

American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria® treatment of stage I T1 glottic cancer

John A. Ridge, MD, PhD,^{1*} Joshua Lawson, MD,² Sue S. Yom, MD, PhD,³ Madhur Kumar Garg, MD,⁴ Mark W. McDonald, MD,⁵ Harry Quon, MD, MS,⁶ Nabil Saba, MD,⁷ Joseph K. Salama, MD,⁸ Richard V. Smith, MD,⁹ Francis Worden, MD,¹⁰ Anamaria Reyna Yeung, MD,¹¹ Jonathan J. Beitler, MD¹²

¹Fox Chase Cancer Center (American College of Surgeons), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, ²University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, ³University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, ⁴Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York, ⁵Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, ⁶University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, ⁷Emory University (American Society of Clinical Oncology), Atlanta, Georgia, ⁸Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, ⁹Montefiore Medical Center, American College of Surgeons, Bronx, New York, ¹⁰University of Michigan (American Society of Clinical Oncology), Ann Arbor, Michigan, ¹¹University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, ¹²Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia.

Accepted 1 May 2013

Published online 21 May 2013 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI 10.1002/hed.23381

ABSTRACT: *Background.* Controversy surrounds the appropriate therapy for T1 glottic cancer. Both transoral endolaryngeal resection and radiation offer excellent local control and voice quality; some lesions are best addressed with resection and others with radiation.

Methods. The American College of Radiology (ACR) Appropriateness Criteria are evidence-based guidelines for specific clinical conditions that are reviewed by a multidisciplinary expert panel. The guideline development includes an analysis of current literature from peer reviewed journals and the well-established “modified Delphi” consensus methodology to rate the appropriateness of treatment. Where evidence is not definitive, expert opinion informed recommendations.

Results. The ACR Expert Panel on Radiation Oncology – Head and Neck Cancer developed consensus recommendations for treatment of T1 glottic cancer. Treatment planning is complex and decisions nuanced.

Conclusion. Best treatment for a particular cancer cannot be defined without consideration of the lesion’s location, extent, depth of invasion, and quality of surgical exposure during direct laryngoscopy. © 2013 American College of Radiology. Head & Neck © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. *Head Neck* 36: 3–8, 2014

KEY WORDS: glottic cancer, appropriateness criteria, radiotherapy, endolaryngeal surgery, transoral surgery

INTRODUCTION

Summary of literature review

Most laryngeal cancers arise in the glottis, which comprises, for purposes of clinical staging, the superior and inferior surfaces of the true vocal cords (including the anterior and posterior commissures). The glottis occupies a horizontal plane 1 cm in thickness, extending inferiorly from the lateral margin of the ventricle. Stage I T1N0 cancers are limited to the vocal cords and commissures, with normal cord mobility. T1a lesions are limited to a single cord, whereas disease that involves both cords is stage T1b. A cancer with impairment in cord mobility and/or extension to the supraglottis or subglottis is stage II T2N0.¹ Three-fourths of patients with laryngeal cancer in North America present with stage I or II disease.^{2,3}

Treatment of stage I glottic cancer is highly successful and larynx preservation is usually achieved. Neither total laryngectomy nor chemoradiation are indicated in the initial management of T1 glottic cancer, nor is treatment of the neck.⁴

As single modalities, with intent to preserve the larynx, both radiation and resection afford excellent locoregional control and survival. The small number of treatment failures (through either initial approach), and local variations in treatment preferences on the part of physicians, surgeons, and patients have frustrated efforts to perform randomized trials comparing the modalities. Review of the relevant literature reveals no properly designed and reported randomized trials comparing surgical with radiotherapeutic management of stage I glottic cancer.⁵ Thorough recent meta-analyses have been performed.^{6,7} No difference in survivorship could be demonstrated, although there was better larynx preservation in patients treated with initial resection. A recent review has considered “levels of evidence” supporting treatment options for glottic cancer.⁸ Use of chemotherapy alone to treat stage I glottic cancer should be considered investigational.⁹

Radiation treatment

Surgical treatment of early glottic cancers with total laryngectomy or open partial laryngectomy was

