ORIGINAL PAPER

Gender differences in sexual behaviors, sexual partnerships, and HIV among drug users in New York City

Judith Absalon · Crystal M. Fuller ·
Danielle C. Ompad · Shannon Blaney · Beryl Koblin ·
Sandro Galea · David Vlahov

Published online: 5 May 2006

© Springer Science+Business Media, Inc. 2006

Abstract We compared sexual behaviors/partnerships and determined sexual risk correlates associated with HIV by gender among street-recruited drug users using chi-square tests and logistic regression. Men reported higher risk sexual behaviors, yet fewer high-risk sexual partners than women. After adjustment, HIV seropositive men were more likely than seronegatives to be older, MSM, use condoms, and have an HIV-infected partner. HIV seropositive women were more likely to be older, have an HIV-infected partner, and not use non-injected heroin. IDU was not associated with HIV. Prospective studies are needed to determine how gender-specific sexual behaviors/partnerships among drug users affect HIV acquisition.

Keywords Drug users · Sexual behaviors · Partnerships · HIV · Gender

J. Absalon · C. M. Fuller

Center for Infectious Disease Epidemiologic Research (CIDER), Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York

C. M. Fuller · D. C. Ompad · S. Blaney · S. Galea · D. Vlahov Center for Urban Epidemiologic Studies (CUES), New York Academy of Medicine, New York, New York

B. Koblin

Laboratory of Infectious Disease Prevention, The New York Blood Center, New York, New York

J. Absalon (⊠)

Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, Room 513, 722 West 168th Street, New York, New York 10032 e-mail: ja234@columbia.edu

Introduction

Recent reports have indicated that HIV prevalence and incidence is decreasing among injection drug users (IDUs; Des Jarlais et al., 2000). HIV prevalence among IDUs in the late 1990's ranged from 2 to 10% (Fuller et al., 2003) and incidence has been estimated at 0-1 per 100 person-years in most published reports (Des Jarlais et al., 2000). Prevention efforts focused on reducing high-risk injection practices among IDUs have likely contributed to the decline of HIV among IDUs in the US (Semaan et al., 2002). Less dramatic declines have been noted among non-IDUs in whom HIV prevalence rates have been estimated up to 15% in published studies in the US and abroad (Chitwood, Comerford, and Sanchez, 2003). These high rates of HIV among injecting and non-injecting drug users suggest that high-risk sexual behaviors may be of increasing importance in HIV transmission in these populations.

Prior studies that have evaluated sexual risk behaviors in drug users have been largely among IDUs (Latkin, Mandell, and Vlahov, 1996). Of the reports that assessed sexual risk behaviors among non-IDUs, a clear association for sexually transmitted HIV has been demonstrated in crack users (Edlin et al., 1994). Few studies have been conducted on the sexual risk behaviors of IDUs in the context of the currently declining rates of HIV, during a period of decreased crack use (Department of Health and Human Services, 2003) or among a more diverse sample of non-IDUs such as those who use non-injection heroin, intranasal, or inhaled cocaine. In addition, while prior studies have identified gender-based differences in sexual behaviors in various populations, recent evidence among HIV-infected drug users have highlighted



the need to further explore issues on the influence of sexual partner type including HIV serostatus of sexual partners (Semple, Patterson, and Grant, 2002).

To characterize the association of sexual behaviors and sexual partner type with HIV seropositivity among male and female drug users, we conducted a cross-sectional analysis of baseline data collected among IDUs and non-IDUs enrolled in two prospective cohort studies in New York City (NYC). The objectives of this analysis were to describe gender differences in sexual behaviors, and identify sexual behaviors and sexual partner characteristics associated with HIV seropositivity by gender.

Methods

Participants

Study participants were recruited using street outreach techniques into two ongoing studies from communities with high rates of illicit drug use. Research staff familiar with places in the community where drugs were bought or used, engaged potential participants in conversations about ongoing research conducted by the Center for Urban Epidemiologic Studies (CUES) at the New York Academy of Medicine. Those interested in participation were screened for eligibility at one of two community-based storefronts or the CUES research study van for enrollment into the HOPE cohort study, targeting non-IDUs, or the Hepatitis C cohort study, targeting newly initiated IDUs. HOPE study participants were eligible if they were between 15 and 40 years of age, reported noninjection use of heroin, crack or cocaine at least one time per week, but for no longer than 10 years, and had no history of injecting drugs. Eligibility for the Hepatitis C study included age 15-40 years and reported injection of heroin or cocaine at least once in the last 2 months but for no longer than 5 years.

