

A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: Support of Pediatric Patients With Intestinal Failure at Risk of Parenteral Nutrition—Associated Liver Disease

Paul W. Wales, MD^{1,2}; Nancy Allen, MLS, RD, CNSC³; Patricia Worthington, MSN, RN⁴; Donald George, MD⁵; Charlene Compher, PhD, RD, CNSC, LDN, FADA, FASPEN⁶; the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition; and Daniel Teitelbaum, MD⁷

Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition Volume 38 Number 5 July 2014 538–557 © 2014 American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition DOI: 10.1177/0148607114527772 jpen.sagepub.com hosted at online.sagepub.com



Abstract

Background: Children with severe intestinal failure and prolonged dependence on parenteral nutrition are susceptible to the development of parenteral nutrition—associated liver disease (PNALD). The purpose of this clinical guideline is to develop recommendations for the care of children with PN-dependent intestinal failure that have the potential to prevent PNALD or improve its treatment. Method: A systematic review of the best available evidence to answer a series of questions regarding clinical management of children with intestinal failure receiving parenteral or enteral nutrition was undertaken and evaluated using concepts adopted from the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group. A consensus process was used to develop the clinical guideline recommendations prior to external and internal review and approval by the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition Board of Directors. Questions: (1) Is ethanol lock effective in preventing bloodstream infection and catheter removal in children at risk of PNALD? (2) What fat emulsion strategies can be used in pediatric patients with intestinal failure to reduce the risk of or treat PNALD? (3) Can enteral ursodeoxycholic acid improve the treatment of PNALD in pediatric patients with intestinal failure? (4) Are PNALD outcomes improved when patients are managed by a multidisciplinary intestinal rehabilitation team? (JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2014;38:538-557)

Keywords

pediatrics; life cycle; parenteral nutrition; nutrition; home nutrition support; lipids

Background

Parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease (PNALD), also known as intestinal failure—associated liver disease (IFALD), is a feared and life-threatening complication associated with parenteral nutrition (PN) dependence. The incidence of short bowel syndrome in neonates is 24.5 per 100,000 live births with a case fatality rate of 37.5%. Two-thirds of patients with intestinal failure will develop PNALD, and traditionally, 25% would advance to end-stage liver disease. While the long-term survival is 70%-90%, 2-6 the prevention of PNALD stands to improve the quality of life of children and their families. There is no standardized definition of PNALD, and there is no agreed upon clinical threshold by which to make the diagnosis. PNALD is cholestatic in nature, and there is a spectrum of disease moving from mild cholestasis through cirrhosis and liver failure with death unless transplantation is performed.^{6,7} For practical reasons, PNALD is most often described by hyperbilirubinemia (direct or total). At other times, different liver biochemistry measures such as aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), γ-glutamyl transferase (GGT), or alkaline phosphatase are used. When liver biopsies have been used as an end point, they typically depict a picture of cholestasis and varying degrees of fibrosis. Liver biopsy is invasive and not practical for routine care. It is also prone to sampling error.

PNALD is multifactorial and has been associated with PN. All components of PN may promote cholestasis. Most of the recent interest has been with soy-based fat emulsions (SOEs)

From the ¹Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ²The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ³Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, Missouri; ⁴Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ⁵Nemours Children's Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida; ⁶University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and ⁷University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Financial disclosure: None declared.

Received for publication February 21, 2014; accepted for publication February 21, 2014.

This article originally appeared online on April 2, 2014.

Corresponding Author:

Charlene Compher, PhD, RD, CNSC, LDN, FADA, FASPEN, Professor of Nutrition Science, University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, Claire M. Fagin Hall, 418 Curie Blvd, Philadelphia, PA 19104-4217, USA.

Email: compherc@nursing.upenn.edu

available in North America. SOEs have been thought to promote cholestasis as they contain predominantly ω -6 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and phytosterols and have a relatively low antioxidant content.

Several clinical factors increase the risk of PNALD. Premature babies have increased risk for PNALD. Premature infants have immature livers with incompletely expressed enzymatic activity. There is also inadequate bile salt uptake and excretion, as well as inadequate production of glutathione. Recurrent sepsis, from bacterial translocation or related to central venous catheters, has been shown to be a risk factor for cholestasis. Endotoxin from sepsis acts directly or indirectly through production of inflammatory cytokines on bile transport proteins, impairing biliary excretion. Patients with intestinal failure commonly are unable to tolerate substantial enteral nutrient stimulation. Lack of enteral feeding impairs the enterohepatic circulation and bile acid secretion/absorption, thus leading to mucosal atrophy, and increases the risk of bacterial translocation.

Since liver failure is the most common cause of death in patients with PNALD, the goal of therapy has been to optimize intestinal function and promote gut adaptation before the development of irreversible liver complications. With the control of liver dysfunction, patients can be provided with a prolonged period to allow intestinal adaptation to occur. Much of the improvement in patient outcomes over the past decade has been related to controlling the progression of PNALD. These guidelines focus on 4 therapeutic interventions of interest in the care of patients with intestinal failure.

Children with PN-dependent intestinal failure require central venous catheters to permit delivery of needed nutrients. These catheters are susceptible to catheter-related bloodstream infections (CLABSIs), which are associated with an increased risk of PNALD when they occur frequently.^{9,10} CLABSI is diagnosed when a common pathogen is cultured from both peripheral blood and the catheter. Children with intestinal failure are also at risk of these infections because they often have feeding enterostomies, stomas, and overgrowth of intestinal bacteria that may result in translocation to the bloodstream. 11 Thus, the prevention of CLABSI is one strategy that has been proposed to reduce the risk of PNALD. The instillation of 70% ethanol as a lock solution into the PN catheter has been examined as a strategy to prevent CLABSI. 12 In laboratory studies, ethanol has been shown to be effective in penetrating and breaking down biofilm when the ethanol concentration was ≥30%; however, in vivo, the greatest efficacy has been shown with higher concentrations of ethanol (70%) with dwell times of 2 hours or more. 13 Both silicone and polyurethane catheters have been tested in the laboratory, but only silicone catheters have been tested with ethanol lock therapy in children.¹¹

Doses of intravenous (IV) SOE ≥1 g/kg/d have also been associated with increased risk of PNALD in mixed adult and pediatric home PN (HPN) cohorts¹⁴ and examined more

recently in children. ^{15,16} Young children with PN, however, require a larger dose of fat emulsion per kilogram body weight to provide for their energy requirements to promote growth, provide neurological development, and prevent essential fatty acid deficiency (EFAD). Reduced doses of SOE, the addition of fish oil emulsion (FOE), and fat emulsions designed with a mixture of soy oil, medium-chain triglycerides, olive oil, and fish oil (SMOF) have been considered as potential therapies in children with HPN who develop PNALD.

Ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) is a bile acid that has been given orally to treat cholestatic liver disease in adults.¹⁷ While the mechanism of UDCA's effects is not fully established, the treatment may correct bile acid deficiency, improve bile flow, displace cytotoxic bile acids, or provide immunomodulatory protection.¹⁷ However, less is known about such treatment in children, particularly in children with PN-dependent intestinal failure as absorption of UDCA may be limited.

Over the past few years, multidisciplinary nutrition support teams or intestinal rehabilitation programs have been developed to optimize the management of children with intestinal failure who require HPN. The impact of these programs on PNALD outcomes has been examined.

The purpose of this clinical guideline is to develop recommendations for the care of children with PN-dependent intestinal failure that have the potential to prevent PNALD or improve its treatment.

