UMTRI-89-23

SURVEY OF DRIVER SEATING DISCOMFORT AND RELATED FACTORS

Lawrence W. Schneider Leda L. Ricci University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute 2901 Baxter Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109–2150

Submitted to:

Ikeda Engineering Corporation 37484 Interchange Drive Halstead Commerce Park Farmington Hills, Michigan 48018

August 1989

Technical Report Documentation Page

			lechnical Report Documentation Page
1. Report No. UMTRI-89-23	2. Government Acces	sion No. 3.	Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle	L	5.	Report Date
SURVEY OF DRIVER SEAT	ING DISCOMFOR	r L	August 1989
AND RELATED FACTORS		- 6.	Performing Organization Code
			389766
7. Author's)			Performing Organization Report No.
L.W. Schneider and L.I	L. Ricci		UMTR1-89-23
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre	\$\$	10). Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
University of Michigan	n		
Transportation Researce	ch Institute	1	1. Contract or Grant No.
App Arbor Michigan 48	8100-2150		
Ann Arbor, Michigan 40	5105-2150	13	 Type of Report and Period Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			SPECIAL REPORT
Ikeda Engineering Corr	poration		
37484 Interchange Driv	ve	14	I. Sponsoring Agency Code
Farmington Hills, Mich	nigan 48018		
A field survey of driv was conducted to determine relative frequency of the dif were interviewed with 142 one body region. Some driv of 240 responses among the the most frequently mention second most frequently rep region was next in frequen upper-back regions account a major area of discomfort were reported for the legs the left leg. Most discomfor although a significant amon characterized as <i>sharp</i> we discomfort responses were of seat factors and the experied discomfort group included a lumbar support, while, in the	vers of late-mode the frequency of ferent body regi- reporting <i>no dis</i> vers reported dis the 110 drivers wi bond with a tota borted area with ney with 23 disc ed for 36% of the with 20 response with the right le out was reported unt of the discor- chile in the bu- characterized as ence of discomfo- a higher percent the discomfort gro	el vehicles travelin of discomfort exper- ons involved. Two comfort and 110 re- comfort in more th th discomfort. Of l of 63 responses. a total of 35 res- comfort responses. discomfort responses. discomfort responses. a significant nu- g experiencing dis- as mild pain and mfort in the neck/ ttock/hip/thigh re- numbing. Little co- rt was observed w age of subjects wi- pup, the percentage	ng on two Michigan highways ienced during driving and the hundred and fifty-two drivers eporting <i>discomfort</i> in at least han one body region for a total these, the lumbar region was The buttock region was the ponses, while the upper-back Combined, the lumbar and ises. The neck region was also umber of discomfort responses comfort more frequently than characterized as a dull ache shoulder/arm region was also gion nearly one-half of the porrelation between vehicle and ith the exception that the no- th power seats and seats with e of subjects with bucket seats
17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statemer	••

IT. Key words	IS. DISTRIBUTION STOTE	
Vehicle Seating Discomfort/Comfort		Unlimited
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages 22. Price
None	None	27

Reproduction of completed page authorized

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Karen Buzinski for developing the questionnaires used in this survey and for collecting and organizing the data presented in this report. The authors would also like to acknowledge Charles Compton of the UMTRI Transportation Data Center for his assistance and expertise in analyzing the survey data, and Mr. Masatsugu Saito of Ikeda Bussan Co., Ltd. for providing the graphical presentations of discomfort response frequencies.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
SUMMARY	1
I. INTRODUCTION	2
II. SAMPLING STRATEGY AND METHODS	2
A. Sampling Strategy B. Data Collection	$2 \\ 2$
III. RESULTS	4
 A. Subject and Vehicle Characteristics B. Discomfort Regions C. Causes and Solutions to Discomfort 	4 6 6
APPENDIX: SURVEY FORMS	23

LIST OF TABLES

Page

1.	Subject Descriptive Statistics By Comfort/Discomfort Groups	11
2.	Descriptive Statistics	12
3.	Vehicle Descriptive Statistics	14
4.	Discomfort Responses by Body Location	16
5.	Severity of Pain	17
6.	Type of Pain	17
7.	Causes for Discomfort	18
8.	Ways Drivers Relieve Discomfort	19
9.	Driving Time for Discomfort	19
10.	Driver Chronic Health Problem	20
11.	What Makes Other Vehicles More Comfortable	20
12.	Suggested Seat Improvements	21
13.	What Makes This Car Seat More Comfortable	22

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
1.	Data collection locations	3
2.	Sampling breakdown of seat discomfort survey	5
3.	Frequency histogram of subject discomfort by body region.	8
4.	Frequency of body discomfort by body region broken down by left, right, and center regions.	9
5.	Frequency of subject discomfort by body region for body parts in contact with seat and body regions not in contact with seat	10

SUMMARY

A field survey of drivers of late model vehicles traveling on two Michigan highways was conducted to determine the frequency of driver discomfort experienced during driving and the relative frequency of the different body regions involved. A total of 252 drivers were interviewed as they pulled into designated parking areas at highway rest areas. Of these, 142 drivers reported no discomfort and 110 drivers indicated that they were experiencing some discomfort. In both groups (i.e., comfort and no discomfort), 80 to 90 percent of the drivers had been driving for more than one hour since their last stop and almost all the drivers had been in their vehicles more than one hour since the start of their trip.

Drivers who reported discomfort were asked to describe the body regions in which the discomfort was experienced with multiple responses allowed. Of the 110 subjects in this group, there were 240 body regions of discomfort reported. Of these, the lumbar region was the most frequently mentioned with a total of 63 discomfort responses. The buttock region was the second most frequently reported area with a total of 35 responses while the upper-back region was next in frequency with 23 discomfort responses. Combined, the lumbar and upper-back regions accounted for 36% of the discomfort responses. The neck region was also a major area of discomfort with 20 responses. A significant number of discomfort responses were reported for the legs including the calves, knees, ankle, and foot, with the right leg experiencing discomfort more frequently than the left leg. In fact, the combined discomfort responses for both right and left legs was 54 which accounts for 22.5% of the total discomfort responses.

