

**UMTRI-98-27**

**DO CHANGES IN VOLTAGE  
RESULT IN PROPORTIONAL  
CHANGES THROUGHOUT  
HEADLAMP BEAM PATTERNS?**

**Michael Sivak  
Michael J. Flannagan  
Eric C. Traube  
Toshio Miyokawa**

**August 1998**

DO CHANGES IN VOLTAGE RESULT IN PROPORTIONAL  
CHANGES THROUGHOUT HEADLAMP BEAM PATTERNS?

Michael Sivak  
Michael J. Flannagan  
Eric C. Traube  
Toshio Miyokawa

The University of Michigan  
Transportation Research Institute  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2150  
U.S.A.

Report No. UMTRI-98-27  
August 1998

**Technical Report Documentation Page**

1. Report No. <b>UMTRI-98-27</b>		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle <b>Do Changes in Voltage Result in Proportional Changes Throughout Headlamp Beam Patterns?</b>				5. Report Date <b>August 1998</b>	
				6. Performing Organization Code <b>302753</b>	
7. Author(s) <b>Sivak, M., Flannagan, M.J., Traube, E.C., and Miyokawa, T.</b>				8. Performing Organization Report No. <b>UMTRI-98-27</b>	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address <b>The University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute 2901 Baxter Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2150 U.S.A.</b>				10. Work Unit no. (TRAIS)	
				11. Contract or Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address <b>The University of Michigan Industry Affiliation Program for Human Factors in Transportation Safety</b>				13. Type of Report and Period Covered	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes The Affiliation Program currently includes Adac Plastics, BMW, Bosch, Britax International, Chrysler, Corning, Delphi Interior and Lighting Systems, Denso, Ford, GE, GM NAO Safety Center, Hella, Hewlett-Packard, Ichikoh Industries, Koito Manufacturing, LESCOA, Libbey-Owens-Ford, Magneti Marelli, North American Lighting, Osram Sylvania, Philips Lighting, PPG Industries, Reflexite, Stanley Electric, Stimsonite, TEXTRON Automotive, Valeo, Visteon, Wagner Lighting, 3M Personal Safety Products, and 3M Traffic Control Materials. Information about the Affiliation Program is available at: <a href="http://www.umich.edu/~industry/">http://www.umich.edu/~industry/</a>					
16. Abstract  This study evaluated the effects of voltage changes on beam patterns of low-beam headlamps. Seven different types of filament lamps were tested. The voltages used were 12.0, 12.8, and 13.5 V. The photometry was performed from 20° left to 20° right, and from 5° down to 5° up, all in steps of 0.5°. The main finding of this study is that for all seven lamps tested, voltage changes between 12.0 V and 13.5 V caused light output to change by the same proportion throughout the beam pattern. Therefore, for filament lamps, it is reasonable to use a single constant for all values in a beam pattern when converting a headlighting specification at one voltage to a specification at a different voltage, at least if the voltages in question are between 12.0 V and 13.5 V. The obtained constants across the seven lamps tested were similar to each other. Furthermore, these constants were in general agreement with the constants derived by using the standard IES formula relating light-output changes to voltage changes.					
17. Key Words <b>headlamps, headlighting, low beams, voltage, beam pattern, standards, harmonization, photometry, luminous intensity</b>				18. Distribution Statement <b>Unlimited</b>	
19. Security Classification (of this report) <b>None</b>		20. Security Classification (of this page) <b>None</b>		21. No. of Pages <b>23</b>	22. Price

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Appreciation is extended to the members of the University of Michigan Industry Affiliation Program for Human Factors in Transportation Safety for support of this research. The current members of the Program are:

Adac Plastics  
BMW  
Bosch  
Britax International  
Chrysler  
Corning  
Delphi Interior and Lighting Systems  
Denso  
Ford  
GE  
GM NAO Safety Center  
Hella  
Hewlett-Packard  
Ichikoh Industries  
Koito Manufacturing  
LESCOA  
Libbey-Owens-Ford  
Magneti Marelli  
North American Lighting  
Osram Sylvania  
Philips Lighting  
PPG Industries  
Reflexite  
Stanley Electric  
Stimsonite  
TEXTRON Automotive  
Valeo  
Visteon  
Wagner Lighting  
3M Personal Safety Products  
3M Traffic Control Materials

Appreciation is also extended to Jeffrey Erion, José Cruz, and Robert Suzio (all from Visteon) for their assistance with the photometry.