Method

The American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (A.S.P.E.N.) is an organization composed of healthcare professionals representing the disciplines of medicine, nursing, pharmacy, dietetics, and nutrition science. The mission of A.S.P.E.N. is to improve patient care by advancing the science and practice of clinical nutrition and metabolism. A.S.P.E.N. vigorously works to support quality patient care, education, and research in the fields of nutrition and metabolic support in all healthcare settings. These Clinical Guidelines were developed under the guidance of the A.S.P.E.N. Board of Directors. Promotion of safe and effective patient care by nutrition support practitioners is a critical role of the A.S.P.E.N. organization. The A.S.P.E.N. Board of Directors has been publishing Clinical Guidelines since 1986. 18-28

These A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines are based on general conclusions of health professionals who, in developing such guidelines, have balanced potential benefits to be derived from a particular mode of medical therapy against certain risks inherent with such therapy. However, the professional judgment of the attending health professional is the primary component of quality medical care. Since guidelines cannot account for every variation in circumstances, the practitioner must always exercise professional judgment in their application. These Clinical Guidelines are intended to supplement, but not replace, professional training and judgment.

The A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines process has adopted concepts of the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group. 29-32 A full description of the methodology has been published.³³ Briefly, specific clinical questions where nutrition support is a relevant mode of therapy are developed and key clinical outcomes are identified. A rigorous search of the published literature is conducted, and each included study is assessed for research quality, tables of findings are developed, and the body of evidence for the question is evaluated. A recommendation for clinical practice that is based on both the best available evidence and the risks and benefits to patients is developed by consensus. Strong recommendations are made when the evidence is graded high and/or net benefits outweigh harms. Weak recommendations are made when evidence is graded low or if there are important trade-offs to the patient. When limited research is available to answer a question, no recommendation can be made.

A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines undergo peer review by clinical content experts both internal and external to the organization. The author and reviewer teams for this guideline include members of each of the professional groups that could play a role in the use of such a guideline (dietetics, nursing, medicine, pharmacy, research), as well as by the A.S.P.E.N. Board of Directors. After the author response to the initial reviews, the guideline was reviewed and approved by the A.S.P.E.N. Board of Directors and their legal consultant.

Results

Four questions were developed to be addressed by this guideline. The questions and recommendations are summarized in Table 1. For the current Clinical Guideline, the following terms were used to search PubMed and CINAHL until May 2013: intestinal failure, short bowel syndrome, clinical outcomes, lipid, bloodstream infection, team, multidisciplinary team, parenteral nutrition, and enteral nutrition. The searches were limited to studies that included pediatric subjects, English-language publications, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), controlled observational studies, and uncontrolled case series. A total of 16 RCTs, 13 controlled observational studies, and 23 uncontrolled case series met the inclusion criteria and were abstracted for the tables below. A revision of this guideline is planned for 2018.

Question 1. Is ethanol lock effective in preventing bloodstream infection and catheter removal in children at risk of PNALD? (Tables 2, 3)

Recommendation: A suggestion is made to use ethanol lock to prevent CLABSI and to reduce catheter replacements in children at risk of PNALD.

Evidence: Low and very low Recommendation Grade: Weak

Rationale: The evidence for decreased CLABSI and catheter removal is low and very low, respectively. The desirable

effect of both decreased infection and catheter removal has to be interpreted in light of the unknown effects of increased thrombus formation and disruption of catheter structure integrity.

The Oliveira et al³⁴ meta-analysis of observational studies that are summarized in Table 2 includes low-quality evidence that shows a very strong association favoring of the use of ethanol lock for the prevention of CLABSI. However, the size of the study cohort is very small. Further research is likely to change the estimate of the effect.

Catheter replacement was not a primary outcome of the included studies. The desirable effect of decreased catheter replacement has to be interpreted in light of the unknown effects of increased thrombus formation and disruption of catheter structure integrity.³⁵ The Oliveira et al³⁴ meta-analysis of observational studies includes low-quality evidence that shows a strong association with the use of ethanol lock and the reduction of catheter replacements. However, one of the included studies reports the superiority of heparin lock to decrease catheter replacements. Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

No recommendation can be made regarding the risk of catheter thrombosis due to ethanol lock therapy secondary to small sample sizes in observational studies, variable days of lock therapy, broad differences in observation time, and lack of clarity about the procedure with regard to ethanol concentration and withdrawal vs instillation of the ethanol solution after the dwell time. All research reports, however, were in cohorts of HPN patients. Further research is likely to change our confidence in the risk of catheter thrombosis with regard to ethanol lock.

Research is needed in a number of key areas. Data are needed to define more clearly the most effective concentration of ethanol in the lock, the number of days per week and the optimum duration of instillation of flush, and whether the best practice is flushing the ethanol through the catheter or withdrawing it after the instillation time. Whether silicone catheters are the only ones that should be used for ethanol lock is also important to consider systematically. Future clinical trials that use thrombosis and maintenance of catheter structural integrity as outcomes are needed and might change our confidence in the efficacy of this therapy.³⁶

Question 2. What fat emulsion strategies can be used in pediatric patients with intestinal failure to reduce the risk of or treat PNALD? (Tables 4, 5)

Recommendation: Since the only IV fat emulsion available for use in the United States is SOE, a suggestion is made to reduce the dose of SOE to ≤ 1 g/kg/d to treat cholestasis in children with PNALD. The quality of evidence supporting this recommendation is very low. Most studies are small observational studies. The desirable effect of reduction of liver indices has to

Table 1. Nutrition Support Clinical Guideline Recommendations in Pediatric Patients With Intestinal Failure.

Question Recommendation Grade 1. Is ethanol lock effective A suggestion is made to use ethanol lock to prevent catheter-related Evidence: Low, very low in preventing bloodstream bloodstream infection (CLABSI) and to reduce catheter replacements Recommendation: Weak infection and catheter in children at risk of PNALD. The evidence for decreased CLABSI removal in children at risk and catheter removal is low and very low, respectively. The desirable of parenteral nutritioneffect of both decreased infection and catheter removal has to be associated liver disease interpreted in light of the unknown effects of increased thrombus (PNALD)? formation and disruption of catheter structure integrity. 2. What fat emulsion Since the only fat emulsion in the United States is soy oil fat emulsion Evidence: Very low (SOE), a suggestion is made to reduce the dose of SOE to ≤1 g/kg/d Recommendation: Weak strategies can be used in pediatric patients with to treat cholestasis in children with PNALD. The quality of evidence intestinal failure to reduce supporting this recommendation is very low. Most studies are small the risk of or treat PNALD? observational studies. The desirable effect of the reduction of liver indices has to be considered in light of the unknown effects of poor growth and development when lipids are restricted. Fish oil fat emulsion (FOE) is available in the United States under a Evidence: Further research compassionate use protocol. Until it is approved by the Food and needed Drug Administration, no recommendation can be made for use in Recommendation: No the United States. The evidence supporting the use of FOE is very recommendation low quality. Included studies are small observational studies that are confounded by concurrent SOE dose reduction and advancement of enteral feedings. The desirable effect of the reduction of liver indices has to be considered in light of the unknown effects of poor growth and development when lipids are restricted. Evidence: Further research Fat emulsion with soy oil, medium-chain triglycerides, olive oil, and fish oil (SMOF) is not available in the United States. Until it is needed approved for use, no recommendation can be made for use in the Recommendation: No United States. If available, the evidence supporting the use of SMOF recommendation for the treatment of cholestasis is very low quality. The randomized controlled trials are primarily safety and efficacy studies in preterm infants with the primary outcome variable of plasma phospholipid levels and safety. Fat emulsion that contains a blend of refined olive and soy oil has been Evidence: Further research approved for adults receiving PN. It is not approved for infants or needed children. Until it is approved for use in children, no recommendation Recommendation: No can be made for use in the United States. recommendation 3. Can enteral A suggestion is made to use UDCA for the treatment of elevated liver Evidence: Very low enzymes in children with PNALD. The evidence is of very low Recommendation: Weak ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) improve the quality and is confounded by the presence of enteral feedings along treatment of PNALD in with treatment with UDCA. In the included studies, no harm from pediatric patients with this treatment was reported. The desirable effect of the reduction of intestinal failure? liver indices has to be weighed against the unknown efficacy of the treatment and the fact that in most cases, the study participants did not have primary intestinal pathology. 4. Are PNALD outcomes A suggestion is made to refer patients with PN-dependent intestinal Evidence: Very low improved when patients failure to multidisciplinary intestinal rehabilitation programs. The Recommendation: Weak evidence on this topic is of very low quality, but the improvement are managed by a multidisciplinary intestinal in survival is compelling, and the risk to the child of treatment with rehabilitation team? multidisciplinary practice is not increased.

be considered in light of the unknown effects of poor growth and development when lipids are restricted.