Measures

Participants in each study were interviewed in a private room using a standardized drug and sexual risk survey. The survey included questions about sociodemographics, drug type and frequency of use, drug route of administration, drugusing companions, and sexual behaviors. Sociodemographic variables collected included: Age, race/ethnicity, education level, nationality, homelessness, and prior incarceration. We assessed whether participants used crack, cocaine, heroin, metamphetamines, hallucinogens, marijuana, or tranquiliz-

ers and asked whether they injected, snorted, sniffed, or smoked each of these drugs. We collected information on sexual behaviors including age of sexual debut, drug use before, during, and after sexual acts, condom use, as well as gender and type of sexual partners. Sexual partner type was categorized as a steady, casual, or exchange-for-sex partner. A steady partner was defined as a person to whom participants felt strong emotional closeness; a casual partner was one with whom they were not emotionally close and an exchange-for-sex partner was a person with whom they traded sex for money or drugs. Participants were also interviewed about the HIV status and drug-using behaviors of each type of sexual partner.

Following each interview, participants received HIV pretest counseling and had blood drawn for serologic testing. Post-test counseling was provided 3 weeks later when participants returned for HIV results. Referrals for social and medical services were provided as appropriate during that time. HIV antibodies were detected using enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) for HIV types 1 and 2 (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) with a confirmatory Western Blot for HIV type 1 (Calypte Biomedical Corporation, Alameda, CA).

Data analyses

Baseline data from the Hepatitis C and HOPE studies were combined for this analysis and restricted to those who reported any oral, anal, or vaginal sex within the past 2 months. All analyses were stratified by gender. Sexual behavior and sexual partner variables were further evaluated by samegender sexual behavior. Men were defined as heterosexual, men who have sex only with men (exclusive MSM) or men who reported sex with men and women (MSM/W). Women were categorized as heterosexual, women who reported sex only with women (exclusive WSW) or women who report sex with men and women (WSW/M).

Gender stratified bivariate analyses were conducted to examine sociodemographic, drug use, sexual risk behavior, and sexual partner variables using chi-square or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and *t*-tests for continuous variables. Significant bivariate associations between exposure variables of interest and HIV seropositivity using *p*-values < .10 were used to help guide model building. Plausible interactions between gender and drug-using and sexual behavior variables were also explored using a *p*-value < .20 to help guide selection of variables for inclusion in the final model. Two separate final models using logistic regression were developed for men and women to determine the independent effect of each variable of interest as it related to HIV seropositivity.



Results

Gender differences in sociodemographic and drug-use characteristics

One thousand and four participants were enrolled and interviewed between August 2000 and November 2003. Of these, 818 were sexually active within the prior 2 months and were included in this analysis. Table 1 provides details of participant demographic characteristics and drug-use type by gender. Men were younger (mean age 28 years versus 30 years, p < .01), more often Latino (63% versus 41%; p < .01), and more likely to report recent homelessness (61% versus 50%; p < .01) or prior incarceration (90% versus 79%; p < .01) compared to women. More men than women were IDUs (29% versus 20%; p < .01). Among non-IDUs, men were less likely to report crack use compared to women (56% versus 55%, p < .01) and more likely than women to use marijuana (77% versus 68%, p < .05) or hallucinogens (20% versus 9%, p < .01). Intranasal cocaine and heroin use was similar between groups.

Gender differences in reported sexual behaviors and sexual partner characteristics

Reported sexual behaviors and characteristics of sexual partners within the preceding 2 months of study enrollment are detailed in Table 2. The majority of participants were heterosexual; however, 11% of men and 20% of women reported same gendered sex. Five percent of men (50% of MSM) and 13% of women (65% of WSW) reported sex with both men and women (data not shown). Approximately 29% of women reported a male partner who was an MSM (data not shown). Though most participants reported that they had a steady sexual partner, fewer men than women (74% versus 88%; p < .01) reported a steady partner. Men more often than women reported having a casual sexual partner (52% versus 31%; p < .01), multiple sexual partners (54% versus 34%; p < .01), and being high during sex (84% versus 76%; p < .05). There were no gender differences with respect to having sex in exchange for money or drugs. Condom use overall was low for both men and women (34%) and did not differ by gender or by type of sexual partner.

