

Received Date : 08-May-2015

Revised Date : 06-Jul-2015

Accepted Date : 15-Jul-2015

Article type : Original Article

Neoplastic and Non-Neoplastic Complications of Solid Organ Transplantation in Patients with  
Preexisting Monoclonal Gammopathy of Undetermined Significance (MGUS)

Teresa E. Goebel<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas K. Schiltz<sup>2,3</sup>, Kenneth J. Woodside<sup>4,\*</sup>, Aiswarya Chandran Pillai<sup>2,3,†</sup>,  
Paolo F. Caimi<sup>1</sup>, Hillard M. Lazarus<sup>1</sup>, Siran M. Koroukian<sup>2,3</sup>, Erica L. Campagnaro<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Hematology & Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Case Comprehensive  
Cancer Center & University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center, Cleveland, Ohio

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Medicine,  
Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland Ohio

<sup>3</sup>Population Health and Outcomes Research Core, Clinical and Translational Science  
Collaborative, Cleveland, Ohio

<sup>4</sup>Section of Transplant Surgery, Department of Surgery,

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/ctr.12595](https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.12595)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

<sup>5</sup>Division of Hematology & Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine,  
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Running Title: MGUS and Solid Organ Transplant

\*Corresponding Author: Kenneth J. Woodside, MD  
Associate Professor of Surgery  
Section of Transplant Surgery  
Department of Surgery  
University of Michigan Health System  
1500 E. Medical Center Drive  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5300  
734-936-8363  
734-763-3187 (fax)  
woodside@umich.edu

†Current Affiliation: Department of Anesthesia & Perioperative Medicine,  
University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

Disclosures: None of the authors have conflicts of interest to report.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP)

Interquartile range (IQR)

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN)

Plasma cell myeloma (PCM)

Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD)

Skeletal-related events (SRE)

Venous thromboembolism (VTE)

#### KEYWORDS

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS)

Solid organ transplantation

Plasma cell myeloma

Multiple myeloma

Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD)

Venous thromboembolism

Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP)

#### ABSTRACT

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) occurs in 3-7% of the elderly population, with higher prevalence in renal failure patients, and is associated with a 25-fold increased lifetime risk for plasma cell myeloma (PCM), also known as multiple myeloma. Using the California State Inpatient, Emergency Department, and Ambulatory Surgery Databases components of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP), we sought to determine if patients with MGUS who undergo solid organ allograft (n=22,062) are at increased adjusted relative risk (aRR) for hematological malignancy and other complications. Among solid organ transplant patients, patients with preexisting MGUS had higher aRR of PCM (aRR 19.46; 95%CI 7.05, 53.73; p<0.001), venous thromboembolic events (aRR 1.66; 95%CI 1.15, 2.41; p=0.007), and infection (aRR 1.24; 95%CI 1.06, 1.45; p=0.007). However, when comparing MGUS patients with and without solid organ transplant, there was decreased aRR for PCM with transplant (aRR 0.34; 95%CI 0.13, 0.88; p=0.027), and increased venous thromboembolic events (aRR 2.33; 95%CI 1.58, 3.44; p<0.001) and infectious risks, (aRR 1.44; 95%CI 1.23, 1.70;

$p < 0.001$ ). While MGUS increased the risk of PCM overall following solid organ transplantation, there was lower risk of PCM development compared to MGUS patients who did not receive a transplant. MGUS should not preclude solid organ transplant.

**INTRODUCTION**

Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) is an asymptomatic precancerous condition that can progress to plasma cell myeloma (PCM), also known as multiple myeloma, or other hematologic malignancy. MGUS affects approximately 3% of people older than 50 years and 5% of those over 70 years of age [1]. This disorder is defined by the presence of a serum monoclonal protein at a concentration  $< 3$  g/dL, a bone marrow examination with  $< 10\%$  clonal plasma cells (if performed), and the absence of end-organ damage (anemia, hypercalcemia, lytic bone lesions, renal insufficiency and hyperviscosity) related to the monoclonal protein. Recent studies have shown that PCM is almost always preceded by a plasma cell disorder, most commonly MGUS [2], and the rate of progression from MGUS to PCM in the general population is predicted to be roughly 1% per year [3]. MGUS also increases the risk of developing PCM approximately 25-fold [4]. In addition, MGUS has been shown to increase the risk of other complications, including infection, venous thromboembolism (VTE), and skeletal-related events (SRE) such as osteoporosis and fracture [5-14].