*Corresponding author: J. A. Ridge, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191. E-mail: drew.ridge@fcc.edu

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the American College of Radiology (ACR) Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

© 2013 American College of Radiology.
Head & Neck © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

historically associated with loss of normal voice or substantial decline in its quality. Radiation treatment has been associated with local control rates from 80% to 95%,¹⁰⁻¹⁴ and shorter overall treatment times seem to yield superior results.^{14,15} A prospective randomized study comparing 2 Gy fractions with 2.25 Gy fractions (with 60 Gy in 30 fractions vs 56.25 Gy in 25 fractions for lesions involving less than two-thirds of the vocal cord and, for larger lesions, 66 Gy in 33 fractions vs 63 Gy in 28 fractions) showed a significant advantage in local control rate for the shorter treatment time. Five-year local control rate was 77% for the 2 Gy arm and 92% for the 2.25 Gy arm ($p = .004$) with no significant difference in survival, acute mucosal reaction, skin reaction, or late effects.¹⁶ Recent results from a single-institution series, including some 325 patients with stage I glottic cancer treated with opposed lateral fields, demonstrated 10-year local control rates of 93% for T1a and 91% for T1b. Ultimate local control rates (including successful salvage after local recurrence) were 98% and 95% for T1a and T1b lesions, respectively.¹⁷

Many of the reported radiotherapy outcomes include patients treated predominantly with cobalt-60 units or 2 to 4 MV x-rays.¹⁸⁻²¹ Several authors showed inferior local control with cobalt-60,^{15,18} unlike the majority of published results^{17,22,23}; some have also reported inferior results with 6 MV photons.²⁴ The effect of treatment energy is difficult to isolate because of concomitant technological advances that transpired during the shift from cobalt to linear-accelerator delivered therapy. Concerns regarding adequate dose delivery with higher energy 6 MV photons existed previously, but they have largely been assuaged.^{25,26}

Radiation has typically been delivered with opposing lateral low-energy photon fields with wedges; other approaches described include oblique fields,²⁷ a 3-field approach,^{17,20} a single appositional electron field,¹⁸ and a single lateral field.²² Regardless of the field arrangement chosen, elective treatment of the neck is not warranted.²⁸

Field size is generally 5 cm × 5 cm. Nonrandomized data concerning field size have been contradictory. Some series suggest inferior local control when larger field sizes are used (although many included patients with T2 disease, perhaps demanding a larger field and expected to manifest inferior control).^{22,29} Others report better local control with a field size >5 cm × 5 cm,^{11,12,30} or no impact of field size on local control,^{10,15,18,23} or excellent outcomes with smaller field sizes.²⁵ In a randomized controlled trial for patients with T1 disease, subjects were treated with either a 5 cm × 5 cm or 6 cm × 6 cm field. Local control was excellent in both arms, with no difference between the smaller and larger field sizes.¹³

Shorter overall treatment times have seemed to yield superior results.^{14,15} Prolongation of total treatment time has been shown to negatively impact local control.^{23,31,32} Conversely, in 1 series, split-course therapy with a planned 3-week break did not negatively impact local control outcomes but did result in worsening toxicities.¹⁹

Intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) has been considered feasible in order to diminish dose to the carotid arteries,^{33,34} although its benefit has not been clinically demonstrated. A dosimetric study comparing

opposed lateral fields, 3D conformal with either 2 oblique and 1 anterior field or a lateral and anterior field, and 7-field IMRT showed similar target volume coverage for all techniques but reduced dose to the carotid arteries with 3D conformal planning and additional reductions with IMRT. This dosimetric sparing of the carotid arteries is balanced by concerns because of increased dose heterogeneity across the larynx as well as an uncertain impact of target motion during treatment delivery.³³ Another dosimetric study compared opposed lateral treatment plans with IMRT plans created using 3 fields. Again, significant reduction in dose to carotid arteries was possible. These investigators also reported successful delivery of treatment using IMRT in an 11-patient pilot series. A prospective series is planned.³⁴

Photodynamic therapy has demonstrated encouraging results for T1 glottic cancer as initial treatment and in a salvage setting; however, it has not been widely adopted.³⁵

