

## CASE REPORTS

# Recurrent Cellulitis Associated with Long-Term Intrathecal Opioid Infusion Therapy: A Case Report and Review of the Literature

Xiulu Ruan, MD,\* HaiNan Liu, MS,†  
J. Patrick Couch, MD,\* Frank Wang, MD,‡ and  
Srinivas Chiravuri, MD§

\*Physicians' Pain Specialists of Alabama, Mobile, Alabama;

†Department of Urology, QiLu Hospital, Shandong University, Jinan, China;

‡Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, University of South Alabama, Mobile, Alabama;

§Department of Anesthesiology, Center for Interventional Medicine, University of Michigan Health Systems, Ann Arbor, MI

Reprint requests to: Xiulu Ruan, MD, Physicians' Pain Specialists of Alabama, 2001 Springhill Avenue, Mobile, AL 36607, USA. Tel: 251-478-4900; Fax: 251-478-1996; E-mail: xiuluruan@yahoo.com.

### Abstract

**Background.** Lower-limb edema is recognized as an untoward side effect of intrathecal opioid therapy. Cellulitis, an acute, spreading pyogenic inflammation of the dermis and subcutaneous tissue, predisposed by persistent leg edema, can become problematic in patients on intraspinal opioid infusion therapy.

**Objective.** To present a case of recurrent cellulitis in an elderly lady with persistent leg edema associated with intrathecal morphine/hydromorphone infusion therapy.

**Case Report.** Sixty-one-year-old woman with intractable chronic low back pain and bilateral leg pain treated with an intrathecal infusion of morphine up to 5 mg/day over 3 months with satisfactory pain control developed progressive lower extremity edema, complicated by recurrent cellulitis, requiring repeated hospitalization and intravenous antibiotic

treatment. Switching to intrathecal hydromorphone helped minimally. Intrathecal baclofen and clonidine infusion resulted in complete resolution of leg edema and pain relief over the following 12 months.

**Conclusion.** Intrathecal Baclofen and Clonidine may be used as alternatives to provide spinally mediated antinociception when intraspinal opioid fails due to pharmacological side effects such as persistent edema.

**Key Words.** Intraspinal Drug Delivery; Intrathecal Morphine; Intrathecal Hydromorphone; Edema; Cellulitis

Spinal analgesia, mediated by opioid receptors, requires only a fraction of the opioid dose needed systemically. By infusing a small amount of opioid into the cerebrospinal fluid in close proximity to the receptor sites in the spinal cord, profound analgesia may be achieved. Intraspinal drug delivery of opioid(s), via an implanted pump and catheter, is increasingly used in a subset of patients with intractable, chronic pain who have failed to respond to conventional treatment, or could not tolerate systemic opioid due to side effects [1–5]. Prior to the permanent pump implantation, an intraspinal analgesic infusion trial is usually done, to document efficacy of IDD therapy, and to make sure that the patient is without intolerable side effects. Generally, 50% pain reduction, or patient's subjective report of "significant pain relief" during the trial, or demonstrable improved functional level during the trial, constitutes a positive trial [1]. Morphine, the only FDA-approved opioid for intrathecal administration, is considered effective, inexpensive, and well tolerated by majority of patients, yet, clinically relevant side effects associated with long-term intrathecal morphine administration have become evident [6,7]. These include pruritis, nausea, vomiting, constipation, edema, sexual dysfunction, urinary retention, and respiratory depression [6,7]. Leg edema associated with intrathecal opioid therapy has been increasingly recognized as a problematic complication [6,8,9].

Cellulitis is an acute bacterial infection of the dermis and subcutaneous tissue that is associated with inflammation [10,11]. We are reporting this case of recurrent cellulitis because it is a rare occurrence and can lead to severe morbidity.

