Poh Gangkai (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-5775-2006) Slavin James A. (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9206-724X) Jia Xianzhe (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8685-1484) Raines Jim M (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5956-9523) Imber Suzanne Mary (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7917-8087) Sun Wei-Jie (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5260-658X) Gershman Daniel J (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1304-4769) DiBraccio Gina A. (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2778-4998) Genestreti Kevin J (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-6890-2973) Smith Andy W (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7321-4331)

Coupling Between Mercury And Its Night-Side Magnetosphere: Cross-Tail Current

Sheet Asymmetry And Substorm Current Wedge Formation

Gangkai Poh¹, James A. Slavin¹, Xianzhe Jia¹, Jim M. Raines¹, Suzanne M. Imber², Wei-Jie

Sun^{3,4}, Daniel J. Gershman⁵, Gina A. DiBraccio^{6,7}, Kevin J. Genestreti⁸, Andy W. Smith⁹

1 Department of Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann

Arbor, MI 48109, USA,

2 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom,

3 Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China,

Author

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/2017JA024266

4 School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China,

5 Heliophysics Science Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA,

6 Solar System Exploration Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA,

7 Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, Maryland, USA,

8 Space Science and Engineering Division, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA,

9 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK,



Abstract:

We analyzed MESSENGER magnetic field and plasma measurements taken during 319 crossings of Mercury's cross-tail current sheet. We found that the measured B_Z in the current sheet is higher on the dawn-side than the dusk-side by a factor of ≈ 3 and the asymmetry decreases with downtail distance. This result is consistent with expectations based upon MHD stress balance. The magnetic fields threading the more stretched current sheet in the dusk-side have a higher plasma beta than those on the dawn-side, where they are less

stretched. This asymmetric behavior is confirmed by mean current sheet thickness being greatest on the dawn-side. We propose that heavy planetary ion (e.g. Na⁺) enhancements in the dusk-side current sheet provides the most likely explanation for the dawn-dusk current sheet asymmetries. We also report the direct measurement of Mercury's substorm current wedge (SCW) formation and estimate the total current due to pileup of magnetic flux to be \approx 11 kA. The conductance at the foot of the field-lines required to close the SCW current is found to be \approx 1.2 S, which is similar to earlier results derived from modelling of Mercury's Region 1 field-aligned currents. Hence, Mercury's regolith is sufficiently conductive for the current to flow radially, then across the surface of Mercury's highly conductive iron core. Mercury appears to be closely coupled to its night-side magnetosphere by mass loading of upward flowing heavy planetary ions, and electrodynamically by field-aligned currents that transfer momentum and energy to the night-side auroral oval crust and interior.

1. Introduction

One of the major discoveries of the Mariner 10 mission was that Mercury possesses a global magnetic field, with dipole moment \approx 100 times weaker than that of Earth's [*Alexeev et al.*, 2010; *Anderson et al.*, 2011]. The solar wind interaction with Mercury's largely dipolar

magnetic field result in the formation of a smaller but more dynamic magnetosphere that is structurally similar to Earth's. Magnetic reconnection opens magnetic flux at Mercury's dayside magnetopause [*Slavin et al.*, 2009; *DiBraccio et al.*, 2013], which is then transported anti-sunward into the tail lobes. The northern and southern tail lobes contain sunward and anti-sunward magnetic field, respectively; the central plasma sheet, which is a high plasma beta β (i.e., ratio of thermal pressure to magnetic pressure) region, divides the two lobes. Embedded within the plasma sheet is Mercury's cross-tail current sheet with the cross-tail current flowing from dawn to dusk of the magnetotail. As the cross-tail current thins to spatial scale of an ion inertial length, magnetic reconnection can occur.

In situ magnetic field and plasma measurements from MESSENGER have allowed largescale statistical studies to be conducted to investigate the properties and dynamics of Mercury's cross-tail current sheet. Poh et al. [2017] identified a total of 319 current sheet crossings over 4 years of MESSENGER data, and demonstrated that the time-averaged structure of Mercury's current sheet can be well-represented by a Harris current sheet model [Harris, 1962]. By fitting each current sheet crossing to the Harris current sheet model, they calculated an average current sheet thickness of $\approx 0.39 R_M$, with a cross-tail current density of $\approx 92 nA/m^2$. Note that studies of Earth's current sheet [e.g., Petrukovich et al., 2011] have demonstrated that embedded structures (i.e., current sheets with non-Harris-type profiles) are ubiquitous in the terrestrial current sheet. The question of whether Mercury's cross-tail current sheet is as highly structured on smaller spatial scales as Earth could not be answered until high-time resolution and multi-point measurements become available at Mercury.

Analysis of plasma measurements by *Poh et al.*, [2017] also suggest that contributions from heavy ions and proton temperature anisotropy is important in maintaining stress balance with Mercury's central plasma sheet. *Raines et al.*, [2013] and *Gershman et al.*, [2014] investigated the ion properties of Mercury's plasma sheet and found significantly higher Na⁺ density in the pre-midnight region of the plasma sheet. The dawn-dusk asymmetry of Na+ density in Mercury's plasma sheet are also observed in simulations by *Delcourt et al.*, [2013]. They demonstrated that heavy ions (e.g., Na⁺, O⁺ and Ca⁺) of planetary origin can undergo a Speiser-type motion and accelerated preferentially into Mercury's dusk-side plasma sheet. It appears that Mercury is coupled to its night-side magnetotail through mass transport of heavy planetary ions, which results in the observed dawn-dusk asymmetry. Such coupling has been observed at other planetary magnetosphere (e.g., transport of ionospheric oxygen ions between Earth's ionosphere and plasma sheet). To understand the effect of this mass coupling process at Mercury, it is important that we characterize and investigate other cross-tail asymmetries in Mercury's magnetotail.

Dawn-dusk asymmetry is a ubiquitous phenomenon in planetary magnetotails. The availability of *in situ* magnetic field and plasma measurements from heliophysics and planetary missions has allowed us to conduct large scale statistical studies to characterize the dawn-dusk asymmetries of magnetotail properties in terrestrial (See *Walsh et al.*, [2014] for a complete review of cross-tail asymmetries at Earth) and the outer planet magnetotails, such as Jupiter and Saturn [e.g., *Bunce et al.*, 2001; *Arridge et al.*, 2015a; *Smith et al.*, 2016], where the asymmetries are driven by internal plasma dynamics. Asymmetries in the properties of the plasma sheet have been most extensively studied at Earth due to the availability of multi-

point measurements. *Fairfield et al.*, [1981] first reported observation of asymmetry in the properties of Earth's plasma sheet using IMP 6, 7 and 8 data. Subsequently, *Slavin et al.*, [1985] reported ISEE 3 observation of higher B_Z (i.e., north-south component of magnetic field) by \approx 1 nT in the near Earth ($|X| < 100R_E$) dawn-side plasma sheet. More recent studies using Geotail [*Wang et al.*, 2004; *Vasko et al.*, 2015] have confirmed these asymmetries in B_Z and current sheet thickness in the terrestrial magnetotail. The magnitude of B_Z is an indicator of the magnetic field geometry of the current sheet. A stronger B_Z indicates a less stretched, thicker current sheet while a weaker B_Z indicates a more stretched, thinner current sheet. This asymmetry in current sheet thickness was also been observed by AMPTE/IRM [*Baumjohann et al.*, 1990] and, more recently, Cluster [*Artemyev et al.*, 2011; *Rong et al.*, 2011].

Since thin current sheets are closely associated with the onset of magnetic reconnection, a thinner dusk-side magnetotail current sheet suggests that reconnection may occur more frequently on the dusk than dawn-side current sheet. Recent studies on the location of magnetotail reconnection sites at Earth [*Nagai et al.*, 2013a; *Genestreti et al.*, 2014] show that reconnection sites tends to occur on the dusk-side of the current layer for the entire solar cycle. The occurrence of magnetic structures formed as a result of magnetotail reconnection, such as flux ropes, travelling compression regions (TCR) [*Imber et al.*, 2011] and dipolarization fronts [*Liu et al.*, 2013] are also found to have similar dawn-dusk asymmetries with higher occurrence rate on the dusk-side current sheet. Oxygen ions were also observed to have higher density on the dusk-side of Earth's plasma sheet, which coincides with region of higher reconnection rate. The effects of heavy ions (in particular O⁺ at Earth) have on magnetic reconnection is still an active area of research and debate within the terrestrial

magnetosphere community. A natural question would be: what effects do the dawn-dusk asymmetry of heavy planetary ions in Mercury's plasma sheet have on magnetic reconnection?