Surgical treatment

After Billroth to a great degree initiated modern surgical treatment of laryngeal cancer with the first reported total laryngectomy and the first vertical hemilaryngectomy,³⁶ procedures to treat glottic cancer with operations increased in popularity, scope, and ambition. Even for T1 glottic cancers, there have been many modifications and extensions of open partial laryngectomy, because the locations of different cancers create varying technical challenges for the surgeon. In practice, tumors of the membranous vocal fold (cord), those with extensions to the anterior commissure, those actually involving the commissure, those involving the arytenoid, and those involving both cords must be managed differently.³⁷

The nature of the resections, their functional consequences, and their oncologic results depend strongly on the extent of glottic involvement with respect to both surface extent and to depth of invasion. Because surgical treatment should be tailored to a particular tumor, the management is individualized. However, principles include preoperative laryngoscopy (which may include videolaryngostroboscopy), assurance of adequate intraoperative exposure, and resection to clear margins, if feasible. Collaboration with experienced pathologists is of benefit.³⁸ Transoral excision of T1 glottic cancer lacks the morbidity of open procedures, and it is usually accomplished expeditiously, with hospital stays under 3 days. For T1 lesions, the 5-year larynx-preservation rate (in 2 series of more than 400 patients each) exceeds 97%, although "salvage" treatment may be required to achieve the reported locoregional control of 96% to 99%.^{38,39}

Most open laryngectomies produce a decline in voice quality. Voice quality depends most strongly on the amount and depth of cord resection, and formal criteria to describe the cordectomy performed have been elaborated.^{40,41} For most midcord T1a cancers, the overall voice quality after resection or radiation is similar, although the specific voice profiles seem different.⁴² A CO₂ laser is typically used, but other cutting tools may be employed. Functional and oncologic results for superficial disease of a single cord are excellent. Surgical

VARIANT 1. A 57-year-old man has squamous cancer superficially involving the mid-third of the left true vocal cord. Cord motion is normal, and videostroboscopy shows an intact mucosal wave. The lesion can be readily defined on office examination. He is edentulous, can open his mouth well, and ceased smoking 5 years ago. The cancer can be seen in its entirety with direct laryngoscopy with the patient under anesthesia.

Treatment	Rating	Comments
Total laryngectomy	1	
Open partial laryngectomy	3	
Transoral endolaryngeal resection	9	
External beam radiation in 2 Gy fractions	3	
External beam radiation in 2.25 Gy fractions	7	
Concurrent chemoradiation with cisplatin	1	
Rating scale: 1, 2, and 3 are usually not appropriate; 4, 5, and 6 may be appropriate; and 7, 8, and 9 are usually appropriate.		

This is a T1 glottic cancer. All of the methods described should afford excellent control of the cancer, albeit with dramatic differences in subsequent quality of life. Neither total laryngectomy nor concurrent chemoradiation is appropriate for treatment of this lesion. Open partial laryngectomy has more side effects than transoral laryngeal surgery (including a longer hospital stay and need for a temporary tracheotomy). External beam radiation therapy in 2.25 Gy fractions is superior to treatment with 2 Gy fractionations. Both radiation treatment and surgery should confer high quality of life and voice in treating cancers such as this.

VARIANT 2. A 57-year-old man has squamous cancer superficially involving the mid-third of the left true vocal cord. Cord motion is normal. He is hoarse, and the mucosal wave is dampened on videostroboscopic examination. The lesion can be defined on office examination. He is edentulous, ceased smoking 5 years ago, and takes no medicines. The cancer cannot be exposed in its entirety with direct laryngoscopy with the patient under anesthesia.

Treatment	Rating	Comments
Total laryngectomy	1	
Open partial laryngectomy	7	
Transoral endolaryngeal resection	4	Transoral endolaryngeal resection should only be performed in this scenario by an experienced surgeon, realizing the potential need to convert to an open procedure.
External beam radiation in 2 Gy fractions	3	
External beam radiation in 2.25 Gy fractions	9	
Rating scale: 1, 2, and 3 are usually not appropriate; 4, 5, and 6 may be appropriate; and 7, 8, and 9 are usually appropriate.		

