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#### Abstract

. This document contains the online suplementary material. Specifically the distribution metric for each model.
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Figure 1. Distribution of WingKp Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different mid-latitude station.


Figure 2. Distribution of WingKp Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different high-latitude station.


Figure 3. Distribution of 9_SWMF Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different mid-latitude station.


Figure 4. Distribution of 9_SWMF Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different high-latitude station.


Figure 5. Distribution of 9a_SWMF Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different mid-latitude station.


Figure 6. Distribution of 9a_SWMF Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different high-latitude station.


Figure 7. Distribution of 2_LFM-MIX Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different mid-latitude station.


Figure 8. Distribution of 2_LFM-MIX Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different high-latitude station.


Figure 9. Distribution of 4_OPENGGCM Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different mid-latitude station.


Figure 10. Distribution of 4_OPENGGCM Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different high-latitude station.


Figure 11. Distribution of 2_WEIGEL Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different mid-latitude station.


Figure 12. Distribution of 2_WEIGEL Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different high-latitude station.


Figure 13. Distribution of 6_WEIMER Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different mid-latitude station.


Figure 14. Distribution of 6_WEIMER Model predictions when $K=4$ (left column), $K=6$ (middle column), and $K=8$ (right column). Each row presents results for a different high-latitude station.
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