



ICSH recommendations for assessing automated high-performance liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis equipment for the quantitation of HbA₂

A. D. STEPHENS*, R. COLAH[†], S. FUCHAROEN[‡], J. HOYER[§], D. KEREN[¶], A. MCFARLANE^{**}, D. PERRETT^{††}, B. J. WILD^{‡‡}, ON BEHALF OF THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR STANDARDIZATION IN HAEMATOLOGY (ICSH)

*Department of Haematology,
University College, London, UK

[†]National Institute of
Immunohaematology (ICMR),
Mumbai, India

[‡]Thalassaemia Research Center,
Institute of Medical Biosciences,
Mahidol University,
Nakornpathom, Thailand

[§]Department of Laboratory
Medicine and Pathology, Mayo
Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

[¶]Clinical Pathology Laboratories,
The University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor, MI, USA

^{**}Institute for Quality
Management in Healthcare
(IQMH), University Avenue,
Toronto, ON, Canada

^{††}Barts & The London School of
Medicine Charterhouse Square,
London, UK

^{‡‡}Imperial College School of
Medicine, Hammersmith
Hospital, London, UK

Correspondence:

Dr A. D. Stephens, Department
of Haematology, University
College London, 1st Floor, 51
Chenies Mews, London WC1E
6HX, UK.

Tel.: +44 (0) 7768315180;

E-mail:

adrian.stephens@mac.com

SUMMARY

Automated high performance liquid chromatography and Capillary electrophoresis are used to quantitate the proportion of Hemoglobin A₂ (HbA₂) in blood samples order to enable screening and diagnosis of carriers of β -thalassemia. Since there is only a very small difference in HbA₂ levels between people who are carriers and people who are not carriers such analyses need to be both precise and accurate. This paper examines the different parameters of such equipment and discusses how they should be assessed.

Received 3 June 2015; accepted
for publication 14 July 2015

Keywords

High-performance liquid
chromatography, capillary
electrophoresis, hemoglobin A₂,
β-thalassemia

INTRODUCTION

β-thalassemia, an inherited recessive condition, is caused by one or more of over 200 mutations in the β-globin gene in man. It occurs in all populations; however, it is much more common in some populations, ranging from 10% down to others with 1% carriers [1]. Many countries now screen couples before marriage or during pregnancy to assess whether or not they are at risk of having a child with β-thalassemia major (the severe homozygous or compound heterozygous state) as these conditions have significant lifelong medical morbidity and limited life expectancy. Although the precise characterization of the β-thalassemia mutation requires DNA analysis, the accurate measurement of HbA₂ is the first-level diagnostic parameter for the routine detection of most carriers of the common 'High HbA₂' type of β-thalassemia. Most service laboratories and clinicians have to make a provisional diagnostic decision depending on the HbA₂ level. As there might be only a small difference in the level of HbA₂ between people who are carriers of High HbA₂ β-thalassemia and those who are not carriers, the performance of the HbA₂ analysis must be accurate and should be interpreted in association with the red cell indices. The red cell hematology should be that of a chronic microcytic state with moderate erythrocytosis relative to the hemoglobin concentration (unless folate deficient) and not responding to appropriate iron therapy. Although 'HbA₂ levels above 3.5% are considered the standard cut off value, above which heterozygosity for β-thalassemia is indicated,' [2] a HbA₂ of 4% is usually considered a safe threshold to diagnose β-thalassemia trait with most carriers having levels of 3.8% or above; levels below 3.0% are usually considered safe to exclude cases of the common High HbA₂ β-thalassemia trait if normocytic and normochromic as most people who are not carriers have a