Despite the difficulty of exposing this cancer under anesthesia, oncologic control of this tumor should not be more difficult to achieve than in patients whose lesion is more readily defined. Total laryngectomy is not justified. Transoral laryngeal excision should not be performed if the lesion cannot be seen in its entirety during examination under anesthesia. Open partial laryngectomy may be performed with excellent margins, despite inability to expose the tumor fully through line of sight, because angled telescopes may be used to examine the larynx. Quality of voice with open partial laryngectomy is likely to be inferior to that achieved through radiation treatment of this cancer. External beam radiation therapy in 2.25 Gy fractions is superior to treatment with 2 Gy fractions.

tumor control declines for tumors truly involving the anterior commissure, with a substantial increase in recurrence frequency, which even in experienced hands^{43,44} may exceed 20%.

Quality of voice and life

Voice quality after treatment for glottic cancer with cordectomy and with radiation has been assessed both subjectively and objectively. Because patients with T1 glottic cancer usually have an abnormal voice, it commonly improves after treatment. Although patient satisfaction and subjective evaluation of voice quality are often high after either surgery or radiation, more formal studies demonstrate changes associated with tumor size and extent of resection.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ The Voice Handicap Index of pooled results from small series shows no significant difference between patients treated with laser excision and radiation. Maximum phonation time seems to favor patients treated with radiation. With respect to airflow, fundamental frequency, microperturbation in frequency, and microperturbation in amplitude, no significant differences were demonstrated.^{6,48} Prospective studies of voice quality are justified.⁴⁸ In the treated population, smoking seems to affect voice quality.^{49,50} There is no significant

difference in the University of Washington Quality-of-Life instrument or the Performance Status Scale for Head and Neck Cancer Patients for patients with T1 glottic cancer treated with endoscopic resection or radiation⁵¹ (Variant 1, Variant 2, Variant 3, and Variant 4).

Treatment of recurrence

Surgical salvage of patients with recurrence after definitive radiation should be attempted. Success seems largely related to the extent of tumor at the time of resection. Total laryngectomy is often required, but smaller procedures (ranging from laser excision through supracricoid laryngectomy) may be appropriate and successful.⁵²⁻⁵⁵ Both radiation and resection may be used to salvage patients with recurrence after prior resection.⁵⁶ Patients initially treated with radiation have a far higher risk of eventual laryngectomy than those whose cancer was first treated with transoral laser excision.⁵⁷ Analysis of patients with less deeply invasive tumors (those with normal or diminished mucosal wave, as opposed to absent wave, or videolaryngostroboscopy) still suggests a higher risk for larynx loss in patients initially addressed with radiation⁵⁸ (Variant 5).

VARIANT 3. A 65-year-old man has squamous cancer densely involving the anterior third of both vocal cords. Cord motion is normal, as is videostroboscopy. The lesion can be defined on office examination. Imaging shows no involvement of the thyroid cartilage. He is edentulous, ceased smoking 5 years ago, and takes no medicines. The cancer can be exposed in its entirety with direct laryngoscopy with the patient under anesthesia.

Treatment	Rating	Comments
Total laryngectomy	1	
Open partial laryngectomy	7	
Transoral endolaryngeal resection	5	Transoral endolaryngeal resection should only be performed in this scenario by an experienced surgeon, realizing the potential need to convert to an open procedure.
External beam radiation in 2.25 Gy fractions	9	
Concurrent chemoradiation with cisplatin	1	
Rating scale: 1, 2, and 3 are usually not appropriate; 4, 5, and 6 may be appropriate; 7, 8, and 9 are usually appropriate.		

Neither total laryngectomy nor concurrent chemoradiation is justified for this patient with T1b glottic cancer. Transoral laser excision may entail a decline in local control because of the anterior commissure involvement. Open partial laryngectomy (including perhaps a supracricoid partial laryngectomy) should confer adequate tumor control, although voice quality will suffer and morbidity is substantial. External beam radiation should not involve a compromise in tumor control, although voice quality is likely to be inferior to that after treatment of a superficial T1a lesion.