With regard to the severity of discomfort, 174 (72.5%) of the discomfort responses were described as *mild* pain, 49 (20.4%) were *moderate*, and 17 (7.1%) were severe. Most of the pain was characterized as a dull pain, particularly in the back and lumbar regions, but in the neck/shoulder/arm region a significant amount of the discomfort was characterized as *sharp* while in the buttock/hip/thigh region nearly half of the discomfort responses were characterized as *numbing*.

For each subject interviewed, information was also collected describing the vehicle and seat features. In general, little correlation between these factors and the experience of discomfort was observed in this small sample. The exceptions were the findings that the nodiscomfort group included a higher percentage of subjects with power seats or seats with lumbar support, while in the discomfort group, the percentage of subjects with bucket seats (compared to bench seats) was slightly higher.

I. INTRODUCTION

As an initial step in the formulation of a research plan and methodology for investigating and determining automotive seat design criteria required for optimizing driver comfort, an on-site interview survey was undertaken. The primary goal was to define the magnitude and nature of the problem of driver discomfort related to seat design and automotive seating posture and to identify and prioritize the body regions in which discomfort is experienced. Secondary goals were to identify the types of discomfort, determine vehicle, seat, human, and environmental factors that may contribute to discomfort, and obtain information on ways in which drivers deal with discomfort.

II. SAMPLING STRATEGY AND METHODS

A. Sampling Strategy

As illustrated in Figure 1, data for this study were collected at two Michigan Department of Transportation rest areas along Michigan Interstate I-94 and Michigan limited access U.S. Highway 23. A criteria for the survey was to interview drivers who had been driving for at least one hour and it was felt that these two rest areas would encompass a cross section of the population who would be driving for both business and pleasure trips. The I-94 rest area is located on the eastbound side of the freeway, six miles west of Ann Arbor. It is a major freeway from both the western side of Michigan and the Chicago area into Ann Arbor and the Detroit metropolitan area. It was believed that the driver population at this rest area would include more sales and business people. Also included in this population were tourists returning from the Lake Michigan resort areas. The second rest area selected was southbound U.S. 23, twelve miles north of Ann Arbor. This highway draws from a number of areas both in business and recreation.

Both of the rest areas chosen had large parking areas. A block of eight angled parking spaces was selected for the target vehicles. This was done to eliminate observer bias and so that the interviewer could question each driver while he/she was still seated in the car. Model years 1980 and later were selected and only passenger cars, vans, and light trucks were included in the sample.

Time of day was also a consideration in data collection. Interviews were conducted no earlier than 10:00 A.M. and in most cases did not begin until at least 12:00 noon. There were three week-end days in which interviews were conducted. Overall, decisions on the interview location, time of day, and day of week were made to target the most drivers in one area who met the criteria of having been sitting and driving for more than one hour.

B. Data Collection

Two survey forms were developed to gather data (see Appendix). One form was for those drivers who experienced *no discomfort* and the other was for drivers who indicated they had experienced *discomfort*. Both forms were designed to gather information about vehicle and seat factors, driver demographics and general physical characteristics. The form used for respondents experiencing discomfort also gathered information about the body regions in which the discomfort was felt, the severity and type of discomfort, driver's opinions about the possible causes of their discomfort, and the ways that they tried to reduce the discomfort.

All interviews were conducted by a single interviewer with extensive experience and training in transportation-related observation and interviewing. When a vehicle drove into the target area (i.e., one of the eight selected parking spaces), the interviewer approached the driver, introduced herself, explained the survey, and asked the driver if he/she wished to



FIGURE 1. Data collection locations.

participate. If the driver agreed, they were first asked if they were currently experiencing any type of discomfort related to their car seat or the way in which they were sitting. If the driver answered that they felt no discomfort at all, they were questioned about discomfort experienced on previous trips. If the driver still indicated that he/she had no discomfort on this or previous trips, they were asked questions from Form 1 (no discomfort). If the driver answered that they were in discomfort on this trip or had been in discomfort on previous trips, Form 2 (some discomfort) was used for the remainder of the interview.

All questionnaires were reviewed, key punched, and verified to ensure data entry accuracy. The two forms were keypunched into separate files and subsequently merged for compilation and analysis by OSIRIS and ADAAS programs on The University of Michigan MTS main-frame computer system.

III. RESULTS

A. Subject and Vehicle Characteristics

Data were collected from August 20 through September 23, 1988. As shown in Figure 2, 255 drivers were approached for participation in the study. Of this number, three refused to participate, 142 reported no discomfort, and 110 reported some discomfort on this or previous trips. Of the 110 drivers indicating some discomfort, 101 respondents were currently experiencing discomfort and 9 respondents indicated discomfort on previous trips.

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the sample population and also gives the characteristics for the discomfort and no-discomfort segments of this population. The sample included 68.3% (172) males and 31.7% (80) females who ranged in stature (as reported by the subject) from 5'0" to 6'8". Subject weight ranged from 104 lb to 320 lb and subjects were distributed nearly evenly throughout the age range from 18 to over 60 years. It will be noted that there are no significant differences in physical characteristics for the comfort and no-discomfort groups.

