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## Introduction

In the first three parts in this series of reports, I examined the changes from 1984 to 2011 in the number of registered light-duty vehicles (Sivak, 2013a), and the corresponding changes in distance driven (Sivak, 2013b) and fuel consumed (Sivak, 2013c). ${ }^{1}$ The units of the analyses were both the absolute numbers and the rates per person, per driver, per household, and (where appropriate) per vehicle. The main finding of those three reports is that the respective rates all reached their maxima around 2004. I argued that, because the onsets of the reductions in these rates preceded the onset of the recession (in 2008), the reductions in these rates likely reflect fundamental, noneconomic changes in society (such as increased telecommuting, increased use of public transportation, increased urbanization of the population, and changes in the age composition of drivers). Therefore, these maxima have a reasonable chance of being long-term peaks as well. The present report provides a brief update on these measures through 2012.

[^0]
## Method

The number of light-duty vehicles (cars, pickup trucks, SUVs, and vans) was obtained or calculated from the information in FHWA (2014). For 1984 though 2006, this number was the sum of cars and other 2-axle, 4-tire vehicles. For 2007 through 2012, this number was the sum of short-wheel-base and long-wheel-base light-duty vehicles.

The sources of other relevant data were as follows:

- distances driven by light-duty vehicles: FHWA (2014)
- fuel consumed by light-duty vehicles: FHWA (2014)
- resident population: ProQuest (2013)
- licensed drivers: FHWA (2014)
- households: U.S. Census Bureau (2013)

Some of the underlying data were recently revised for 2010 and 2011, and this analysis incorporates those revisions.

## Results

## Absolute numbers of vehicles, distances driven, and fuel consumed

Table 1 presents the numbers of registered light-duty vehicles, distances driven, and fuel consumed from 1984 to 2012. These data are also presented in Figure 1.

Table 1
Registered light-duty vehicles, and the corresponding distances driven and fuel consumed, 1984-2012. (The maxima are in bold.)

| Year | Vehicles (thousands) | Miles driven (millions) | Gallons consumed (millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 156,751 | 1,559,227 | 94,425 |
| 1985 | 165,730 | 1,633,637 | 98,290 |
| 1986 | 170,251 | 1,690,261 | 101,481 |
| 1987 | 173,049 | 1,770,779 | 102,838 |
| 1988 | 178,348 | 1,869,075 | 104,752 |
| 1989 | 180,943 | 1,932,108 | 105,754 |
| 1990 | 182,317 | 1,979,276 | 104,926 |
| 1991 | 181,636 | 2,006,400 | 103,223 |
| 1992 | 183,747 | 2,079,032 | 106,950 |
| 1993 | 187,292 | 2,120,764 | 110,029 |
| 1994 | 191,072 | 2,170,723 | 111,940 |
| 1995 | 194,125 | 2,228,323 | 113,677 |
| 1996 | 198,862 | 2,286,394 | 116,575 |
| 1997 | 199,973 | 2,353,295 | 119,280 |
| 1998 | 203,169 | 2,417,852 | 122,158 |
| 1999 | 207,788 | 2,470,391 | 125,931 |
| 2000 | 212,706 | 2,523,346 | 126,004 |
| 2001 | 221,821 | 2,569,980 | 127,081 |
| 2002 | 220,932 | 2,624,508 | 130,691 |
| 2003 | 222,857 | 2,655,987 | 136,213 |
| 2004 | 228,276 | 2,727,054 | 138,819 |
| 2005 | 231,905 | 2,749,472 | 136,288 |
| 2006 | 234,525 | 2,773,025 | 135,594 |
| 2007 | 235,678 | 2,691,034 | 126,393 |
| 2008 | 236,448 | 2,630,213 | 120,515 |
| 2009 | 234,468 | 2,633,248 | 121,368 |
| 2010 | 230,444 | 2,648,456 | 123,039 |
| 2011 | 233,841 | 2,650,458 | 123,693 |
| 2012 | 233,761 | 2,664,445 | 123,635 |



Figure 1. Registered light-duty vehicles, and the corresponding distances driven and fuel consumed, 1984-2012.

The main aspects of the data in Table 1 (and Figure 1) are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2
The main aspects of the absolute numbers in Table 1 (and Figure 1).

| Measure | Maximum year | Change from the <br> maximum to 2012 | Change from 2011 <br> to 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicles | 2008 | $-1.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Distance driven | 2006 | $-3.9 \%$ | $+0.5 \%$ |
| Fuel consumed | 2004 | $-10.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

## Rates per person, per driver, per household, and per vehicle

Tables 3, 4, and 5 present the rates of vehicles, distance driven, and fuel consumed per person, per licensed driver, per household, and (where appropriate) per vehicle. These data are also presented in Figures 2, 3, and 4.