VARIANT 4. A 65-year-old man has undergone 3 prior vocal cord stripping procedures to address carcinoma in situ of the left true vocal cord. Cord motion is normal. The lesion cannot be readily defined on office examination, but he brings video documentation of the most recent treatment. He is edentulous, ceased smoking 5 years ago, and takes no medicines. With examination under anesthesia and microdirect laryngoscopy the cord shows evidence of prior manipulation, but no lesion can be seen. Biopsy again shows carcinoma in situ.

Treatment	Rating	Comments
Photodynamic therapy	8	Need for sun exposure is a contraindication to this treatment option. Patients should be carefully staged surgically with particular attention to defining the depth of the lesion.
Open partial laryngectomy	2	
Transoral endolaryngeal resection	4	
External beam radiation therapy	8	
Rating scale: 1, 2, and 3 are usually not appropriate; 4, 5, and 6 may be appropriate; 7, 8, and 9 are usually appropriate.		

Open partial laryngectomy with cordectomy will entail hospitalization and result in voice decline. It is too morbid an approach for carcinoma in situ of the cord. Photodynamic therapy can be accomplished without hospitalization, can be performed repeatedly, and preserves voice quality. It may prove disruptive for individuals with an outdoor occupation or lifestyle and is not available everywhere. Transoral laryngeal surgery should not be undertaken if the site of disease cannot be defined preoperatively. Radiation therapy should confer excellent results in addressing this problem (for control of carcinoma in situ and with respect to voice quality).

VARIANT 5. A 68-year-old man has recurrent squamous cancer superficially involving the mid-third of the left true vocal cord after radiation 1 year ago. Cord motion is normal. He is hoarse. The lesion can be readily defined on office examination. He is edentulous, can open his mouth well, ceased smoking 5 years ago, and takes no medicines. The cancer can be seen in its entirety with direct laryngoscopy with the patient under anesthesia.

Treatment	Rating	Comments
Systemic chemotherapy	1	
Reirradiation to recurrent tumor volume with limited margin (0.5–2 cm) and concurrent chemotherapy	1	
Reirradiation to recurrent tumor volume and elective coverage of neck levels II and III with concurrent chemotherapy	2	
Total laryngectomy	3	
Open partial laryngectomy	7	
Transoral endolaryngeal resection	8	
Photodynamic therapy	7	Need for sun exposure is a contraindication to this treatment option. Patients should be carefully staged surgically with particular attention to defining the depth of the lesion.
Rating scale: 1, 2, and 3 are usually not appropriate; 4, 5, and 6 may be appropriate; 7, 8, and 9 are usually appropriate.		

Clinically, this rT1 glottic cancer is treatable with curative intent. Use of systemic chemotherapy, which is palliative, is not appropriate in this setting. The same applies to reirradiation, which should not be used if resection can be performed with acceptable morbidity and reasonable expectation of tumor control. The true extent of tumor is difficult to define in this setting, since the cancer is often submucosal. It may be far more extensive than examination suggests. Hence, whereas efforts at larynx preservation are appropriate (and frequently successful with either open or endoscopic techniques), patients should be prepared for total laryngectomy. Technical demands of the procedures exceed those performed in patients who have not received radiation treatment. Photodynamic therapy may cure patients who develop superficial recurrences after radiation fails.

Costs of care

Several analyses of the monetary costs of care for a patient with T1 glottic cancer have been performed. Various degrees of sophistication have been used. A recent evaluation comparing transoral laser excision with radiation for T1 glottic cancer indicates that resection is the less costly approach, principally because the costs to control a recurrence after surgery are less than those needed to salvage a postradiation recurrence.⁷ Assumptions surrounding the nature and extent of the treatments for recurrence strongly affect such calculations. “Hidden costs” of care at a major center demonstrated substantial differences in number of treatments, median necessary travel distances, total median travel time, and median number of work hours missed—indicating that other factors besides oncologic control and voice quality warrant consideration in management.⁵¹

Patients living far from a radiation facility and those who face difficulty with transportation or mobility are often far more appropriate candidates for resection than for radiation treatment, because the episode of care is considerably shorter, with equivalent oncologic results. Photodynamic therapy has demonstrated encouraging results for T1 glottic cancer as initial treatment and in a salvage setting; however, it has not been widely adopted.