Table 2 summarizes some basic information about the data collection process for the total sample and for the comfort and no-discomfort groups. Interviews were conducted on all days of the week with approximately two-thirds of the interviews being conducted on U.S. 23 and one-third on I-94. Interviews were conducted from 10 A.M. to 9 P.M. although the greatest number of interviews took place between noon and 5 P.M. The largest percentage (36.5%) of subjects were on vacation but a significant proportion (20.6%) were involved in work-related driving. Most subjects had been driving between one and four hours on the day interviewed and most had been driving between one and 2–1/2 hours since their last stop. There are no differences of significance for the discomfort and no-discomfort groups for any of these data-collection factors.

Table 3 summarizes the vehicle and seat characteristics for the total sample and the comfort and no-discomfort groups. Most vehicles were manufactured after 1983 and approximately 60% of the vehicles were equipped with bucket seats while about 40% had bench or split-bench seats. Approximately one-half of the seats had seatback recliners and approximately one-quarter of the seats had power adjustment features. It is striking that over three-fourths of all drivers were wearing their seat belts. Once again, the proportions for these characteristics are nearly the same for those experiencing discomfort group included a higher percentage of power-seat users (26.8% compared to 18.2%) and also a higher percentage of persons having seats with lumbar support (10.5% compared to 3.6%). Interestingly, the percentage of drivers with bucket-type seats is higher for the discomfort group than it is for the no-discomfort group (67.3% to 55.6%). It is also interesting that there were no differences in percentages of vehicles with air conditioning for the discomfort and no-discomfort groups.



FIGURE 2. Sampling breakdown of seat discomfort survey.

B. Discomfort Regions

In the questionnaire given to respondents who were experiencing discomfort, the responses for body-area discomfort were broken down into thirty separate areas, including left, right, and central regions of the body. Each respondent was allowed up to five responses for body-area of discomfort. Of the 101 drivers who were experiencing discomfort at the time of the interview and the nine drivers who reported discomfort in the past, 240 body-area responses were identified. Table 4 summarizes these results grouped into twenty-one different body regions (by combining left, right, and central regions in some cases) and the four general body regions: arm/neck/shoulder, back/lumbar/abdomen, buttock/hip/thigh, and knee/leg/foot, while Figures 3, 4, and 5 show these results in graphical form. The lumbar region was sited most often with 63 total responses for 26.3% of the total body-area responses. The next largest source of discomfort by body region was the buttock area which comprised 14.6% (left=17, right=18) of all responses. The upper-back region was the third highest in response frequency with 23 discomfort responses. In all, the back and buttock comprised over 50% (121) of all discomfort responses.

The neck region was also a major area of discomfort with 20 responses or 8.3%. A significant number of discomfort responses were reported for the legs including the calves, knees, ankle, and foot, with the right leg experiencing discomfort more frequently than the left leg. In fact, the combined discomfort responses for both right and left legs was 54 which accounts for 22.5% of the total discomfort responses. Of these, 37 or 68.5% were for the right leg.

For each discomfort region, respondents were also asked to describe the severity and type of pain they were experiencing. As shown in Table 5, there were 174 (72.5%) responses described as mild, 49 (20.4%) as moderate, and 17 (7.1%) as severe. Nearly 80% of the back and lumbar discomfort was described as mild pain. As shown in Table 6, the type of pain was classified as sharp, burning, numbing, or dull ache. For the shoulder, neck, and arm region, most pain was described as a dull ache but a large percentage was also classified as sharp. In the back and lumbar regions, nearly 80% of the pain was described as a dull ache. In the buttock, hip, and thigh region, the discomfort was either numbing or a dull ache, the former most likely due to pressure on the buttock. In the leg and foot region (primarily the right leg), most of the pain was described as a dull ache.

C. Causes and Solutions to Discomfort

Drivers who experienced discomfort were asked to identify those features of the vehicle seat or of driving that contributed to their discomfort. The responses are summarized in Table 7 and include a wide range of explanations. Up to five responses were allowed for each subject and many drivers felt that their discomfort was due to a combination of several factors. The most frequently sited causes were the lack of lower-back support (13%) and the need to keep their foot in the same position on the accelerator pedal (11.3%). Non-adjustable seatback angle accounted for 10% of the explanations and improper location of the head support was sited in 6.9% of the responses. Many drivers who sited inability to adjust the seatback angle as a factor also noted that, because they were unable to adjust this angle, the headrest location was not in the proper position. Another common response was that there was really nothing about the seat that contributed to their discomfort but that it was simply a matter of sitting for too long in the same position (8.9% of responses).

Drivers in the discomfort group were also asked what they did to try to relieve their discomfort while driving. The results are shown in Table 8. The largest percentage (32.7%) of drivers said that they changed postures while a nearly equal percentage (27.3%) said that

they simply stopped driving and relaxed. Another 11.3% said that they relieved the discomfort by both changing postures and stopping to relax.

Table 9 summarizes the results from driver responses to the question of how long it took to develop the discomfort. Almost one-fourth of sensations of discomfort were reported to occur within the first hour of driving. Another one-third developed between one and two hours after driving so that over one-half (57.5%) of the body regions of discomfort occurred within two hours of driving. Only 5% of the drivers required more than five hours of driving to develop discomfort.

Table 10 summarizes the results with regard to the presence of chronic health problems that could be related to the experience of discomfort. The primary finding was that twenty-three of the sixty-three lumbar discomfort responses could be attributed to a chronic health (i.e., back) problem.

Drivers who were uncomfortable were asked: "Have you driven other cars in which you found the seat more comfortable than in this car?" Sixty-one subjects (55.5%) said that they had. These drivers were asked to identify up to two vehicles that were more comfortable and to explain what they thought were the reasons. Sixty-six vehicles were identified as "more comfortable," thirty-three of which had actually been driven or ridden in by the respondent. Thirty-three vehicles were identified as being more comfortable simply because they were "luxury" class cars (i.e., Lincoln Town Car, Cadillac Eldorado, Mercedes Benz). Many of the drivers who assumed there was more comfort in a luxury-class car also related comfort to cost of the vehicle. Responses to the specific question of what makes these vehicles more comfortable are shown in Table 11 and include 66 suggestions, of which 14 (21.2%) have to do with bigger seats and luxury cars, 9 (13.6%) indicate that a contoured bucket-type seat fits better, and 7 (10.6%) suggest that a better, firmer cushion was the answer.