## Case Report

A 61-year-old woman with intractable chronic low back pain and bilateral leg pain, due to degenerative disc disease, failed back surgery syndrome, and lumbar radiculopathy, was referred to our clinic for intraspinal drug delivery (IDD) therapy, after failing to respond to multidisciplinary pain treatment. Following a satisfactory epidural morphine infusion trial, she underwent placement of permanent intrathecal infusion pump. The intrathecal catheter was introduced at left paramedian L2-L3 under fluoroscopic guidance, with catheter tip located at T12. Satisfactory intrathecal catheter placement was confirmed by observing positive cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) flow and intraoperative myelogram. The intrathecal infusion was initially started with preservative free morphine at 1 mg/day. Over the following 3 months, the dosage was gradually titrated up to 5 mg/day with satisfactory pain control, 2–3/10 on visual analog pain scale (VAS) of 0–10. However, she developed progressive lower extremity edema and was fitted for compression stockings and prescribed diuretics (furosemide 20 mg bid) with limited success. Subsequently, after dose reduction to 3 mg/day, her leg edema improved, so that although she continued to wear compression stockings, she was able to discontinue the diuretic. Over the following 2 months, after her back and leg pain worsened to 6–7/10 on VAS, the intrathecal morphine dose was titrated to 5 mg/day. However, leg edema recurred, which was resistant to furosemide.

Meanwhile, the patient developed sudden onset of warm, tender erythema of bilateral lower extremities with a fever of 101.8°F. Urinalysis, urine culture, and chest X-ray were unremarkable, and bilateral lower extremity Doppler study was negative for any blood clots.

She was admitted to the hospital with a working diagnosis of severe cellulitis for intravenous (IV) antibiotic treatment, where she was treated for 3 days with aggressive IV furosemide, oral hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ), compression stockings, and IV cefazolin, with only slight improvement of leg edema but improvement of leg cellulitis. She was discharged home on oral Keflex for a total of 2 weeks of antibiotic treatment (IV + PO). Serial blood cultures after hospitalization came back negative for any bacterial growth, and her low back and leg pain remained “tolerable” on intrathecal morphine 5 mg/day. Two months later, her cellulitis recurred, requiring another hospitalization for 4 days of IV antibiotic treatment, followed by outpatient oral antibiotics 10 days in conjunction with both furosemide and HCTZ.

Subsequent to recurrent edema and leg cellulitis on intrathecal morphine, she was switched to intrathecal hydromorphone, starting at 1 mg/day and titrated to 1.8 mg/day. Her edema lessened, and her pain remained under satisfactory control, 2–3/10 on VAS, and she was able to discontinue compression stockings and diuretics. Two months later, however, she experienced worsening low back and leg pain, 6–7/10 on VAS, so the intrathecal hydromorphone was increased to 2.6 mg/day, with recur-

rence of leg edema followed by severe bilateral leg cellulitis, again requiring hospital admission for intravenous antibiotic followed by oral antibiotics. The cellulitis resolved following antibiotics treatment, but the leg edema persisted.

After struggling with recurrent severe cellulitis and persistent leg edema, it was finally decided to switch her intrathecal regimen to clonidine 33 mcg/day and baclofen 67 mcg/day resulting in complete resolution of edema, enabling her to discontinue both compression stockings and diuretics. Her pain remained “tolerable” around 2–4/10 on VAS over the following 12 months, requiring only slight dosage elevation of intrathecal clonidine (67 mcg/day) and baclofen (100 mcg/day). She has had no recurrent leg edema or cellulitis.

## Discussion

Cellulitis is an acute bacterial infection of the dermis and subcutaneous tissue that is clinically associated with clinical inflammation [10,11]. The area, usually on the leg, is warm, tender, erythematous, and swollen. It lacks sharp demarcation from uninvolved skin. The diagnosis of cellulitis is based on the clinical ground, i.e., the morphologic features of the lesion and clinical settings [12]. Streptococci (Group A, B, G) and *Staphylococcus aureus* are the most frequently isolated pathogens [12]. Bacteremia is uncommon in cellulitis. Previous studies showed that out of 272 patients, initial blood culture was positive only in about 4% [13,14], indicating blood culture not being cost-effective for most patients with cellulitis. Empirical antibiotics with intravenous cephalosporin, followed by oral cephalosporin for total of 7–14 days are usually employed for moderate or severe cellulitis [12].

