


PERSPECTIVES

Fibroblasts take the centre stage in human skeletal muscle regeneration

Christopher L. Mendias 

Departments of Orthopaedic Surgery and Molecular & Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Email: cmendias@umich.edu

Outside of fibres themselves, satellite cells have historically been the most studied cell population in skeletal muscle tissue. Originally identified by Alexander Mauro in 1961 (Mauro, 1961), satellite cells play a central role in skeletal muscle regeneration, and have been in the spotlight of skeletal muscle cell biology for over 50 years. Muscle fibres are multinucleated, but the nuclei within fibres are terminally withdrawn from the cell cycle. When skeletal muscle tissue is injured, the nuclei in damaged segments of fibres undergo apoptosis, and satellite cells are the muscle fibre progenitor cells that proliferate, differentiate and fuse to restore the nuclei lost during injury.

Skeletal muscle fibroblasts, which were originally described two decades before satellite cells (Landsteiner & Parker, 1940), have been known to play an important role in skeletal muscle extracellular matrix formation and modulation for some time. In 2011, two papers published from the laboratory of Gabrielle Kardon using mouse models and molecular genetics techniques provided an important contribution to our understanding of the role of fibroblasts in skeletal muscle regeneration (Mathew *et al.* 2011; Murphy *et al.* 2011). These papers reported that skeletal muscle fibroblasts express the transcription factor Tcf4 (also known as Tcf7L2), and that fibroblasts play an important role in directing the regenerative activity of satellite cells in response to a severe muscle injury. This work identified a useful and specific marker of fibroblasts in muscle tissue *in vivo*, and also provided the first evidence that fibroblasts have a role in muscle regeneration in addition to extracellular matrix production and remodelling.

Although the findings regarding Tcf4/Tcf7L2 in murine studies made

an important contribution to our understanding of the basic biology of fibroblasts and muscle regeneration, the barium chloride injury model used in this work is far more extensive and severe than the types of skeletal muscle injuries observed in humans. Further, given the potential therapeutic importance of manipulating the activity of fibroblasts in the treatment of muscle injuries and diseases, it was important to explore the interplay between fibroblasts and satellite cells in the context of human muscle regeneration. In the current edition of *The Journal of Physiology*, Abigail Mackey and colleagues used a physiologically relevant eccentric injury model in human subjects, and sampled vastus lateralis muscle tissue from percutaneous biopsies obtained from uninjured muscles, and at 2, 7 and 30 days after injury (Mackey *et al.* 2017). The authors used elegant quantitative immunohistochemistry techniques, and informative *in vitro* satellite cell and fibroblast co-culture experiments to rigorously study the contribution of fibroblasts to skeletal muscle regeneration in humans. They demonstrated that there was an approximately 2:1 ratio of fibroblasts to satellite cells in uninjured muscle, and that both cell types increased proportionally until 30 days, at which point the fibroblast to satellite cell ratio was nearly 3:1. Further, fibroblasts were shown to be in close proximity to regenerating muscle fibres.

To complement their *in vivo* work, Mackey and colleagues then performed a series of *in vitro* experiments to evaluate the effect of fibroblasts on myogenesis. Interestingly, they found that when satellite cells were cultured in the same well as fibroblasts, there was an increase in satellite cell differentiation and fusion. To further test whether this increase in myogenesis was dependent on physical contact between satellite cells and fibroblasts, the two populations of cells were cultured in distinct chambers separated by a medium-permeable membrane, and satellite cell proliferation, differentiation and fusion were again assessed. In this scenario, where medium was shared between satellite cells and fibroblasts, but no physical contact occurred, there was no stimulatory effect of fibroblasts on

satellite cell myogenesis. Coupled with the *in vivo* results, these findings suggest that fibroblasts promote the activity of satellite cells in the regenerating muscles of humans in a contact-dependent manner.

Although the study by Mackey and colleagues (2017) provided an important advancement in our understanding of human muscle regeneration, many questions on the fundamental molecular mechanisms behind the regulation of satellite cell activity by fibroblasts remain. There are numerous cell contact-dependent signalling pathways, in which the receptor and ligand are both membrane bound, including the Notch and Ephrin signalling pathways. These pathways are known regulators of myogenesis, making them appealing targets of further exploration. While much work remains, it is clear that fibroblasts play an important role in skeletal muscle regeneration, and may serve as therapeutic cellular targets in the treatment of muscle injuries and diseases.

References

- Landsteiner K & Parker RC (1940). Serological tests for homologous serum proteins in tissue cultures maintained on a foreign medium. *J Exp Med* **71**, 231–236.
- Mackey AL, Magnan M, Chazaud B & Kjaer M (2017). Human skeletal muscle fibroblasts stimulate *in vitro* myogenesis and *in vivo* muscle regeneration. *J Physiol* **595**, 5115–5127.
- Mathew SJ, Hansen JM, Merrell AJ, Murphy MM, Lawson JA, Hutcheson DA, Hansen MS, Angus-Hill M & Kardon G (2011). Connective tissue fibroblasts and Tcf4 regulate myogenesis. *Development* **138**, 371–384.
- Mauro A (1961). Satellite cell of skeletal muscle fibers. *J Biophys Biochem Cytol* **9**, 493–495.
- Murphy MM, Lawson JA, Mathew SJ, Hutcheson DA & Kardon G (2011). Satellite cells, connective tissue fibroblasts and their interactions are crucial for muscle regeneration. *Development* **138**, 3625–3637.

Additional information

Competing interests

None declared.