Drivers who had no discomfort were asked how they would improve their car seat to make it more comfortable with up to three responses allowed per driver. As shown in Table 12, there were 160 suggestions, although 67 of these said there was nothing that could be done. Of the other suggestions, the most frequent included adding more cushion, adding lumbar support, and improving adjustability.

All respondents were asked what they thought made their vehicle seat more comfortable than other vehicles they had driven. The results are shown in Table 13. As could be expected, those who were experiencing no discomfort generally considered their vehicle to be more comfortable than those who were experiencing discomfort. Of 314 total responses, 72 said they didn't know. There were 55 responses that indicated that the contoured bucket seat was a factor and 38 responses that liked the fully-adjustable power seat. There were also 17 responses that a good lumbar and back support was the key to comfort and 17 responses that a firmer cushion with good thigh support was important.

Body Region Discomfort



FIGURE 3. Frequency histogram of subject discomfort by body region.



FIGURE 4. Frequency of body discomfort by body region broken down by left, right, and center regions.

EST

S

BODY REGION DISCOMFORT



FIGURE 5. Frequency of subject discomfort by body region for body parts in contact with seat (TOP), and body regions not in contact with seat (BOTTOM AND CENTER).

SUBJECT DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS BY COMFORT/DISCOMFORT GROUPS

	NO DIS	COMFORT	SOME DISCOMFORT		TOTAL	
Variable	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects
SEX						
Male	100	70.4	72	65.5	172	68.3
Female	42	29.6	38	34.5	80	31.7
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
HEIGHT						
5'0''-5'5''	39	27.5	25	22.7	64	25.4
5'6"-5'11"	66	46.5	52	47.3	118	46.8
6'0''-6'8''	36	25.4	33	30.0	69	27.4
Refused	1	0.7		0.0	1	0.4
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
WEIGHT (pounds)						
104-148	33	23.3	27	24.5	60	23.8
150–198	74	52.1	66	60.0	140	55.6
200-320	32	22.5	16	14.5	48	19.0
Refused	3	2.1	1	1.0	4	1.6
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
AGE (years)						
18–29	20	14.1	20	18.2	40	15.9
30–39	33	23.2	23	20.9	56	22.2
4049	25	17.6	22	20.0	47	18.7
50-59	26	18.3	18	16.4	44	17.5
<u>50+</u>	36	25.4	27	24.5	63	25.0
Refused	2	1.4	0	0.0	2	0.8
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
MILES DRIVEN/YEAR						
<10,000	29	20.4	24	21.7	53	21.0
10,001-20,000	59	41.5	50	45.5	109	43.3
20,001-30,000	23	16.3	17	15.5	40	15.9
30,000+	31	21.8	19	17.3	50	19.8
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
	1		1	1		

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

	NO DISCOMFORT		SOME DISCOMFORT		TOTAL	
Variable	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects
DAY OF WEEK						
Monday	12	8.5	20	18.2	32	12.7
Tuesday	23	16.2	15	13.6	38	15.1
Wednesday	17	12.0	13	11.8	30	11.9
Thursday	21	14.8	17 ·	15.5	38	15.1
Friday	30	21.1	22	20.0	52	20.6
Saturday	26	18.3	18	16.4	44	17.5
Sunday	13	9.2	5	4.5	18	7.1
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
LOCATION						
U.S. 23	98	69.0	72	65.5	170	67.5
I–94	44	31.0	38	34.5	82	32.5
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
TIME OF INTERVIEW						
10–11 AM	4	2.8	1	0.9	5	2.0
11–12 AM	9	6.3	5	4.5	14	5.6
12–1 PM	20	14.1	14	12.7	34	13.5
1–2 PM	28	19.7	16	14.5	44	17.5
2–3 PM	38	26.8	25	22.7	63	25.0
3-4 PM	25	17.6	29	26.4		21.0
4–5 PM	12	8.4	16	14.5		11.1
7-8 PM	3	2.1	2	1.1	5	1.9
8–9 PM	3	2.1	2	1.8	5	2.0
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	257	100.0
MONTH						
August	19	13.4	26	23.6	45	17.9
September	123	86.6	84	76.4	207	82.1
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
TRIP PURPOSE						
Work Related	35	24.7	17	15.5	52	20.6
Vacation	51	35.9	41	37.3	92	36.5
Other	7	4.9	3	2.7	10	4.0
Visits	19	13.4	18	16.4	37	14.7
Short Trip	17	12.0	14	12.7	31	12.3
Errand	4	2.8	8	7.3	12	4.8
Wedding	2	1.4	0	0.0	2	0.8
School	5	3.5	4	3.6	9	3.6
Doctor/Hospital/Medical	2	1.4	5	4.5	7	2.8
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0