Among participants who reported having a steady sexual partner, men were less likely than women to have a drugusing steady partner (9% versus 23%, p < .01, for IDU steady partner; 37% versus 70% p < .01, for non-IDU steady partner). Among participants who reported an exchange-for-sex partner, men more frequently than women reported a non-IDU partner (85% versus 67%, p < .01). Men and women reported similar rates of known HIV-infected sexual partners, irrespective of partner type.

HIV serostatus

Overall, HIV seropositivity was 9% and significantly lower among men than women (7% versus 13%, p < .05) (Table 2). This trend was similar when we compared HIV rates in heterosexual men (5%) to those in heterosexual women (12%, p < .01), and while MSM (24%) had higher rates of HIV than WSW (17%) the difference was not statistically significant. Further analysis of HIV rates by sexual orientation (data not shown) revealed that exclusive MSM had the highest rates of HIV (41%) compared to MSM/W (9%) or heterosexual men (5%, p < .01, Fisher's exact test). Rates of HIV among women were somewhat higher among WSW/M (20%) compared to rates in exclusive WSW (13%) or heterosexual women (12%, p > .05, Fisher's exact test).

Characteristics associated with HIV seropositivity

We explored demographic, sexual behavior, sexual partnership and drug use characteristics associated with HIV seropositive status stratified by gender on bivariate and multivariable analysis (Table 3). On bivariate analysis, HIV seropositive men were more likely to be older, of black race, and be better educated, while men who were recently homeless were less likely to be HIV seropositive than seronegatives. Sexual behavior and partner characteristics associated with HIV seropositivity in men included being an MSM, having an HIV-infected steady or casual/exchange-for-sex partner, having a non-IDU steady sexual partner, and using a condom with steady sexual partners at least 50% of the time. No association was found between HIV seropositivity and condom use with casual or exchange-for-sex partners in men

After adjustment for age and recent homelessness, being an MSM (AOR = 5.59), having an HIV-infected steady (AOR = 7.22), or non-steady partner (AOR = 15.36), and using condoms consistently with steady partners (AOR = 3.65) were significantly associated with being HIV seropositive compared with being seronegative among men.

Among women, on bivariate analysis (Table 3), increasing age and black race were characteristics associated with being HIV seropositive. Women with multiple sexual partners, an HIV-infected steady, or non-steady partner and who reported consistent condom use with steady partners were more likely to be HIV seropositive than seronegative. Intranasal heroin use was associated with a lower likelihood of being HIV seropositive among non-IDU women compared to non-IDUs who did not use heroin.

After adjustment for age, HIV seropositive women were less likely to report intranasal heroin use (AOR = 0.35) and more likely to have an HIV-infected steady sexual partner (AOR = 9.39), or non-steady partner (AOR = 6.41)



 $\textbf{Table 1} \quad \text{Comparison of demographic characteristics and drug types used in male versus female drug users, New York City 2000–2003$

	Men $(N = 590)$		Women ($N = 228$)			
	N	%	N	%	- df ^a	χ^{2b}
Mean age in years (SD)	28	(6.25)	30	(6.61)	816	$-4.32^{c,*}$
Race/ethnicity						
Latino	371	63	91	41	3	48.38*
Black^d	183	31	98	44		
White	15	3	26	12		
Other	21	7	9	4		
Completed less than high school						
Yes	323	55	134	59	2	4.06
No	265	45	84	41		
Homeless in preceding 6 months						
Yes	357	61	115	50	1	6.97*
No	232	39	113	50		
Ever arrested						
Yes	527	90	180	79	1	15.63*
No	62	10	48	21		
Injection drug user (ever)						
Yes	173	29	46	20	1	7.02*
No	417	71	182	80		
Non-injection drug user (last 6 months, $N = 812$)						
Crack						
Yes	326	56	150	66	1	7.23*
No	259	44	77	34		
Sniff/snorts cocaine						
Yes	407	69	146	64	1	2.08
No	178	30	81	36		
Smokes/snorts heroin						
Yes	337	58	121	47	1	1.23
No	248	42	106	53		
Tranquilizers						
Yes	149	26	160	71	1	1.37
No	436	74	67	29		
Marijuana						
Yes	448	77	155	69	1	5.89**
No	137	23	72	31		
Snort/smokes methamphetamine						
Yes	13	2	1	99	_	_e
No	572	98	226	1		
Hallucinogens						
Yes	117	20	20	9	1	14.60*
No	468	80	207	91		