Magnetic reconnection is the dominant plasma process that transfer momentum and energy into Mercury's inner tail region by converting stored magnetic energy in the tail lobe into kinetic energy of the plasma in the plasma sheet. High reconnection rates at Mercury's magnetopause [Slavin and Holzer, 1979; Slavin et al., 2009; Dibraccio et al., 2013] increase the overall energy in Mercury's magnetotail by loading it with magnetic flux. Observations of dipolarization [Sundberg et al., 2012], substorms activity [Slavin et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2015] and plasmoids [DiBraccio et al., 2015a; Sun et al., 2016] supports the idea of rapid dissipation of magnetic energy in the tail through magnetic reconnection. Magnetotail reconnection sends newly-reconnected closed (i.e., connected to Mercury) and open (i.e., connected to solar wind) magnetic field lines planetward and tailward of the reconnection Xline, respectively. At Earth, these newly-reconnected closed field lines embedded in high speed bursty-bulk flows (BBFs) brake as they encounter the stronger magnetic fields and higher plasma pressures found in the inner magnetosphere [Baumjohann et al., 1999]. The aggregate effect of multiple BBFs braking and pressure gradient associated with the flow diversion generates perpendicular and field-aligned currents that flows opposite to the crosstail current (i.e., dusk to dawn) and upward (downward) on the dawn-side (dusk-side) of the braking region. The perpendicular and field-aligned currents closes through Earth's ionosphere, leading to the formation of the terrestrial substorm current wedge (SCW) and the onset of the auroral substorm [Hesse and Birn, 1991; Shiokawa et al., 1998; Baumjohann et *al.*, 1999]. Earlier observations of substorm activity [*Slavin et al.*, 2010; *Sun et al.*, 2016] indicates that Mercury is electrodynamically coupled to its magnetotail through field-aligned currents in the manner similar to Earth. However, to date, no direct measurement of Mercury's substorm current wedge formation has been made.

This paper aims to investigate mass, momentum and energy coupling between Mercury and its night-side magnetosphere by studying Mercury's cross-tail current sheet asymmetries and the formation of Mercury's substorm current wedge. The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we summarize the structure of Mercury's cross-tail current sheet and present the results of case studies of dynamics in Mercury's current sheet. In section 3, we present the results of statistical analyses performed to identify asymmetries of current sheet properties and report the first direct observation of Mercury's substorm current wedge. In section 4, we discuss possible explanations for the observed magnetotail asymmetries, the nature of Mercury's substorm current wedge and further implications of our results.

2 MESSENGER Instrumentation and Event Selection

In this study, we utilize the full-resolution magnetic field and plasma data from MESSENGER's Magnetometer (MAG) (20 vectors/s) [*Anderson et al.*, 2007] and Fast Imaging Plasma Spectrometer (FIPS) (1 energy scan per 10s) [*Andrews et al.*, 2007], respectively. The coordinate system used in our analysis is the aberrated Mercury solar magnetospheric (MSM') coordinate system. The MSM system is centered on Mercury's offset internal dipole with the *X*-axis oriented sunward along the Sun-Mercury line, the *Z*-axis

parallel to the planetary spin axis and positive in the northward direction, and the *Y*-axis completing the right-handed system. We rotate the MSM *X*- and *Y*-axes to account for solar wind aberration such that X'_{MSM} is opposite to the mean solar wind velocity vector; the rotation angle was calculated daily by assuming a radial solar wind with constant speed of 400 km/s.

In this work, we utilized the 319 current sheet crossing events identified in *Poh et al.* [2017] (hereafter referred as Poh17) to perform the statistical dawn-dusk asymmetry analysis. To characterize the properties of Mercury's current sheet, Poh17 fitted the magnetic field measurements of each current sheet crossing to the one-dimensional Harris current sheet [*Harris*, 1962] model using a reduced least-squares method. The relationship between B_X and Z'_{MSM} is given by:

(1)
$$B_{X}(Z'_{MSM}) = B_{0} tanh\left(\frac{Z'_{MSM}-z_{0}}{L}\right)$$

where B_0 is the asymptotic lobe field, z_0 is the north-south position of the current sheet (CS) center and *L* is the characteristic half-thickness of the CS. The corresponding equation for the cross-tail current density (J_Y) is given by:

(2)
$$J_{Y}(Z'_{MSM}) = \frac{B_{0}}{\mu_{0}L}sech^{2}\left(\frac{Z'_{MSM}-z_{0}}{L}\right)$$

 B_0 , z_0 and L are the three free parameters in the reduced least-squares fitting procedure of the Harris model to the $B_{X'}$ magnetic field measurements. We optimized the free parameters for each current sheet crossing fitting by minimizing the normalized value χ^2 given by:

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left(B_{X}^{i} - B_{X}^{Model} \right)^{2} (3)$$

Where N is the number of B_X measurement in each current sheet crossing and B_X^{Model} is given by equation (1). A normalized χ^2 value of 0.01 was set as the criterion for goodness of fit. Their results showed that $\approx 73\%$ of the 319 cross-tail current sheet crossings have $\chi^2 \leq$ 0.01, which suggests that the long-wavelength structure of Mercury's current sheet is well-represented by a Harris-type current sheet. The reader is referred to Poh17 for more details on the Harris current sheet fitting procedure.

MESSENGER's magnetic field observations in Mercury's cross-tail CS traversal on 28 August 2013 are shown in Figure 1a. The normalized χ^2 value of this reduced least-square fitting is ≈ 0.003 and the Harris current sheet fitting result (red) is shown in top panel of Figure 1a. The interval begins with MESSENGER in the northern tail lobe as shown by the blue bar, where $B_{X'} > 0$ and $|\mathbf{B}|$ is constant at ≈ 60 nT. The spacecraft then encounters the plasma sheet boundary layer (PSBL) at ~ 17:33 UT, where $|\mathbf{B}|$ decreases by ≈ 20 nT and fluctuates with amplitudes of $\approx \pm 10$ nT. MESSENGER enters the central plasma sheet at 17:35 UT before crossing the embedded current sheet (i.e., $B_{X'}$ reverses polarity) at ~ 17:37 UT and exits into the southern tail lobe ($B_{X'} < 0$). MESSENGER also observed shortwavelength decreases in $|\mathbf{B}|$ with corresponding increases in $B_{X'}$ (i.e., "re-encountering" of the current sheet in short timescale) when the spacecraft is deep in the southern tail lobe.

These magnetic field signatures are typical of a transient magnetotail process known as tail flapping, where the magnetotail moves in the north-south direction relative to the spacecraft. It is a common phenomenon observed in Earth's [*Volwerk et al.*, 2013] and other planetary magnetotail (e.g. Venus [*Rong et al.*, 2015], Mars [*DiBraccio et al.*, 2015b; 2017] and Mercury [*Poh et al.*, 2017]). Figure 1b shows MESSENGER's trajectory (red line) on 28 August 2013 in the equatorial (i.e., $X'_{MSM} - Y'_{MSM}$) and meridional (i.e., $X'_{MSM} - Z'_{MSM}$) plane. As a reference, the model bow shock (BS) and magnetopause (MP) [*Winslow et al.*, 2013] are shown as red and purple dotted lines, respectively. The model magnetic field lines, shown as grey solid lines, are calculated using the T96 magnetic field model for Earth [*Tsyganenko*, 1995] and scaled with a linear scaling factor of 8.