TABLE 2	2 (<u>Conti</u>	nued)
---------	------------------	-------

	NO DIS	SCOMFORT	SOME D	ISCOMFORT	TOTAL	
Variable	N	%	N	%	N	%
		Subjects		Subjects		Subjects
HOURS/MINUTES DRIVING						
<1 Hour	2	1.4	1	0.9	3	1.2
1.0–1.5	22	15.5	9	8.2	31	12.3
1.5-2.0	14	9.9	15	13.6	29	11.5
2.0-2.5	21	14.8	14	12.7	35	13.9
2.5-3.0	7	4.9	4	3.6	11	4.4
3.0-3.5	15	10.6	15	13.6	30	11.9
3.5-4.0	3	2.1	3	2.7	6	2.4
4.0-4.5	16	11.3	11	10.0	27	10.7
4.5-5.0	4	2.8	4	3.6	8	3.2
5.0-5.5	14	9.9	11	10.0	25	9.9
5.5-6.0	4	2.8	4	3.6	8	3.2
6.0-6.5	6	4.2	7	6.4	13	5.2
6.5–7.0	4	2.8	2	1.8	6	2.4
>7 Hours	10	7.0	10	9.1	20	7.9
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
HRS/MIN SINCE LAST STOP						
<1 Hour	21	14.8	13	11.8	34	13.5
1.0–1.5	41	28.9	28	25.5	69	27.4
1.5-2.0	26	18.3	26	23.6	52	20.6
2.0-2.5	32	22.5	25	22.7	57	22.6
2.5-3.0	8	5.6	3	2.7	11	4.4
3.0–3.5	7	4.9	8	7.3	15	6.0
3.5-4.0	3	2.1	1	0.9	4	1.6
4.0-4.5	1	0.7	6	5.5	7	2.8
4.5-5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
5.0-5.5	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.4
5.5-6.0	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.4
6.0–6.5	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.4
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0

VEHICLE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

	NO DIS	SCOMFORT	SOME DISCOMFORT		Т	OTAL
Variable	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects
VEHICLE YEAR						
1980	5	3.5	3	2.7	8	3.2
1981	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.4
1982	10	7.0	6	5.5	16	6.3
1983	10	7.0	11	10.0	21	8.3
1984	16	11.3	14	12.7	30	11.9
1985	24	16.9	20	18.2	44	17.5
1986	22	15.5	24	21.8	46	18.3
1987	35	24.6	15	13.6	50	19.8
1988	18	12.7	17	15.5	35	13.9
1989	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.4
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
RESTRAINT USE						
Restrained	107	75.4	87	79.1	194	77.0
Unrestrained	35	24.6	23	20.9	58	23.0
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
SEAT TYPE						
Bucket	79	55.6	74	67.3	153	60.7
Bench-Type	63	44.4	36	32.7	99	39.3
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
SEAT ADJUSTMENT				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Power	38	26.8	20	18.2	58	23.0
Manual	104	73.2	90	81.8	194	77.0
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
SEATBACK						
Recline	69	48.6	64	58.2	133	52.8
Non-Recline	73	51.4	46	41.8	119	47.2
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
HEADREST						
One-Piece	29	20.4	25	22.7	54	21.4
Adjustable	101	71.1	81	73.6	182	72.2
None	12	8.5	4	3.6	16	6.3
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
SEAT MATERIAL						
Vinvl	16	11.3	12	10.9	28	11.1
Cloth	71	50.0	69	62.7	140	55.6
Velour	47	33.1	25	22.7	72	28.6
Leather	8	5.6	4	2.8	12	4.8
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0
			1	1	1	1

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	NO DIS	10 DISCOMFORT		SCOMFORT SOME DISCOMFORT		SOME DISCOMFORT		TOTAL	
Variable	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects	N	% Subjects			
SEAT COLOR									
White	3	2.1	1	0.9	4	1.6			
Black	5	3.5	7	6.4	12	4.8			
Grey	38	26.8	19	17.3	57	22.6			
Tan	22	15.5	24	21.8	46	18.3			
Red	6	4.2	5	4.5	11	4.4			
Blue	37	26.1	33	30.0	70	27.8			
Green	3	2.1	1	0.9	4	1.6			
Burgundy	19	13.4	13	11.8	32	12.7			
Brown	9	6.3	7	6.4	16	6.3			
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0			
LUMBAR SUPPORT									
Yes	15	10.5	4	3.6	19	7.6			
No	127	89.4	106	96.4	233	92.5			
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0			
SEAT ACCESSORIES									
Soft Cover	7	4.9	5	4.5	12	4.8			
Bottom Cushion	1	0.7	4	3.6	5	2.0			
Back Cushion	0	0.0	1	0.9	1	0.4			
Bottom/Back Cushion	1	0.7	2	1.8	3	1.2			
None	133	93.7	98	89.1	231	91.7			
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0			
AIR CONDITIONING									
Yes	44	31.0	35	31.8	79	31.3			
No	88	62.0	70	63.6	158	62.7			
Not Equipped	10	7 Ç	5	4.5	15	6.0			
TOTAL	142	100.0	110	100.0	252	100.0			

Body Location	No. of Responses	% of Responses
Neck	20	8.3
Left Shoulder	9	3.8
Right Shoulder	5	2.1
Left Arm	1	0.4
Right Arm	2	0.4
10-5-10-111 III		0.0
Neck/Shoulder/Arm	37	15.4
Mid and Upper Back	23	9.6
Lumbar	63	26.3
Abdomen	2	0.8
Back/Lumbar/Abdomen	88	36.7
Left Buttock	17	7 1
Right Buttock	18	7.5
Left Hin	7	2.9
Right Hin		1.87
Left Thigh	4	1.01
Bight Thigh	11	1.1
	±±	4.0
Buttock/Hip/Thigh	61	25.4
Left Knee	2	0.8
Left Calf	9	3.8
Left Ankle	2	0.8
Left Foot	11	1.7
Left Heel	0	0.0
Left Knee/Leg/Foot	17	7.1
Right Knee	5	91
Right Calf	16	67
Right Ankle	6 10	95
Right Foot		2.0
Right Hool	0 0	0.0
	<u> </u>	0.0
Right Knee/Leg/Foot	37	15.4
Total Knee/Leg/Foot	54	22.5
TOTAL	240	100.0