Summary

Surgical treatment: The panel recommends transoral endolaryngeal resection for patients with T1a disease visible in its entirety on direct laryngoscopy and an intact mucosal wave. This offers excellent control outcomes and a favorable morbidity profile.

Radiation treatment: Radiation therapy offers excellent local control and is the preferred first-line therapy in patients with disease not readily amenable to transoral laryngeal resection. Open partial laryngectomy is a viable alternative, although the vocal quality may be better with radiation therapy. Randomized data support the use of 2.25 Gy fractions as opposed to 2.0 Gy.

Recurrent disease: Most patients with disease recurrence remain candidates for additional definitive treatment, with best therapy at recurrence dependent on the initial treatment strategy. Although larynx preservation remains achievable, patients should be counseled regarding the potential requirement for total laryngectomy.

Costs of care: Thorough costs of care analyses are challenging, due in large part to the difficulty of accurately assessing the hidden costs of initial treatment as well as potential subsequent costs of caring for recurrences. Treatment of choice for many patients will ultimately depend on patient-specific rather than disease-specific factors, including proximity to treatment centers and professional obligations.

For additional information on ACR Appropriateness Criteria®, refer to www.acr.org/ac.

REFERENCES

- Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, Fritz AG, Greene FL, Trotti A, editors. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 7th ed. New York, NY: Springer; 2010. pp 57–67.
- Groome PA, O’Sullivan B, Irish JC, et al. Glottic cancer in Ontario, Canada and the SEER areas of the United States. Do different management philosophies produce different outcome profiles? *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001;54:301–315.
- Shah JP, Karnell LH, Hoffman HT, et al. Patterns of care for cancer of the larynx in the United States. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1997;123:475–483.
- American Society of Clinical Oncology, Pfister DG, Laurie SA, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline for the use of larynx-preservation strategies in the treatment of laryngeal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:3693–3704.
- Dey P, Arnold D, Wight R, MacKenzie K, Kelly C, Wilson J. Radiotherapy versus open surgery versus endolaryngeal surgery (with or without laser) for early laryngeal squamous cell cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002;CD002027.
- Abdurehim Y, Hua Z, Yasin Y, Xukurhan A, Imam I, Yuqin F. Transoral laser surgery versus radiotherapy: systematic review and meta-analysis for treatment options of T1a glottic cancer. *Head Neck* 2012;34:23–33.
- Higgins KM. What treatment for early-stage glottic carcinoma among adult patients: CO2 endolaryngeal laser excision versus standard fractionated external beam radiation is superior in terms of cost utility? *Laryngoscope* 2011;121:116–134.
- Hartl DM, Ferlito A, Brasnu DF, et al. Evidence-based review of treatment options for patients with glottic cancer. *Head Neck* 2011;33:1638–1648.
- Hartl DM, Brasnu DF. Chemotherapy alone for glottic carcinoma: a need for higher-level evidence. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2009;118:543–545.
- Cellai E, Chiavacci A, Olmi P. Causes of failure of curative radiation therapy in 205 early glottic cancers. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1990;19:1139–1142.
- Harwood AR, Hawkins NV, Rider WD, Bryce DP. Radiotherapy of early glottic cancer—I. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1979;5:473–476.