Evidence: Very Low

Recommendation Grade: Weak

FOE is available in the United States under a compassionate use protocol. Until it is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug

Administration (FDA), no recommendation can be made for use in the United States. The evidence supporting the use of FOE is very low quality. Included studies are small observational studies that are confounded by concurrent lipid dose reduction and advancement of enteral feedings. The desirable effect of improved cholestasis has to be considered in light of the unknown effects of poor growth and development when lipids are restricted.

Table 2. Evidence Summary Question 1: Is Ethanol Lock Effective in Preventing Bloodstream Infection and Catheter Removal in Children at Risk of PNALD?

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
			Ethanol Lock Solution	Solution	
Pieroni, 2013 ^{5:}	Retrospective case series	Pieroni, 2013 ⁵³ Retrospective HPN patients, N = 14 case series	Assess CLABSI prior to and after 70% ethanol lock therapy over 2 hours once weekly	Prior to ethanol lock: CLABSI = 9.8/1000 CVC days CVC removal = 4.3/1000 CVC days During ethanol lock: CLABSI = 2.7/1000 CVC days CVC removal = 1/1000 CVC days No CVC thrombosis after 690 days of observation One case of facial flushing that resolved with reduced volume of lock	Total of 87 CLABSIs through entire time, 803 preethanol lock + 690 postethanol lock catheter days
Wong et al, 2012 ⁵⁴	Retrospective case series	Retrospective HPN patients, $N = 4$ case series	Report case series of catheter complications after use of 70% ethanol lock 3 times weekly	Thrombosis in line when ethanol withdrawn at 413 days $(n = 1)$, at 168 days $(n = 1)$, at 9 days $(n = 1)$, and CVC occlusion at 3 days $(n = 1)$. The occlusion cleared after stopping ethanol lock.	
Wales et al, 2011 ⁵⁵	Retrospective case series	HPN patients with at least 1 previous CLABSI. N = 10 Median age 44 months (range, 31–129 months) Body weight: 5 kg for single lumen; 9 kg for double-lumen CVC	Assess incidence of CLABSI and CVC replacements after initiation of 70% ethanol lock therapy daily	With ethanol lock, CLABSI fell from 10.2 ± 6.2 to $0.9 \pm 1.8/1000$ CVC days ($P = .005$) CVC replacements fell from 5.6 to $0.3/1000$ CVC days ($P = .038$) Ethanol lock discontinued in 2 of 10 patients due to CVC thrombosis, occurred 227 ± 64 days after lock started	Small sample size Minimum dwell time 4 hours
Cober et al, 2011 ¹⁵	Retrospective case series	HPN patients with silicone-based CVC, weight \geq 5 kg, high risk for CLABSI (>2 CVC replaced due to CLABSI, 2 CLABSIs not cleared, loss of CVC access sites) N = 15 Mean age: 5.6 ± 6.9 years Mean weight: 19.9 kg	Evaluate outcomes of outpatient daily ethanol lock therapy on CLABSI incidence, types of organisms, and complications of daily ethanol lock therapy	With ethanol lock, mean CLABSI fell from 8.0 ± 5.4 to $1.3 \pm 3.0/1000$ CVC days $(P < .01)$ Four patients experienced 5 episodes of CLABSI with Staphylococcus species Adverse events included deep vein thrombosis $(n = 1)$, CVC occlusion $(n = 3)$, and repair of CVC for leakage/tear $(n = 20)$ Adverse events rose from 3.1 ± 5.2 to $6.4 \pm 10.0/1000$ CVC days $(P = .20)$	Small sample size Minimum dwell time 2 hours Ethanol withdrawn and discarded at the end of dwell time
Jones et al, 2010 ⁵⁶	Retrospective case series	HPN patients aged 3 months to 18 years, weight >5 kg, with at least 1 prior CLABSI in silicone-based CVC or PICC N = 23	Assess incidence of CLABSI after 70% ethanol lock 3 times weekly	CLABSI decreased from median (IQR) of 9.9 (4.4–16) to $2.1 (0-4.7)/1000 \text{ CVC}$ days, $P=.03$ Eighteen of 23 patients had decreased CLABSI rate; 5 of 23 (patients with motility disorders) had increased rate No adverse events over 22 months	
Mouw et al, 2008 ⁵⁷	Retrospective case series	HPN patients with silicone-based CVC , $N = 10$	Evaluate incidence rates of CLABSI, CVC removal, and adverse events after daily 70% ethanol lock therapy	Ten patients had 26 CVC, 3556 total CVC days, 3018 ethanol lock days CLABSI in 5 patients decreased from 11.4 to 2.07/1000 CVC days CLABSI rate for patients with no ethanol-lock free period (n = 5) was 1.99/1000 catheter days CVC thrombosis after 630 days of lock therapy, n = 1 Disseminated intravascular coagulation, 2 events in 1 patient	No statistical analysis due to small sample size Dwell time 4–14 hours Ethanol instilled through the catheter lumen at the end of dwell time

CLABSI, catheter-related bloodstream infection; CVC, central venous catheter; HPN, home parenteral nutrition; IQR, interquartile range; PICC, peripherally inserted central catheter; PNALD, parenteral nutrition—associated liver disease.

Table 3. GRADE Table Question 1: Is Ethanol Lock Effective in Preventing Bloodstream Infection and Catheter Removal in Children at Risk of PNALD?

Catheter replacement (follow-up 215-3018 days; measured with average rate per 1000 catheter days; range of scores, -1.46 to 8.2; better indicated by higher values)

Critical	
Low	
MD 5.07 higher	(1.12–9.03 higher)
03	
No serious	imprecision
No serious	indirectness
Serions	
No serious	risk of bias ^{a,c}
Observational	studies
e	

CI, confidence interval; CLABSI, catheter-related bloodstream infection; MD, mean difference; PNALD, parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease.

^aUsed the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for cohort studies.

^bThe number of participants in either the intervention or control group was provided in the meta-analysis.

^cTwo criteria for bias were not reported or met in all studies. It was not reported if the outcome of interest was absent at the start of the study, and 1 study poorly reported a description of excluded patients. The other 3 studies did not report on excluded patients.

^dMouw et al³⁷ favored heparin lock, while the other 2 studies favored ethanol locks. Heterogeneity is high; the I^2 statistic = 70%.

Table 4. Evidence Summary Question 2: What Fat Emulsion Strategies Can Be Used in Pediatric Patients With Intestinal Failure to Reduce the Risk of or Treat PNALD?