Long term data regarding patients affected by preexisting MGUS who undergo solid organ transplant are scarce. Solid organ transplant requires long-term use of immunosuppression post-engraftment, which is associated with many risks overlapping those described above, including hematologic malignancies and post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD), opportunistic infections, SRE and VTE. MGUS-affected patients usually are not excluded from transplantation; however, data on long-term outcomes are lacking and limited to single institution studies with relatively small patient numbers [15-17]. We hypothesized that patients with the precancerous condition, MGUS, are at heightened risk for these post-transplant complications.

## METHODS

We utilized the 2005-2011 California State Inpatient Database (SID), State Emergency Department Database (SEDD), and State Ambulatory Surgery Database (SASD). These databases are part of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP), sponsored by the Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. These databases include data from patients discharged from all non-federally funded community

hospitals in California, including both not-for-profit and investor-owned hospitals, as well as hospitals run by the state and local governments. Federally-run hospitals such as those run by Veterans Affairs or the Indian Health Service are not included, none of which are transplant centers in California. The California HCUP databases include a synthetic patient identifier that can be used to track individuals' utilization of care over the study period and across the emergency department, inpatient hospital, and ambulatory surgery settings. The SID includes over 100 variables including principal and secondary diagnoses and procedures, admission and discharge status, patient demographics characteristics (e.g., sex, age, and race), expected payment source, total charges, length of stay, and hospital characteristics (e.g. location, teaching status, and bed size). A complete list of variables is available in the SID data documentation online [18]. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB protocol #EM-14-30).

The study population includes patients undergoing solid organ transplant as defined by ICD-9-CM procedure codes (Supplemental Table). The main exposure of interest was MGUS, defined using ICD-9-CM diagnosis code 273.1. We searched patient records with diagnosis codes indicating MGUS on the same day or prior to solid organ transplant surgery. The primary outcomes of interest were morbidity and complications as defined by ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes in patient records after solid organ allograft. The outcomes of major interest were PCM, lymphoma, PTLN, opportunistic infection, VTE, and SRE. Infections included bacteremia, viremia, urinary tract infection, *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea, endocarditis, pneumonia, influenza, and osteomyelitis. As a secondary analysis, we sought to investigate the association of solid organ transplant and poor outcomes among people that had MGUS. Here, the study population included any person with a diagnosis code of MGUS during the study period. The main effect was solid organ transplant occurring on the same date or after the first diagnosis of MGUS.

We conducted a descriptive analysis for all variables in our study. Comparisons between affected and non-affected subjects, MGUS(+) and MGUS(-), respectively, were made using Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, and Student's t-test for continuous variables. To protect patient confidentiality, the data use agreement from HCUP required that cells with nonzero counts less than 11 cannot be reported. Therefore, in some cases, we report the data as " $\leq 10$ ," rather than provide the exact cell count.

We used modified Poisson regression to model complications in MGUS(+) versus MGUS(-) transplant patients to produce risk ratios with robust confidence intervals [19]. To adjust for patient demographics, insurance status, and comorbidities we included a propensity score as a covariate in the Poisson regression models [20]. The propensity score is defined as the predicted probability of having MGUS conditional on each patient's baseline characteristics [21]. We calculated the propensity score using logistic regression with MGUS as the outcome and patient age, race, sex, count of all Elixhauser comorbidities, insurance, and year of transplant as the covariates. The Elixhauser comorbidity measure includes 30 comorbid conditions defined through secondary ICD-9-CM codes [22]. We also included specific comorbid conditions in the propensity score model if the frequency was greater than five cases in each group. The conditions included were hypertension, diabetes, hypothyroidism, anemia deficiency, electrolyte disorders, renal failure, and liver disease. Models were not fit for PTLD and lymphoma as no patients in the MGUS+ group experienced these outcomes. All of the above analysis was repeated in the MGUS population comparing solid organ transplant (+) vs solid organ transplant (-). All analyses were conducted on SAS version 9.3 for Unix (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