- Harwood AR, Tierie A. Radiotherapy of early glottic cancer—II. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1979;5:477–482.
- Teshima T, Chatani M, Inoue T. Radiation therapy for early glottic cancer (T1N0M0): II. Prospective randomized study concerning radiation field. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1990;18:119–123.
- Le QT, Fu KK, Kroll S, et al. Influence of fraction size, total dose, and overall time on local control of T1-T2 glottic carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1997;39:115–126.
- Fein DA, Lee WR, Hanlon AL, Ridge JA, Curran WJ, Coia LR. Do overall treatment time, field size, and treatment energy influence local control of T1-T2 squamous cell carcinomas of the glottic larynx? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1996;34:823–831.
- Yamazaki H, Nishiyama K, Tanaka E, Koizumi M, Chatani M. Radiotherapy for early glottic carcinoma (T1N0M0): results of prospective randomized study of radiation fraction size and overall treatment time. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;64:77–82.
- Chera BS, Amdur RJ, Morris CG, Kirwan JM, Mendenhall WM. T1N0 to T2N0 squamous cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx treated with definitive radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;78:461–466.
- Cellai E, Frata P, Magrini SM, et al. Radical radiotherapy for early glottic cancer: results in a series of 1087 patients from two Italian radiation oncology centers. I. The case of T1N0 disease. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;63:1378–1386.
- Johansen LV, Overgaard J, Hjelm-Hansen M, Gadeberg CC. Primary radiotherapy of T1 squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx: analysis of 478 patients treated from 1963 to 1985. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1990;18:1307–1313.
- Mendenhall WM, Amdur RJ, Morris CG, Hinerman RW. T1-T2N0 squamous cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx treated with radiation therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19:4029–4036.
- Mills EE. Early glottic carcinoma: factors affecting radiation failure, results of treatment and sequelae. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1979;5:811–817.
- Dinshaw KA, Sharma V, Agarwal JP, Ghosh S, Havaladar R. Radiation therapy in T1-T2 glottic carcinoma: influence of various treatment parameters on local control/complications. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2000;48:723–735.
- Groome PA, O’Sullivan B, Mackillop WJ, et al. Compromised local control due to treatment interruptions and late treatment breaks in early glottic cancer: population-based outcomes study supporting need for intensified treatment schedules. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;64:1002–1012.
- Izuno I, Sone S, Oguchi M, Kiyono K, Takei K. Treatment of early vocal cord carcinoma with 60Co gamma rays, 8/10 MV x-rays, or 4 MV x-rays—the results different? *Acta Oncol* 1990;29:637–639.
- Akine Y, Tokita N, Ogino T, et al. Radiotherapy of T1 glottic cancer with 6 MeV X rays. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1991;20:1215–1218.
- Parsons JT, Greene BD, Speer TW, Kirkpatrick SA, Barhorst DB, Yancowitz T. Treatment of early and moderately advanced vocal cord carcinoma with 6-MV X-rays. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001;50:953–959.
- Hardie CL, McKenna A, Przeslak AJ, Morgan DA. Minimising carotid artery dose in the radiotherapy of early glottic cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2007;19:800.
- Foot RL. Radiotherapy alone for early-stage squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx and hypopharynx. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007;69(2 Suppl):S31–S36.