3 Analysis

3.1 Cross-tail Variation of $B_{Z'}$

From the current sheet crossings identified by Poh17, we examined the variation of the *z*-component of the central plasma sheet magnetic field (i.e., $B_{Z'}$) as a function of cross-tail distance (i.e., Y'_{MSM}). Figure 2 is derived by binning all measurements based on MESSENGER's orbital coverage. The X'_{MSM} component ranges from -1.4 to -2.6 R_M and has been divided into four intervals of 0.3 R_M while Y'_{MSM} ranges between -1.6 to 1.6 R_M and has been separated into eight intervals of 0.4 R_M . Note that the error bar for each point represents the standard error of data points in each bin and is magnified by 100 times in Figure 2 for clarity. Figure 2 shows two important magnetic field features of Mercury's current sheet:

1). A significant dawn-dusk asymmetry of varying degrees in B_Z is identified, where B_Z is higher at the dawn-side than dusk-side of the current sheet and the strength of the asymmetry decreases with downtail distances. Panel 1 of Figure 2 shows that the dawn-side B_Z (\approx 15 nT) is 3 times larger than dusk-side B_Z (\approx 5 nT) in the region closest to Mercury (i.e. $-1.4 < X'_{MSM}$ $(R_M) < -1.7$). As the downtail distance increases (Panel 2 and 3), the dawn-dusk difference in B_Z decreases (i.e. \approx 7.5 nT and 2.5 nT, respectively) with the dawn-side B_Z magnitude greater than the dusk-side. Panel 4 of Figure 2 shows no distinct dawn-dusk B_Z asymmetry feature in the region furthest from Mercury (i.e. $-2.3 < X'_{MSM}$ (R_M) < -2.6). The decrease in the degree of asymmetry is also evident from the decreasing negative slope of the least-square linear fit (black line) from 2.77 to 0.45. This type of dawn-dusk asymmetry has also been observed and studied at Earth [*Slavin et al.*, 1985; *Wang et al.*, 2004; *Vasko et al.*, 2015]; however, the asymmetry is stronger at Mercury as compared to Earth and explanation for this phenomenon will be discussed in Section 4.2.

2). Our analysis suggests the direct measurement of substorm current wedge formation in Mercury's magnetotail. Apart from the dawn-dusk asymmetry, Panel 1 shows a further increase in B_Z by ≈ 10 nT around the midnight meridian. We fitted the values of B_Z between $Y'_{MSM} = \pm 0.8 R_M$ to a cubic polynomial to obtain an observed dawn-dusk profile of the B_Z increase, as shown by the red line in Panel 1. We believe this increase in B_Z is due to the pileup of magnetic flux as the planetward-convecting magnetic field lines, from a reconnection X-line further downtail, brake into the stronger near-Mercury magnetic field. Such process is analogous to the formation of a SCW at Earth [*McPherron et al.*, 1973; *Kepko et al.*, 2015]. Consequently, we observe a weak decrease in B_Z (red line) by ≈ 5 nT,

due to enhanced reconnection occurring, near the midnight meridian in the downtail region of $-2.3 < X'_{MSM}$ (R_M) < -2.6 (Figure 2, Panel 4). Our results also demonstrate that the pileup region of magnetic flux is not centered on the midnight meridian; rather the peak increase in $B_{\rm Z}$ occurs at $Y'_{\rm MSM} \approx$ -0.2 $R_{\rm M}$ (i.e., shifted towards postmidnight current sheet). This indicates that more magnetic flux is being pileup dawn-side than dusk-side, suggesting dawn-dusk asymmetry in tail reconnection. To determine whether this asymmetric preference of flux pile-up is a real feature, we remove the magnetic field contributions in the $Y'_{\rm MSM}$ directions from both Mercury's planetary dipole field and the cross-tail current sheet by subtracting the B_Z values in Panel 1 with the asymmetric baseline values determined from the least-squares fitted line (black). As shown in Figure 3a, the remaining magnetic field perturbation δB_Z due to Mercury's substorm current wedge is plotted as a function of Y'_{MSM} . Our analysis shows a persistent dawn-dusk asymmetry in the amount of flux pile-up in the near-Mercury current sheet. The occurrence rate of dipolarization fronts identified by Sun et al., [2016] is plotted in Figure 3b. It shows a peak in occurrence of dipolarization fronts in the dawn-side current sheet, which coincides with our observed peak in magnetic flux pileup. Implications of this result will be discussed in Section 4.2.

3.2 Plasma Beta β

We also examined the dawn-dusk variation in the proton plasma beta β_{CS} across the current sheet. The current sheet β_{CS} can be calculated using the proton (H⁺) measurements from the FIPS instrument. However, the viewing geometry of the FIPS instrument during the

current sheet crossings does not allow the instrument to observe a full phase space distribution function. By assuming subsonic flow in the current sheet and integrating several H^+ measurements into one measurement of plasma parameters, we can calculate the average β_{CS} for each current sheet crossing [*Raines et al.*, 2013; *Gershman et al.*, 2014]. We can also estimate β_{CS} in the current sheet using the magnetic field measurements. In our estimation of $\beta_{\rm CS}$ in the current sheet, we assumed constant isotropic plasma pressure throughout the current sheet and ignore contributions from electrons and pressure anisotropy effects. Magnetotail studies at Earth (e.g., Artemyev et al., 2016), and Mercury (Poh et al., 2017) have shown that anisotropy effects are important in the stress balance of the magnetotail. Hot ions moving along magnetic field lines in non-gyrotropic orbits can result in anisotropic pressure with the off-diagonal term in the pressure tensor being zero (i.e., $p_{xz} \neq 0$) [e.g., Pritchett and Coroniti, 1992]. However, the ability to quantify the anisotropic pressure effect and electron pressure at Mercury is limited by the field-of-view of the plasma instrument's accommodation on MESSENGER. Therefore, we simply assume isotropic vertical pressure balance between the tail lobe magnetic pressure and the total pressure in the current sheet to derive the following equation [DiBraccio et al., 2013]:

$$\beta_{\rm CS} = \left(\frac{B_{\rm Lobe}}{B_{\rm CS}}\right)^2 - 1$$

(4)

where B_{Lobe} and B_{CS} are the mean magnetic field magnitude of the tail lobe and current sheet, respectively. The β_{CS} calculated using both methods outlined above are plotted as a function of the mean Y'_{MSM} position in Figure 4a. Both methods of calculating β_{CS} using FIPS (red) and

magnetic field (blue) measurements independently show good general agreement, hence lending confidence to the use of magnetic field-derived β_{CS} as proxy while plasma measurements are not available.

Figure 4a also shows dawn-dusk asymmetry in β_{CS} with the asymmetry being in an opposite sense as B_Z (i.e. β_{CS} is higher on the dusk-side current sheet than the dawn-side). Note that the asymmetry of β_{CS} calculated from MAG is more obvious than that calculated from FIPS. The β_{CS} values calculated from FIPS proton measurements in this study is lower than the true value of β_{CS} since we only use the proton plasma pressure and did not include plasma pressure contribution from the heavy ions (e.g., Na⁺). The asymmetry of heavy ions towards dusk-side of the current sheet, combined with their significant densities [*Gershman et al.*, 2013], will affect the true measure of plasma beta in Mercury's plasma sheet. From the magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) stress balance equation ($\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla P$), as the plasma pressure increases, the tailward pressure gradient force also increases, hence stretching the magnetic field lines. B_Z decreases as the magnetic field lines are stretched and the current sheet is thinned. Therefore, our results are consistent with that expected from the MHD stress balance and show the inverse relationship between B_Z and β_{CS} . Consequently, our statistical results on B_Z and β_{CS} are consistent with the current sheet being thinner on the dusk-side than dawn-side (i.e. dawn-dusk asymmetry similar to B_Z).

3.3 Current Sheet Thickness and Current Density

We also investigated the dawn-dusk asymmetry in the current sheet thickness *d* and current density $J_{\rm Y}$ using the Harris current sheet model fitting results determined by Poh17. Figure 4b shows the dawn-dusk distribution of the full current sheet thickness calculated from the Harris CS model fitting of the 234 selected events that satisfy the criteria of $\chi^2 \leq 0.01$. Our results also show a dawn-dusk asymmetry in the current sheet thickness with the dusk-side current sheet $\approx 10 - 30\%$ thinner than the dawn-side, thus supporting our earlier B_Z and $\beta_{\rm CS}$ asymmetry results. This is also consistent with earlier Earth's magnetotail studies, which found evidence of a thinner current sheet on the dusk-side of the terrestrial magnetotail [*Artemyev et al.*, 2011; *Rong et al.*, 2011]. Furthermore, our result shows that the current sheet thickness is $\approx 0.3 R_{\rm M}$ around the midnight region and grows to $\approx 0.7 R_{\rm M}$ and 0.5 $R_{\rm M}$ towards the dawn-side and dusk-side current sheet, respectively. This result is also consistent with Earth's magnetotail observations [*Fairfield*, 1979; *Slavin et al.*, 1985; *Vasko et al.*, 2015].

