

# Comments on Cochrane Review on Direct-Acting Antivirals for Hepatitis C

Anna S. Lok,<sup>1</sup> Raymond T. Chung,<sup>2</sup> Hugo E. Vargas,<sup>3</sup> Arthur Y. Kim,<sup>4</sup> Susanna Naggie,<sup>5</sup> and William G. Powderly<sup>6</sup>

A recent Cochrane Review with the stated objective to assess the benefits and harms of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapy for people with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection raised alarms within the hepatology and infectious diseases communities because the authors concluded there was insufficient evidence to confirm or reject an effect of DAA therapy on HCV-related morbidity (cirrhosis, hepatic decompensation, or hepatocellular carcinoma) or all-cause mortality.<sup>(1)</sup> The authors also concluded that the clinical relevance of sustained virological response (SVR) obtained with DAAs is questionable as it is a nonvalidated surrogate outcome. The review further stated that all DAA trials and outcome results were at high risk of bias, so their results presumably overestimated benefit and underestimated harm. Indeed, the plain language summary stated that the lack of valid evidence and the possibility of potentially harming people with chronic hepatitis should be considered before treating people with hepatitis C with DAAs. Based on the findings of this review, the authors concluded that additional randomized clinical trials assessing the long-term clinical effects of DAAs are needed.

To understand how these conclusions were reached, it is important to recognize that only randomized clinical trials comparing DAAs versus no intervention or placebo were selected for review. A total of 138 trials met the strict selection criteria when the search ended in October 2016, of which 84 involved DAAs on the

market or under development, while others represented studies of either investigational drugs never approved or agents approved but no longer marketed.

The paucity of data supporting an effect of DAAs on HCV-related morbidity and all-cause mortality is not surprising because DAAs currently on the market in the United States had been approved for less than 4 years. Recognizing the natural history of HCV infection, during which clinical outcomes may take years to become apparent; that late relapse after achieving SVR is rare<sup>(2,3)</sup>; and the mounting evidence that SVR decreases HCV-related morbidity and mortality, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) accepted SVR as a valid surrogate and a practical endpoint for clinical trials of DAAs. Due to the rapid movement in the field of HCV therapeutics and the dramatic improvement in efficacy and safety of investigational DAAs, the inclusion of a control group receiving interferon (IFN)-based regimens would not be ethical. The FDA provided guidance to industry for acceptable efficacy analyses including the use of historical control response rates, recognizing the lack of equipoise by many providers and patients for non-DAA-containing study arms. Thus, most clinical trials on DAA therapy conducted in the last 5 years did not have an untreated control group, which excluded them from this review. Some trials did have control groups randomized to placebo, but these patients received active treatment with DAAs after the randomized phase of the trial was completed. Thus, the long-term outcome of DAA

*Abbreviations: DAA, direct acting antiviral; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFN, interferon; SVR, sustained virological response.*

*A.S.L. is current president of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), and W.G.P. is current president of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA). R.T.C., H.E.V., A.Y.K., and S.N. are cochairs of the AASLD-IDSA HCV Guidance Writing Group.*

*Received July 6, 2017; accepted July 7, 2017.*

*Copyright © 2017 by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.*

*View this article online at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com).*

*DOI 10.1002/hep.29366*

*Potential conflict of interest: Dr. Lok received grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Gilead. Dr. Chung received grants from Gilead, AbbVie, Janssen, Merck, and Bristol-Myers Squibb. Dr. Vargas received grants from AbbVie, Gilead, and Merck. Dr. Naggie received grants from Gilead, AbbVie, and Merck. Dr. Powderly consults and received grants from Merck. He consults for Gilead.*

therapy versus no treatment could not be compared. Given the high rates of SVR with DAA therapies, a study randomizing patients to a prolonged placebo arm would be unethical in chronic HCV infection.

A key issue raised in this review is whether SVR is a valid surrogate endpoint for clinical outcomes. In this regard, experience from earlier HCV therapies (based on IFN-containing regimens), for which long term follow-up data are now available, clearly demonstrates numerous health benefits including a decrease in liver inflammation as reflected by improved aminotransferase levels and a reduction in the rate of progression of liver fibrosis as reflected in paired liver biopsy studies.<sup>(4)</sup> Of 3,010 treatment-naïve HCV-infected patients with pre-treatment and posttreatment biopsies from four randomized trials of 10 different IFN-based regimens, 39%-73% of patients who achieved an SVR had improvement in liver fibrosis and necrosis in liver biopsies separated by a mean of 20 months.<sup>(4)</sup> Cirrhosis resolved in half of the cases. Portal hypertension, splenomegaly, and other clinical manifestations of advanced liver disease also improved. Among HCV-infected persons with advanced fibrosis, SVR is associated with a >70% reduction in the risk of HCC and a 90% reduction in the risk of liver-related mortality and liver transplantation.<sup>(5-7)</sup> It is precisely for these reasons that the FDA recommended SVR as the primary endpoint for all contemporary HCV trials. In the scientific community, SVR achieved with IFN-based therapies is a well-accepted virologic surrogate for clinical cure. Based on these data, there is every reason to expect that analogous clinical benefits will be observed in patients who achieved SVR using DAAs after a sufficient follow-up period.