DISCOMFORT RESPONSES BY BODY LOCATION

	MILD		MODERATE		SEVERE		TOTAL	
Body Region	N	%Regional Response	N	%Regional Response	N	%Regional Response	N	%Regional Response
Neck/Shoulder/Arm	21	56.8	11	29.7	5	13.5	37	100.0
Back/Lumbar/Abdomen	68	77.3	13	14.8	7	7.9	88	100.0
Buttock/Hip/Thigh	42	68.9	16	26.2	3	4.9	61	100.0
Knee/Leg/Foot	43	79.6	9	16.7	2	3.7	54	100.0
TOTAL	174	72.5	49	20.4	17	7.1	240	100.0

SEVERITY OF PAIN

TABLE 6

Body	S	HARP	BU	RNING	NU	MBING	DUL	L ACHE	0	THER	Т	OTAL
Region	N	%Reg. Resp.	N	%Reg. Resp.	N	%Reg. Resp.	N	%Reg. Resp.	N	%Reg. Resp.	N	%Reg. Resp.
Neck/ Shoulder/ Arm	13	35.1	3	8.1	3	8.1	18	48.7			37	100.0
Back/ Lumbar/ Abdomen	13	14.8	2	2.3	4	4.5	69	78.4			88	100.0
Buttock/ Hip/ Thigh	11	18.1	1	1.6	28	45.9	20	32.8	1	1.6	61	100.0
Knee/ Leg/ Foot	4	7.4	2	3.7	11	20.4	37	68.5			54	100.0
TOTAL	41	17.1	8	3.3	46	19.2	144	60.0	1	0.4	240	100.0

TYPE OF PAIN

CAUSES FOR DISCOMFORT

Response	N	% of Responses
Need to keep foot in same position on accelerator pedal	28	11.3
Not enough lower back support	32	13.0
Not enough head support	7	2.8
Can't adjust seat close enough	2	0.8
Can't adjust seat far back enough	8	3.2
Can't adjust seat-back angle enough	25	10.1
Can't adjust seat height	5	2.0
Seat too hard	7	2.8
Seat too soft	5	2.0
Seat too hot	3	1.2
Shape too flat	8	3.2
Shape too narrow	7	2.8
Shape too deep	5	2.0
Shape not deep enough	6	2.4
Improper location of arm support	7	2.8
Lack of arm support	7	2.8
Improper location of head support	17	6.9
Seat trim too hard	1	0.4
Springs or frame parts too hard	7	2.8
Shoulder harness pressure too high	12	4.9
Shoulder harness angle not right	9	3.6
Seat is OK. Old injury/wound	5	2.0
No head support	1	0.4
Not enough room in vehicle to stretch	6	2.4
Shape of foot weld not wide enough	1	0.4
Drive too long/many hours driving	22	8.9
Back seat too low	1	0.4
Other	3	1.2
TOTAL	247	100.0

WAYS DRIVERS RELIEVE DISCOMFORT

Response	N	% Subjects
Change postures Change seat position Stop and relax Nothing I do relieve discomfort I don't do anything Change postures/change seat position Change postures/change seat-back angle Change postures/stop and relax Change postures/use cruise control Change postures/other	36 2 30 8 3 2 1 13 13 1	$\begin{array}{c} 32.7\\ 1.8\\ 27.3\\ 7.3\\ 2.7\\ 1.8\\ 0.9\\ 11.8\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\end{array}$
Use cruise control/stop and relax Stop/relax/other Change postures/seat back angle/stop/relax Other TOTAL	3 1 1 8 110	2.7 0.9 0.9 7.3 100.0

TABLE 9

DRIVING TIME FOR DISCOMFORT

No. Hours	N	% of Responses
Trips < 1 Hour	55	22.9
1–2 Hours	83	34.6
2–5 Hours	88	36.7
5–10 Hours	14	5.8

Health Problem	N	% of Responses
Left Shoulder Center Back Lumbar Abdomen Hips Knees Calf TOTAL	1 3 23 1 6 2 1 37	$2.7 \\ 8.1 \\ 62.1 \\ 2.7 \\ 16.2 \\ 5.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 100.0$

DRIVER CHRONIC HEALTH PROBLEM

TABLE 11

WHAT MAKES OTHER VEHICLE MORE COMFORTABLE

Response	N	% of Responses
Bucket/contoured/fits better	9	13.6
Cushioned better/firmer seat	7	10.6
More adjustable	4	6.1
Better back support	4	6.1
Inflatable/adjustable lumbar-thigh	5	7.6
Seat angle better	1	1.5
Cloth seats	1	1.5
Don't know	4	6.1
Higher seat	6	9.1
Bigger seat/bigger car/luxury car	14	21.2
Presence of center armrest	2	3.0
More leg room	2	3.0
Gas pedal not so hard	1	1.5
Bench soat supports more evenly	2	3.0
Better ride/smoother ride	1	1.5
Better made seat	3	4.5
TOTAL RESPONSES	66	100.0

SUGGESTED SEAT IMPROVEMENTS

Improvements	N	% of Responses
Nothing/couldn't/wouldn't improve seat	67	41.9
More cushion (area unspecified)	13	8.1
More padding around sides	7	4.4
More bottom/thigh area support	3	1.9
More back/lumbar support	14	8.8
More adjustability in seat	15	9.4
Increase seat height	1	0.6
Lower headrest	1	0.6
Higher headrest/support	1	0.6
Need headrest	1	0.6
Bring headrest closer	3	1.9
Left armrest softer/same texture as center rest	1	0.6
Leather seats	1	0.6
Cloth seats	3	1.9
Bucket seats/better contour	3	1.9
Split bench seat	2	1.3
Bench seat	1	0.6
Higher seat back	2	1.3
Wider seat	1	0.6
Better seat angle	2	1.3
Lower gas pedal	1	0.6
Tilt wheel	1	0.6
Softer seat	1	0.6
More room in vehicle	1	0.6
Better seat construction	1	0.6
Don't know how to improve seat	13	8.1
TOTAL	160	100.0