Since MESSENGER is not a multi-spacecraft mission, and it does not have high-time resolution plasma ion and electron distribution function measurements, we could not independently calculate the cross-tail current density in Mercury's magnetotail. However, the Harris CS modelling allows us to estimate the average current density J_Y as shown in Figure 4c. There is an opposite dawn-dusk asymmetry in J_Y as the thicker dusk-side current sheet has a higher average current density than the thinner dawn-side current sheet. The cross-tail current density result is consistent with our earlier results of B_Z and current sheet thickness since thinner current sheet produce higher current density for a given lobe field, as demonstrated in Equation 1.

4 Discussion

MESSENGER observations of 319 current sheet crossings were examined with statistical analysis and model fitting. Our results can be summarized as follows:

- I. A dawn-dusk asymmetry in B_Z , β_{CS} and current sheet thickness, d, is observed; B_Z , β_{CS} and d are lower, higher and thinner, respectively, on the dusk-side than dawn-side current sheet.
- II. An enhancement in B_Z in the nearest downtail region provides tentative evidence of Mercury's substorm current wedge.
- 4.1 Mercury's Asymmetric Cross-tail Current Sheet

Our statistical analysis shows that B_Z magnitude in the cross-tail current sheet sunward of the Near Mercury Neutral Line (NMNL) is higher on the dusk-side than dawn-side. Furthermore, the strength of the asymmetry decreases with increasing downtail distance. Plasma beta, β_{CS} , and the thickness of Mercury's current sheet are also observed to have an opposite and similar dawn-dusk asymmetries as B_Z , respectively. Consistent with MHD stress balance, higher plasma pressure (i.e., higher β_{CS}) stretches the current sheet magnetic field lines more, resulting in weaker B_Z and a thinner current sheet. A summary illustration of the asymmetries observed in this study is shown in Figure 5. Magnetotail asymmetries have also been observed and studied extensively at Earth [e.g. *Walsh et al.*, 2014]. With ISEE-3 and Geotail data, B_Z in Earth's magnetotail is observed to have higher field strength at dawn than dusk [*Slavin et al.*, 1985; *Wang et al.*, 2004] and the plasma sheet is observed to be thicker on the dawn-side than dusk-side [*Artemyev et al.*, 2011; *Rong et al.*, 2011]. The stark similarities in magnetotail asymmetries between Earth and Mercury beg the question of whether the cause of Mercury's magnetotail asymmetry is similar to that of Earth's.

At Earth, the ionosphere is a significant source of O^+ and the contribution of O^+ to the total plasma sheet was observed to maximize on the dusk-side of the tail [Peterson et al., 1981; Ohtani et al., 2011]. Mercury's plasma sheet was observed to consist of primarily H⁺ and Na⁺, with a similar premidnight-oriented asymmetry in the observed Na⁺ density [*Raines*] et al., 2013; Gershman et al., 2014]. Note: O⁺ is observed only as a minor species in Mercury's plasma sheet. Even though the observed density of Na⁺ in Mercury's plasma sheet is smaller than H⁺ by a factor of 10 [Gershman et al., 2014], the mass density of Na⁺ is comparable to the H⁺ because Na⁺ is 23 times heavier than H⁺. Combining our results with earlier observations, we propose an explanation for the observed asymmetries. Since the MESSENGER spacecraft spent most of its orbital time sunward of the statistical NMNL [Poh et al., 2017], the plasma convecting sunward from a NMNL further downtail would undergo gradient-curvature drift in addition to the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift imposed by the cross-tail electric field. The ions (i.e. H⁺, Na⁺ and O⁺) drift duskward (premidnight) into the inner current sheet to energies of 1-5 keV [Zurbuchen et al., 2011] while the electrons drift dawnward. Furthermore, *Delcourt et al.*, [2013] shows that cold Na⁺ and O⁺ originating from Mercury's cusp can be accelerated due to centrifugal drift and undergo non-adiabatic Speiser-type orbits moving preferentially into the dusk-side inner current sheet. This process is similar to the ionospheric cusp outflow of oxygen ions moving into the plasma sheet at Earth. The mass loading of energetic heavy ions from the NMNL and cusp increases the thermal plasma pressure dusk-side of the current sheet, resulting in stretching (weaker B_Z) and thinning of the dusk-side current sheet (bottom right panel of Figure 5).

Consequently, this leads to the question on the effects of mass loading on current sheet dynamics at Mercury's dusk-side inner-tail current sheet. Specifically, does the thinning of Mercury's dusk-side inner-tail current sheet due to higher amount of heavy ions make it unstable to magnetic reconnection planetward of the NMNL? At Earth, studies on reconnection-related processes (e.g. near-Earth reconnection signatures [*Eastwood et al.*, 2010; *Nagai et al.*, 2013]) and structures (e.g. plasmoids/travelling compression regions [*Slavin et al.*, 1985; *Imber et al.*, 2011] and dipolarization fronts [*Liu et al.*, 2013]) observed higher occurrence rates towards dusk, which strongly indicates that reconnection is more likely to occur duskward of the current sheet. The asymmetry of O⁺ presence in the terrestrial magnetotail leads us to question if there is any relationship between heavy ions and reconnection.

The effect of O^+ on reconnection in Earth's plasma sheet remains controversial within the scientific community. *Baker et al.*, [1982] first argued that the asymmetric distribution of O^+ in Earth's plasma sheet may increase the growth of tearing mode instability, resulting in higher reconnection rate toward dusk. On the other hand, *Shay and Swisdak* [2004] concluded from their three-fluid simulation that the presence of O^+ can slow the reconnection rate since O^+ , being a heavier ion, reduces the inflow Alfvén speed. Kinetic simulations have also

shown slower dipolarization fronts speed [*Liang et al.*, 2016] and reduced frequency of secondary islands in reconnection region [*Karimabadi et al.*, 2010] due to nonlinear effects. Recently, multi-fluid simulations by *Zhang et al.*, [2016] suggested that earthward travelling flux ropes are more likely to form in the presence of ionospheric O^+ as it can reduce the reconnection rate of X-lines closer to Earth, leading to the formation of the dominant X-line (i.e., NENL) further downtail.

Since Earth's and Mercury's magnetospheres have similar magnetic structure, higher occurrence rate of reconnection is expected at the stretched, duskward current sheet from the observed asymmetry of hot Na⁺ [*Raines et al.*, 2013; *Gershman et al.*, 2014] and the effect might be stronger at Mercury since Na⁺ is typically the dominant ion species. Higher occurrence rates of magnetic reconnection byproducts (e.g. flux ropes and dipolarization fronts) are also expected at the dusk-side current sheet. However, earlier studies by *DiBraccio et al.*, [2015a] observed no systematic asymmetry in the occurrence rate of plasmoids. More recently, *Sun et al.*, [2016] analyzed magnetic field data during MESSENGER orbital time period similar to earlier studies and observed a dawnward increase in the occurrence rate of plasmoids and reconnection fronts. *Lindsay et al.*, [2016] also reported more X-ray fluorescence events induced by precipitating energetic electrons from reconnection on the dawn-side of Mercury's current sheet [*Sun et al.*, 2016]. Furthermore, the idea of dawnward preference in magnetotail reconnection supports our observation of a dawnward asymmetric magnetic flux pileup region, which is caused by the

braking of dipolarized, planetward travelling flux tubes that had reconnected at an X-line further downtail.

Combined with earlier indirect observations of magnetic reconnection, our results demonstrated that the effects of heavy ions (primarily Na⁺) on magnetic reconnection at Mercury's magnetotail seem to be less controversial than at Earth. Higher amount of heavy ions in the dusk-side current sheet does not make it unstable to reconnection. In fact, heavy ions lower the reconnection rate at the dusk-side current sheet, which means that the dawnside current sheet has a higher occurrence of reconnection and reconnection-related dynamics than dusk-side. Despite having an opposite asymmetry pattern, the asymmetry in B_Z , current sheet thickness and β_{CS} is also consistent with the dawnward preference in reconnection. Higher occurrence of reconnection in the dawn-side current sheet sends dipolarized flux tubes towards dawn-side of the inner magnetotail. This process thickens the post-midnight (dawnside) current sheet in Mercury's inner-tail region while mass loading of H⁺ and heavy ions (e.g. Na⁺ and O⁺) continues to thin the pre-midnight (dusk-side) current sheet, as shown in Figure 5. The mechanism responsible for the asymmetries observed at Mercury appears to be very different from that of Earth and we think that the differential thickening and thinning of the post and pre-midnight current sheet could explain our observation of Mercury's asymmetry being stronger than Earth's. At Earth, the effects of earthward propagating dipolarized flux tubes or dipolarization events are removed when averaged over long time period. However, due to the small size of Mercury's magnetosphere, the effects of the positive B_Z (i.e., thickening of the current sheet) from the dipolarization events may be significant as suggested in this study.