Table 3
Registered light-duty vehicles per person, per licensed driver, and per household, 1984-2012. (The maxima are in bold.)

| Year | Vehicles per person | Vehicles per driver | Vehicles per household |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 0.66 | 1.01 | 1.84 |
| 1985 | 0.70 | 1.06 | 1.91 |
| 1986 | 0.71 | 1.07 | 1.92 |
| 1987 | 0.71 | 1.07 | 1.93 |
| 1988 | 0.73 | 1.10 | 1.96 |
| 1989 | 0.73 | 1.09 | 1.95 |
| 1990 | 0.73 | 1.09 | 1.95 |
| 1991 | 0.72 | 1.07 | 1.93 |
| 1992 | 0.72 | 1.06 | 1.92 |
| 1993 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 1.94 |
| 1994 | 0.73 | 1.09 | 1.97 |
| 1995 | 0.73 | 1.10 | 1.96 |
| 1996 | 0.74 | 1.11 | 2.00 |
| 1997 | 0.73 | 1.09 | 1.98 |
| 1998 | 0.74 | 1.10 | 1.98 |
| 1999 | 0.74 | 1.11 | 2.00 |
| 2000 | 0.75 | 1.12 | 2.03 |
| 2001 | 0.78 | 1.16 | 2.05 |
| 2002 | 0.77 | 1.14 | 2.02 |
| 2003 | 0.77 | 1.14 | 2.00 |
| 2004 | 0.78 | 1.15 | 2.04 |
| 2005 | 0.78 | 1.16 | 2.05 |
| 2006 | 0.79 | 1.16 | 2.05 |
| 2007 | 0.78 | 1.15 | 2.03 |
| 2008 | 0.78 | 1.14 | 2.02 |
| 2009 | 0.76 | 1.12 | 2.00 |
| 2010 | 0.74 | 1.10 | 1.96 |
| 2011 | 0.75 | 1.10 | 1.95 |
| 2012 | 0.74 | 1.10 | 1.93 |



Figure 2. Registered light-duty vehicles per person, per licensed driver, and per household, 1984-2012.

Table 4
Distances driven per person, per licensed driver, per household, and per registered vehicle, 1984-2012. (The maxima are in bold.)

| Year | Miles driven per person | Miles driven per driver | Miles driven per household | Miles driven per vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 6,612 | 10,032 | 18,256 | 9,947 |
| 1985 | 6,866 | 10,414 | 18,823 | 9,857 |
| 1986 | 7,039 | 10,598 | 19,108 | 9,928 |
| 1987 | 7,309 | 10,943 | 19,790 | 10,233 |
| 1988 | 7,645 | 11,477 | 20,524 | 10,480 |
| 1989 | 7,828 | 11,670 | 20,813 | 10,678 |
| 1990 | 7,929 | 11,851 | 21,203 | 10,856 |
| 1991 | 7,931 | 11,873 | 21,274 | 11,046 |
| 1992 | 8,105 | 12,009 | 21,732 | 11,315 |
| 1993 | 8,159 | 12,248 | 22,002 | 11,323 |
| 1994 | 8,250 | 12,376 | 22,354 | 11,361 |
| 1995 | 8,368 | 12,616 | 22,511 | 11,479 |
| 1996 | 8,487 | 12,735 | 22,950 | 11,497 |
| 1997 | 8,631 | 12,880 | 23,296 | 11,768 |
| 1998 | 8,765 | 13,071 | 23,582 | 11,901 |
| 1999 | 8,853 | 13,199 | 23,783 | 11,889 |
| 2000 | 8,943 | 13,237 | 24,100 | 11,863 |
| 2001 | 9,018 | 13,436 | 23,750 | 11,586 |
| 2002 | 9,125 | 13,508 | 24,013 | 11,879 |
| 2003 | 9,155 | 13,540 | 23,868 | 11,918 |
| 2004 | 9,314 | 13,711 | 24,349 | 11,946 |
| 2005 | 9,304 | 13,710 | 24,258 | 11,856 |
| 2006 | 9,294 | 13,673 | 24,243 | 11,824 |
| 2007 | 8,933 | 13,080 | 23,196 | 11,418 |
| 2008 | 8,649 | 12,626 | 22,522 | 11,124 |
| 2009 | 8,584 | 12,562 | 22,472 | 11,231 |
| 2010 | 8,562 | 12,605 | 22,533 | 11,493 |
| 2011 | 8,506 | 12,510 | 22,101 | 11,334 |
| 2012 | 8,488 | 12,579 | 22,005 | 11,398 |



Figure 3. Distances driven per person, per licensed driver, per household, and per registered vehicle, 1984-2012.