While these long-term follow-up data are awaited, early experience with DAAs supports clear improvements in clinical outcomes that can be measured in the short term. Cure of HCV infection immediately

reduces symptoms and organ dysfunction from severe extrahepatic manifestations including cryoglobulinemic vasculitis, a complication affecting up to 10% of HCV-infected patients.<sup>(8,9)</sup> Historically, HCV-infected persons with non-Hodgkin lymphoma and other B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders achieved complete or partial remission in up to 75% of cases following successful IFN-based therapy for HCV infection.<sup>(10-13)</sup> Recent data show that DAA regimens produce similar remission rates in non-Hodgkin lymphoma and even higher rates of SVR.<sup>(14)</sup> Perhaps the most striking evidence of direct clinical improvement comes from data demonstrating the success of DAAs in patients with decompensated liver disease, for whom SVR was associated with improved Model for End-Stage Liver Disease scores and albumin levels in the majority of patients with Child B and C cirrhosis.<sup>(15,16)</sup> Indeed, success in this group in many cases obviates the need for liver transplantation, meaning that more donor organs could become available to other patients on the waitlist.<sup>(17)</sup> In those who still required liver transplantation, SVR prior to transplant prevents reinfection of the liver graft, allowing these patients to derive long-term benefit from this life-saving procedure.<sup>(15,18-20)</sup>

The review indicated that only 1 of 84 trials of DAAs on the market or in development assessed health-related quality of life, but many studies clearly demonstrated that DAA therapy improves patient-reported outcomes.<sup>(21-23)</sup> Thus, even without long-term follow-up to prove a survival benefit, there is a convincing body of literature supporting the clinical benefit of SVR offered by use of DAAs to reduce symptoms and disease complications.

We are therefore troubled by the implications of this review for the ongoing international efforts to halt the HCV epidemic and to give patients back their futures. In the face of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine reports that elimination of

#### ARTICLE INFORMATION:

From the <sup>1</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; <sup>2</sup>Liver Center and Gastroenterology Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; <sup>3</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ; <sup>4</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; <sup>5</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC; <sup>6</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO.

#### ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPRINT REQUESTS TO:

Anna S. Lok, M.D.  
1500 E. Medical Center Drive  
3912 Taubman Center, SPC 5362

Ann Arbor, MI 48109  
E-mail: aslok@umich.edu  
Tel: +1-734-936-7511

HCV is possible by 2030 with optimal implementation of high-efficacy therapy,<sup>(24,25)</sup> we believe that the Cochrane Review does a grave disservice to these efforts and to patients living with chronic HCV infection, a disease responsible for tens of thousands of deaths around the world each year. Furthermore, we believe that long-term trials with an untreated control arm would be unethical given our current state of knowledge, especially as persons viremic with HCV can continue to transmit this infection to other individuals. We therefore stand behind our associations' recommendations that all patients with HCV should be treated to prevent complications of this curable disease,<sup>(26)</sup> and we will continue to fight for the global elimination of this viral infection. In light of the evidence that we have cited, we urge the Cochrane Review authors and/or editors to retract or to revise their conclusions.