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
			Soy Fat Emulsion Dose	eso.	
Rollins et al, 2013 ¹⁶	RCT—pilot	Infants ≥26 weeks' gestation with >50% daily energy intake from PN for at least 4 weeks SOE 1 g/kg/d with mean GIR at 10.7 mg/kg/min, n = 15 SOE 3 g/kg/d with mean GIR at 8.8 mg/kg/min, n = 13 N = 28	Demonstrate the feasibility of performing a study to compare reduced dose (1 g/kg/d) vs standard dose (3 g/kg/d) of SOE	Conjugated bilirubin (change from baseline): • 0 vs 1.3 mg/dL, 1 vs 3 g/kg/d, $P = .04$ No difference in ALT, AST, GGT, alkaline phosphatase Triene to tetraene ratio: • 0.016 ± 0.004 vs 0.012 ± 0.002, 1 vs 3 g/kg/d, $P = .03$ Weight z score (change from baseline): • -0.36 vs 0.01, 1 vs 3 g/kg/d, $P = .006$ Head circumference z score (change from baseline): • -0.05 vs +0.005, 1 vs 3 g/kg/d, $P = .09$	Cholestasis markers rose less rapidly, no EFAD, less growth, and trend to lower head circumference with 1 g/kg/d
Nehra et al, 2013 ⁵⁸	Retrospective review of case series	All neonates admitted to ICU $2007-2011$ with surgical condition necessitating PN support for ≥ 21 days Patients with SOE at 1 g/kg/d, n = 29 Patients with SOE at 2-3 g/kg/d, n = 32 N = 53	Determine whether provision of SOE at 1 g/kg/d prevents the development of cholestasis Compare incidence of cholestasis in neonates with SOE at 1 g/kg/d with those with 2–3 g/kg/d	No difference in conjugated or unconjugated bilirubin, ALT, or alkaline phosphatase at baseline by SOE dose group Incidence of cholestasis: 1 g/kg/d, 51.7% 2.2-3 g/kg/d, 43.8%, not significantly different Time to cholestasis: 1 g/kg/d, 32.6 ± 24.1 d 2.2-3 g/kg/d, 27.7 ± 10.6 d, not significantly different	Small sample Retrospective data with no information about why some patients were selected for 1-g/ kg/d dosing
Cober et al 2012 ⁴¹	Prospective controlled cohort observation	Surgical patients with chronic PN (>2 weeks) typically providing SOE 3 g/kg/d and with cholestasis (conjugated bilirubin >2.5 mg/dL); SOE, n = 31 Dose reduced to 1 g/kg/d SOE, n = 31	Evaluate efficacy of reduced SOE dose on bilirubin levels, growth, incidence of EFA deficiency, and mortality	Total bilirubin change from baseline: • SOE= 0.39 mg/dL/wk, P = .027 • Dose-reduced SOE = -0.73 mg/dL/wk, P = .009 • Dose reduced, controlled for septic episodes, slope = -0.09 mg/dL/d, P = .049 Growth, control vs dose reduced: • Weight gain = 13.25 ± 13.81 g vs 13.55 ± 12.38 g • Weight-for-length z scores = -0.89 ± 1.38 vs -0.6 ± 1.52 • Head circumference z scores = -0.99 ± 0.22 vs -0.64 ± 1.26 EFAD: • Mild deficiency in 8 of 13 dose-reduced patients	Drop in bilirubin with no difference in growth parameters
Diamond et al, 20119	Retrospective review of case series	All infants with gastrointestinal surgery and PN, N = 152; including 22 with increased conjugated bilirubin	Analysis of factors associated with increased conjugated bilirubin	Days of SOE >2.5 g/kg/d associated with elevated bilirubin	Number of septic episodes, days with amino acid >2.5 g/kg/d also predict elevated bilirubin

	3	
	•	
	9	
	=	
	₫	
	=	
	2	
,	೨	
	_	
	•	
١	4	
	9	
	0	
	<u> </u>	

Infinens with short bowel syndrome is elumination of SOE in Verative of 2s developed cholestasis. Love-birth-weight neonates with horizon associated with decrease in cholestasis. Love-birth-weight neonates with britten factors and associated with decrease in cholestasis. Love-birth-weight neonates with britten factors and associated with a sasciated with cholestasis, n = 22 Without cholestasis, n = 22 Without cholestasis, n = 22 Without cholestasis, n = 22 With the program of the sassing of the sassing of the sassing and t	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
Low-birth-weight neomates with Pisses associated with Pisses: OR, 1.17 (95% CI, 1.007–1.369, With cholestasis, n = 22 Children in HPN program Signature role SOE Children with cholestasis, n = 22 Children with cholestasis, n = 10 with 23 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 with 23 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 with 23 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 with 24 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 with 25 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 with 25 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 with 26 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 with 27 episodes of cholestasis n = 10 children ages 2 weeds to 18 years Children ages 2 weeds to 18 years with PNALD on SOE of cholestasis Time to resolution of cholestasis: at 1 gkgd for 24 weeks outcomes of desire in PNA initiation FOE vs SOE Fat Emulsion Children ages 2 weeks of the presentation of tholestasis: at 1 gkgd for 24 weeks outcomes; excendany Transplant, and full conjugate oblithmy and laboratory Nortality 1 (10%) vs 2 (10%) in FOE vs SOE EFAD, and laboratory Safety: Nordifference in patchet concentrations or INR in FOB vs Boel in the present of th	Retrospective review of case series	Infants with short bowel syndrome and PN for at least 6 months' duration, N = 26	Is elimination of SOE associated with decrease in cholestasis in individual patients?	Twenty-three of 26 developed cholestasis Elimination of SOE in 6 patients resolved cholestasis	Small sample Enteral fish oil provided to 4 patients
Children in HPN program Evaluate role SOE Fig. 50–330 µmol/L Liver biopsy: Children with cholestasis with 23 episodes of cholestasis Lipid dose: In 15 of 23 episodes of cholestasis, no cirrhosis Lipid dose: In 15 of 23 episodes of cholestasis, printing dependent of 23 episodes was stopped, total bilithubin dropped within 1–3 months without fat enulsion Children ages 2 weeks to 18 years With PNALD on SOE To seemital fatty acid deficiency in 3 children measured after 3 months without fat enulsion Children ages 2 weeks to 18 years With PNALD on SOE Historic controls, n = 20 outcome; secondary Line to resolution of cholestasis: outcome; secondary Line for so (10%) in FOE vs SOE EFAD, and laboratory Safety: narkers of growth, I (10%) vs 2 (10%) in FOE vs SOE EFAD, and laboratory Safety: No difference in platelet concentrations or INR risk No difference in either group, range of trine to tetracen ratios 0.01 to 0.03 EVALUATION OF 10 to	Retrospective review of case series	Low-birth-weight neonates with PN: With cholestasis, n = 22 Without cholestasis, n = 22	Define factors associated with cholestasis	Cumulative SOE dose-independent risk factor for cholestasis: OR, 1.17 (95% CI, 1.007–1.369, $P = .041$)	Days with no EN, parenteral amino acid dose, days on antibiotics also associated
FOE vs SOE Fat Emulsion S Describe reversal of cholestasis of cholestasis of cholestasis - 11.5 (range 2.4–18) weeks vs 24 (range 5.4–24) (conjugated bilirubin veeks in FOE vs SOE groups, <i>P</i> < .0001 < monte of death, and full enteral feeds; as fety measures of growth, and laboratory safety: markers of bleeding No difference in weight or head circumference z scores Change in length z score = -0.9 ± 0.3 vs -1.8 ± 0.4 in FOE vs baseline value, <i>P</i> = .03 EFAD: No difference in weight or head circumference z scores Change in length z score = -0.9 ± 0.3 vs -1.8 ± 0.4 in FOE vs baseline value, <i>P</i> = .03 EFAD: None in either group, range of triene to tetraene ratios 0.01 to 0.03	Retrospective review of case series	Children in HPN program 1989–1999, total N = 183 Children with cholestasis, n = 10 with 23 episodes of cholestasis	Evaluate role SOE in cholestasis development	Total bilirubin: • 50–330 µmol/L Liver biopsy: • In 9 children, all abnormal with varied levels of fibrosis and cholestasis, no cirrhosis Lipid dose: • In 15 of 23 episodes of cholestasis, lipid dose had been increased from 0.94 ± 0.89 to 2.2 ± 0.41 g/kg/d • In 17 of 23 episodes where lipid dose was stopped, total bilirubin dropped within 1–3 months • Essential fatty acid deficiency in 3 children measured after 3 months without fat emulsion • Cholestasis episodes occurred 5.7 ± 3.8 years after PN initiation	Authors propose guidelines of: • Maximal daily SOE 2–2.5 g/kg/d, with maximal infusion rate of 150 mg/kg/h, no more than 5 infusions weekly, and maximal lipid-to-energy ratio of 25% • Monitor liver function tests and platelets
Time to resolution of cholestasis: of cholestasis of cholestasis of cholestasis - 11.5 (range 2.4–18) weeks vs 24 (range 5.4–24) conjugated bilirubin veeks in FOE vs SOE groups, P < .0001 < 2 mg/dL) as primary Outcome; secondary outcomes of death, transplant, and full enteral feeds; safety measures of growth, EFAD, and laboratory Safety: markers of bleeding No difference in platelet concentrations or INR risk Scores - Change in length z score = -0.9 ± 0.3 vs -1.8 ± 0.4 in FOE vs baseline value, P = .03 EFAD: None in either group, range of triene to tetraene ratios 0.01 to 0.03			FOE vs SOE Fat Emu	ılsion	
	observation of case series	8	Describe reversal of cholestasis (conjugated bilirubin <2 mg/dL) as primary outcome; secondary outcomes of death, transplant, and full enteral feeds; safety measures of growth, EFAD, and laboratory markers of bleeding risk	Time to resolution of cholestasis: • 11.5 (range 2.4–18) weeks vs 24 (range 5.4–24) weeks in FOE vs SOE groups, P < .0001 Mortality: • 2 (20%) vs 2 (10%) in FOE vs SOE Transplant: • 1 (10%) vs 2 (10%) in FOE vs SOE Full enteral feeds: • 1 (10%) vs 3 (15%) in FOE vs SOE Safety: • No difference in platelet concentrations or INR • No difference in weight or head circumference z scores • Change in length z score = −0.9 ± 0.3 vs −1.8 ± 0.4 in FOE vs baseline value, P = .03 EFAD: • None in either group, range of triene to tetraene ratios 0.01 to 0.03	Small sample with historical control Concurrent FOE, fat emulsion dose reduction, and enteral feeds

