Scuola Normale Superiore-Pisa* **3**, 231–244 (1976)
10. Trèves, F.: *Lectures on Linear Partial Differential Equations*. Instituto de Matematica Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, 1961
11. Trèves, F.: *Linear Partial Differential Equations with Constant Coefficients*. New York: Gordon and Breach 1966

Received March 5, 1977