## RESULTS

Of the 24,358,669 patients in the California State Inpatient, Emergency, and Ambulatory Database from 2005-2011, we identified 22,062 solid organ transplant patients. Of these patients, 72 were found to be MGUS(+) prior to solid organ transplant. MGUS was not documented in the remaining 21,990 transplant patients prior to solid organ transplant. Demographic characteristics of solid organ transplant patients are shown in Table 1. Median age for the MGUS(+) group was somewhat older (61.5 years, versus 51 years for the MGUS (-) group,  $p < 0.001$ ). MGUS(+) solid organ transplant patients had significantly fewer comorbidities than MGUS(-) patients. As there were a number of demographic differences between the groups, propensity score adjusted risk ratios were utilized for further analysis.

Table 2 shows the outcomes of interest for solid organ transplant recipients according to MGUS status. There were  $\leq 10$  cases of PCM reported in the MGUS(+) group and 37 in the MGUS(-) group. Among solid organ transplant patients, those MGUS(+) patients had a nearly 20-fold higher risk of developing PCM compared to MGUS(-) (Table 3), with a propensity score adjusted risk ratio of 19.46 (95%CI 7.05, 53.73). While there were no cases of PTLD or

lymphoma in the MGUS(+) group, there were 161 and 193 cases, respectively, in the MGUS(-) group.

For the 72 patients in the MGUS(+) group, we identified a significantly higher incidence of several complications compared to the MGUS(-) group. Pulmonary embolism, VTEs, SREs, bacteremia, urinary tract infection, *Clostridium difficile* infection, and pneumonia were found to occur more frequently in the MGUS(+) group when compared to the MGUS(-) group (Table 2). Among solid organ transplant patients, MGUS(+) patients had a higher risk of developing VTE, SRE, and infection compared to MGUS(-) patients, with propensity adjusted risk ratios of 1.66 (95%CI 1.15, 2.41), 1.56 (95%CI 1.03, 2.37), and 1.24 (95%CI 1.06, 1.45), respectively (Table 3). In-hospital death risk was significantly increased for MGUS(+) solid organ transplant patients, compared to those unaffected by MGUS, with a propensity score adjusted relative risk of 1.58 (95% CI 1.18, 2.11).

While risk for complications or in-hospital death seemed higher for MGUS(+) solid organ transplant recipients, these data did not address the risk for similar MGUS(+) patients without transplant. First, we sought to determine the risk for these outcomes amongst all MGUS(+) patients with and without solid organ transplant. Utilizing propensity-score adjustment, we next sought to determine the risk for these outcomes in MGUS(+) patients according to transplant status. Amongst MGUS(+) patients, there were  $\leq 10$  cases of PCM in those receiving solid organ transplant, versus 1,631 for those without transplant (Table 4). In fact, PCM risk was decreased with solid organ transplant, with a propensity-adjusted risk ratio of 0.34 (95%CI 0.13, 0.88) for progression of MGUS to PCM. There were no reported PTLD or lymphoma cases in the transplanted MGUS(+) patients, although sample size was somewhat small. There were increased infectious and VTE events in the transplant group, with propensity score adjusted risk ratios of 1.44 (95%CI 1.23, 1.70) and 2.33 (95%CI 1.58, 3.44), respectively (Tables 4-5). SRE risk was not significantly different once adjusted for propensity score. Unadjusted in-hospital deaths were not significantly different for MGUS(+) patients with solid organ transplants, although significance was uncovered once the cohort was adjusted by propensity score, with an adjusted risk ratio of 1.47 (95% CI 1.09, 1.98) for those MGUS patients with an allograft.