neq
9
=
_
Ē
_
5
3
_
₹.
-
=
able
æ

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
Premkumar et al, 2013 ⁶⁰	Prospective observation of case series	Infants <6 months of age with PN-associated cholestasis, $N=57$	Describe the clinical correlates associated with resolution of cholestasis after treatment with FO at 1 g/kg/d	 Summary: Preconjugated bilirubin, 7.5 mg/dL (2.1–25) Survivors, 5 (2.1–2.5) Nonsurvivors, 10.7 (3.6–14.3) Survival to discharge in 82.5% Median time to resolution of cholestasis 35 (range 7–129) days Time to resolution inversely correlated with gestational age at birth (r² = 0.12, P = .03) Characteristics of survivors vs nonsurvivors: Less premature at birth, 29.1 vs 25.9 weeks (P = .056) 	
Le et al, 2011 ⁴³	Retrospective review of case series	Pediatric patients with cholestasis while treated with SOE in 2004–2009 in single center, N = 79 Changed to FOE at 1 g/kg/d ≥1 month Diet initiated and advanced as tolerated concurrent with FOE	Describe changes in fatty acid and lipid profiles of children with PN-cholestasis treated with FOE	Total bilirubin (median, IQR): • Pre- vs post-FOE, 7.9 (5.0–13.0) vs 0.5 (0.3 –1.3), $P < .0001$ Conjugated bilirubin (median, IQR): • Pre- vs post-FOE, 5.4 (3.5 – 8.5) vs 0.2 (0.1 – 0.6), $P < .0001$ Triglyceride (median, IQR): • Pre- vs post-FOE, 147 (100 – 223) vs 71 (50 – 108), $P < .0001$ Cholesterol: • Pre- vs post-FOE, 138.7 ± 56.1 vs 114.2 ± 33.8 , $P < .001$ LDL: • Pre- vs post-FOE, 83.4 ± 44.6 vs 63.6 ± 32.7 , $P < .001$ VLDL: • Pre- vs post-FOE, 31.7 ± 16.1 vs 63.6 ± 32.7 , 16.5 ± 9.7 , $P < .001$	Dose of FOE 1 g/kg/d vs SOE 1–4 g/kg/d No liver biopsies No randomization to treatment arm
Le et al, 2010 ⁶¹	Retrospective review of case series	Of infants with cholestasis during PN with SOE in single center between April 2005 and February 2009, SOE discontinued and FOE given as single source for at least 1 month, N = 10	Does parenteral FOE improve lipid profile and bilirubin?	Cholestasis reversal: 6 of 10 (60%) resolved 2 of 10 (20%) improved	Limited EN Dose of FOE 1 g/kg/d vs historic SOE 1–4 g/ kg/d No liver biopsies No randomization to treatment arm

Table 4. (continued)

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
de Meijer et al, 2010 ⁶²	Prospective cohort analysis No control	Infants with cholestasis during PN with SOE, $N = 10$	Does parenteral FOE protect against EFAD?	Neither clinical nor biochemical evidence of EFAD Conjugated bilirubin: • Pre- vs post-FOE, 6.8 (range, 2.5–12.8) mg/dL vs 0.9 (range, 0.1–9.6) mg/dL, $P < .009$)	Limited EN Dose of FOE 1 g/kg/d vs SOE 1–4 g/kg/d No liver biopsies No randomization to treatment arm
Diamond et al, 2009 ⁶³	Retrospective review of case series No control	Infants with SBS and cholestasis, FOE, $N=12$	Define effect of use of parenteral FOE	Cholestasis reversal: 9 of 12 (75%) 3 required transplant	One patient had prior liver transplant
Lee et al, 2009 ⁶⁴	Prospective case series Contemporary historical controls	Infants with cholestasis during PN with SOE, treated with FOE, $n=18$ SOE, $n=59$	Reversal of cholestasis and serum triglyceride levels	Cholestasis reversal: • FOE, 16 of 18 (89%) • SOE 28 of 59 (47%)	Dose of FOE 1 g/kg/d vs SOE 1–4 g/kg/d No liver biopsies No randomization to treatment arm
Puder et al, 2009 ⁴⁴	Prospective case series Historical controls	Infants with cholestasis (conjugated bilirubin >2 mg/dL) during PN with SOE, changed to FOE FOE, n = 42 SOE, n = 49	Safety and efficacy measured by improvement in bilirubin and ALT	Cholestasis reversal: • FOE, 19 of 38 (50%) • SOE, 2 of 36 (6%)	Dose of FOE 1 g/kg/d vs SOE 1-4 g/kg/d No liver biopsies No randomization to treatment arm
Gura et al, 2008 ⁴²	Prospective case series Historical controls	Infants with cholestasis during PN Reversal of cholestasis with SOE, changed to FOE FOE, n = 18 SOE, n = 21	Reversal of cholestasis Time 1 • FOE • SOE SMOF vs SOE Fat Emulsion	Time to cholestasis reversal: • FOE 9.4 [IQR 7.6–10.9] weeks • SOE 44.1 [IQR 10.9–45.6] weeks $(P=.001)$ nulsion	Dose of FOE 1 g/kg/d vs SOE 1–4 g/kg/d No liver biopsies No randomization to treatment arm
Rayyan et al, 2012 ⁴⁹	RCT	Premature neonates in single hospital, n = 53 Randomized to PN, including SMOF, n = 26 vs SOE, n = 27 over 7 days with dose escalation from 1–3.3 g/kg/d in both groups Enteral feeds stared when able	Determine safety and tolerance of SMOF vs SOE	Primary outcomes: No difference in triglyceride, growth parameters, or SAE in SMOF vs SOE Secondary outcomes from per protocol analysis: Total bilirubin (change from baseline): • SMOF -50.3 ± 45.8 vs • SOE -18.6 ± 54.2 µmol/L, P < .05 Conjugated bilirubin (change from baseline): • SMOF -2.2 ± 8.89 vs • SOE 4.79 ± 8.38 µmol/L, P < .05	Course of PN <3 weeks