^aDegree of freedom.

compared with HIV seronegative women. Injection drug use was not associated with HIV seropositivity among men or women and was not further considered in the multivariate analysis.

Discussion

Among this sample of illicit drug users, we identified prominent gender differences with respect to sexual behaviors



 $^{^{}b}\chi^{2}$: Chi-square statistic.

^ct-Statistic.

^dBlack race/ethnicity includes self-identified African American, black Caribbean, and African participants.

^eFisher's exact test was used, which does not have a formal test statistic.

^{*}p < .01. **p < .05.

 $\textbf{Table 2} \quad \text{Comparison of differences in sexual behaviors, sexual partner characteristics and HIV seropositivity of male vs. female drug users, New York City 2000–2003 \\$

	Participant sexual Men	(N = 590)		n (N = 22)	8)	
	$\frac{N}{N}$	%	$-\frac{N}{N}$	%	$\frac{df^b}{df^b}$	χ^{2c}
Sexuality						
Heterosexual						
Yes	523	89	182	80	1	10.74*
MSM or WSW^d						
Yes	67	11	46	20		
Currently lives with sexual partner						
Yes	211	36	135	59	1	37.04*
No	379	64	93	41		
Reports a steady sexual partner						
Yes	437	74	201	88	1	18.45*
No	151	26	27	12		
Reports a casual sexual partner						
Yes	304	52	70	31	1	28.19*
No	280	48	154	69		
Reports an exchange-for-sex partner						
Yes	158	27	71	31	1	1.55
No	432	73	157	69		
Multiple sexual partners ^e						
Yes	317	54	78	34	1	25.09*
No	273	46	150	66		
Often high during sex ^f						
Yes	487	84	173	76	1	0.01**
No	95	16	55	24		
Condom use with sexual partners						
0 to < 25% of the time	283	48	121	53	3	2.47
25 to $<$ 50% of the time	36	6	15	7		
50 to < 75% of the time	58	10	22	10		
75–100% of the time	212	36	69	30		
	Characteristics	of sexual p	artners			
Steady sexual partners ^g						
Steady partner was ever IDU ^h						
Yes	39	9	45	23	1	22.90**
No	391	91	149	77		
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h						
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes	157	37	138	70	1	60.64**
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No					1	60.64**
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner	157 272	37 63	138 59	70 30		
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes	157 272 21	37 63 5	138 59	70 30 6	1	60.64** 0.38
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No	157 272	37 63	138 59	70 30		
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ	157 272 21	37 63 5	138 59	70 30 6		
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ Casual partner was ever IDU ^h	157 272 21 416	37 63 5 95	138 59 12 189	70 30 6 94	1	0.38
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ Casual partner was ever IDU ^h Yes	157 272 21 416	37 63 5 95	138 59 12 189	70 30 6 94		
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ Casual partner was ever IDU ^h Yes No	157 272 21 416	37 63 5 95	138 59 12 189	70 30 6 94	1	0.38
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ Casual partner was ever IDU ^h Yes No Casual sex partner is a non-IDU ^h	157 272 21 416 33 238	37 63 5 95	138 59 12 189 3 57	70 30 6 94 5 95	1	0.38 2.61
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ Casual partner was ever IDU ^h Yes No Casual sex partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes	157 272 21 416 33 238	37 63 5 95	138 59 12 189 3 57	70 30 6 94 5 95	1	
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ Casual partner was ever IDU ^h Yes No Casual sex partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No	157 272 21 416 33 238	37 63 5 95	138 59 12 189 3 57	70 30 6 94 5 95	1	0.38 2.61
Steady partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes No HIV-infected steady sexual partner Yes No Casual sex partners ⁱ Casual partner was ever IDU ^h Yes No Casual sex partner is a non-IDU ^h Yes	157 272 21 416 33 238	37 63 5 95	138 59 12 189 3 57	70 30 6 94 5 95	1	0.38 2.61