Response	N	% of Responses
Don't know/not sure	72	22.9
Bucket/contoured/fits better	55	17.5
Fully adjustable/power	38	12.1
Sits high/good leg room	16	5.1
Sits close enough	1	0.3
Seat reclines	13	4.1
Wide/big seats	5	1.6
Center armrest	7	2.2
Better Construction	8	2.5
Good back/lumbar support	17	5.4
Sits up straighter/upright	7	2.2
One-piece seat with headrest	3	1.0
Cloth seats	12	3.8
Luxury/new/custom vehicles	13	4.1
Bench seat	1	0.3
Higher seat back	.2	0.6
Ricaro/flex steel seats	2	0.6
Good headrest location	1	0.3
Firmer/good bottom/thigh support	17	5.4
Leather seats	2	0.6
Tilt wheel	3	1.0
Softer seats	9	2.9
Adjustable shoulder harness	1	0.6
Good ride/smooth ride	3	1.0
Good position from steering wheel	2	0.6
Split-bench seats	2	0.6
Big roomy car	2	0.6
TOTAL	314	100.0

WHAT MAKES THIS CAR SEAT MORE COMFORTABLE

APPENDIX

SURVEY FORMS

	DRIVER SEATING COM	FORT SURVEY: SOM	E DISCOMFORT
DATE:/	RESP.: <u>2</u> SITE: [4-6] [7]	Observed restraint use:	[1][]Restrained [2][]Unrestrained
1. Was this dis	comfort on: [1] [] Cur [2] [] Past	rent trip trips	[8]
[SHOW CARD 2. What part of [1] mild, [[1] sharp, [Code up to 5 r	[9] [9] [9] [9] [9] [9] [9] [9]	tow great is your discom t type of pain is it? Wou l ache or what? [5=other 4-17][18-21][22-25][26-29] SEV. TYPE	fort: Would you say Id you say]. SEV. TYPE
[01] Head [02] Neck [03] L Shoulde [04] R Shoulde [05] L Arm [05] L Arm [07] L Back [08] C Back [09] R Back [10] L Lumbar [11] C Lumbar [12] R Lumbar	Image: matrix of the system [13] Che Image: matrix of the system [14] Abd Image: matrix of the system [15] L Bit Image: matrix of the system [16] R B Image: matrix of the system [17] L Hit Image: matrix of the system [18] R H Image: matrix of the system [19] L Ti Image: matrix of the system [20] R Ti Image: matrix of the system [22] R K Image: matrix of the system Image: matrix of the system	st omen uttock uttock ip igh nigh nigh nige niee	[23] L Calf
3. What can an discomfor [1] [] Cha [2] [] Cha [3] [] Cha [3] [] Cha [4] [] Use [5] [] Stop [6] [] Oth [7] [] Not [8] [] I do [30] 5. Do you have [1] [] YES [2] [] NO	d do you do to relieve this t while you are driving? [READ] nge postures nge seat position nge seat position nge seat back angle cruise control o and relax er:	4. Would to ex Wou [1] [[2] [[3] [[4] [[5] [[6] [[31] th as low back pain, any 5b. What is it related to [what disease or injur	you tell me when you begin perience this discomfort? d you say on: [READ] Trips under 1 hour Trips between 1 & 2 hours Trips between 2 & 5 hours Trips between 5 & 10 hours Trips over 10 hours Other: injuries, etc?
[33 34]		[35 36]	[1] yes [2] no [9] N/
[38 39]		[40 41]	[42]
[43 44] 6. Do you ever [1][]YES [2][]NO 48 7. What do you	experience similar types of disc [SHOW CARD] 1 think are the major causes of th	[45 46] omfort in other sitting sit e seating discomfort you	[47] uations? have mentioned?
$ \begin{array}{c} $	 [code most important item first] [] Need to keep foot in same pos [2] Not enough lower back support [3] Not enough head support [4] Can't adjust seat close enough [5] Can't adjust seat far back enough [6] Can't adjust seat height [7] Can't adjust seat height [8] Seat too hard [9] Seat too soft [1] Seat too cold [2] Shape too flat [3] Shape too narrow 	ition on accelerator peda t [14] S [15] S [16] II lough [17] L [19] H [20] S [21] S [22] S [23] S [24] C	hape too deep hape not deep enough nproper location of arm support ack of arm support nproper location of head support lead support too hard eat trim too hard prings or frame parts too hard houlder harness pressure too high houlder harness angle not right ther:
8. Have you di	riven other cars in which you for	nd the seat more comfor	table than in this car?
[1] [] YE [2] [] NO	s		J
59	8a. Make/model of car	? 8b. How was	it more comfortable?
[60 - 63]		[64 65]	·
[66 - 69]		[70 71]	

9. What makes this car seat more comfortable than the car seats in other cars you have driven, if anything? [up to three responses] [code 99 if no response]