Edema predisposes patients to cellulitis [15]. Persistent edema after recovery from cellulitis predisposes patient to recurrent cellulitis [15]. The precise pathophysiological and immunological responses in this disposition to cellulitis remain to be poorly understood [16]. Leg edema, associated with intraspinal opioid therapy, has been increasingly recognized along with the increased utilization of this treatment modality for intractable, chronic pain [6,8,9]. It has been widely accepted that the mechanism of spinally opioid-induced edema to be the cephalad migration of morphine in CSF, and subsequent interaction with opioid receptors in the posterior pituitary gland, stimulating vasopressin release [6,7].

Recently, we reported a case of an otherwise healthy elderly female with failed back surgery syndrome who developed severe peripheral edema while on continuous epidural morphine infusion [17]. We also noticed the early research by Huidobro-Toro et al. [18] and Denesh et al. [19]. Huidobro et al. [18], who observed striking difference in urine electrolytes in rats following intraventricular injection of antidiuretic hormone and opioids, respectively; the former being oliguria with high concentration of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>, while the latter being very low concentration of urine electrolytes, suggesting spinal opioids selectively activate central opioid receptors to produce changes in urine for-

mation and composition. Denesh et al. [19] demonstrated that centrally administered morphine in conscious rats enhanced renal tubular sodium reabsorption, by opioid receptor-dependent mechanism. So, our speculation is that both centrally mediated renal effect (through alteration of urine electrolyte composition) and centrally mediated vasopressin release may be the underlying mechanism of spinal opioid-induced edema. In our patient, we believed that the recurrent cellulitis was predisposed by lower extremity edema. When she repeatedly developed edema with cellulitis while on intrathecal morphine, we decided to switch her intrathecal opioid to hydromorphone.

Hydromorphone, a semisynthetic derivative of morphine, is considered to be equianalgesic at a ratio of 1:5–1:8 [20,21]. It is more lipophilic than morphine, therefore penetrating the spinal cord more rapidly, leaves less time for it to ascend cephalad in CSF [20,22], and is expected to have less side effects due to rostral spread of the drug in comparison with morphine. Hydromorphone has been increasingly used off-label over the past a few years for long-term intrathecal therapy [23]. Deer et al. recently published the most up-to-date expert panel recommendations on intrathecal drug selection—the “Polyanalgesic Algorithm” [23]. It calls for the selection of intrathecal analgesics for long-term intrathecal infusion in the order from line 1 to line 6 (line 1 agents include morphine, hydromorphone, and ziconotide; line 2 agents include fentanyl, morphine/hydromorphone + ziconotide, morphine/hydromorphone + bupivacaine/Clonidine; line 3 agents include Clonidine, morphine/hydromorphone/fentanyl, bupivacaine +/Clonidine + ziconotide; line 4 agents include sufentanil, sufentanyl + bupivacaine +/Clonidine + ziconotide; line 5 agents include ropivacaine, buprenorphine, midazolam, meperidine, ketorolac; line 6 agents include other experimental drugs) [23]. The switch of intrathecal morphine to hydromorphone did not deviate from the “polyanalgesic algorithm,” as hydromorphone is considered a line 1 agent, although the rationale for this switch was due to the edema caused by intrathecal morphine rather than inadequate analgesia.

Her pattern of initial improvement of peripheral edema, followed by recurrence of edema with intrathecal hydromorphone, was previously observed by Anderson et al. [9], who reported their findings in a retrospective review of 37 patients with chronic nonmalignant pain managed with intrathecal hydromorphone. However, there was no cellulitis reported, and to this day, there have been no other reported cases of cellulitis associated with intrathecal opioid infusion therapy. It is quite likely that this is underreported, considering the incidence of peripheral edema due to intraspinal opioid being from 6.1% [24] to 21.7% [25].