## DISCUSSION

Solid organ transplant recipients require long-term immunosuppression post-transplant that may lead to significant complications. We undertook this analysis of HCUP component databases to ascertain whether solid organ transplant recipients affected by a common disorder, MGUS, would be at heightened risk for serious complications that are shared by patients undergoing transplant immunosuppression and by patients with MGUS. Unlike the National Inpatient Sample, the California SID has the advantage of capturing data for a given patient at every California hospital, rather than just the data captured and submitted by the transplant center to the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN), and additionally contains diagnoses and procedures that are unavailable to the OPTN-based sources [23]. While those patients with preexisting MGUS experienced greater overall risks after solid organ transplant, the degree of increased risk suggests that these patients should not be excluded from solid organ transplant options.

Overall PTLD risk for solid organ transplant patients varies by organ-type and immunosuppression protocol. The risk is typically cited to be about 0.4% at one year for kidney transplant patients [24], but does not appear to be of high concern in this particular cohort of patients, with PTLD found in only 0.7% of the MGUS(-) patients and none of the MGUS(+) patients. On the other hand, compared to solid organ transplant patients without preexisting MGUS, our data demonstrated that solid organ transplant patients with preexisting MGUS had a significantly higher risk of VTE, SRE, and a variety of infections, including bacteremias, urinary tract infections, *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea, and infectious pneumonia.

Consistent with other patient populations, we report that solid organ transplant patients with preexisting MGUS are also more likely to develop PCM than those without preexisting MGUS; however, this risk is similar to—or perhaps even lower than—that historically attributed to MGUS in patients who have not undergone transplant. Literature addressing PCM in MGUS patients following solid organ transplant is scarce and conflicting. Safadi et al [25] reported 4 of 7 multiple myeloma patients with kidney transplants demonstrated pre-existing MGUS. In a retrospective review of 1,593 solid organ transplant patients, Jimenez-Zipeda et al [26] reported that none of 34 patients with preexisting MGUS had progression to PCM, amyloid, or lymphoma, and they noted no association between preexisting MGUS and PTLD. In a slightly larger retrospective review of 3,518 kidney transplant patients that included 23 patients with preexisting MGUS, 4 (17.4%) patients developed a hematologic malignancy (2 smoldering PCM



and 2 PTLD) [17]. Another small case series in which 5 transplant patients with preexisting MGUS were followed showed 2 patients developed smoldering PCM after transplant [27], with other small studies reporting similar findings [15, 28]. In contrast, we found a somewhat reduced risk for PCM for patients with preexisting MGUS and solid organ transplant. Previous studies tended to be single center and often included patients who developed MGUS post-transplant. Interestingly, in a study of US veterans, MGUS patients with immune-mediated conditions, including autoimmunity, inflammatory disorders, and certain infectious disorders, were found to have increased risk of MGUS progression to PCM [29]. It is certainly possible that solid-organ transplant-related immunosuppression alters the likelihood of MGUS progression to PCM.

This study has limitations. There are inherent shortcomings in large retrospective database studies, including inconsistencies in-patient follow up, reporting, and coding practices. As the databases relied upon hospitalization or admission to an ambulatory surgery facility or emergency department for entry, subjects receiving care outside of these settings are not represented. Use of other large observational databases, however, suggests that many of the observations are consistent with randomized clinical trials and expert opinion [30-31]. In addition, as MGUS is present in about 3% of people greater than age 50 years [1], it is almost certainly underrepresented in this database. Outcomes of MGUS are variable, and a risk stratification system has been developed to predict the risk of progression from MGUS to PCM based on the amount of monoclonal protein, immunoglobulin type, and the serum free light chain ratio [32]. Unfortunately, these databases lack the data granularity to stratify patients based on such differentiation. Similarly, while diagnostic criteria for monoclonal gammopathy of renal significance has been proposed in 2012 [33], the date range from the database we utilized was prior to this proposed term and, in addition, that diagnostic terminology does not have an ICD-9 code independent from the MGUS code [34-35]. Similarly, the database does not include information on induction and maintenance immunosuppression use, which given the variability in practice and potential modifications in MGUS patients, could impact outcomes. Furthermore, despite the large sample size, the number of transplanted patients with MGUS and event rates were relatively low, thereby limiting statistical power. Sample size also prevented meaningful analysis of organ-specific outcomes—which is pertinent for kidney transplantation, as MGUS is more common in this population. While we adjusted by propensity score to accommodate

different levels of comorbidity to minimize selection bias, we can only control for known confounders that we could measure in our data. Further, the small number of cases in the MGUS group prevented us from direct adjustment of particular comorbidities, which may better control for patient differences. Finally, meaningful post-transplant survival analysis is not feasible with this dataset, as in-hospital mortality is biased towards patients requiring more frequent admission.