Table 2 Continued

	teristics of sexual partner Men $(N = 590)$		Women $(N = 228)$		df^b	χ^{2a}
	\overline{N}	%	N	%	·	
Exchange-for-sex Partners ^k						
Exchange-for-sex partner ever IDU ^h						
Yes	29	22	9	17	1	0.56
No	101	78	43	83		
Exchange-for-sex partner a non-IDU ^h						
Yes	123	85	42	67	1	9.53
No	21	15	21	33		
HIV-infected exchange-for-sex partner ^j						
Yes	6	5	3	6	_	-
No	110	95	46	94		
	HIV sero	opositivity				
Overall						
Yes	43	7	29	13	1	6.04*
Heterosexual ^l						
Yes	27	5	21	12	1	8.65**
MSM (N = 67) or WSW (N = 46)						
Yes	16	24	8	17	1	0.69

^aBehaviors are within the prior 2 months unless otherwise stated. Not all respondents answered all the questions.

and sexual partner characteristics. HIV seroprevalence in this group was highest among drug-using MSM. Prevalence among heterosexual women was nearly double that of heterosexual men and rates among WSW were nearly four times that of heterosexual men. This significantly higher HIV prevalence in MSM and women compared to heterosexual men did not appear to be attributable to drug-using risk behaviors, but instead to high-risk sexual behaviors. In addition, sexual partner characteristics were primary factors associated with HIV for both men and women. A notable gender difference identified in this study was the difference in risk of steady compared to casual partnerships. Specifically, our data suggest that characteristics of steady partnerships contributed to the higher rates of HIV among women, while characteristics of non-steady partnerships contributed to rates among men. The differential influence of steady versus casual partners in men and women should be interpreted with caution however and requires further verification in larger scaled studies.

Men engaged in more high-risk sexual behaviors when compared to women. Despite this, women had higher rates of HIV than heterosexual men. One possible explanation for these findings is that while men engaged in higher personal sexual risk behaviors, women more often reported sex with higher risk partners (IDU and non-IDU steady sexual partners). In addition, recent reports have suggested that the increasing rates of HIV infection among women may be in part related to sex with MSM who also have sex with women and that this group of men may be acting as a "bridge" between risk groups (Kalichman and Roffman, 1998).

HIV seropositivity rates in WSW were, however, slightly higher (17% versus 11–12%) than heterosexual women. A recent analysis conducted among this same study sample compared heterosexual women with WSW and found



^bDegree of freedom.

^cχ²: Chi-square statistic.

 $^{^{}d}$ MSM (men who report sex with a man); WSW (women who report sex with women).

^eMultiple is defined as more than one sexual partner with the preceding 2 months.

^fReports being high at least half of the time or more when having sex.

^g Among those with steady partners total N = 641 (440 men, 201 women).

^hInjection drug user.

ⁱAmong those with casual partners N = 377 (307 men, 70 women).

^jFisher's exact test was used, which does not have a formal test statistic.

^kAmong those with exchange for sex partners N = 229 (158 men, 71 women).

 $^{^{1}}N = 705$ (523 men, 182 women).

^{*}*p*<.01. ***p*<.05.

AIDS Behav (2006) 10:707-715

Table 3 Final adjusted models of factors associated with HIV seropositivity among male and female drug users, New York City 2000–2003