Although Ziconotide is listed as a Line 1 agents, we did not use it in our patient for the following reasons: 1) ziconotide can only be used with programmable infusion pump such as Medtronic SynchroMed (Prialt package insert). Ziconotide has a very narrow therapeutic window that requires starting low at 0.5 mcg/day, gradually

increasing dosage at no more than 0.5 mcg/day, until therapeutic dose is achieved [26]. This highly meticulous titration process mandates a programmable pump such as Medtronic SynchroMed infusion pump, not the constant-flow rate, nonprogrammable Codman pump in our patient, which we use because it is less expensive and lasts longer [28]. The only way to change drug infusion dose with the nonprogrammable, constant flow rate pump, is by replacing pump medication with different drug concentration during pump refill. 2) Ziconotide is very expensive and has high incidence of side effects, including psychiatric, neurological, cardiovascular, and gastrointestinal symptoms [27].

The main reason that we routinely implant nonprogrammable Codman constant-flow pumps is that they are much less expensive than the Medtronic programmable pump [28]. This is especially true when one considers the effect that programmable pumps require multiple replacement surgeries about every 5 years due to limited battery lifespan [29]. Staats et al. [28] recently conducted a retrospective study in 101 patients who received programmable infusion pumps for management of nonmalignant low back pain, and found out that almost all patients stayed on constant-flow treatment within the normal battery lifespan. They further suggested that programmable infusion pumps be replaced by constant-flow pumps at the first pump replacement surgery.

Although bupivacaine is listed as adjunct agent in line 2, 3, and 4, it was advocated to be used, only in conjunction with opioids (morphine/hydromorphone or fentanyl) rather than to be used alone [23]. We were also concerned about the possibility that intrathecal bupivacaine could worsen leg edema by interrupting the sympathetic outflow, causing venous pooling, which was described by Bridenbaugh et al. [30]. Since we speculated that intrathecal opioids (morphine or hydromorphone) were responsible for causing recurrent leg edema, combining opioid(s) with bupivacaine for intrathecal infusion, obviously, would not solve the problem of leg edema. In our patient, we believed that the spinal opioid-induced edema involves both centrally mediated renal function (resulting in urine electrolyte composition change) and centrally opioid receptor-mediated opioid interaction with posterior pituitary (leading to vasopressin release).

Unfortunately, agents in line 2, 3, and 4 all involve opioids. Faced with these limitations, in view of multiple recurrent lower extremity edema and recurrent cellulitis in our patient while on intrathecal clonidine, is a centrally acting alpha2-adrenoreceptor agonist believed to act at the alpha2 adrenoreceptors in the dorsal horn to modulate afferent nociceptive input by pre- and postsynaptic mechanism [31–33]. Clonidine has been shown to be effective in treating neuropathic pain, including complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) [34–36]. Hassenbusch et al. demonstrated the tolerability and efficacy of intrathecal Clonidine in the treatment of chronic pain through a phase I/II study [37].

Baclofen, a *gamma*-amino-butyric acid (GABA B) agonist, has been widely used intrathecally in the management of spasticity due to upper motor neuron syndromes, such as spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, traumatic brain injury, etc. [38]. The antispastic properties of baclofen are mediated by the suppression of release of excitatory neurotransmitters and inhibition of excitatory afferent terminals involved in monosynaptic and polysynaptic reflex activity at the spinal cord level [39,40]. Only recently have the antinociceptive effects of intrathecal baclofen, independent of motor blockade, been suggested [41,42].

Lastly, we would like to emphasize the importance of adhering to the “polyanalgesic algorithm” when utilizing intrathecal analgesic drug therapy for pain management, as complications do occur, especially with intrathecal baclofen, as serious withdrawal symptoms have been reported [43,44].

**Conclusion**

Peripheral edema becomes increasingly recognized as a potential side effect of intraspinal opioid infusion therapy. Persistent leg edema predisposes patients to recurrent cellulitis. Opioid dosage reduction or switching to non-opioid analgesics may be required to resolve the edema induced by intrathecal opioid, and to prevent the development of associated cellulitis. Intrathecal baclofen and clonidine may be used as alternative, under certain circumstances, to provide spinally mediated antinociception, when intraspinal opioid fails because of intolerable pharmacological side effects.