Table 5
Amount of fuel consumed per person, per licensed driver, per household, and per registered vehicle, 1984-2012.

| Year | Gallons per person | Gallons per driver | Gallons per household | Gallons per vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 400.4 | 607.5 | 1105.6 | 602.4 |
| 1985 | 413.1 | 626.6 | 1132.5 | 593.1 |
| 1986 | 422.6 | 636.3 | 1147.2 | 596.1 |
| 1987 | 424.4 | 635.5 | 1149.3 | 594.3 |
| 1988 | 428.4 | 643.2 | 1150.3 | 587.3 |
| 1989 | 428.5 | 638.8 | 1139.2 | 584.5 |
| 1990 | 420.3 | 628.2 | 1124.0 | 575.5 |
| 1991 | 408.0 | 610.8 | 1094.5 | 568.3 |
| 1992 | 416.9 | 617.8 | 1117.9 | 582.1 |
| 1993 | 423.3 | 635.5 | 1141.5 | 587.5 |
| 1994 | 425.4 | 638.2 | 1152.7 | 585.9 |
| 1995 | 426.9 | 643.6 | 1148.4 | 585.6 |
| 1996 | 432.7 | 649.3 | 1170.1 | 586.2 |
| 1997 | 437.5 | 652.8 | 1180.8 | 596.5 |
| 1998 | 442.8 | 660.4 | 1191.5 | 601.3 |
| 1999 | 451.3 | 672.8 | 1212.3 | 606.1 |
| 2000 | 446.6 | 661.0 | 1203.4 | 592.4 |
| 2001 | 445.9 | 664.4 | 1174.4 | 572.9 |
| 2002 | 454.4 | 672.6 | 1195.7 | 591.5 |
| 2003 | 469.5 | 694.4 | 1224.1 | 611.2 |
| 2004 | 474.1 | 698.0 | 1239.5 | 608.1 |
| 2005 | 461.2 | 679.6 | 1202.4 | 587.7 |
| 2006 | 454.4 | 668.6 | 1185.4 | 578.2 |
| 2007 | 419.6 | 614.3 | 1089.5 | 536.3 |
| 2008 | 396.3 | 578.5 | 1032.0 | 509.7 |
| 2009 | 395.6 | 579.0 | 1035.7 | 517.6 |
| 2010 | 397.8 | 585.6 | 1046.8 | 533.9 |
| 2011 | 397.0 | 583.8 | 1031.4 | 529.0 |
| 2012 | 393.8 | 583.7 | 1021.1 | 528.9 |



Figure 4. Amount of fuel consumed per person, per licensed driver, per household, and per registered vehicle, 1984-2012.

The main aspects of the data in Tables 3 through 5 (and Figures 2 through 4) are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6
The main aspects of the rates in Tables 3 through 5 (and Figures 2 through 4).

| Measure | Maximum year(s) | Latest year prior to the maximum year(s) that had a rate lower than the 2012 rate | Change from the maximum to 2012 | Change from 2011 to 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicles |  |  |  |  |
| per person | 2006 | 1997 | -6.3\% | -1.3\% |
| per driver | 2001, 2005, 2006 | 1997 | -5.2\% | 0.0\% |
| per household | 2001, 2005, 2006 | 1992 | -5.9\% | -1.0\% |
| Distance driven |  |  |  |  |
| per person | 2004 | 1996 | -8.9\% | -0.2\% |
| per driver | 2004 | 1994 | -8.3\% | +0.6\% |
| per household | 2004 | 1993 | -9.6\% | -0.4\% |
| per vehicle | 2004 | 1994 | -4.6\% | +0.6\% |
| Fuel consumed |  |  |  |  |
| per person | 2004 | pre 1984 | -16.9\% | -0.8\% |
| per driver | 2004 | pre 1984 | -16.4\% | 0.0\% |
| per household | 2004 | pre 1984 | -17.6\% | -1.0\% |
| per vehicle | 2003 | pre 1984 | -13.5\% | 0.0\% |

## Conclusions

## Trends in the absolute numbers

The trends in the absolute numbers of light-duty vehicles, distances driven, and fuel consumed were of less interest in this study than the corresponding rates. This was the case because the changes in these absolute numbers reflect, in part, the ever-growing population. However, despite the population growth, fuel consumed by light-duty vehicles decreased by $11 \%$ during the period from 2004 (the year of maximum consumption) through 2012 (see Table 2).

## Trends in the rates per person, per driver, per household, and per vehicle

Maximum years. As is evident from Table 6, the rates tended to reach their maxima around 2004.

2012 rates in the context of the rates from 1984 through 2012. The 2012 rates of vehicles and distance driven were comparable to the rates during the early- to mid-1990s (see Table 6). The 2012 rates of fuel consumption were lower than the rates in 1984the first year of this analysis.

Changes from the maximum years to 2012. The reductions in the rates from the corresponding maxima (see Table 6) to 2012 were greatest for fuel consumed (averaging about $16 \%$ ), followed by distance driven (about 8\%) and number of vehicles (about 6\%). The fact that the reductions were greatest for fuel consumed reflects, in part, the added contribution of the improvements in vehicle fuel economy (Sivak and Schoettle, 2014).

Changes from 2011 to 2012. There is no evidence in the examined data that the recent reductions in the rates were temporary. Indeed, out of the 11 rates examined (see Table 6), 6 showed a decrease from 2011 to 2012, 3 showed no change, and 2 showed an increase.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The fourth part in the series examined the changes in households without a light-duty vehicle from 2005 to 2012 (Sivak, 2014).