Table 4. (continued)

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Ouality	Population. Setting. N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
Tomsits et al, 2010 ⁴⁸	RCT	Premature neonates, day of life 3–7, on PN SMOF, n = 30 SOE, n = 30 Fat emulsion dose initiated at 0.5 g/kg/d and advanced to 2 g/kg/d by day 14 Enteral feeds started when able	Evaluate safety, efficacy, and tolerability of SMOF vs SOE	No difference in SAE, lipid profile, growth parameters, and total bilirubin GGT: • SMOF, pre vs post, 125 ± 0.3 vs 107.78 ± 81.71 IU/L • SOE, pre vs post, 118.03 ± 98.81 vs 188.79 ± 176.73 IU/L, $P < .05$	
Skouroliakou et al, 2010 ⁴⁷	RCT	Premature infants with PN randomized on day of life 0 to initiate fat emulsion on day 2 with SMOF, n = 14 SOE, n = 18 Fat emulsion dose advanced gradually to maximum of 3 g/kg/d Enteral feeding started as soon as possible	Evaluate the effect of SMOF on antioxidant status	Total antioxidant potential increased in SMOF, not SOE group	
Goulet et al, 2010 ⁴⁶	RCT	PN-dependent patients aged 5 months to 11 years (mean age, 30–39 months), randomized to 4 weeks of SMOF, n = 15 SOE, n = 13 IVFE dosage up to 2 g/kg/d in both groups	Compare safety by growth, blood pressure, electrolytes, transaminases, EFA profile, lipid profile, and lipid peroxidation	No significant difference in total adverse events, ALT, AST, GGT, growth, and lipid profile Total bilirubin: SMOF 9.07 ± 10.04 to 7.58 ± 8.83 IU/L vs SOE 8.75 ± 6.25 to 11.08 ± 6.63 , $P < .01$	Heterogeneous population but well matched in groups

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CI, confidence interval; EFA, essential fatty acid; EFAD, essential fatty acid deficiency; EN, enteral nutrition; FO, fish oil; FOE, fish oil fat emulsion; GIR, glucose infusion rate; GGT, y-glutamyl transpeptidase; HPN, home parenteral nutrition; ICU, intensive care unit; INR, international normalized ratio; IVFE, intravenous fat emulsion; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; OR, odds ratio; PN, parenteral nutrition, PNALD, parenteral nutrition—associated liver disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SAE, serious adverse event; SBS, short bowel syndrome; SOE, soy oil fat emulsion; SMOF, soy oil, medium-chain triglycerides, olive oil, and fish oil; VLDL, very low-density lipoprotein.

Table 5. GRADE Table Question 2: What Fat Emulsion Strategies Can Be Used in Pediatric Patients With Intestinal Failure to Reduce the Risk of or Treat PNALD?

		Ç	Quality Assessmo	ent ^a				
No. of Studies	Design	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Quality	Importance
		Cholestasis imp	provement (asse	essed with eith	er total or conj	ugated bilirubin	l ^b)	
			SC	E—dose redu	ction			
6	Observational studies	No serious risk of bias ^b	Serious ^c	No serious indirectness	Very serious ^d	None	Very low	Critical
	FOE and dose reduction vs SOE							
9	Observational studies	No serious risk of bias ^b	Serious ^c	No serious indirectness	Serious ^d	None	Very low	Critical
				SMOF vs SO	E			
4	Randomized trials	No serious risk of bias ^e	Serious ^c	Serious ^f	Serious ^e	None	Very low	Critical

FOE, fish oil fat emulsion; PNALD, parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease; SMOF, soy oil, medium-chain triglycerides, olive oil, and fish oil; SOE, soy oil fat emulsion.

Evidence: Further research needed Recommendation: No recommendation

SMOF is not available in the United States. Until it is approved for use, no recommendation can be made for use in the United States. If available, the evidence supporting the use of SMOF for the treatment of cholestasis is very low quality. The RCTs are primarily safety and efficacy studies in preterm infants with the primary outcome of plasma phospholipid profiles and adverse events.

Evidence: Further research needed Recommendation: No recommendation

Fat emulsions that contain a blend of refined olive and soybean oil have been approved for adults receiving PN. It is not approved for infants or children.³⁷ Until it is approved for use in children, no recommendation can be made for use in the United States.

Rationale: This is an emerging area of study; until larger RCTs with indicators of cholestasis are reported, strong recommendations are difficult to make. New research, if performed, will change our confidence in the estimate of effect of manipulating fat emulsion dose and/or type to prevent or resolve liver disease in those who require PN.

Higher doses of SOE have been associated with cholestasis, at increasing prevalence rates with longer duration of SOE

therapy. Several studies prospectively, in a nonrandomized fashion, have demonstrated that reduction in the amount of SOE results in decreased severity or incidence of PNALD. The precise breakpoint in the reduction is not clear, as studies have varied from complete stoppage of SOE^{38,39} to reduction of either SOE¹⁶ or change from SOE to reduced-dose FOE. There is no adequately powered RCT that tests whether dose reduction of SOE provides similar improvement in cholestasis to complete stoppage or SOE vs FOE as monotherapy. Practically, such a trial may be difficult to complete as the rate of cholestasis in any of these lipid restriction groups would be expected to be low. Delivery of 1.2 g/kg/d SOE did not result in cholestasis in low-birth-weight neonates compared with a very high dose (>4 g/kg/d) in the cholestasis group. 40 In terms of safety, Cober et al41 identified mild EFAD based on declines of linoleic and α-linolenic acids with 1 g/kg given twice a week, which were reversed if given at 1 g/kg 3 times a week. In an RCT of SOE dosed conventionally (3 g/kg/d) compared with lipid restriction (1 g/kg/d) designed as a cholestasis prevention trial, the results favored dose reduction for preservation of hepatic function.¹⁶ However, the dose restriction group demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in weight gain at trial completion, and there was a trend to impaired growth in head circumference as well. The implications for neurodevelopmental changes or longer term growth with reduced SOE dose have not been studied.