HbA₂ less than 3.2%. However, even with greater accuracy and less imprecision, the use of HbA₂ testing alone will not be able to exclude cases of borderline or 'Normal HbA₂' β-thalassemia or combinations of β- and δ-thalassemia where a microcytic, hypochromic anemia with a normal HbA₂ might be mistaken for α-thalassemia. Because of this, individuals with suspicious red cell indices and normal ferritin and/or iron or zinc protoporphyrin levels deserve further evaluation. However, greater accuracy and less imprecision in the HbA₂ assay will both decrease needless testing from false positives and improve the detection of borderline cases. The level of the HbA₂ fraction may be measured as elevated in some non-β-thalassemic circumstances or may be elevated due to technical artifacts [3]. For these reasons, the HbA₂ accuracy is especially important in the critical area between 3.0 and 4.0% and the imprecision should be such that an SD of 0.05 (or CV, or RSD, of 2%) can be obtained (duplicates within 0.2% in the final numeric result). Unfortunately, it is not possible to definitively diagnose or exclude a carrier state with a HbA₂ between 3.0 and 4.0% without further investigation. As stated previously [3], it is important to both detect and quantitate any HbA₂ variant that is present (due to either an α- or δ-globin chain mutation) and include it in the total HbA₂ reported. If this is not performed, people who also carry β-thalassemia may be missed.

The International Committee for Standardization in Haematology (ICSH) published recommended manual methods of measuring HbA₂ in 1978 [4] and discussed the various automated approaches in 2012 [3]. The ICSH Board have decided that as the various models of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and capillary electrophoresis (CE) equipment available from different manufacturers have been shown to give different values of HbA₂ in quality assessment schemes [5, 6], it would be useful to discuss how such

equipment should be assessed by manufacturers of each system, as well as by service laboratories (especially before purchasing equipment), many of which do not have ready access to DNA analysis. Ideally, reliable HbA₂ standard materials should be available that are physically and biochemically identical to clinical samples analyzed by dedicated HPLC and CE devices and with the HbA₂ values obtained from electrophoretic separation on cellulose acetate membranes with elution and spectrophotometric measurement of native fractions [4, 7]. These standards could then be used by manufacturers to have a unique and reliable calibration with low, normal, and elevated values. Automatic measurement of HbA₂ and hematological parameters should then be sufficiently accurate to provisionally diagnose or exclude the carrier state of the common 'High HbA₂' β -thalassemia trait and should be at least as good as the manual but laborious methods used by experienced technologists. In complex situations such as in the presence of iron depletion, alpha-thalassemia or 'normal HbA₂' β -thalassemia, or δ -thalassemia which decreases the elevated HbA₂ level in β -thalassemia carriers, accurate diagnostic conclusions cannot be drawn without additional (DNA) analysis.

Aspects of analytical performance such as peak shape and especially tailing, integration mode, separation and baseline, technical accuracy, linearity, precision, carry-over, and calibration of new columns and buffer, especially pH. Batches need to be assessed by the technologist, to ensure that the instrument will be able to perform well and to fulfill the clinical need after installation and setup according to the instructions of the manufacturer [8]. It is also important that the total peak area is within that recommended by the manufacturer of the equipment; otherwise, the quantitation may be incorrect. In case of doubt, performance can be controlled by using samples of known value that should be certified by a National or an International body such as the World Health Organization (WHO) or donated in-house HbA₂ samples validated by a reference laboratory or by using remnant blood samples from previously measured fresh clinical samples. At the present time (2015), the only international recognized reference material for HbA₂ is the WHO International Reference Reagent which has an assigned value of $5.3 \pm 0.066\%$ obtained by an international collaborative study using electrophoresis and elution, micro-column chromatography, and HPLC. This is held by the UK National Institute for Biological

Standards and Control (NIBSC) in Blanche Lane, South Mimms, Potters Bar, Herts, EN6 3QG; (www.nibsc.org). Controls made from samples obtained from members of staff can be useful for laboratories that do not have access to commercial controls or the National/International standards. Samples obtained by venesection of staff members will usually have stable HbA₂ levels as long as iron deficiency does not occur. For this reason, blood donors and women of childbearing age should be avoided unless their blood count and iron status are confirmed as normal. Such material can serve as suitable controls as the material will be similar to the other samples analyzed. If this material can be validated by a reference laboratory, it can also be used as a secondary standard. A 'Reference Laboratory' should have access to the WHO reference material (or another internationally accredited reference material) and have experience of, and use, the cellulose acetate electrophoresis and elution technique recommended by ICSH [4] as automated HPLC or CE systems should not be used to assign values to samples for standardization purposes. The WHO material mentioned above has now been available for more than 25 years and is still stable; however, the International Federation of Clinical Chemists (IFCC) is working on a new HbA₂-certified reference material as a primary standard [9]. The ICSH and IFCC are planning to form a joint working party on this subject and with a view to produce secondary standards for use by laboratories and manufacturers. When assessing automated commercial instruments for suitability to quantitate HbA₂ in order to diagnose or exclude the diagnosis of β -thalassemia trait, it is important to assess the accuracy and imprecision in the diagnostic range and in particular in the critical range between 2.8 and 4.2% of the total hemoglobin. Within this critical range, the imprecision should be $\pm 0.2\%$ of the HbA₂ in the final numerical result which is the current precision that can be obtained by an experienced technologist using the recommended manual (although time consuming) methods [4].