29. Burke LS, Greven KM, McGuirt WT, Case D, Hoen HM, Raben M. Definitive radiotherapy for early glottic carcinoma: prognostic factors and implications for treatment. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1997;38:1001–1006.
30. Hintz BL, Kagan AR, Wollin M, et al. Local control of T1 vocal cord cancer with radiation therapy: the importance of tumor character vs. treatment parameters. *Head Neck Surg* 1983;5:204–210.
31. Reddy SP, Hong RL, Nagda S, Emami B. Effect of tumor bulk on local control and survival of patients with T1 glottic cancer: a 30-year experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007;69:1389–1394.
32. van der Voet JC, Keus RB, Hart AA, Hilgers FJ, Bartelink H. The impact of treatment time and smoking on local control and complications in T1 glottic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1998;42:247–255.
33. Chera BS, Amdur RJ, Morris CG, Mendenhall WM. Carotid-sparing intensity-modulated radiotherapy for early-stage squamous cell carcinoma of the true vocal cord. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;77:1380–1385.
34. Rosenthal DI, Fuller CD, Barker JL Jr, et al. Simple carotid-sparing intensity-modulated radiotherapy technique and preliminary experience for T1–2 glottic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;77:455–461.
35. Biel MA. Photodynamic therapy treatment of early oral and laryngeal cancers. *Photochem Photobiol* 2007;83:1063–1068.
36. Schwartz AW. Dr. Theodor Billroth and the first laryngectomy. *Ann Plast Surg* 1978;1:513–516.
37. Silver CE, Ferlito A. Conservation surgery for glottic cancer. Surgery for cancer of the larynx and related structures. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 1996. pp 67–121.
38. Peretti G, Piazza C, Ansarin M, et al. Transoral CO2 laser microsurgery for Tis-T3 supraglottic squamous cell carcinomas. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2010;267:1735–1742.
39. Motta G, Esposito E, Motta S, Tartaro G, Testa D. CO(2) laser surgery in the treatment of glottic cancer. *Head Neck* 2005;27:566–573; discussion 573–574.
40. Remacle M, Eckel HE, Antonelli A, et al. Endoscopic cordectomy. A proposal for a classification by the Working Committee, European Laryngological Society. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2000;257:227–231.
41. Remacle M, Van Haverbeke C, Eckel H, et al. Proposal for revision of the European Laryngological Society classification of endoscopic cordectomies. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2007;264:499–504.
42. Sjögren EV, van Rossum MA, Langeveld TP, et al. Voice outcome in T1a midcord glottic carcinoma: laser surgery vs radiotherapy. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2008;134:965–972.
43. Rödel RM, Steiner W, Müller RM, Kron M, Matthias C. Endoscopic laser surgery of early glottic cancer: involvement of the anterior commissure. *Head Neck* 2009;31:583–592.
44. Silver CE, Beitler JJ, Shaha AR, Rinaldo A, Ferlito A. Current trends in initial management of laryngeal cancer: the declining use of open surgery. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2009;266:1333–1352.
45. Roh JL, Kim DH, Kim SY, Park CI. Quality of life and voice in patients after laser cordectomy for Tis and T1 glottic carcinomas. *Head Neck* 2007;29:1010–1016.
46. Sjögren EV, van Rossum MA, Langeveld TP, Voerman MS, van de Kamp VA, Baatenburg de Jong RJ. Voice profile after type I or II laser chordectomies for T1a glottic carcinoma. *Head Neck* 2009;31:1502–1510.
47. Vilaseca I, Huerta P, Blanch JL, Fernández-Planas AM, Jiménez C, Bernal-Sprekelsen M. Voice quality after CO2 laser cordectomy—what can we really expect? *Head Neck* 2008;30:43–49.
48. Bibby JR, Cotton SM, Perry A, Corry JF. Voice outcomes after radiotherapy treatment for early glottic cancer: assessment using multidimensional tools. *Head Neck* 2008;30:600–610.
49. Agarwal JP, Baccher GK, Waghmare CM, et al. Factors affecting the quality of voice in the early glottic cancer treated with radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2009;90:177–182.
50. Hocevar-Boltezar I, Zargi M, Strojanc P. Risk factors for voice quality after radiotherapy for early glottic cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2009;93:524–529.
51. Smith JC, Johnson JT, Coggnetti DM, et al. Quality of life, functional outcome, and costs of early glottic cancer. *Laryngoscope* 2003;113:68–76.
52. Ganly I, Patel SG, Matsuo J, et al. Results of surgical salvage after failure of definitive radiation therapy for early-stage squamous cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2006;132:59–66.
53. Holsinger FC, Funk E, Roberts DB, Diaz EM Jr. Conservation laryngeal surgery versus total laryngectomy for radiation failure in laryngeal cancer. *Head Neck* 2006;28:779–784.
54. Pellini R, Pichi B, Ruscito P, et al. Supracricoid partial laryngectomies after radiation failure: a multi-institutional series. *Head Neck* 2008;30:372–379.
55. Roedel RM, Matthias C, Wolff HA, Schindler P, Aydin T, Christiansen H. Transoral laser microsurgery for recurrence after primary radiotherapy of early glottic cancer. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 2010;37:474–481.
56. Spayne JA, Warde P, O’Sullivan B, et al. Carcinoma-in-situ of the glottic larynx: results of treatment with radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001;49:1235–1238.
57. Mahler V, Boysen M, Brøndbo K. Radiotherapy or CO(2) laser surgery as treatment of T(1a) glottic carcinoma? *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2010;267:743–750.
58. Schrijvers ML, van Riel EL, Langendijk JA, et al. Higher laryngeal preservation rate after CO2 laser surgery compared with radiotherapy in T1a glottic laryngeal carcinoma. *Head Neck* 2009;31:759–764.