- Heal, O. W. 1979. Decomposition and nutrient release in even-aged plantations. Pages 257–291 *in* E. D. Ford, D. Malcolm, and J. Atterson, editors. The Ecology of evenaged plantations. Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, Cambridge, England.
- Hendrickson, O. Q., L. Chatarpaul, and J. B. Robinson. 1985. Effects of two methods of timber harvest on microbial processes in forest soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 49:739-746.
- Huhta, V. 1976. Effects of clear-cutting on numbers, biomass and community respiration of soil invertebrates. Annales Zoologici Fennici 13:63-80.
- Huhta, V., E. Karppinen, M. Nusminen, and A. Valpas. 1967. Effect of silvicultural practices upon arthropod, annelid and nematode populations in coniferous forest soil. Annales Zoologici Fennici 4:87-145.
- Kaushik, N. K., and H. B. N. Hynes. 1971. The role of dead leaves that fall into streams. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 68: 465-515.
- Lautenschlager, K. P. 1976. Consumption of autumn-shed leaves by *Gammarus* and decomposition of leaf-derived fecal pellets. Thesis. University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada.
- Lutz, H. J., and R. F. Chandler. 1946. Forest soils. John Wiley and Sons, New York, New York, USA.
- Luxton, M. 1982. General ecological influence of the soil fauna on decomposition and nutrient circulation. Oikos 39: 355-357.

- Marks, P. L., and F. H. Bormann. 1972. Revegetation following forest cutting: mechanism for return to steady-state nutrient cycling. Science 176:914–915.
- Merriam, G., J. Wegner, and D. Caldwell. 1983. Invertebrate activity under snow in deciduous woods. Holarctic Ecology 6:89-94.
- Ovington, J. D. 1968. Some factors affecting nutrient distribution within ecosystems. Pages 95-105 in F. E. Eckardt, editor. Functioning of terrestrial ecosystems at the primary production level. UNESCO, Liege, Belgium.
- Wallwork, J. A. 1970. Ecology of soil animals. McGraw-Hill, London, England.
- Witkamp, M. 1971. Soils as components of ecosystems. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 2:85–110.
- Witkamp, M., and B. S. Ausmus. 1976. Processes in decomposition and nutrient transfer in forest systems. Pages 375-396 in J. M. Anderson and A. Macfadyen, editors. The role of terrestrial and aquatic organisms in decomposition processes. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, England.

¹ Manuscript received 21 August 1985; revised 1 July 1986; accepted 8 July 1986. ² Petawawa National Forestry Institute, Chalk River,

Ontario K0J 1J0, Canada. ³ Department of Land Resource Sciences, University of Guelph,

Guelph, Ontario NIG 2W1, Canada.

Ecology, 68(1), 1987, pp. 223-225 © 1987 by the Ecological Society of America

SEQUENCE OF FRUIT RIPENING IN BIRD-DISPERSED PLANTS: CONSISTENCY AMONG YEARS¹

David L. Gorchov²

In recent years there has been increased interest in the ecology and evolution of plant phenologies (Rathcke and Lacey 1985). Long-term records are needed to address some recently posed problems, but few long-term records are available. Most of these are limited to flowering records. Here I introduce biologists to a valuable but neglected source of phenological data, and use some of these data to test for consistency in the ripening sequence of plant species that potentially compete for avian seed dispersal agents.

Studies of the possible coevolution of interacting species require a knowledge of the consistency of their interactions over time (Rathcke and Lacey 1985). For example, the potential for competition (or facilitation, sensu Rathcke 1983) between two species of plants for the dispersal services of birds depends partly on whether the plant species ripen their fruits at the same time. Fruit-ripening times of a species at a single location are known to vary from year to year, but most studies of the ripening phenologies of bird-dispersed plants have been limited to a single year (see Thompson and Willson 1979, Baird 1980, and Stapanian 1982, for eastern North America). Plants that fruit coincidentally one year may fruit at different times in other years. Thus any competition for dispersers would occur irregularly and would be unlikely to result in coevolution. Of the few studies that document ripening phenologies over >1 yr, only Sherburne (1972) and Skeate (1985) (both 2-yr studies) were done in temperate North America.

From 1883 to 1912 phenological and meteorological records were recorded by Thomas Mikesell in Wauseon, Ohio (41°36' N, 84°07' W) (Smith 1915). The meteorological records include daily precipitation and minimum, maximum, and mean temperatures. The phenological observations include dates of flowering of 114 herb species, dates of leafing, flowering, and fruiting of 48 forest species and 16 edible fruit-bearing species, and dates of planting, flowering, and fruiting of 20 field and garden crops. A single date is listed for each phenological event, and I assume this marks the first date the condition was observed, rather than a date of peak activity. The completeness of these data vary among the species; for the forest species they are

TABLE 1. Variation in "fruit ripe" date (presumably the first date ripe fruit were observed) for 15 species of bird-dispersed plants in Wauseon, Ohio. Data from Smith (1915). Nomenclature follows Smith (1915) except where noted.