# CONTENTS

Acknowledgments.....	ii
Introduction .....	1
Method .....	2
Results.....	4
Discussion.....	19
References .....	20

## INTRODUCTION

An important issue for worldwide harmonization of headlamp beam patterns concerns changes in the beam pattern with changes in voltage: Do changes in voltage result in changes that are proportional throughout the beam pattern? If the answer is “yes,” then a standard written for a given voltage (say 12.8 V) could easily be converted to an equivalent standard at another voltage (say 13.5 V) by multiplying all values by a single constant. If the answer is “no,” then no such simple conversation would be possible.

The proportionality that we are discussing concerns whether changes at two or more points in the beam pattern are proportional to each other, not whether any of the changes in light output are proportional to voltage. According to the IES Lighting Handbook (IES, 1984), light output changes for filament lamps are related to changes in voltage by the formula  $(V_2/V_1)^{3.4}$ . For example, if the new voltage ( $V_2$ ) is 13.5 V, and the old voltage ( $V_1$ ) is 12.8 V, then to obtain the light output at 13.5 V, one should multiply the light output at 12.8 V by 1.198  $[(13.5/12.8)^{3.4} = 1.198]$ .

Sivak, Flannagan, Kojima, and Traube (1998) evaluated, for a representative sample of 35 U.S. low-beam headlamps, changes in light output at one test point (H,V) as a function of test voltage between 12.0 V and 13.5 V. The results indicated that the light-output changes were in good agreement with the IES formula. However, Sivak et al. (1998), by evaluating the changes at one test point only, were not able to address the issue of proportionality of light-output changes throughout the beam pattern.

One reason why voltage changes could lead to nonproportional changes in light output is that as the voltage is increased, a larger section of the filament might emit visible radiation. Consequently, because the optical control for a given lamp is designed with respect to a given size of a filament that is emitting visible radiation, a change in the size of the “active” filament might lead to deviations from proportionality. If there is, indeed, sufficient change in the size of the active filament to result in deviations from proportionality, then a presence of an internal shield (which certain types of lamps use to limit light output above the horizontal) might increase this effect.

The present study was designed to evaluate the effects of voltage changes on low-beam patterns of seven major types of filament lamps currently in use in the U.S., including one with an internal shield. Detailed photometric matrices were obtained for three different test voltages (12.0, 12.8, and 13.5 V).

## METHOD

### Approach

The beam pattern of each of seven low-beam headlamps was measured at three voltages: 12.0, 12.8, and 13.5 V.

### Lamps

The lamps tested were selected to include the major types currently in use in the U.S. (Sivak et al., 1998). Table 1 describes the lamps tested. The lamps were purchased at car dealerships.

Table 1.  
The lamps used in the study and their construction.

Label in this report	Bulb type	Internal shield	Optical control
9004	9004 (HB1)	No	Lens
H4	H4 (HB2)	Yes	Lens
9006	9006 (HB4)	No	Reflector
Projector	9006 (HB4)	No	Projector
9007	9007 (HB5)	No	Reflector
H7	H7	No	Lens
Sealed beam	Sealed beam (H6054)	No	Lens

### Photometry

We determined the luminous intensities at 1,701 test points in a rectangular matrix defined by the following horizontal and vertical angles (in relation to the headlamp axis). In the horizontal direction, the angles ranged from 20° left to 20° right in steps of 0.5°. In the vertical direction, the angles ranged from 5° down to 5° up in steps of 0.5°. All measurements were made in the same photometry lab.

**Procedure**

There are six possible orders of the three test voltages. In order to balance the effect of order, five of these orders were used for each of five lamps, and one order was used for two lamps.