## REFERENCES

- Jakobsen JC, Nielsen EE, Feinberg J, Katakam KK, Fobian K, Hauser G, et al. Direct-acting antivirals for chronic hepatitis C. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;6:CD012143.
- Simmons B, Saleem J, Hill A, Riley RD, Cooke GS. Risk of late relapse or reinfection with hepatitis C virus after achieving a sustained virological response: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;62:683-694.
- Swain MG, Lai MY, Shiffman ML, Cooksley WG, Zeuzem S, Dieterich DT, et al. A sustained virologic response is durable in patients with chronic hepatitis C treated with peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin. *Gastroenterology* 2010;139:1593-1601.
- Poynard T, McHutchison J, Manns M, Trepo C, Lindsay K, Goodman Z, et al. Impact of pegylated interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin on liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2002;122:1303-1313.
- Morgan RL, Baack B, Smith BD, Yartel A, Pitasi M, Falck-Ytter Y. Eradication of hepatitis C virus infection and the development of hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;158:329-337.
- van der Meer AJ, Veldt BJ, Feld JJ, Wedemeyer H, Dufour JF, Lammert F, et al. Association between sustained virological response and all-cause mortality among patients with chronic hepatitis C and advanced hepatic fibrosis. *JAMA* 2012;308:2584-2593.
- Veldt BJ, Heathcote EJ, Wedemeyer H, Reichen J, Hofmann WP, Zeuzem S, et al. Sustained virologic response and clinical outcomes in patients with chronic hepatitis C and advanced fibrosis. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:677-684.
- Saadoun D, Pol S, Ferfar Y, Alric L, Hezode C, Si Ahmed SN, et al. Efficacy and safety of sofosbuvir plus daclatasvir for treatment of HCV-associated cryoglobulinemia vasculitis. *Gastroenterology* 2017;153:49-52.
- Sise ME, Bloom AK, Wisocky J, Lin MV, Gustafson JL, Lundquist AL, et al. Treatment of hepatitis C virus-associated mixed cryoglobulinemia with direct-acting antiviral agents. *HEPATOLOGY* 2016;63:408-417.
- Gisbert JP, Pajares JM. Systematic review and meta-analysis: is 1-week proton pump inhibitor-based triple therapy sufficient to heal peptic ulcer? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21:795-804.
- Hermine O, Lefrere F, Bronowicki JP, Mariette X, Jondeau K, Eclache-Saudreau V, et al. Regression of splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes after treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:89-94.
- Mazzaro C, Little D, Pozzato G. Regression of splenic lymphoma after treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:2168-2170.
- Takahashi K, Nishida N, Kawabata H, Haga H, Chiba T. Regression of Hodgkin lymphoma in response to antiviral therapy for hepatitis C virus infection. *Intern Med* 2012;51:2745-2747.
- Arcaïni L, Besson C, Frigeni M, Fontaine H, Goldaniga M, Casato M, et al. Interferon-free antiviral treatment in B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders associated with hepatitis C virus infection. *Blood* 2016;128:2527-2532.
- Charlton M, Everson GT, Flamm SL, Kumar P, Landis C, Brown RS Jr, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for treatment of HCV infection in patients with advanced liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015;149:649-659.
- Curry MP, O'Leary JG, Bzowej N, Muir AJ, Korenblat KM, Fenkel JM, et al. Sofosbuvir and velpatasvir for HCV in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:2618-2628.
- Belli LS, Berenguer M, Cortesi PA, Strazzabosco M, Rockenschaub SR, Martini S, et al. Delisting of liver transplant candidates with chronic hepatitis C after viral eradication: a European study. *J Hepatol* 2016;65:524-531.
- Yoshida EM, Kwo P, Agarwal K, Duvoux C, Durand F, Peck-Radosavljevic M, et al. Persistence of virologic response after liver transplant in hepatitis C patients treated with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir plus ribavirin pretransplant. *Ann Hepatol* 2017;16:375-381.
- Manns M, Samuel D, Gane EJ, Mutimer D, McCaughan G, Buti M, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir plus ribavirin in patients with genotype 1 or 4 hepatitis C virus infection and advanced liver disease: a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016;16:685-697.
- Curry MP, Fornis X, Chung RT, Terrault NA, Brown R Jr, Fenkel JM, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin prevent recurrence of HCV infection after liver transplantation: an open-label study. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:100-107.
- Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Feld J, Zeuzem S, Sulkowski M, Foster GR, et al. Sofosbuvir and velpatasvir combination improves patient-reported outcomes for patients with HCV infection, with or without compensated or decompensated cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;15:421-430.
- Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Charlton M, Curry MP, O'Leary JG, Brown RS, et al. Patient-reported outcomes with sofosbuvir and velpatasvir with or without ribavirin for hepatitis C virus-related decompensated cirrhosis: an exploratory analysis from the randomised, open-label ASTRAL-4 phase 3 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;1:122-132.
- Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Feld J, Zeuzem S, Jacobson I, Agarwal K, et al. Sofosbuvir/velpatasvir improves patient-reported outcomes in HCV patients: results from ASTRAL-1 placebo-controlled trial. *J Hepatol* 2016;65:33-39.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Eliminating the public health problem of hepatitis B and C in

- the United States: phase one report. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2016.
- 25) National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. A national strategy for the elimination of hepatitis B and C: phase two report. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2017.

- 26) American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Recommendations for testing, managing, and treating hepatitis C. [www.hcvguidelines.org](http://www.hcvguidelines.org). Accessed July 2, 2017.

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.