	Date fruit ripe*			
Species†	Ā	SD (days)	Range	
Gaylussacia baccata	21 Jul	5	15-28 Jul	
Vaccinium vacillans	21 Jul	5	16–28 Jul	
Sambucus canadensis	18 Aug	9	2 Aug-1 Sep	
Cornus amomum	26 Aug	10	15 Aug-10 Sep	
Sassafras albidum‡	4 Sep	13	20 Aug-25 Sep	
Celastrus scandens	6 Sep	15	15 Aug-25 Sep	
Parthenocissus quin-	10 5	17	25 Aug 20 Eag	
quefolia	10 Sep	13	25 Aug-30 Sep	
Rhus typhina§ Toxicodendron radi-	14 Sep	14	25 Aug-8 Oct	
cansli	14 Sep	12	30 Aug-5 Oct	
Menispermum cana-	•		U U	
dense	18 Sep	15	20 Aug-5 Oct	
Rosa virginiana	19 Sep	14	1 Sep-2 Oct	
Crataegus intricata#	22 Sep	6	11-28 Sep	
Euonymus atropurpu-	26.0	20	28 4	
reus	26 Sep	20	28 Aug-20 Oct	
Cornus florida	28 Sep	12	12 Sep-15 Oct	
Viburnum prunifolium	29 Sep	9	15 Sep-12 Oct	
Rosa carolina	7 Oct	14	5 Sep-20 Oct	

* For the eight years 1886-1892, 1894 except for *G. baccata* and *V. vacillans*; for these spp. data are for five years: 1887, 1889-1892.

† Names used by Smith (1915): ‡ *S. variifolium* (Salisb.) Kuntze; § *R. hirta* (L.) Sudw.; ¶ Poison Ivy (no Latin name); # *C. coccinea* L.; || Flowering Dogwood (no Latin name).

most complete for 1884–1899. No information is provided on the specific criteria used to score each phenological event, the number of individuals observed for each species, the spatial distribution of the plants, nor the frequency of observations. However, there is no reason to believe that these methods varied among years or that the data are unreliable for any reason. Smith (1915) stresses that Mikesell was "very accurate in all his records and observations."

Of the 48 forest species observed by Mikesell, 16 are bird-dispersed (Baird 1980, Stiles 1980, and congeners to species listed by Stiles 1980) and have both "in blossom" and "fruit ripe" dates listed for at least 5 yr. These 16 species have among-year ranges of fruit ripening dates that vary from 12 d for *Vaccinium vacillans* (sD = 5 d) to 53 d for *Euonymus atropurpureus* (sD =20 d) (Table 1).

Despite the variability in ripening dates of each species, the ripening order of the 16 species was similar over the 5 yr for which data are available for all species (1887, 1889–1892) (Kendall's coefficient of concordance, W = 0.79, P < .001). For 14 of these species the data are complete for an additional 3 yr (1886, 1888, 1894); when the analysis is extended to cover all 8 yr this concordance is maintained (W = 0.61, P < .001). The high degree of consistency in ripening order

from year to year indicates that the same species tend to overlap in fruiting each year. Conclusions about overlap would be stronger if the duration of fruit ripening and persistence were known.

For species that are also associated spatially, this temporal consistency in ripening sequence suggests that any interspecific competition or facilitation for seed dispersers (Snow 1965, Herrera 1981, Denslow and Moermond 1982, Wheelwright 1985) would tend to occur among the same set of bird-dispersed species each year. These interactions are likely to be strongest when fruiting peaks overlap; unfortunately, the dates of peak fruiting are not provided in Smith (1915). My assumption that the "fruit ripe" dates refer to the onset and not the peak of fruiting is supported by the similarity of the listed fruiting dates with the dates ripe fruit are first observed in southeastern Michigan for G. baccata, S. canadensis, C. amomum, S. albidum, C. florida, and R. carolina (D. L. Gorchov, personal observation) and in eastern Kansas for S. canadensis, P. auinquefolia, and M. canadense (Stapanian 1982).

If interactions between fruiting species are consistent from year to year, as suggested by this analysis, then natural selection can act directionally on plant traits (e.g., size and ripening synchrony of the fruit crop; fruit color, size, nutritional composition) that improve competitive ability, avoid competition (e.g., adapt to a different disperser), or enhance facilitation.

The consistency in ripening sequence demonstrated here may be due to consistency in flowering sequence.

TABLE 2. Correlations of "flower" date and "fruit ripe" date for 15 bird-dispersed plants in Wauseon, Ohio. Dates are presumed to be the first that open flowers and ripe fruits were observed. Data from Smith (1915).