4.2 Observation of Mercury's Substorm Current Wedge

An enhancement of B_Z around midnight in the -1.4 $R_M > X_{MSM} > -1.7 R_M$ downtail region (Panel 1 of Figure 2) is observed in our results, which strongly suggest the direct measurement of substorm current wedge formation at Mercury. Due to the lack of in-situ observations, substorm current wedge in other planetary magnetotails (Mercury's in particular) is not well-understood and has not been observed directly. However, the presence of a Hermean SCW has been observed in global hybrid simulations [e.g., *Janhunen and Kallio*, 2004]. Substorms and other substorm-related processes, such as loading and unloading of magnetic flux in the tail lobe, dipolarization [*Sundberg et al.*, 2012; *Sun et al.*, 2015; 2016] and plasmoid ejection [*Slavin et al.*, 2010; 2012; *DiBraccio et al.*, 2015a] have all been observed at Mercury in the MESSENGER data. These are indirect evidence of the presence of a SCW at Mercury.

Substorms at Earth had been extensively studied since its discovery by ground-based [*Akasofu*, 1964] and space-based [*McPherron et al.*, 1973; *Hesse and Birn* 1991; *Shiokawa et al.*, 1997] measurements. Many theories had been proposed to explain the substorm process at Earth but it is now widely accepted that the substorm growth phase begins when the dayside reconnection rate exceeds the nightside reconnection rate, resulting in the building up of magnetic flux in the tail lobes and stretching of magnetotail field lines. Substorm expansion phase is initiated when a sudden burst of reconnection (i.e. unloading of lobe magnetic flux) occurs in the plasma sheet and launches an Alfvénic flow burst, carrying

dipolarized flux tubes towards and away from Earth. It is the braking of the earthward Bursty Bulk Flows (BBFs) due to the tailward pressure gradient force and diversion of plasma flow as it approaches the strongly dipolar, high- β inner magnetotail that creates the substorm current wedge (See *Kepko et al.*, [2015] for complete review).

At Earth, BBFs are rarely observed inside of 9 R_E [*McPherron et al.*, 2011] and the probability of observing these high-speed flows exceeding 400km/s decreases sharply at $X_{GSM} \approx -13 R_E$ [e.g. *Shiokawa et al.*, 1997], which is consistent with the location of the SCW at $\approx 9 - 13 R_E$. Scaling of the terrestrial SCW location with a factor of 8 [*Ogilvie* et al., 1977], the location of Mercury's SCW is expected to be $X'_{MSM} \approx -1.1$ to $-1.7 R_M$. An earlier study on Mercury's substorm activity [*Sun et al.*, 2015] also reported observations of substorms growth and expansion phase within $X'_{MSM} > -1.7 R_M$. Since the magnetic flux pileup in our results are observed between $X'_{MSM} = -1.4 R_M$ and $-1.7 R_M$, our observation of the SCW in MESSENGER observation of Mercury's substorm activity.

Using a simple line current model, we can estimate the total SCW current I_{SCW} required to produce the observed dawn-dusk profile of the de-trended positive magnetic field perturbation δB_Z due to the SCW (red line in Figure 3a). Figure 6a-c shows the threedimensional, meridional and equatorial view of the model setup, respectively. In this model, the SCW is modelled as four infinitely-thin line current segments. The braking of the fast planetward plasma flow results in a dawnward current (Segment 1) perpendicular to the magnetic field in the equatorial region, which is opposite to the duskward cross-tail current. At the edge of the current wedge, the current is diverted and flows along the magnetic field towards Mercury. The dipole magnetic field is used to model the magnetic field lines in Segment 2 and 4. The lack of an ionosphere at Mercury means that the current closure mechanism is different from that at Earth. Through analysis and modelling of the MESSENGER magnetometer data, *Anderson et al.*, [2014] identified and modelled the Region 1 field-aligned currents (FACs) at Mercury. Their analysis revealed field aligned currents with intensities of tens of kilo-amperes flowing radially downward on the dawn-side, then along the surface of its highly conductive core and radially upward on the dusk-side of the auroral oval through Mercury's resistive regolith (i.e., Region 1 currents). This indicates the possibility of similar current closure mechanism for FAC associated with the SCW as shown in Segment 3 of Figure 6c.

Using the Biot-Savart Law, we calculated the magnetic field contributions at $X'_{MSM} = -1.55 R_M$ from the four segments of the model SCW as a function of Y'_{MSM} . Not shown here, the model includes magnetic field perturbations from both hemispheres of the substorm current wedge. We then fitted the model dawn-dusk profile of δB_Z to the observed profile, with I_{SCW} , relative distance between line current in Segment 1 and the point $X'_{MSM} = -1.55 R_M$, and width of the SCW as free parameters. We also shifted the peak of the model profile dawnward to obtain a better fit. Figure 7 shows the model (black) and the observed (red) dawn-dusk profile of δB_Z due to the SCW. We determined that a current of ≈ 11 kA in each hemisphere of the substorm current wedge is required to produce the observed dawn-dusk profile of δB_Z ; our estimated SCW current is Region 1 currents (

model substorm current wedge fits perfectly to the observed profile between the midnight meridian and $Y'_{MSM} = -0.5 R_M$, with the center of the current wedge at $Y'_{MSM} \approx 0.15 R_M$. Our fitting results further suggest that there is an obvious asymmetry in the agreement between model and observation and the reason for this asymmetry feature remains a question. The model deviates from the observations with increasing distance from the center of the SCW, which suggest the presence of additional current systems at the edge of the current wedge not accounted for in this simple line current model.

We calculate the electric potential Φ across the SCW from the equation $\Phi = V_X B_Z \Delta y$, where Δy is the length of the pileup region in the Y'_{MSM} direction, B_Z is the Z-component of the average magnetic field vector and V_X is the antisunward component (i.e., X'_{MSM}) of BBF flow velocity before braking. The view direction of FIPS when MESSENGER traverses the current sheet is in the north-south direction. Hence, FIPS cannot measure plasma velocity in the X-direction. Since the BBF are accelerated to local Alfvén speed at the outflow region of the reconnection X-line, we can assume $V_X \approx 465$ km/s, which is the average Alfvén velocity in the plasma sheet calculated measured in Mercury's plasma sheet by MESSENGER [*DiBraccio et al.*, 2015a]. Using the values of $\Delta y \approx 0.8 R_M$ and $B_Z \approx 10$ nT, we estimate the potential across the substorm current wedge to be ≈ 9 kV. We further calculate the electrical conductance of Mercury's surface necessary to close the current to be ≈ 1.2 S. Our estimated value of Mercury's surface conductance from the SCW agrees with the net electrical conductance of ≈ 1 S calculated from Mercury's Birkeland current [*Anderson et al.*, 2014]. This supports our idea of a similar current closure mechanism between Mercury's substorm current wedge and the field-aligned Region 1 currents. Despite the absence of an ionosphere,

it is very likely that the current in Mercury's substorm current wedge closed radially through resistive regolith, then on the surface of Mercury's highly conductive iron core (See Figure 4a in *Anderson et al.*, [2014]).