**References**

- 1 Krames E. Intraspinal analgesia for nonmalignant pain. In: Waldman S, Interventional Pain Management, 2nd edition. London: WB Saunders, 2001;60:609–19.
- 2 Raphael JH, Southall JL, Gnanadurai TV, Treharne GI, Kitas GD. Long-term experience with implanted intrathecal drug administration systems for failed back syndrome and mechanical low back pain. BMC Musculoskeletal Disord 2002;3:17.
- 3 Deer T, Chapple I, Classen A, et al. Intrathecal drug delivery for treatment of chronic low back pain: Report from the National Outcomes Registry for Low Back Pain. Pain Med 2004;5:6–13.
- 4 Kumar K, Kelly M, Pirlot T. Continuous intrathecal morphine treatment for chronic pain of nonmalignant etiology: Long-term benefits and efficacy. Surg Neurol 2001;55:79–88.
- 5 Anderson VC, Burchiel KJ. A prospective study of long-term intrathecal morphine in the management of chronic nonmalignant pain. Neurosurgery 1999;44(2): 289–300.

- 6 Ruan X. Drug-related side effects of long-term intrathecal morphine therapy. Pain Physician 2007;10:357–65.
- 7 Chaney MA. Side effects of intrathecal and epidural opioids. Can J Anaesth 1995;42(10):891–903.
- 8 Aldrete JA, Couto Da Silva JM. Leg edema from intrathecal opiate infusions. Eur J Pain 2000;4:361–5.
- 9 Anderson VC, Cooke B, Burchiel KJ. Intrathecal hydromorphone for chronic nonmalignant pain: A retrospective study. Pain Med 2001;2(4):287–97.
- 10 Bonnetblanc J-M, Bédane C. Erysipelas recognition and management. Therapy in practice. Am J Clin Dermatol 2003;4(3):157–63.
- 11 Stalbow J. Preventing cellulitis in older people with persistent lower limb edema. Br J Nurs 2004; 13(12):725–32.
- 12 Swartz MN. Clinical practice. Cellulitis. N Eng J Med 2004;350(9):904–12.
- 13 Sachs MK. The optimum use of needle aspiration in the bacteriological diagnosis of cellulitis in adults. Arch Intern Med 1990;150:1907–12.
- 14 Sigurdsson AF, Gudmundsson S. The etiology of bacterial cellulitis as determined by fine-needle aspiration. Scand J Infect Dis 1989;21:537–42.
- 15 Dupuy A, Benchikhi H, Roujeau JC, et al. Risk factors for erysipelas of the leg (cellulitis): Case-control study. BMJ 1999;318:159–4.
- 16 Baddour LM. Cellulitis syndrome: An update. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2000;14:113–16.
- 17 Ruan X, Tadia R, Couch JP, Ruan J, Chiravuri S. Case report: Severe peripheral edema during an outpatient continuous epidural morphine infusion trial in a patient with failed back surgery syndrome. Pain Physician 2008;11(3):363–8.
- 18 Huidobro-Toro J, Huidobro F. Central effects of morphine, levorphanol, (-)-methadone and the opioid-like peptides beta-endorphin and d-alanien2-methionine enkephalinamide on urine volume outflow and electrolytes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1981;217:570–85.
- 19 Danesh S, Walker LA. Effects of central administration of morphine on renal function in conscious rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1988;244(2):640–5.
- 20 Mercadante S. Opioid rotation for cancer pain: Rationale and clinical aspects. Cancer 1999;86:1856–66.