Species	No. years of data	Mean interval between flower and ripe fruit (d)	Correla- tion (r)
Sambucus canadensis	14	62	0.72**
Gaylussacia baccata	13	65	0.69**
Vaccinium vacillans	12	66	0.73**
Cornus amomum	12	76	0.38
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	14	79	0.25
Rhus typhina	11	81	0.55
Toxicodendron radicans	15	90	0.05
Rosa carolina	12	90	0.33
Celastrus scandens	12	92	-0.13
Rosa virginiana	13	99	0.25
Menispermum canadense	12	99	0.44
Euonymus atropurpureus	12	100	0.02
Sassafras albidum	10	115	0.47
Viburnum prunifolium	13	129	0.16
Crataegus intricata	14	130	0.01
Cornus florida	8	138	0.14

** P < .01; other correlations not significant (P > .05).

For the 16 species studied, flowering sequence was more concordant among years than fruit ripening sequence (W = 0.88, 5 yr, P < .001; for 14 species, 8 yr, W = 0.88, P < .001). Reliance of these species on correlated cues or requirements (e.g., heat sum) for flowering might account proximally for this high degree of concordance. The ultimate cause of this concordance might involve competition for pollinators. All 16 species are entomophilous, and observations of 8 of these in Illinois reveal that they are visited by overlapping sets of insects (Robertson 1928).

Although among these species flowering sequence is more consistent than fruiting sequence, within species year-to-year variation in fruiting date is not attributable to variation in flowering date for 13 of these species (dates of the onset of flowering and fruiting are not correlated among years, Table 2). Only for the three species with the shortest flower-fruit intervals are flowering and fruiting dates correlated. (Because the dates used in these analyses apparently represent the earliest flowering and fruiting events for each species, these correlations do not necessarily apply to the phenological peaks of a population or to individual plants.)

The species for which flowering and fruiting are not correlated among years show a great deal of year-toyear variation in flower-fruit interval; this variation may be due to variation in environmental conditions such as temperature (Fisher 1962). Other investigators wishing to pursue this last point or other phenological analyses will find a wealth of data available in Smith (1915).

Acknowledgments: Judie Bronstein, Beverly Rathcke, and two anonymous reviewers provided valuable criticism to an earlier draft of this manuscript.

Literature Cited

Baird, J. W. 1980. The selection and use of fruit by birds in an Eastern forest. Wilson Bulletin **92**:63-73.

Denslow, J. S., and T. C. Moermond. 1982. The effect of accessibility on rates of fruit removal from tropical shrubs: an experimental study. Oecologia (Berlin) 54:170–176.

- Fisher, D. V. 1962. Heat units and number of days required to mature some pome and stone fruits in various areas of North America. Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science 80:114-124.
- Herrera, C. M. 1981. Fruit variation and competition for dispersers in natural populations of *Smilax aspera*. Oikos 36:51-58.
- Rathcke, B. 1983. Competition and facilitation among plants for pollinators. Pages 305-329 in L. Real, editor. Pollination biology, Academic Press, New York, New York, USA.
- Rathcke, B., and E. P. Lacey. 1985. Phenological patterns of terrestrial plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 16:179-214.
- Robertson, C. 1928. Flowers and insects. Science Press. Lancaster, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Sherburne, J. A. 1972. Effects of seasonal changes in the abundance and chemistry of the fleshy fruits of northeastern woody shrubs on patterns of exploitation by frugivorous birds. Dissertation. Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA.
- Skeate, S. T. 1985. Mutualistic interactions between birds and fruits in a northern Florida hammock community. Dissertation. University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.
- Smith, J. W. 1915. Phenological dates and meteorological data recorded by Thomas Mikesell at Wauseon, Ohio. Compiled and edited by J. Warren Smith. Monthly Weather Review Supplement Number 2. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Snow, D. W. 1965. A possible selective factor in the evolution of fruiting seasons in tropical forests. Oikos 15:274– 281.
- Stapanian, M. A. 1982. Evolution of fruiting strategies among fleshy-fruited plant species of eastern Kansas. Ecology 63: 1422-1431.
- Stiles, E. W. 1980. Patterns of fruit presentation and seed dispersal in bird-disseminated woody plants in the eastern deciduous forest. American Naturalist 116:670-686.
- Thompson, J. N., and M. F. Willson. 1979. Evolution of temperate fruit/bird interactions: phenological strategies. Evolution 33:973-982.
- Wheelwright, N. T. 1985. Competition for dispersers, and the timing of flowering and fruiting in a guild of tropical trees. Oikos **44**:465–477.

 ¹ Manuscript received 20 February 1986; revised 5 July 1986; accepted 7 July 1986.
² Department of Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1048 USA.