Another interesting result of our analysis is the higher magnetic flux in the post-midnight magnetic flux pileup region (see Figure 3a). As mentioned earlier, the pileup region (or SCW) is caused by the braking of dipolarized earthward travelling flux tubes that had reconnected further downtail [*Shiokawa et al.*, 1997]. Therefore, the higher magnetic flux in the post-midnight flux pileup region also suggests a dawnward preference in the occurrence of magnetic reconnection. This result has very important implications on the nature of magnetic reconnection in the inner-tail region of Mercury's magnetotail. For example, *Sun et al.*, [2016] analyzed 86 dipolarization fronts identified in Mercury's plasma sheet. They concluded that there is a dawnward preference in occurrence of reconnection fronts observed at Mercury (Figure 3b), which has similar asymmetry pattern as our B_Z results. This further provides support for our explanation of the observed asymmetries mentioned in earlier sections, where the difference in stretching (mass loading of ions) and thickening (dipolarized flux tube) of Mercury's current sheet may explain the asymmetries in B_Z and current sheet thickness.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we have shown how Mercury couples with its night-side magnetosphere through mass, momentum and energy transport in the magnetotail. We presented

MESSENGER observations of a dawn-dusk asymmetry in B_Z , β_{CS} and the thickness of Mercury's current sheet. They are consistent with MHD stress balance and similar to the magnetotail asymmetries observed at Earth. It is found that B_Z , β_{CS} and thickness are lower, higher and thinner, respectively, on the dusk-side than dawn-side current sheet. We propose that the asymmetry observed in our study is the result of mass loading of Na⁺ into the duskside current sheet from the NMNL and cusp. This mass loading leads to closed flux tubes stretching and a thinner dusk-side than dawn-side current sheet. Recent studies of reconnection-related phenomenon in Mercury's inner-tail region suggest that reconnection preferentially occur dawn-side of its current sheet [Sun et al., 2016; Dewey et al., 2016]. Our analysis shows that B_Z is higher in the dawn-side magnetic pileup region, which also supports higher reconnection occurrence in the dawn-side current sheet, but at larger downstream distances than directly sampled by MESSENGER. The thicker dawn-side current sheet found in our study at MESSENGER orbit requires a source of sunward closed magnetic flux, which is stronger on the dawn-side. Accidentally, our observed asymmetry in B_Z sunward of the NMNL is consistent with the higher occurrence of magnetic reconnection reported by Sun et al., [2016] and Dewey et al., [2016] on the basis of the occurrence of dipolarization fronts and flux ropes. These effects driven by reconnection further down the tail enhance the dawn-dusk asymmetry already present due to mass loading of Na⁺ in the dusk-side inner-tail region. Despite many similarities in Mercury's and Earth's magnetotail, it appears that the mechanisms for magnetotail asymmetry at Mercury are different from that at Earth due to differences in the internal plasma composition.

We also report the direct measurement of substorm current wedge formation in Mercury's inner magnetotail. Using a simple line current model, we estimated the total SCW current associated with the observed magnetic field perturbations to be ≈ 11 kA. We also calculated the potential across the substorm current wedge to be ≈ 9 kV and an integrated conductance of ≈ 1.2 S to close the current in the current wedge. Our inferred conductance value for the lumped crust – outer core current closure path is similar to that determined for the field-aligned Region 1 currents [*Anderson et al.*, 2014]. Therefore, our results are consistent with Mercury's regolith being electrically conductive enough to support the radial closure of the current wedge field-aligned currents, generated from braking and diversion of fast plasma flows, through the regolith. The current then closes across the surface of Mercury's highly conductive core near the latitude of the night-side auroral oval.

Several important questions on the nature of current sheet dawn-dusk asymmetry, magnetospheric substorm and magnetotail reconnection at Mercury surfaced from this study. Specifically, (1) Are there any dawn-dusk asymmetries in the location and properties of the NMNL? (2) Does the observed asymmetry in internal plasma composition of Mercury's current sheet have any effect on the large-scale reconnection dynamics (e.g., formation and evolution of flux ropes and BBFs) as proposed by terrestrial studies? (3) At what downtail distance does the high-speed plasma flow braking and fraction of the incident flow is diverted about the planet? Is the amount of braking and surface impact consistent with the substorm current wedge intensity determined here using magnetic field measurements?

Looking further into the future, analysis of magnetic field and plasma measurements from the upcoming European Space Agency's (ESA) Bepi-Colombo mission will take our

understanding of Mercury's magnetospheric dynamics to the next level. The reconnaissance carried out by MESSENGER mission has generated many more fundamental questions than it answered. The Bepi-Colombo mission, which consists of two orbiters (Mercury Planetary Orbiter and Mercury Magnetospheric Orbiter) with apogees of $X'_{MSM} \approx -1.6 R_M$ and $-5 R_M$, respectively, will allow simultaneous two-point observations within the magnetosphere and with one spacecraft in the solar wind at aphelion and the other close to Mercury, but in the magnetosphere. These advances in our observational data sets will lead to great improvements in our understanding of magnetospheric dynamics at Mercury.

Acknowledgements

All data analyzed in this paper are archived with the NASA Planetary Data System (https://pds.nasa.gov/). Support was provided by NASA Discovery Data Analysis Program grants NNX15K88G and NNX15AL01G, Heliophysics Supporting Research NNX15AJ68G, Living with a Star NNX16AJ67G and Solar System Workings Program grant NNX15AH28G to the University of Michigan. D.J.G and G.A.D were supported by NASA ROSES grant NNX16AJ05G. S.I.M acknowledges the support of the Leverhulme Trust. W.J.S is funded by National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents (BX201600158) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2016M600124).

References

- Akasofu S.-I., (1964) The development of the auroral substorm, *Planetary and Space Science, Volume 12, Issue 4*, Pages 273-282, ISSN 0032-0633, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(64)90151-5.
- Alexeev, I. I., et al. (2010), Mercury's magnetospheric magnetic field after the first two MESSENGER flybys, *Icarus*, 209, 23–39, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2010.01.024.
- Anderson, B. J., M. H. Acuña, D. A. Lohr, J. Scheifele, A. Raval, H. Korth, and J. A. Slavin (2007), The Magnetometer instrument on MESSENGER, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 131, 417–450, doi:10.1007/s11214-007-9246-7.
- Anderson, B. J., C. L. Johnson, H. Korth, M. E. Purucker, R. M. Winslow, J. A. Slavin, S. C. Solomon, R. L. McNutt Jr., J. M. Raines, and T. H. Zurbuchen (2011), The global magnetic field of Mercury from MESSENGER orbital observations, *Science*, 333, 1859–1862, doi:10.1126/science.1211001.
- Anderson, B. J., C. L. Johnson, H. Korth, J. A. Slavin, R. M. Winslow, R. J. Phillips, S. C. Solomon, and R. L. McNutt Jr. (2014), Steady-state field-aligned currents at Mercury, Geophy. Res. Lett., 41, 7444–7452, doi:10.1002/2014GL061677.
- Andrews, G. B., et al. (2007), The Energetic Particle and Plasma Spectrometer instrument on the MESSENGER spacecraft, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *131*, 523–556, doi:10.1007/s11214-007-9272-5.
- Arridge, C. S., M. Kane, N. Sergis, K. K. Khurana, and C. M. Jackman (2015a), Sources of local time asymmetries in magnetodiscs, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 187(1), 301–333, doi:10.1007/s11214-015-0145-z
- Artemyev, A. V., A. A. Petrukovich, R. Nakamura, and L. M. Zelenyi (2011), Cluster statistics of thin current sheets in the Earth magnetotail: Specifics of the dawn flank, proton temperature profiles and electrostatic effects, J. Geophys. Res., 116, A09233, doi:10.1029/2011JA016801.
- Baker, D. N., Hones, E. W., Young, D. T. and Birn, J. (1982), The possible role of ionospheric oxygen in the initiation and development of plasma sheet instabilities. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 9, 1337–1340,doi:10.1029/GL009i012p01337.
- Baumjohann, W., G. Paschmann, and H. Lühr (1990), Characteristics of high-speed ion flows in the plasma sheet, J. Geophys. Res., 95(A4), 3801–3809, doi:10.1029/JA095iA04p03801.