In conclusion, patients with preexisting MGUS who undergo solid organ transplantation have higher risks compared to MGUS-unaffected patients for developing complications such as PCM, VTE, SRE, and opportunistic infections. However, solid organ transplant in patients with preexisting MGUS does not appear to further increase the risk of progression to PCM in patients with solid organ transplant, nor do PTLD and lymphoma risks seem to increase. In contrast, solid organ transplant patients with preexisting MGUS are at higher risk for VTE, SRE, and infection, suggesting that closer monitoring for such events is warranted. While MGUS is a risk factor for future PCM, it should not preclude solid organ transplantation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This publication was supported by the Clinical and Translational Science Collaborative of Cleveland (UL1TR000439) from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) component of the National Institutes of Health and NIH roadmap for Medical Research, and by a grant from the National Cancer Institute (K12CA076917). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

#### References:

1. Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Rajkumar SV, Larson DR, Plevak MF, Offord JR et al. Prevalence of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 1362.
2. Weiss BM, Abadie J, Verma P, Howard RS, and Kuehl WM. A monoclonal gammopathy precedes multiple myeloma in most patients. *Blood* 2009; 113: 5418.
3. Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Rajkumar SV, Offord JR, Larson DR, Plevak MF, Melton LJ. A long-term study of prognosis in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 564.

4. Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV. Monoclonal gammopathies of undetermined significance: a review. *Immunol Rev* 2003; 346: 112.
5. Bida JP, Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Melton LJ, Plevak MF, Larson DR, et al. Disease associations with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance: a population-based study of 17,398 patients. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2009; 84: 685.
6. Kristinsson SY, Bjorkhom M, Andersson TM, Eloranta S, Dickman PW, Goldin LR, et al. Patterns of survival and causes of death following a diagnosis of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance: a population-based study. *Haematologica* 2009; 94: 1714.
7. Sallah S, Husain A, Wan J, Vos P, Nguyen NP. The risk of venous thromboembolic disease in patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Ann Oncol* 2004; 15: 1490.
8. Srkalovic G, Cameron MG, Rybicki L, Deitcher SR, Kattke-Marchant K, Hussein MA. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and multiple myeloma are associated with an increased incidence of venothromboembolic disease. *Cancer* 2004; 101: 558.
9. Kristinsson SY, Fears TR, Gridley G, Turesson I, Mellqvist UH, Björkholm M, Landgren O. Deep vein thrombosis after monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2008; 112: 3582.
10. Muslimani AA, Spiro TP, Chaudhry AA, Taylor HC, Jaiyesimi I, Daw HA. Venous thromboembolism in patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 2009; 7: 827.
11. Kristinsson SY, Pfeiffer RM, Björkholm M, Goldin LR, Schulman S, Blimark C, et al. Arterial and venous thrombosis in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and multiple myeloma: a population-based study. *Blood* 2010; 115: 4991.
12. Gregersen H, Nørgaard M, Severinsen MT, Engebjerg MC, Jensen P, Sørensen HT. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and risk of venous thromboembolism. *Eur J Haematol* 2011; 86: 129.
13. Za T, De Stefano V, Rossi E, Petrucci MT, Andriani A, Annino L, et al. Arterial and venous thrombosis in patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined

- significance: incidence and risk factors in a cohort of 1491 patients. *Br J Haematol* 2013; 160: 673.
14. Kristensson SY, Tang M, Pfeiffer RM, Bjorkholm M, Blimark C, Mellqvist UH, et al. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and risk of skeletal fractures; a population-based study. *Blood* 2010; 116: 2651.
  15. Soltero L, Carbajal H, Xu J, McCarthy J, Suki WN, Gaber AO, Adroque HE. Initial survival data of kidney transplant patients with pre-transplant monoclonal gammopathy. *Clin Transplant*. 2012; 26: 300.
  16. Giordano M, Santangelo L, Scarasciulli ML, Calvario A, Miragliotta G, Giordano P, Cecinati V. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in pediatric kidney transplantation: a possible role of Epstein-Barr virus. *Pediatr Transplant* 2014; 18: 42.
  17. Naina HV, Harris S, Dispenzieri A, Cosio FG, Habermann TM, Stegall MD, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance after kidney transplantation. *Am J Nephrol* 2012; 35: 365.
  18. HCUP Central Distributor SID Availability of Data Elements - 2009. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). June 2010. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. [www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/db/state/siddist/siddistvarnote2009.jsp](http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/db/state/siddist/siddistvarnote2009.jsp) (accessed July 3, 2015).
  19. Zou G. A modified Poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004; 159: 702.
  20. Austin PC. An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects of Confounding in Observational Studies. *Multivariate Behav Res* 2011; 46: 399-424.
  21. Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika* 1983; 70: 41.
  22. Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. *Med Care* 1998 Jan; 36: 8.
  23. Massie AB, Kuricka LM, Segev DL. Big data in organ transplantation: registries and administrative claims. *Am J Transplant* 2014; 14: 1723.
  24. Kasiske BL, Kukla A, Thomas D, Wood Ives J, Snyder JJ, Qiu Y, et al. Lymphoproliferative disorders after adult kidney transplant: epidemiology and

- comparison of registry report with claims-based diagnoses. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2011; 58: 971.
25. Safadi S, Dispenzieri A, Amer H, Gertz MA, Rajkumar SV, Hayman SR, et al. Multiple myeloma after kidney transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2015; 29: 76.
  26. Jimenez-Zepeda VH, Heilman RL, Engel RA, Carey EJ, Freeman C, Rakela J, et al. Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance does not affect outcomes in patients undergoing solid organ transplants. *Transplantation* 2011; 92: 570.
  27. Rostaing L, Modesto A, Abbal M, Durand D. Long-term follow up of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance in transplant patients. *Am J Nephrol* 1994; 14: 187.
  28. Galioto A, Morando F, Rosi S, Schipilliti M, Fasolato S, Magrin M, et al. Monoclonal gammopathy after liver transplantation: a risk factor for long-term medical complications other than malignancies. *Transpl Int* 2012; 25: 25.
  29. Brown LM, Gridley G, Check D, Landgren O. Risk of multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance among white and black United States veterans with prior autoimmune, infectious, inflammatory, and allergic disorders. *Blood* 2008; 111: 3388.
  30. Gale RP, Eapen M, Logan B, Zhang M-J, Lazarus HM. Are there roles for observational database studies and structured quantification of expert opinion to answer therapy controversies in transplants? *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2009; 43: 435.
  31. Gale RP, Lazarus HM. How helpful are meta-analyses in determining the best therapy of blood diseases? *Acta Haematol* 2011; 125: 91.
  32. Rajkumar SV, Kyle RA, Therneau TM, Melton LJ, Bradwell AR, Clark RJ, et al. Serum free light chain ratio is an independent risk factor for progression in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Blood* 2005; 106: 812.
  33. Leung N, Bridoux F, Hutchinson CA, Nasr SH, Cockwell P, Femand JP, et al. Monoclonal gammopathy of renal significance: when MGUS is no longer undetermined or insignificant. *Blood* 2012; 120: 4292.
  34. National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. SEER hematopoietic and lymphoid neoplasm database. URL: [seer.cancer.gov/seertools/hemelymph](http://seer.cancer.gov/seertools/hemelymph) (accessed April 22, 2015).

35. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. ICD-9-CM diagnosis and procedure codes: abbreviated and full code titles. URL: [www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coding/ICD9ProviderDiagnosisCodes/codes.html](http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coding/ICD9ProviderDiagnosisCodes/codes.html) (accessed April 22, 2015).