Parameter	OR^a	95% CI ^b	df^c	χ^{2d}	AOR^e	95% CI ^b	$\mathrm{d}f^{c}$	χ^{2d}
		N	1en					
Mean age $(SD)^f$	1.10	1.05, 1.16	1	14.04*	1.08	1.02, 1.14	1	6.44
Black Race ^g	3.8	2.01, 7.20	1	16.78**	_	_	-	_
Homeless in preceding 6 months	0.32	0.16, 0.64	1	8.16*	0.29	0.14, 0.62	1	10.08**
Education ^h	3.00	1.31, 6.87	1	6.76**	_	_	-	_
MSM^i	5.22	2.65, 10.27	1	25.32*	5.59	2.50, 12.49	1	17.62*
HIV-infected steady sexual partner ^j	13.43	5.12, 34.26	1	14.92*	7.22	1.89, 27.52	1	8.39*
HIV-infected casual or exchange-for-sex partner ^k	21.20	5.58, 80.46	1	21.45*	15.36	3.06, 77.06	1	11.02*
Condom use with steady sexual partner $25-50\%$ of the time l	4.00	0.79, 20.16	1	2.79***	2.72	0.39, 19.11	1	1.01
Condom use with steady sexual partner 50–75% of the time ¹	2.69	0.70, 10.33	1	2.19***	4.47	0.96, 20.89	1	3.62
Condom use with steady sexual partner 75–100% of the time ¹	3.49	1.48, 8.21	1	6.82*	3.64	1.50, 8.87	1	8.13*
Steady sexual partner is a non-IDU ^m	1.84	0.97, 3.49	1	3.48***	_	_	-	_
Injection drug user	0.55	0.25, 1.22	1	2.16	_	_	-	_
		Wo	omen					
Mean age (SD) ^f	1.11	1.04, 1.19	1	8.77*	1.13	1.05, 1.22	1	10.07*
Black Race ^g	8.18	1.05, 63.55	1	4.03**	_	_	-	_
Multiple sexual partners ⁿ	2.72	1.23, 6.00	1	6.15**	_	_	-	_
HIV-infected steady sexual partner ^j	8.08	3.43, 40.79	1	9.77*	9.39	2.36, 37.37	1	10.10*
HIV-infected casual or exchange-for-sex partner ^k	3.12	0.52, 18.59	1	4.76**	6.41	1.11, 37.06	1	4.3**
Condom use with steady sexual partner $25-50\%$ of the time l	5.17	0.86, 31.19	1	3.21***	_	-	-	-
Condom use with steady sexual partner 50–75% of the time ^l	5.17	1.15, 23.33	1	4.56**	-	-	-	-
Condom use with steady sexual partner 75–100% of the time ⁿ	2.03	0.86, 4.79	1	2.63***	-	-	-	-
Smoked or snorted heroin in the past 6 months	0.33	0.13, 0.80	1	4.42*	0.35	0.14, 0.84	1	5.49**
Injection drug user	0.42	0.12,1.44	1	1.92	_	_	_	_

^aOdds ratio.



^bLower, upper confidence interval.

^cDegree of freedom.

 $^{^{}d}\chi^{2}$: Chi-square statistic.

^eAdjusted odds ratio.

^fAge is included as a continuous variable in years and SD: standard deviation.

^gBlack race/ethnicity includes self-identified African American, black Caribbean, and African participants.

^hEducation; HS education or equivalent and higher compared to less than high school or equivalent.

ⁱMen who have sex with men.

^jReports having only one partner (steady) who is HIV-infected.

^kPartner with whom money or drugs were exchanged for sex in the preceding 6 months.

 $^{^{}l}$ Compared to participants who report condom use 0 to <25% of the time with sex.

^mInjection drug user.

ⁿMultiple is defined as more than one sexual partner with the preceding 2 months.

p < .01. p < .05. p < .20.

that WSW were significantly more likely than heterosexual women to report sexual partnerships with MSM (Ompad, Fuller, Galea, Del Vecchio, and Vlahov, 2004a). We were unable to further explore the relationships between WSW, WSW/M, and MSM because of limited numbers of participants in these subgroups. The findings presented in this and prior studies, however, highlight important implications for HIV transmission in communities of color. Our findings suggest that WSW and WSW/M may be a "bridging" population between MSM and heterosexual men. WSW and WSW/M have become populations of growing interest, in light of their high rates of risky drug use and sexual behaviors. Further study of these vulnerable women is needed to understand their risk behaviors and to develop appropriate interventions.