- Baumjohann, W., M. Hesse, S. Kokubun, T. Mukai, T. Nagai, and A. A. Petrukovich (1999), Substorm dipolarization and recovery, J. Geophys. Res., 104(A11), 24995–25000, doi:10.1029/1999JA900282.
- Bunce E.J., S.W.H. Cowley, (2001), Local time asymmetry of the equatorial current sheet in Jupiter's magnetosphere, *Planetary and Space Science, Volume 49, Issues 3–4*, Pages 261-274, ISSN 0032-0633, doi:10.1016/S0032-0633(00)00147-1.
- Delcourt, D. C. (2013), On the supply of heavy planetary material to the magnetotail of Mercury, *Ann. Geophys.*, *31*, 1673-1679, doi:10.5194/angeo-31-1673-2013.
- DiBraccio, G. A., J. A. Slavin, S. A. Boardsen, B. J. Anderson, H. Korth, T. H. Zurbuchen, J. M. Raines, D. N. Baker, R. L. McNutt Jr., and S. C. Solomon (2013), MESSENGER observations of magnetopause structure and dynamics at Mercury, *J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics*, 118, 997–1008, doi:10.1002/jgra.50123.
- DiBraccio, G. A., et al. (2015a), MESSENGER observations of flux ropes in Mercury's magnetotail, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 115, 77–89, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2014.12.016.
- DiBraccio, G. A., et al. (2015b), Magnetotail dynamics at Mars: Initial MAVEN observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 8828–8837, doi:10.1002/2015GL065248.
- DiBraccio, G. A., et al. (2017), MAVEN observations of tail current sheet flapping at Mars, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 122, doi:10.1002/2016JA023488.
- Eastwood, J. P., T. D. Phan, M. Øieroset, and M. A. Shay (2010), Average properties of the magnetic reconnection ion diffusion region in the Earth's magnetotail: The 2001–2005 Cluster observations and comparison with simulations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, A08215, doi:10.1029/2009JA014962.
- Fairfield, D. H. (1979), On the average configuraton of the geomagnetic tail, J. Geophys. Res., 84(A5), 1950–1958, doi:10.1029/JA084iA05p01950.
- Fairfield, D. H., R. P. Lepping, E. W. Hones Jr., S. J. Bame, J. R. Asbridge (1981) Simultaneous measurements of magnetotail dynamics by IMP spacecraft, J. Geophys. Res., 86, 1396–1414.
- Genestreti K.J., Fuselier S.A., Goldstein J., Nagai T., Eastwood J.P., (2014) The location and rate of occurrence of near-Earth magnetotail reconnection as observed by Cluster and

Geotail, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, Volume 121*, Part A, Pages 98-109, ISSN 1364-6826.

- Gershman, D. J., J. A. Slavin, J. M. Raines, T. H. Zurbuchen, B. J. Anderson, H. Korth, D. N. Baker, and S. C. Solomon (2014), Ion kinetic properties in Mercury's pre-midnight plasma sheet, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 5740–5747, doi:10.1002/2014GL060468.
- Harris, E. G. (1962), On a plasma sheath separating regions of oppositely directed magnetic field, *Nuovo Cimento*, 23, 115–121.
- Hesse, M., and J. Birn (1991), On dipolarization and its relation to the substorm current wedge, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *96*(*A11*), 19417–19426, doi:10.1029/91JA01953.
- Karimabadi, H., V. Roytershteyn, C. G. Mouikis, L. M. Kistler, and W. Daughton (2011), Flushing effect in reconnection: Effects of minority species of oxygen ions, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 59(7), 526–536.
- Kepko, L., McPherron, R.L., Amm, O. et al. (2015) Substorm Current Wedge Revisited, *Space Sci Rev, 190*: 1. doi:10.1007/s11214-014-0124-9
- Liang, H., M. Ashour-Abdalla, G. Lapenta, and R. J. Walker (2016), Oxygen impacts on dipolarization fronts and reconnection rate, *J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics*, 121, 1148– 1166, doi:10.1002/2015JA021747.
- Liu, J., V. Angelopoulos, A. Runov, and X.-Z. Zhou (2013), On the current sheets surrounding dipolarizing flux bundles in the magnetotail: The case for wedgelets, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 118, 2000–2020, doi: 10.1002/jgra.50092.
- Lindsay S.T., James M.K., Bunce E.J., Imber S.M., Korth H., Martindale A., Yeoman T.K., MESSENGER X-ray observations of magnetosphere–surface interaction on the nightside of Mercury (2016), *Planetary and Space Science, Volume 125*, Pages 72-79, ISSN 0032-0633, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2016.03.005.
- Imber, S. M., J. A. Slavin, H. U. Auster, and V. Angelopoulos (2011), A THEMIS survey of flux ropes and traveling compression regions: Location of the near-Earth reconnection site during solar minimum, J. Geophys. Res., 116, A02201, doi:10.1029/2010JA016026.
- Janhunen, P. and Kallio, E. (2004), Surface conductivity of Mercury provides current closure and may affect magnetospheric symmetry, *Ann. Geophys.*, 22, 1829-1837, doi:10.5194/angeo-22-1829-2004.

- McPherron, R. L., C. T. Russell, and M. P. Aubry (1973), Satellite studies of magnetospheric substorms on August 15, 1968: 9. Phenomenological model for substorms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 78(16), 3131–3149, doi:10.1029/JA078i016p03131.
- Nagai, T., I. Shinohara, S. Zenitani, R. Nakamura, T. K. M. Nakamura, M. Fujimoto, Y. Saito, and T. Mukai (2013), Three-dimensional structure of magnetic reconnection in the magnetotail from Geotail observations, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 118, 1667–1678, doi:10.1002/jgra.50247.
- Ogilvie, K. W., J. D. Scudder, V. M. Vasyliunas, R. E. Hartle, and G. L. Siscoe (1977), Observations at the planet Mercury by the plasma electron experiment: Mariner 10, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 82, 1807–1824, doi:10.1029/JA082i013p01807.

Ohtani, S., M. Nosé, S. PatchFistomsand A. T. Y. Lut the plasma sheet: Implications for the transport of ionospheric ions, J. Geophys. Res., 116, A10211, doi:10.1029/2011JA016532.

- Peterson, W. K., R. D. Sharp, E. G. Shelley, R. G. Johnson, and H. Balsiger (1981), Energetic ion composition of the plasma sheet, J. Geophys. Res., 86(A2), 761–767, doi:10.1029/JA086iA02p00761.
- Poh, G., J. A. Slavin, X. Jia, J. M. Raines, S. M. Imber, W.-J. Sun, D. J. Gershman, G. A. DiBraccio, K. J. Genestreti, and A. W. Smith (2017), Mercury's cross-tail current sheet: Structure, X-line location and stress balance, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 678–686, doi:10.1002/2016GL071612.
- Raines, J. M., et al. (2013), Distribution and compositional variations of plasma ions in Mercury's space environment: The first three Mercury years of MESSENGER observations, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 118, 1604–1619, doi:10.1029/2012JA018073.
- Rong, Z. J., W. X. Wan, C. Shen, X. Li, M. W. Dunlop, A. A. Petrukovich, T. L. Zhang, and E. Lucek (2011), Statistical survey on the magnetic structure in magnetotail current sheets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, A09218, doi:10.1029/2011JA016489.
- Rong, Z. J., S. Barabash, G. Stenberg, Y. Futaana, T. L. Zhang, W. X. Wan, Y. Wei, X. D. Wang, L. H. Chai, and J. Zhong (2015), The flapping motion of the Venusian magnetotail: Venus Express observations, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 120, 5593–5602, doi:10.1002/2015JA021317.

- Shiokawa, K., W. Baumjohann, and G. Haerendel (1997), Braking of high-speed flows in the near-Earth tail, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 1179–1182, doi:10.1029/97GL01062.
- Slavin, J. A., and R. E. Holzer (1979), The effect of erosion on the solar wind stand-off distance at Mercury, J. Geophys. Res., 84, 2076–2082, doi:10.1029/JA084iA05p02076.
- Slavin, J. A., E. J. Smith, D. G. Sibeck, D. N. Baker, R. D. Zwickl, and S.-I. Akasofu (1985), An ISEE 3 study of average and substorm conditions in the distant magnetotail, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 10,875–10,895.
- Slavin, J. A., et al. (2009), MESSENGER observations of magnetic reconnection in Mercury's magnetosphere, *Science*, *324*, 606–610, doi:10.1126/science.1172011.
- Slavin, J. A., et al. (2010), MESSENGER observations of extreme loading and unloading of Mercury's magnetic tail, *Science*, *329*, 665–668, doi:10.1126/science.1188067.
- Slavin, J. A., et al. (2012), MESSENGER and Mariner 10 flyby observations of magnetotail structure and dynamics at Mercury, J. Geophys. Res., 117, A01215, doi:10.1029/2011JA016900.
- Smith, A. W., C. M. Jackman, and M. F. Thomsen (2016), Magnetic reconnection in Saturn's magnetotail: A comprehensive magnetic field survey, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 121, 2984–3005, doi:10.1002/2015JA022005.
- Sun, W.-J., J. A. Slavin, S. Fu, J. M. Raines, Q.-G. Zong, S. M. Imber, Q. Shi, Z. Yao, G. Poh, D. J. Gershman, Z. Pu, T. Sundberg, B. J. Anderson, H. Korth, and D. N. Baker (2015), MESSENGER observations of magnetospheric substorm activity in Mercury's near magnetotail. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 3692–3699. doi: 10.1002/2015GL064052.
- Sun, W. J., S. Y. Fu, J. A. Slavin, J. M. Raines, Q. G. Zong, G. K. Poh, and T. H. Zurbuchen (2016), Spatial distribution of Mercury's flux ropes and reconnection fronts: MESSENGER observations, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 121, 7590–7607, doi:10.1002/2016JA022787.
- Sundberg, T., et al. (2012), MESSENGER observations of dipolarization events in Mercury's magnetotail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *117*, A00M03, doi:10.1029/2012JA017756.
- Shay, M. A., and M. Swisdak (2004), Three-species collisionless reconnection: Effect of O+ on magnetotail reconnection, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 93, 175001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.175001.