ASSESSMENT

As stated above [10–13], the technologist needs to assess separation and resolution (overlaps), peak shape (asymmetry), integration and baseline stability, carryover, accuracy, imprecision, and linearity of the specific instrument used. The samples used for the following analyses must not contain any hemoglobin

variant, and the 'blood' diluent should contain no or very little HbA₂ (see below). Three replicates should be analyzed on at least three separate occasions on different days of the week.

SYSTEM SUITABILITY

It is essential that before performing the following validation steps, the electro-mechanical components of the equipment (HPLC or CE) are operating in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (e.g. for HPLC flow rates are correct and constant, for CE voltages and current are stable; detectors have been set at the correct wavelengths). Eluents and buffers should be as supplied by the system manufacturer or made in the laboratory using good laboratory practices when the pH should be checked with a fully and properly calibrated pH meter. Carryover may be minimized, and resolution improved by regular cleaning of the sample lines as recommended by the instrument manufacturer. The following are basically testing the column and capillary performance.

Peak shape, separation, and baseline

Analyze a blood sample that does not contain a variant hemoglobin and check that the chromatogram (or electropherogram) shows complete separation of the HbA and HbA₂ peaks with return to baseline between them and that the peaks are symmetrical.

Imprecision

Measure one sample at least three times and then calculate the mean, range, and SD. This is the intraday variation. Repeat the measurement of the same sample on three occasions (interassay variation) and compare the mean, range, and SD. Between assays, the sample should be kept at 4 °C.

Carryover

Carryover can lead to erroneous peak quantitation and even spurious peaks leading to diagnostic errors [14–16]. It is therefore very important to know whether carryover occurs and if so what its clinical implications may be. When quantitating HbA₂, it is especially important to know whether there is any

carryover from HbE or HbC as they elute with, or close to, HbA₂ and HbA₂ variants, respectively, on HPLC and the peaks are at least ten to twenty times larger than the HbA₂ peak so that even a small degree of carryover can cause a clinically significant increase in the HbA₂. If the HbA₂ level is clinically important in a particular patient sample, it is probably best to exclude any result that follows a sample containing HbE or HbC, or a variant hemoglobin that elutes in a similar position, and rerun the sample.

To assess the amount of HbA₂ carryover that occurs requires two samples, one having a low or low normal HbA₂ (aim for about 2%) labeled 'L' and another sample having a HbA₂ considerably raised (aim for at least 5%) labeled 'H'. These samples should be analyzed in the following way. Each sample should be analyzed three times in the following order: L1, L2, L3, H1, H2, H3 which shows the carryover from a normal to a thalassemia trait sample followed by H1, H2, H3, L1, L2, L3 to show the carryover from a thalassemia trait sample to a normal sample. The percentage carryover from the first group is calculated as follows:

$$\frac{H1 - H3}{L3 - H2} \times 100\%$$

$$\text{and the second group : } \frac{L1 - L3}{H3 - L2} \times 100\%$$

A clinical laboratory should repeat the sequence of twelve assays five times. A manufacturer should plan to repeat the sequence at least twenty times. The mean carryover is then calculated for the two situations. A similar approach can be used to calculate the carryover from HbE or HbC to HbA₂. The HbE or HbC sample should be at the highest level likely to be encountered in routine laboratory practice and will replace the 'High' raised HbA₂ in the above example.

Carryover from the HbA₂ should be less than a numeric 0.1% (equivalent to a carryover of 2% for a HbA₂ of 5%). As even this proportion of HbE would lead to a very large error in the HbA₂ of a following sample, no carryover should be accepted from any variant eluting in the HbA₂ region.

Accuracy

Measure a reference material three times and also relate it to the linearity results.