**Table 1: Characteristics of Solid Organ Transplant Patients.**

	<b>MGUS (+)</b> <b>N=72</b>	<b>MGUS (-)</b> <b>N=21,990</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>Age, years</b>			
<b>Median (IQR)</b>	61.5 (52 – 66.5)	51 (37 – 60)	
<b>Mean (std dev)</b>	58.5 (11.6)	47.1 (17.3)	<0.001
<b>Sex</b>			0.549
<b>Male</b>	41 (56.9%)	13,390 (60.9%)	
<b>Female</b>	30 (41.7%)	8,493 (38.6%)	
<b>Race</b>			0.009
<b>Black/Other</b>	14 (19.4%)	5,265 (23.9%)	
<b>Hispanic</b>	13 (18.1%)	6,480 (29.5%)	
<b>White</b>	39 (54.2%)	9,535 (43.4%)	
<b>Insurance</b>			0.495
<b>Private</b>	31 (43.1%)	8,241 (37.5%)	
<b>Public</b>	40 (55.6%)	13,089 (59.5%)	
<b>Comorbidities</b>			<0.001
<b>None</b>	15 (20.8%)	2,960 (13.5%)	
<b>1 to 2</b>	19 (26.4%)	9,899 (45.0%)	
<b>3 to 4</b>	20 (27.7%)	6,377 (29.0%)	
<b>Five or more</b>	18 (25.0%)	2,754 (12.5%)	
<b>Transplant type*</b>			0.228
<b>Kidney</b>	45 (61.6%)	14,031 (63.8%)	
<b>Liver</b>	13 (17.8%)	5,064 (23.0%)	

<b>Lung</b>	≤10*	1,275 (5.8%)
<b>Heart/lung</b>	≤10*	64 (0.3%)
<b>Heart</b>	13 (17.8%)	1,866 (8.5%)

Of the 22,062 solid organ transplant patients identified in the database, 108 patients had missing sex data and 716 patients had missing race data. \*Frequencies ≤10 are reported as such per the data use agreement.

**Table 2: Outcomes in Solid Organ Transplant Patients**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>MGUS (+) N=72</b>	<b>MGUS (-) N=21,990</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>PTLD</b>	0	161 (0.7%)	0.999
<b>PCM</b>	≤10*	37 (0.2%)	<0.001
<b>Lymphoma</b>	0	193(0.9%)	0.999
<b>VTE</b>	20 (27.7%)	3,202 (14.6%)	0.004
<b>Pulmonary embolism</b>	≤10*	624 (2.8%)	0.017
<b>Venous embolism/thrombosis</b>	16 (22.2%)	2,920 (13.3%)	0.035
<b>SRE</b>	18 (25%)	2,320 (10.5%)	<0.001
<b>Infection</b>	50 (69.4%)	11,612 (52.8%)	0.006
<b>Bacteremia</b>	15 (20.8%)	2,029 (9.2%)	0.003
<b>Viremia</b>	≤10*	335 (1.5%)	0.999
<b>Urinary tract infection</b>	36 (50%)	7,076 (32.2%)	0.002
<b><i>C. difficile</i>-associated diarrhea</b>	15 (20.8%)	1,942 (8.8%)	0.001
<b>Endocarditis</b>	0	356 (1.6%)	0.634
<b>Pneumonia</b>	30 (41.7%)	6,159 (28.1%)	0.012

<b>Influenza</b>	0	516 (2.4%)	0.419
<b>Osteomyelitis</b>	≤10*	650 (2.9%)	0.999
<b>In-hospital death</b>	29 (40.3%)	4,449 (20.2%)	<0.001

\*Frequencies ≤10 are reported as such per the data use agreement.

**Table 3: Outcomes in Solid Organ Transplant Patients**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Unadjusted Risk Ratio (95% CI)</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Propensity score adjusted Risk Ratio (95% CI)</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>PCM</b>	31.11 (12.62, 76.71)	<0.001	19.46 (7.05, 53.73)	<0.001
<b>VTE</b>	1.80 (1.24, 2.60)	0.002	1.66 (1.15, 2.41)	0.007
<b>SRE</b>	2.23 (1.50, 3.33)	<0.001	1.56 (1.03, 2.37)	0.036
<b>Infection</b>	1.24 (1.06, 1.44)	0.006	1.24 (1.06, 1.45)	0.007
<b>In-hospital death</b>	1.88 (1.42, 2.49)	<0.001	1.58 (1.18, 2.11)	0.002

R

risk ratio (RR) represents the increased risk of each outcome among those with MGUS compared to those without MGUS among solid organ transplant patients. Risk ratio for PTLD and Lymphoma were incalculable due to zero instances in the MGUS(+) group. The risk ratio and 95% confidence interval was obtained from modified Poisson regression models.