MSM had the highest prevalence of HIV among this group despite similar degree of condom use, number and characteristics of sexual partners (i.e., multiple sexual partners, number of casual partners, and HIV-infected partners) as heterosexual men. While sexual intercourse with other men confers significantly higher HIV risk than sex with women, additional factors may have played a role in the significantly higher rates of HIV among this group of MSM. For example, factors such as lack of disclosure and concurrent sex with women have been recently cited as important mechanisms of HIV transmission in women, but there is little information regarding the effect on HIV rates among MSM. The majority of MSM in this group were black or Latino. Black and Latino MSM continue to be at high risk for HIV, however only a few studies have examined primarily crack, heroin- and cocaine-using MSM of color with regard to HIV risk. In some reports, black and Latino MSM have been shown to be more likely to have concurrent relationships with women, be less likely to self-identify as "gay" or homosexual, engage in higher risk sexual behaviors, and be less likely to disclose their MSM status compared to white MSM (Solorio, Swendeman, and Rotheram-Borus, 2003). However, recent studies among drug-using MSM have noted that HIV seropositive MSM engaged in lower risk sexual behaviors (Fuller et al., 2005). We had too few MSM to fully explore specific risk factors among this group however we did identify higher sexual risk partnerships associated with HIV and this issue merits further exploration among drug-using MSM.

In both men and women, having a HIV-infected sexual partner was independently associated with being HIV seropositive. This association was true for casual or steady partners. Follow-up data are needed to determine if this association is as a result of high-risk sexual behaviors with known HIV-infected partners and resultant HIV acquisition or if HIV-infected drug users purposely "seek out" sexual partners who are known to be HIV-infected. The association of higher levels of condom use and HIV in men is consistent

with this latter hypothesis and possibly represents adoption of safer sexual behaviors in these men. It is important to note however that overall condom use was quite low for both men and women, which highlights the need for effective prevention strategies to promote condom use among this vulnerable population. Sexual risk reduction efforts among populations of drug users will also need to address the differing issues of sexual risk (and perceived risk) among drug users who are HIV-infected versus those who are uninfected. The high prevalence of risky sexual behaviors noted among HIV-infected populations in treatment and care settings have demonstrated the need for more intense secondary prevention efforts among this population (Absalon, Della Latta, Wu, and El-Sadr, 2005). These efforts are likely to be even more challenging among HIV-infected drug users who may not be receiving ongoing HIV treatment and care.

With regards to drug use, we found no association between injection drug-use status and HIV seropositivity for either men or women. An earlier analysis conducted among this study sample found that HIV seroprevalence was higher among non-IDUs and injection status was not a significant correlate of HIV (Ompad et al., 2004b). To our knowledge, this finding has not been reported in previous studies of illicit drug users. The lack of association between injection drug use and HIV is also consistent with decreasing incidence of HIV among IDUs in the US (Semaan et al., 2002). These findings suggest that prevention messages targeting IDUs to reduce high-risk injection practices have been effective and support the increasing importance of sexual risk of HIV among IDUs. We also found no association between crack use and HIV seropositivity among women or men. This differs from earlier studies, particularly among crackusing women who have previously shown high rates of HIV attributed to unsafe sexual behaviors such as trading sex for drugs or money (Edlin et al., 1994). However, having an HIVinfected exchange-for-sex or casual partner demonstrated a strong association with HIV seropositive status highlighting behavior as opposed to drug type as an important predictor and better measure of HIV infection.

Our study differs from other published reports in that we found no association between race and HIV seropositivity. This may be related to the small sample of white participants in this study, which may have resulted in insufficient power to detect an association between race and HIV serostatus. It should be noted that the cross-sectional design of the study limits our ability to establish temporality between risk behaviors and HIV. In addition, participant behaviors and characteristics of partners were self-reported and could not be verified and may have generated socially desirable responses. However, previous research has found self-reported behavior from drug users to be valid and reliable (Anthony *et al.*, 1991). Finally, we enrolled participants primarily from two distinct neighborhoods in NYC and these findings may



not be generalizable to illicit drug users in other geographic areas. This line of research should therefore be conducted in other areas of the US to ascertain whether similar trends exist, particularly in areas with historically high HIV prevalence.

This study contributes significantly to the existing body of science conducted among vulnerable and high-risk populations. Prior studies that examined gender differences among drug users have focused on differences in drug-using behaviors or sampled small or restricted populations of drug users. In addition, given previously reported associations between high-risk sexual network characteristics and HIV seropositive status among adult IDUs, similar quantitative social network research among remaining and newly identified risk groups including IDU and non-IDU MSM, MSM/W, and women of color are necessary.