No well-performed prospective RCT has been reported to date testing the ability of FOE to prevent or treat cholestasis.

aSOE: Rollins et al, 16 Cober et al, 41 Diamond et al, 9 Rollins et al, 39 Shin et al, 40 and Colomb et al. 38 FOE: Calkins et al, 59 Premkumar et al, 60 Le et al, 43 Le et al, 65 de Meijer et al, 66 Diamond et al, 64 Puder et al, 44 and Gura et al, 42 SMOF: Rayyan et al, 49 Tomsits et al, 48 Skouroliakou et al, 47 and Goulet et al, 46 Cober et al, 46 Diamond et al, 65 de Meijer et al, 66 Diamond et al, 66 Diamond et al, 67 Puder et al, 48 Ouroliakou et al, 47 and Goulet et al, 48 Skouroliakou et al, 49 Tomsits et al, 49 Tomsits et al, 48 Skouroliakou et al, 47 and Goulet et al, 46 Diamond et al, 47 and Goulet et al, 48 Skouroliakou et al, 49 Tomsits et al, 49 Tomsits et al, 49 Tomsits et al, 49 Tomsits et al, 40 Tomsits et al, 40 Diamond e

^bThe studies report bilirubin in many ways; total, conjugated, and change in bilirubin. When possible, changes in serum conjugated bilirubin will be considered.

^cObservation studies start with a GRADE of low quality due to the bias attributed to the study design. Will not decrease for bias at this time.

^dUnable to assess precision of reported values.

^eAt least 1 study used per protocol analysis.

For most studies, bilirubin determination was not the primary outcome; safety parameters, such as serum blood lipids and measurement of antioxidant factors, were primary outcomes.

The data suggest that the use of FOE as a substitute for SOE, along with a reduction in the dosage of SOE to 1 g/kg/d and advancement of enteral feedings, results in a progressive decline in the conjugated bilirubin levels. Most studies used this regimen and were retrospective cohort studies. ^{9,42-44}

The safety of FOE to prevent EFAD is not yet clear. In a report of 10 infants receiving FOE over a 10-week period, the authors concluded that no EFAD occurred. 45 However, a detailed examination of their data showed that 8 of the 10 infants had a decline (at times >2- to 3-fold) in linoleic and α-linolenic acid. No normative ranges for these values were reported in this study. Based on the fact that the Mayo Clinic performed the fatty acid analyses, the normal range (around the time this study was published) was 1000–3300 µmol/L for linoleic acid and 10-190 μmol/L for α-linolenic acid. While no child had a deficiency of α -linolenic acid, 5 had values below the lower limit of normal. Furthermore, if this trend continued, major and mixed (linoleic and α-linolenic) fatty acid deficiencies would be anticipated. Since levels of both of these fatty acids declined, dependence on a triene to tetraene ratio cannot be used to diagnose EFAD. Thus, the use of FOE will need further examination to determine longterm safety. In the study by Le et al, 43 a similar and significant decline in α -linolenic and linoleic acid was identified in a larger cohort of patients. While the mean values were above the lower limit of normal, the standard deviation for these would indicate that approximately 15% were deficient in linoleic acid. The implications for neurodevelopmental changes with use of FOE have not been studied. Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the safety of FOE.

The available studies evaluating SMOF are limited by evaluation of cholestasis as a secondary outcome, small sample size, short observation time, and studies in premature patients rather than patients with longer term PN-dependent intestinal failure. The Goulet et al⁴⁶ RCT was high quality, but only 28 children were studied, with 13 and 15 children in each group. While bilirubin levels were not the primary measure, these values declined significantly more in the SMOF group than in the SOE group over 29 days. Conjugated bilirubin is not reported, and GGT did not decline significantly. Linoleic acid declined slightly but not significantly in the SMOF group compared with the SOE group, where α-linolenic levels increased over the 29 days. In 2 RCTs in premature infants, there was no significant difference in bilirubin between SMOF and SOE groups after 2 weeks of treatment. 47,48 However, GGT declined significantly in the SMOF group⁴⁸, despite it not showing any difference in the Goulet⁴⁶ et al study. In a third RCT of premature neonates with 7 days of observation, total and conjugated bilirubin levels declined significantly in the SMOF group. 49 The safety of SMOF has been shown; however, data testing neurodevelopmental outcomes and long-term therapy effects on EFAD are still needed. 47-49

A fat emulsion with a blend of refined olive and soy oil was approved by the FDA for use in PN for adult patients. However, it was not approved for infants or children. ³⁷ The caution from the FDA actually carries a warning about the risk of death in preterm infants and states that the amount of essential fatty acids may be inadequate for the nutrition needs of children. References that included PNALD as an outcome were not found. However, in view of the FDA guidance, the product should not be used in premature infants or children.

Several important issues remain to be clarified about the use of IV fat emulsion in children with PN-dependent intestinal failure. Will a long-term reduction in SOE dose to ≤1 g/ kg/d result in adequate growth and neurological development, and will EFAD be prevented? Is FOE more effective than equivalently dosed SOE at preventing PNALD, promoting neurological development? What is the incidence of EFAD if the low dose is given over a long duration, and how should EFAD be tracked in these individuals? Is SMOF given at conventional lipid doses effective at preventing the development of PNALD while optimizing growth and development over the long term? In addition, at this stage, it may be unethical to design a trial evaluating novel lipid strategies (dose restriction or FOE) in the setting of rescue therapy for children with advanced PNALD as these children traditionally have a high mortality and will die without transplantation. The focus of future trials, therefore, should be on PNALD prevention with short-term hepatic and longer term growth and developmental outcomes. Obstacles to progress include no standard definition of PNALD, determination of the appropriate study clinical end point, individual clinician bias and perception of "advanced PNALD," access to novel lipid products, and lack of robust prospective, multicenter clinical trials in pediatric intestinal failure.

Question 3. Can enteral ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) improve the treatment of PNALD in pediatric patients with intestinal failure? (Tables 6, 7)

Recommendation: A suggestion is made to use UDCA for the treatment of elevated liver enzymes in children with PNALD. The evidence is of very low quality and confounded with the presence of enteral feeding in conjunction with treatment with UDCA. In addition, the patients studied tend to be premature infants with an intact intestinal tract; therefore, the efficacy of UDCA may not be generalizable to patients with established intestinal failure. In the included studies, no harm from this treatment was reported. The desirable effect of the reduction of liver indices has to be weighed against the unknown efficacy of the treatment and the fact that in most cases, the study participants did not have primary intestinal pathology.

Evidence: Very low Recommendation: Weak

Table 6. Evidence Summary Question 3: Can Enteral Ursodeoxycholic Acid Improve the Treatment of PNALD in Pediatric Patients With Intestinal Failure?