Linearity

As for clinical reasons HbA₂ is measured as a percentage of the total hemoglobin, the reconstituted WHO reference material (or any other reference material) should be stored in the manner indicated by the reference organization and should be diluted in blood containing very little or ideally no HbA₂ (see below) and not in water or buffer. Mix the reference material with the diluent in the proportions given in the table below and then analyze the mixture in duplicate on three occasions on different days of the week. In a similar way, undertake the cellulose acetate electrophoresis analyses. Plot the results against the theoretical value obtained by calculation (see Table 1 below). Ideally use a blood sample from a donor with homozygous delta-thalassemia for all dilutions, but as this may be difficult to obtain, umbilical cord blood (preferably from a premature baby) can be used as this will contain very little HbA₂ (usually less than 0.5%). The same pipette and settings should be used for all pipetting to minimize any calibration error. The hemoglobin concentration of donor blood sample should be similar (within 10%) to that of the WHO preparation (98 g/L), but the actual hemoglobin concentration of both should be measured using the same technique, as any difference in concentration will need to be taken into account to calculate the actual concentration of HbA₂ in the mixture.

Integration

Integration should employ a suitably fast data acquisition rate for HPLC (see Meyer [17]). For CE, a similar requirement applies to CE data systems, but the relative areas must be calculated using 'spacial areas' (measured areas divided by the migration time).

TECHNIQUE FOR LINEARITY STUDY

- Open one ampoule of the WHO reference material (or other reference material) and reconstitute by adding 0.5 mL distilled water to the vial. Mix well and when the lyophilized material has all dissolved, it will produce 0.5 mL of hemolysate at approximately 100 g/L. If a different reference material is used, reconstitute it using the appropriate recommended method.
- Wash the red cells from 2 mL 'diluent' blood three times with saline and centrifuge (1000 *g* for 10 min)

Table 1. Suggested mixing proportions for linearity testing.

WHO (or other) reference material volumes	Diluent volumes*	Approx HbA ₂ concentration (%) [†]
5	0	5.3
4	1	4.2
5	2	3.8
4	2	3.5
5	3	3.3
4	3	3.0
2	3	2.1
0	5	0

*Ideally, the diluent should be a blood sample from a person with homozygous delta-thalassemia, but if this is not available, a sample of umbilical cord blood (preferably from a premature infant) can be used as this will usually contain less than 0.5% HbA₂.

[†]The actual concentration of HbA₂ in the diluted samples is calculated (see below) from the ratio of WHO (or other) reference material to diluent adjusted for the difference in hemoglobin concentrations. This calculation should not be used for the undiluted reference material or for the 100% diluent. If umbilical cord blood is used as the diluent, there is likely to be a small amount of HbA₂ in the diluent and this is accounted for in the second part of the equation.

HbA₂ percentage in the mixture = $[A \times B / (B+C) \times D / E] + [F \times C / (B+C) \times E / D]$.

where A, HbA₂ percentage in the WHO (or other) reference material; B, Volume of 'reference material'; C, Volume of 'diluent'; D, Hb concentration of 'reference material'; E, Hb concentration of 'diluent'; F, HbA₂ percentage in the 'diluent'.

and remove the supernatant. Then, hemolyze by adding an equal volume of water, mix, and stand for 20 min, then freeze and thaw the red cells, then centrifuge (1000 *g* for 10 min) to separate the red cell membranes, and remove and keep the supernatant hemolysate.

- Measure the Hb concentration of both the reconstituted WHO (or other) reference material and the hemolyzed cord blood using the same equipment and reagents and record the results. Only use a very small volume of the reference material as most of it will be needed for the linearity assessment.
- Using the same pipette, prepare six dilutions of the WHO (or other) reference material as given in the Table 1. The same pipette should be used for all dilutions as this will reduce the effect of any inherent inaccuracies in the pipette calibration.

- Analyze the HbA₂ in the undiluted WHO (or other) reference material and undiluted diluent blood and in the six dilutions from (4) above on the HPLC or CE equipment to be tested using the manufacturer's directions for small (pediatric) samples. Also, analyze each dilution by the ICSH-recommended cellulose acetate electrophoresis and elution method [4].
- Use the results from (3) and (5) above as described below to plot the linearity. Linearity can be plotted as the dilution factor on the *X*-axis and the obtained HbA₂ percentage on the *Y*-axis. The expected HbA₂ percentage can also be plotted against the obtained HbA₂ percentage to assess the degree of correlation.

INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

Peak shape, separation, and baseline: When analyzing a sample that does not contain a variant hemoglobin, peaks should be Gaussian and symmetrical, with minimal and ideally no peak tailing [11]. The HbA₂ peak should be completely separated from the HbA (on CE) or HbAo (on HPLC) peaks, with the nadir between the two peaks on the baseline. The noise and drift of the baseline should be similar to that obtained when ana-

lyzing a buffer blank. Manufacturers should aim to supply columns that in their system have an asymmetry factor (*As*) between 0.9 and 1.1 and a resolution (*Rs*) between adjacent peaks of greater than 1.75. These two factors are essential elements in assessing routine suitability of the separations obtained. These are described in detail in most HPLC texts such as Meyer [17].

When using manual techniques such as electrophoresis and elution that were recommended in the ICSH 1978 publication, complete separation of HbA₂ from HbA is possible, as is a relative standard deviation (similar to the CV) of 2.0%. Similar performance should be obtained by automated equipment to provide adequate clinical diagnoses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All the authors participated in drafting and revising the paper. We would like to acknowledge suggestions made by colleagues in the field.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Weatherall DJ, Clegg JB. The Thalassemia Syndromes, 4th edn. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific, 2001.
2. Traeger-Synodinos J, Harteveld CL, Old JM, Petrou M, Galanello R, Giordano PC, Angastiniotis M, Salle DLB, Henderson S, May A. EQMN Best Practice Guidelines for methods for carrier identification and prenatal diagnosis of the haemoglobinopathies. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2015;23:560–70.
3. Stephens AD, Angastiniotis M, Baysal E, Chan V, Fucharoen S, Giordano PC, Hoyer JD, Mosca A, Wild B. ICSH recommendations for the measurement of haemoglobin A₂. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2012;34:1–13.
4. International Committee For Standardization In Haematology. Recommendations for selected methods for quantitative estimation of Hb A₂ and for Hb A₂ reference preparation. *Br J Haematol* 1978;38:573–8.
5. Batterbee H, De la Salle B, McTaggart P, Dore C, Wild B, Hyde K. Evaluation of UKNEQAS(H) Hb A₂ and related performance data. 2010 cited 1/2/2013; Available from: www.ukneqash.org.
6. Paleari R, Gulbis B, Cotton F, Mosca A. Interlaboratory comparison of current high-performance methods for HbA₂. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2012;34:362–8.
7. Ivaldi G, Barberio G, Harteveld CL, Giordano PC. HbA₂ measurements in β -thalassemia and other conditions. *Thalassemia Rep*, 2014;4:1832: 45–8.
8. Giordano PC. Editorial: measurement of HbA₂. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2012;34:335.
9. Paleari R, Munoz A, Mosca A. Towards the development of a certified reference material for hemoglobin A₂. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2010;48:1611–8.
10. FDA. Guidance for Industry, Bioanalytical Method Validation (being revised, Feb, 2015). 2001; Available from: www.fda.gov/download/drugs/.../guidances/ucm070107.pdf
11. Dolan JW. Peak Tailing and Resolution. *LGC Europe*, 2002(May): 1–4.
12. Pharmaceutical Outsource Solutions. Bio-analytical Method Validation Summary. 2001 [July 2013; 1–2]. Available from: www.pharmoutsources.com/publications.
13. European Medicines Agency. Guideline on Bioanalytical Method Validation. 2011, European Medicines Agency: EMEA/CHMP/EWP/192217/2009. 1–22.
14. Broughton PMG, Buttolph MA, Gowenlock AH, Neill DW, Skentelbery RG. Recommended scheme for the evaluation of instruments for automatic analysis in the clinical biochemistry laboratory. *J Clin Pathol* 1969;22:278–84.
15. CLSI. Preliminary Evaluation of Quantitative Clinical Laboratory Measurement Procedures, EP10-A3. Vol. 26. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2006.
16. CLSI. Protocol for the Evaluation, Validation, and Implementation of Coagulometers. H57-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.
17. Meyer VR. Practical High Performance Liquid Chromatography. Chichester, UK: Wiley, 2010.