This study demonstrates the importance of gender differences in sexual behavior and sexual partner characteristics among a sample of IDUs and non-IDUs. These findings are particularly relevant as the risk of HIV in the US appears to be shifting from primarily drug-using behaviors to sexual behaviors. Additional prospective studies are needed to determine the specific effect of these differences on HIV acquisition and transmission.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the study participants and the New York Academy of Medicine storefront and mobile unit staff. This study was funded by National Institute on Drug Abuse: DA 13146 and DA 12801.

References

- Absalon, J., Della Latta, P., Wu, F., and El-Sadr, W. (2005). Sexual behaviors and condom use of HIV-infected men and women of color attending a treatment and care clinic. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, 97(7), 25S–31S.
- Anthony, J. C., Vlahov, D., Celentano, D., Menon, A. S., Margolick, J., Cohn, S., et al. (1991). Self-report interview data for a study of HIV-1 infection among intravenous drug users: Description of methods and preliminary evidence on validity. The Journal of Drug Issues, 21(4), 739–757.
- Chitwood, D. D., Comerford, M., and Sanchez, J. (2003). Prevalence and risk factors for HIV among sniffers, short-term injectors, and long-term injectors of heroin. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 35(4), 445–453.

- Des Jarlais, D. C., Marmor, M., Friedmann, P., Titus, S., Aviles, E., Deren, S., et al. (2000). HIV incidence among injection drug users in New York City, 1992–1997: Evidence for a declining epidemic. American Journal of Public Health, 90(3), 352–359.
- Department of Health and Human Services. (2003). *Drug abuse warning network, emergency department trends, final estimates 1995–2002*. Retrieved 2005, from http://www.dawninfo.samhsa.gov
- Edlin, B. R., Irwin, K. L., Faruque, S., McCoy, C. B., Word, C., Serrano, Y., et al. (1994). Intersecting epidemics–crack cocaine use and HIV infection among inner-city young adults. Multicenter Crack Cocaine and HIV Infection Study Team. New England Journal of Medicine, 331(21), 1422–1427.
- Fuller, C. M., Absalon, J., Ompad, D. C., Nash, D., Koblin, B., Blaney, S., et al. (2005). A comparison of HIV seropositive and seronegative young adult heroin- and cocaine-using men who have sex with men in New York City, 2000–2003. Journal of Urban Health, Mar 82(Suppl 1), i51–i61.
- Fuller, C. M., Vlahov, D., Latkin, C. A., Ompad, D. C., Celentano, D. D., and Strathdee, S. A. (2003). Social circumstances of initiation of injection drug use and early shooting gallery attendance: Implications for HIV intervention among adolescent and young adult injection drug users. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 32(1), 86–93.
- Kalichman, S., and Roffman, R. A. (1998). Risk for HIV infection among bisexual men seeking HIV prevention services and risks posed to their female partners. *Health Psychology*, 17(4), 320– 327.
- Latkin, C. A., Mandell, W., and Vlahov, D. (1996). The relationship between risk networks' patterns of crack cocaine and alcohol consumption and HIV-related sexual behaviors among adult injection drug users: A prospective study. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 42(3), 175–181.
- Ompad, D. C., Fuller, C. M., Galea, S., Del Vecchio, S., and Vlahov, D. (2004a). HIV risk behaviors among young female drug users who have sex with women in New York City. Oral Presentation. Paper presented at the XV International Conference on AIDS, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Ompad, D. C., Fuller, C. M., Galea, S., Wu, Y., Nash, D., Benjamin, E., et al. (2004b). Sexual risk is associated with HIV among injection and non-injection drug users: Implications for intervention strategies. Paper presented at the XV International Conference on AIDS, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Semaan, S., Des Jarlais, D. C., Sogolow, E., Johnson, W. D., Hedges, L. V., Ramirez, G., et al. (2002). A meta-analysis of the effect of HIV prevention interventions on the sex behaviors of drug users in the United States. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 30 (Suppl 1), S73–S93.
- Semple, S., Patterson, T., and Grant, I. (2002). Gender differences in the sexual practices of HIV⁺ heterosexual men and women. AIDS and Behavior, 6(1), 45–54.
- Solorio, R., Swendeman, D., and Rotheram-Borus, M. J. (2003). Risk among young gay and bisexual men living with HIV. AIDS Education and Prevention, 15(Suppl A), 80–89.