**Table 4: Outcomes in MGUS Patients**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Transplant Recipients N=72</b>	<b>Non-transplant Patients N=12,060</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>PTLD</b>	0	n/a	n/a
<b>PCM</b>	≤10*	1,631 (13.5%)	0.055



<b>Lymphoma</b>	0	591(4.9%)	0.051
<b>VTE</b>	20 (27.7%)	1,257 (10.4%)	<0.001
<b>Pulmonary embolism</b>	≤10*	444 (3.7%)	0.050
<b>Venous embolism/thrombosis</b>	16 (22.2%)	1,035 (8.6%)	<0.001
<b>SRE</b>	18 (25%)	3,530 (29.2%)	0.516
<b>Infection</b>	50 (69.4%)	6,806 (56.4%)	0.031
<b>Bacteremia</b>	15 (20.8%)	534 (4.4%)	<0.001
<b>Viremia</b>	≤10*	≤10*	0.052
<b>Urinary tract infection</b>	36 (50%)	4,347 (36.0%)	0.019
<i>Clostridium difficile</i>	15 (20.8%)	852 (7.1%)	<0.001
<b>Endocarditis</b>	0	204(1.7%)	0.636
<b>Pneumonia</b>	30 (41.7%)	4,184 (34.7%)	0.217
<b>Influenza</b>	0	99 (0.8%)	0.999
<b>Osteomyelitis</b>	≤10*	383 (3.2%)	0.999
<b>In-hospital death</b>	29	3,942	0.207

\*Frequencies ≤10 are reported as such per the data use agreement.

**Table 5: Risk of outcomes among MGUS patients by transplant status**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Unadjusted Risk Ratio (95% CI)</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Propensity score adjusted Risk Ratio (95% CI)</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>PCM</b>	0.41 (0.16, 1.06)	0.067	0.34 (0.13, 0.88)	0.027
<b>VTE</b>	2.66 (1.83, 3.88)	<0.001	2.33 (1.58, 3.44)	<0.001
<b>SRE</b>	0.85 (0.57, 1.28)	0.441	1.33 (0.87, 2.03)	0.195
<b>Infection</b>	1.23 (1.05, 1.44)	0.008	1.44 (1.23, 1.70)	<0.001
<b>In-hospital death</b>	1.23 (0.93, 1.63)	0.147	1.47 (1.09, 1.98)	0.011

Risk ratio (RR) represents the increased risk of each outcome among those patients with MGUS comparing those with versus without solid organ transplant. Risk ratio for PTLD and Lymphoma were incalculable due to zero instances in the MGUS(+) group. The risk ratio and 95% confidence interval was obtained from modified Poisson regression models.

### Supplemental Table: ICD-9 Diagnosis and Procedure Codes

ICD-9 Diagnosis and Procedure Codes	
Diagnosis	Code
Monoclonal paraproteinemia (MGUS)	273.1
Multiple myeloma (or PCM)	203
PTLD	238.77
Lymphoma	200, 201, 202
Venous thromboembolism (VTE)	
Pulmonary embolism	415.1, 416.2
Venous embolism and thrombosis	453
Skeletal related events (SRE)	
Osteoporosis, pathologic fracture	733.0-733.1
Infections	
Viremia	790.8
Bacteremia	790.7
Endocarditis	421, 424.9
Urinary tract infection	599.0
<i>Clostridium difficile</i>	008.45
Pneumonia	480-486
Influenza	487-488
Osteomyelitis	730
Solid organ transplant	
Kidney	55.6

<b>Liver</b>	50.5
<b>Lung</b>	33.5
<b>Heart/lung</b>	33.6
<b>Heart</b>	37.51
<b>Pancreas</b>	52.8

Author Manuscript