[72 73]			
[74 75]			<u></u>
[76 77]			
10. How long have yo	ou been driving today?	HoursMin.	
11. How long have ye	[78-79] bu been driving since your last	[80-81] stop? Hours Mi	n.
12. About how many	miles do you drive in a year?	$\begin{bmatrix} [82] \\ [1] \\ [2] \\ [2] \\ [3] \\ [4] \\ [8] \\ [8] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [8] - 84] \\ [10,000 m] \\ [10,000 m] \\ [10,000 m] \\ [20,001 - 3] \\ [30,001 or \\ [85] \end{bmatrix}$	iles or less 20,000 miles 30,000 miles greater
13. VEHICLE MAK	E MO	DEL YE	AR [90 91]
[86 - 89]	DRIVERS SEA	AT TYPE	
14. [1] [] Bucke [2] [] Bench [3] [] Split E [92]	t 15. [1] [] Pow [2] [] Mar Jench [93]	ver 16. [1][]F nual [2][]N [94]	Reclining back Nonreclining back
17. HEADREST: [1] [] One-piec [2] [] Adjustab [9] [] None [95]	18. SEAT MATERL e [1] [] Vinyl le [2] [] Cloth [3] [] Velour [4] [] Leather [5] [] Sheepski [96]	AL: 19. SEAT COLOR [01] [] White [02] [] Black [03] [] Grey [04] [] Tan n [05] [] Red [06] [] Blue [07] [] Other [97-98]	k:
20. Is your car equip inflatable lumb. [1] [] Yes [2] [] No [99]	ed with adjustable/ ar or thigh supports?	 21. Are you using any [1][] Soft cover [2][] Bottom cushion [3][] Back cushion [4][] Bottom and back 	seat accessories? [5][] Other: [6][] None cushion
22. When you drow did you have t on in your car [1] [] YES [2] [] NO [9] [] No air i [101]	e up here, right now, he air conditioning ? n car	23. What is the purpose of [01] [] Work relate [02] [] Vacation [03] [] Other: [102-103]	of this trip today? d
I WOULD LIKE TO	ASK A FEW QUESTIONS A	BOUT YOU FOR STATIS	TICAL PURPOSES ONLY.
24. What month and	l year were you born? / [100	25. 	Sex: [1] [] Male [2] [] Female [¹⁰⁸]
26. About what is y	our height? 27. A	bout what is your weight?	
20. D	[109 - 111]	20 Evelution term	[112 - 114]
 28. Does your occu travel in a car: [1] [] less than 2 [2] [] between 2 [3] [] between 2 [4] [] more than [5] [] does not n [115] 	pation require that you [READ] 25% of your work time 6 & 50% of your work time 1 & 75% of your work time 76% of your work time equire travel time	29. Excluding frave require that yo [1] [] less than [2] [] between 2 [3] [] between 2 [4] [] more than [5] [] does not n [116]	el, does your occupation ou sit:[READ] 25% of your work time 26 & 50% of your work time 51 & 75% of your work time a 76% of your work time require me to sit
30. Could you tell r	ne where you are sitting?		
117 118	End time of inte	erview:: 119 - 122	

DRIVER SEATING COMFORT SURVEY: NO DISCOMFORT

DATE:	/	RESP.:1	SITE:	Observed seat belt use:[1][]Restrained
-	[1 - 3]	[4	6] [7]	[2][]Unrestrained
				[8]

1. How would you improve this car seat to make it more comfortable, if anything? [up to three responses] [code 99 if no improvements]

	······································	
[9 10] 		
[11 12]		
[13 14]		
2. What makes this car seat more condriven, if anything? [up to three	omfortable than the car e responses] [code 99 i	seats in other cars you have f no response]
[15 16]	·	<u></u>
[17 18]		
[19 20]		
3. In hours, how long have you been	n driving today?	Hours Min.
4. In hours, how long have you bee	n driving since your las	$\frac{2}{1} \qquad \frac{1}{122-24} \qquad \qquad$
5. About how many miles do you d	rive in a year?	[25] [26-27] [1][] 10,000 miles or less [2][] 10,001 - 20,000 miles [3][] 20,001 - 30,000 miles [4][] 30,001 miles or more [25]
6. VEHICLE MAKE	MODEL	YEAR
[29-32]		[33-34]
DRIVERS SEAT TYPE		
7. [1] [] Bucket 8. [2] [] Bench [3] [] Split Bench ^[35]	[1] [] Power [2] [] Manual ^[36]	 [1] [] Reclining back [2] [] Nonreclining back [37]
10. HEADREST: 11. SE. [1] [] One-piece [1 [2] [] Adjustable [2 [9] [] None [3 [38] [4 [5	AT MATERIAL:] [] Vinyl] [] Cloth] [] Velour] [] Leather] [] Sheepskin [39]	12. SEAT COLOR: [01] [] White [02] [] Black [03] [] Grey [04] [] Tan [05] [] Red [06] [] Blue [07] [] Other: [40-41]
 13. Is your vehicle equiped with adj [1] [] Yes [2] [] No [42] 	ustable/inflatable lumb	ar or thigh supports?
 14. Are you using any seat accessor [1] [] Soft Cover [2] [] Bottom Cushion [3] [] Back Cushion 	ries? [4] [] Bottom and B [5] [] Other: [9] [] None [43]	ack Cushion
 15. When you drove up here, right a did you have the air condition on in your car? [1] [] YES [2] [] NO [9] [] No air in car 	now, 16. ⁻ ing	What is the purpose of this trip today? [01] [] Work related [02] [] Vacation [03] [] Other:
[44]		[45-46]

.

I WOULD LIKE TO ASK A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT YOU FOR STATISTICAL PURPOSES ONLY.

17. What month and year were you born?/	18. Sex:	[1] [] Male	
[47 - 50]		[2] [] Female [51]	
19. About what is your height? 20. Abou	t what is your weight?		
[52 - 54]	[55 - 57]	[55 - 57]	
 21. Does your occupation require that you travel in a car: [READ] [1] [] less than 25% of your work time [2] [] between 26 & 50% of your work time [3] [] between 51 & 75% of your work time [4] [] more than 76% of your work time [5] [] does not require travel time [58] 	 22. Excluding travel, does you require that you sit: [REA [1] [] less than 25% of you [2] [] between 26 & 50% of [3] [] between 51 & 75% of [4] [] more than 76% of you [5] [] does not require me [59] 	ar occupation D] ar work time of your work time of your work time bur work time to sit	
23. Could you tell me where you are sitting?			

[60-61]

24. End time of interview:_____

[62 - 65]