Each headlamp was seasoned at 12.8 V for one hour a few days before the actual photometry. The three photometry sessions (one for each voltage) were performed on the same day. Immediately prior to the first photometry session, the tested lamp was seasoned for an additional 30 minutes using the first test voltage. Immediately prior to each of the other two photometry sessions, the lamp was seasoned for an additional five minutes each using the respective voltages.

## RESULTS

Figures 1 through 7 compare, for each lamp, the luminous intensities at 12.8 V to those at 12.0 V at each of the 1,701 test points. Analogous information for the test voltages of 13.5 V and 12.8 V is shown in Figures 8 through 14. Each figure also includes the best-fitting linear function with no intercept ( $y = ax$ ).<sup>1</sup> The variance accounted for in each case was 99% or greater. Table 2 lists the slopes of these best-fitting linear functions, and compares them to the predictions derived from the IES formula.

Table 2.  
Slopes of the best-fitting linear equations with no intercept.

Lamp	12.8 V vs. 12.0 V	13.5 V vs. 12.8 V
9004	1.225	1.188
H4	1.233	1.217
9006	1.263	1.211
Projector	1.239	1.171
9007	1.248	1.169
H7	1.221	1.181
Sealed beam	1.235	1.215
Mean	1.238	1.193
Using the IES formula	1.245	1.198

---

<sup>1</sup> The best-fitting lines without intercepts originate in the (0,0) point, and thus make more theoretical sense than those with intercepts. However, the lines with and without intercepts were virtually identical. (The differences in slopes, if any, were in the third decimal place.)




Figure 1. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 12.8 V and 12.0 V for the 9004 lamp.




Figure 2. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 12.8 V and 12.0 V for the H4 lamp.




Figure 3. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 12.8 V and 12.0 V for the 9006 lamp.




Figure 4. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 12.8 V and 12.0 V for the projector lamp.




Figure 5. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 12.8 V and 12.0 V for the 9007 lamp.




Figure 6. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 12.8 V and 12.0 V for the H7 lamp.




Figure 7. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 12.8 V and 12.0 V for the sealed-beam lamp.




Figure 8. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 13.5 V and 12.8 V for the 9004 lamp.




Figure 9. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 13.5 V and 12.8 V for the H4 lamp.




Figure 10. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 13.5 V and 12.8 V for the 9006 lamp.




Figure 11. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 13.5 V and 12.8 V for the projector lamp.




Figure 12. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 13.5 V and 12.8 V for the 9007 lamp.




Figure 13. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 13.5 V and 12.8 V for the H7 lamp.




Figure 14. Luminous intensities (in candela) at 13.5 V and 12.8 V for the sealed-beam lamp.

## DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study is that for all seven filament lamps tested, the effects of voltage changes in the range from 12.0 V to 13.5 V were well-described by linear functions with no intercepts. This finding implies that voltage changes between 12.0 V and 13.5 V tended to result in proportional changes in light output throughout the beam pattern. Therefore, for filament lamps, it is reasonable to use a single constant for all values when converting a headlighting specification at one voltage to a specification at a different voltage, at least if the voltages in question are between 12.0 V and 13.5 V.

The obtained constants across the seven lamps tested were similar to each other. (For each of the two pairs of voltages, the difference between the lowest and highest constants was less than 4%.) Furthermore, these constants were in general agreement with the constants derived by using the standard IES formula relating light-output changes to voltage changes. (For each of the two pairs of voltages, the difference between the mean for the seven lamps and the value derived using the IES formula was less than 1%.)

The present findings suggest that the light-emitting part of the filament does not change appreciably with changes in voltage between 12.0 V and 13.5 V. Furthermore, because the data for the one test lamp with an internal shield (an H4 lamp) did not differ from the other six lamps, the findings suggests that the presence of an internal shield does not necessarily lead to nonproportional changes in light output with changes in voltage.

## REFERENCES

- IES (Illuminating Engineering Society). (1984). *IES lighting handbook, Reference volume*. New York: Author.
- Sivak, M., Flannagan, M.J., Kojima, S., and Traube, E.C. (1998). *A market-weighted description of low-beam headlighting patterns in the U.S.* (SAE Technical Paper Series No. 980317). Warrendale, PA: Society of Automotive Engineers.