## Ruan et al.

- 21 Lawlor PG, Turner KS, Hanson J, Bruera ED. Dose ratio between morphine and methadone in patients with cancer pain: A retrospective study. *Cancer* 1998;82:1167–73.
- 22 Houde RW. Clinical analgesic studies of hydromorphone. *Adv Pain Res Ther* 1986;8:129–35.
- 23 Deer T, Krames ES, Hassenbusch SJ, et al. Polyanalgesic consensus conference 2007: Recommendations for the management of pain by intrathecal (intraspinal) drug delivery: Report of an interdisciplinary expert panel. *Neuromodulation* 2007;10:300–28.
- 24 Winkelmueller M, Winkelmueller W. Long-term effects of continuous intrathecal opioid treatment in chronic pain of non-malignant etiology. *J Neurosurg* 1996;85:458–67.
- 25 Di Chiro G. Observations on the circulation of the CSF. *Acta Radiol* 1996;5:988–1002.
- 26 Fisher R, et al. A consensus statement regarding the present suggested titration for Prialt(Ziconotide). *Neuromodulation* 2005;8:153–4.
- 27 Cohen SP, Dragovich A. Intrathecal analgesia. *Med Clin N Am* 2007;91:251–70.
- 28 Staats P, Whitworth M, Barakat M, Anderson W, Lilienfeld S. The use of implanted programmable infusion pumps in the management of nonmalignant chronic low-back pain. *Neuromodulation* 2007;10:376–80.
- 29 Kehnscherper H, Rudolph S, Freitag B. Implantable drug pumps for spinal opioid analgesia: Technical solutions and problems. *Anaesthesiol Reanim* 2004;29:74–8.
- 30 Bridenbaugh PO, Greene N, Brull S. Spinal (subarachnoid) neural blockade. In: Cousin MJ, Bridenbaugh PO, eds. *Neural Blockade in Clinical Anesthesia and Management of Pain*. Philadelphia. New York: Lippincott-Raven; 1988:203–41.
- 31 Yaksh TL, Reddy SV. Studies in the primate on the analgesic effects associated with intrathecal actions of opiates, alpha-adrenergic agonists and baclofen. *Anesthesiology* 1981;54:451–67.
- 32 Yaksh TL. Pharmacology of spinal adrenergic systems which modulate spinal nociceptive processing. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1985;22:845–58.
- 33 Kamisaki Y, Hamada T, Maeda K, Ishimura M, Itoh T. Presynaptic alpha2 adrenoceptors inhibit glutamate release from rat spinal cord synaptosomes. *J Neurochem* 1993;60:522–6.
- 34 Rauck RL, Eisenach JC, Jackson K, Young LD, Southern J. Epidural clonidine treatment for refractory reflex sympathetic dystrophy. *Anesthesiology* 1993;79:1163–9.
- 35 Ackerman LL, Follett KA, Rosenquist RW. Long-term outcomes during treatment of chronic pain with intrathecal clonidine or clonidine/opioid combination. *J Pain Symptom Manag* 2003;26:668–77.
- 36 Glynn CJ, Jamous MA, Teddy PJ, Moore RA, Lloyd JW. Role of spinal noradrenergic system in transmission of pain in patients with spinal cord injury. *Lancet* 1986;2:1249–50.
- 37 Hassenbusch SJ, Gunes S, Wachsman S, Willis KD. Intrathecal clonidine in the treatment of intractable pain: A phase I/II study. *Pain Medicine* 2002; Vol:3(2):85–91.
- 38 Francisco GE. The role of intrathecal baclofen therapy in the upper motor neuron syndrome. *Eura Medicophys* 2004;40(2):131–43.
- 39 Bowery NG, Hill DR, Hudson AL, et al. Baclofen decreases neurotransmitter release in the mammalian CNS by action at a novel GABA receptor. *Nature* 1980;283:92–4.
- 40 Mueller H, Zierski J, Dralle D, Börner U, Hoffmann O. The effect of intrathecal baclofen in electrical muscle activity in spasticity. *J Neurol* 1987;234:234–52.
- 41 Zuniga RE, Perera S, Abram SE. Intrathecal baclofen: A useful agent in the treatment of well established complex regional pain syndrome. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2002;27(1):99–3.
- 42 Slonimski M, Abram SE, Zuniga RE. Intrathecal baclofen in pain management. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2004;29(3):269–76.
- 43 Shirley KW, Kothare S, Piatt JH Jr, Adirim TA. Intrathecal baclofen overdose and withdrawal. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2006;22:258–61.
- 44 Vender JR, Hester S, Waller JL, Rekito A, Lee MR. Identification and management of intrathecal baclofen pump complications. A comparison of pediatric and adult patients. *J Neurosurg* 2006;104(suppl 1):9–15.