- Tsyganenko, N. A. (1995), Modeling the Earth's magnetospheric magnetic field confined within a realistic magnetopause, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *100*(*A4*), 5599–5612, doi:10.1029/94JA03193.
- Vasko, I. Y., A. A. Petrukovich, A. V. Artemyev, R. Nakamura, and L. M. Zelenyi (2015), Earth's distant magnetotail current sheet near and beyond lunar orbit, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 120, 8663–8680, doi:10.1002/2015JA021633.
- Volwerk, M., et al. (2013), Comparative magnetotail flapping: an overview of selected events at Earth, Jupiter and Saturn, Ann. Geophys., 31, 817–833, doi:10.5194/angeo-31-817-2013.
- Walsh, A. P., Haaland, S., Forsyth, C., Keesee, A. M., Kissinger, J., Li, K., Runov, A., Soucek, J., Walsh, B. M., Wing, S., and Taylor, M. G. G. T.: Dawn-dusk asymmetries in the coupled solar wind-magnetosphere-ionosphere system: a review, Ann. Geophys., 32, 705-737, doi:10.5194/angeo-32-705-2014, 2014.
- Wang, C.-P., L. R. Lyons, M. W. Chen, and F. R. Toffoletto (2004), Modeling the transition of the inner plasma sheet from weak to enhanced convection, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, A12202, doi:10.1029/2004JA010591.
- Zhang, B., O. J. Brambles, W. Lotko, J. E. Ouellette, and J. G. Lyon (2016), The role of ionospheric O+ outflow in the generation of earthward propagating plasmoids, *J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics*, 121, 1425–1435, doi:10.1002/2015JA021667.
- Zurbuchen, T. H., et al. (2011), MESSENGER observations of the spatial distribution of planetary ions near Mercury, *Science*, *333*, 1862–1865, doi:10.1126/science.1211302.
- Winslow, R. M., B. J. Anderson, C. L. Johnson, J. A. Slavin, H. Korth, M. E. Purucker, D. N. Baker, and S. C. Solomon (2013), Mercury's magnetopause and bow shock from MESSENGER Magnetometer observations, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 118, 2213–2227, doi:10.1002/jgra.50237.



or Manuscrip Figure



Figure 1: (a) Full-resolution magnetic field measurements of an example MESSENGER orbit on 28th August 2013 in aberrated Mercury solar magnetic (MSM') coordinates. The dotted lines and color bars separate each regions of Mercury's magnetotail, with blue, yellow and red color bars representing the northern/southern tail lobe, plasma sheet boundary layer and current sheet respectively. (b) The orbit of MESSENGER on 28th August 2013 in the equatorial (left) and meridional (right) plane. The model bow shock (BS) and magnetopause (MP) from *Winslow et al.*, [2013], scaled to fit observed average boundary crossings are shown in dotted lines; the Sun is to the left and the scaled T96 model magnetic field lines

Figure 2: Plot of B_Z as a function of X'_{MSM} and Y'_{MSM} . B_Z is binned into four downtail distance from $X'_{MSM} = -1.4 R_M$ to $-2.6 R_M$ at bins of 0.3 R_M and dawn-dusk direction from $Y'_{MSM} = -1.6$

 $R_{\rm M}$ to 1.6 $R_{\rm M}$ at bins of 0.4 $R_{\rm M}$. Grey dashed lines mark the midnight meridian. The strength of the dawn-dusk asymmetry in each downtail distance is determined by the slope of linear least-square fitted lines (black). Red lines are the least-squares polynomial fits for closest (i.e. $-1.4 R_{\rm M} > X'_{\rm MSM} > -1.7 R_{\rm M}$) and furthest (i.e. $-2.3 R_{\rm M} > X'_{\rm MSM} > -2.6 R_{\rm M}$), which represents the magnetic flux pileup and enhanced reconnection region, respectively.

Figure 3: (a) Plot of δB_Z as a function of Y'_{MSM} for the downtail distance X'_{MSM} between -1.4 R_M to -1.7 R_M . δB_Z is calculated by detrending B_Z values in Panel 1 of Figure 2 with the linear least-square fitted line (black). The plot format is similar to Figure 5.2. The detrended B_Z values are calculated by subtracting B_Z values with the "baseline values", which is determined from the least-squares fitted line in panel 1 of Figure 5.2. The red line shows the persistent asymmetry of flux pile-up in the substorm current wedge after subtraction of baseline values. (b) Distribution of occurrence rate (in number per minute) of dipolarization fronts observed by MESSENGER [*Sun et al.*, 2016].

Figure 4: (a) Dawn-dusk distribution of current sheet plasma beta β_{CS} as shown by grey data points determined from the FIPS (red) and MAG (blue) instrument. (b) Current sheet full thickness 2*L* and (c) cross-tail current density J_Y of 234 current sheet crossings determined from the Harris current sheet model fitting. Data points are separated into bin size of $0.4R_M$.The error bars represent the standard error of the mean for each bin and is given by: std error = $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}}$, where σ and N is the standard deviation and total number of the data points in each bins, respectively. For both derived parameters, the data points (black) were binned into bins of 0.4 R_M between $Y'_{MSM} = -1.6 R_M$ and 1.6 R_M as shown by the red data points. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean in each bin.

D A

Figure 5: Schematic illustrations of summary and explanation for all asymmetries in Mercury's current sheet observed in this study. Red dashed line represents the magnetopause. Top and bottom panels on the right represent the post-midnight (dawn) and pre-midnight (dusk) view, respectively. The illustration shows that Mercury's current sheet is thicker and B_Z is higher on the post-midnight than the pre-midnight region. The asymmetry in B_Z also decreases in strength with increasing downtail distances. Mass loading of ions from the cusp and NMNL further downtail drift stretches the dusk-side current sheet while a dawn-ward preference in reconnection occurrence sends more dipolarized flux tube towards the dawn-side current sheet, and thereby thickening the current sheet. This difference in stretching and thickening of the current sheet may explain the dawn-dusk asymmetries observed in this study. Our results also strongly suggest the formation of a substorm current wedge in the

near-Mercury region as shown in the diagram on the left. A dawn-ward preference in reconnection could also explain the observed asymmetric nature of the SCW.

Figure 6: Schematic illustrations of Mercury's substorm current wedge, in (a) threedimensional, (b) meridional and (c) equatorial view, using a simple line current model. Black arrows represent the cross-tail current direction in Mercury's current sheet while red arrows represent the path and direction of the current flow in the substorm current wedge. The substorm current wedge is modelled using four infinitely-thin line current segments. The currents in segment 1 and 3 flowing perpendicular to the magnetic field while currents in segment 2 and 4 flows parallel to the magnetic field. A dipole magnetic field is used to model

the magnetic field lines in segment 2 and 4. The magnetic field perturbation δB_Z is calculated by adding the magnetic field contribution from each line current segment, which is determined using the Biot-Savart Law.

Figure 7: Dawn-dusk profile of magnetic field perturbation δB_Z calculated from the line current model (black line) and the cubic polynomial fit to the observed increase in B_Z in Figure 3a (red dashed line). The line current model profile is fitted to the observed B_Z profile with I_{SCW} , relative distance between line current in Segment 1 (Figure 6c) and the point X'_{MSM} = -1.55 R_M , and width of the SCW as free parameters. The peak of the line current model profile is shifted dawnward to obtain a better fit. Analysis shows good agreements between model and observation between midnight meridian and Y'_{MSM} = -0.5 R_M with the center of the

substorm current wedge at $Y'_{MSM} \approx -0.15 R_M$. Inconsistency between model and observations at the edge of the SCW suggests presence of additional current systems in the SCW not taken into account in this simple line current model.

<u>+</u>__ Author Manuscrip