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
Gokmen et al, 2012 ⁶⁷ Prevention study	RCT testing UDCA vs erythromycin vs placebo Computer randomized, blinded	Preterm Turkish infants 27–28 weeks gestational age, weight ~1000 g, needing PN at least 12 days Had to be tolerating enteral feeds at 75 mL/kg/d UDCA, n = 24 Erythromycin, n = 24 Placebo, n = 23	efficacy of erythromycin,	Incidence GGT >120: UDCA, 5 of 24 (20.8%) Erythromycin, 10 of 24 (41.7%) Placebo, 14 of 23 (60.9%) P = .04 Feeding intolerance (days to full enteral feeds): UDCA, 24.08 ± 3.05 Erythromycin, 22.46 ± 3.4 Placebo, 27.0 ± 5.8 P = .004	Significantly fewer GGT elevations with UDCA than with placebo Infants were on PN a range of 15–28 days
Arslanoglu et al, 2008 ⁶⁸ Prevention study	RCT testing UDCA vs placebo No information on randomization or blinding Small sample	Preterm Italian infants ≤900 g needing PN UDCA, n = 15 Placebo, n = 14	Evaluate time to full enteral feedings (primary outcome), fat excretion, biomarkers of liver disease (secondary outcomes)	Primary outcome Feeding tolerance (days to full EN): UDCA, 18.6 ± 5.8 Placebo, 20.4 ± 8.6 , not significantly different Secondary outcomes GGT: UDCA: baseline (PN only), 102.7 ± 79.1 Weeks 3–4 (EN initiated + PN), 72.4 ± 54.3 Week 6 (EN only), 56.1 ± 36 $P < .05$ vs placebo Placebo: Baseline (PN only), 83.7 ± 50.5 Weeks 3–4 (EN initiated + PN), 90.0 ± 60.5 Week 6 (EN only), 71.9 ± 29.1	UDCA safe, well tolerated No liver biopsies
De Marco et al, 2006 ⁶⁹ Treatment study	Open-label trial of UDCA No control Small sample	PN-dependent Italian infants with PNALD SBS, n = 7 Non-SBS, n = 5	Evaluate results of UDCA therapy on liver enzymes at baseline and 6 months	GGT Patients with SBS: Pre-UDCA, 350 Post-UDCA, 5 Patients without SBS: Pre-UDCA, 100 Post-UDCA, 80 ALT Patients with SBS: Pre-UDCA, 175 Post-UDCA, 50 Patients without SBS: Pre-UDCA, 90 Post-UDCA, 50 Conjugated bilirubin Patients with SBS: Pre-UDCA, 3 Post-UDCA, 3 Post-UDCA, <1 Patients without SBS: Pre-UDCA, 1 Post-UDCA, 1 Post-UDCA, 1 Post-UDCA, 0.2	Patients with SBS had higher liver enzymes than those without SBS at baseline No liver biopsies

(continued)

Table 6. (continued)

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
Al-Hathlol et al, 2006 ⁵¹ Treatment study	Open-label trial of UDCA No control Fat emulsion was a MCT/LCT mixture Small samples	PN-dependent Saudi infants with BW <1500 g with PNALD that persisted after stopping PN n = 13		GGT (U/L): Pre-UDCA, 284 ± 57 Post-UDCA, 231 ± 52 $P = .48$ Total bilirubin (µmol/L): Pre-UDCA, 244 ± 38 Post-UDCA, 16 ± 2 $P = .0001$ Conjugated bilirubin (µmol/L): Pre-UDCA, 202 ± 32 Post-UDCA, 10 ± 2 $P = .0001$ AST (U/L): Pre-UDCA, 10 ± 2 10 ± 2 Post-UDCA, 10 ± 2 10 ± 2 Post-UDCA, 10 ± 2 Post-UDCA, 10 ± 2 Post-UDCA, 10 ± 2 Post-UDCA, 10 ± 2	Not HPN patients
Chen et al, 2004 ⁷⁰ Treatment study	Open-label trial of UDCA vs no treatment control No placebo control Small sample	PN-dependent Taiwanese VLBW infants with PNAC UDCA, n = 10 Control, n = 18	Evaluate the effect of UDCA on preterm infants with PNALD	Initial conjugated bilirubin (μ mol/L): UDCA, 4.2 ± 0.4 Control, 3.9 ± 0.6 Peak conjugated bilirubin (μ mol/L): UDCA, 4.9 ± 0.4 Control, 9.8 ± 1.8 P = .023 Duration of cholestasis: UDCA, 62.8 d Control, 92.4 d P = .006	Small sample Not HPN patients Retrospective Open-label study with no placebo control Excluded patients with abdominal surgery
Heubi et al, 2002 ⁵⁰ Prevention and treatment study	Open-label trial of TUDCA vs no treatment control No placebo control Small sample	Infants l with PN-dependence >2 weeks and total bilirubin <2 µmol/L TUDCA, n = 22 Control, n = 30	Evaluate whether TUDCA would prevent or ameliorate liver injury in neonates treated with PN	No difference in liver injury (conjugated bilirubin, ALT, alkaline phosphatase, or bile acid) levels over 120 days of PN therapy in TUDCA vs control	Due to slow enrollment, IRB permitted study to change to open-label treatment with control patients whose parents refused study participation Enrolled after surgery, surgery not described. Poorly reported study
Spagnuolo et al, 1996 ⁷¹ Treatment study	Open-label case series of UDCA No control Small sample	PN-dependent children, NPO with PN n = 7	Evaluate UCDA as treatment for PNALD	Liver enzymes improved on UDCA, increased when UDCA withdrawn	

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BW, birth weight; EN, enteral nutrition; GGT, γ-glutamyl transaminase; HPN, home parenteral nutrition; IRB, institutional review board; LCT, long-chain triglyceride; MCT, medium-chain triglyceride; NPO, nil per os; PN, parenteral nutrition; PNAC, PN-associated cholestasis; PNALD, PN-associated liver disease; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SBS, short bowel syndrome; TUDCA, tauroursodeoxycholate; UDCA, ursodeoxycholate; VLBW, very low birth weight.

Table 7. GRADE Table Question 3: Can Enteral Ursodeoxycholic Acid Improve the Treatment of PNALD in Pediatric Patients With Intestinal Failure?

			Quality Assessmen	nt ^a				
No. of Studies	Design	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	s Quality	Importance
			Prevei	ntion of PNALE)			
2	Randomized trials	No serious risk of bias	Serious ^b	No serious indirectness	Serious ^c	None	Low	Critical
		Change	e in liver enzymes	(better indicate	ed by lower val	lues)		
2	Observational studies	Serious ^d	No serious inconsistency ^b	No serious indirectness	Serious ^e	None	Very low	Critical

PNALD, parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease.

Rationale: The review by San Luis and Btaiche¹⁷ suggests that UDCA may be effective at reducing biochemical signs of PNALD. While the existing reports of UDCA use do not suggest significant infant intolerance to the treatment, the total number of patients treated with UDCA and reported in the 2 RCT prevention studies included here is only 39. One study using a related bile acid, tauroursodeoxycholic acid, for the prevention of cholestasis is included,⁵⁰ where the drug was administered at the start of PN therapy.⁵⁰ No difference in conjugated bilirubin was seen while children received PN for a duration of about 4 months.

Four studies were reviewed for the treatment of PNALD, defined as elevated total or conjugated bilirubin with UDCA. Al-Hathlol et al⁵¹ provide a retrospective report on 13 children with necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and intestinal atresia with persistent direct hyperbilirubinemia, but off PN and on full enteral feeding. Since one would expect the liver biochemistry to resolve over several months after PN is discontinued, the treatment benefit of UDCA is likely confounded by recovered gut function. The other 3 studies were in children who had not had intestinal resections and thus were not at risk for the consequences of the interruption of the enterohepatic circulation of bile acids. Patients with established intestinal failure of any etiology may not tolerate or absorb UDCA, and the proposed treatment benefits of UDCA from these other children may not translate to the intestinal failure population.

Research is needed about dose, timing, duration of therapy, and long-term outcomes in patients with PN-dependent intestinal failure. Trials focusing on patients with established intestinal failure would make the results more applicable. Further research is likely to change our confidence in the effectiveness of UDCA to improve cholestasis.

Question 4. Are PNALD outcomes improved when patients are managed by a multidisciplinary intestinal rehabilitation team? (Tables 8, 9)

Recommendation: A suggestion is made to refer patients with PN-dependent intestinal failure to multidisciplinary intestinal rehabilitation programs. The evidence on this topic is of very low quality, but the improvement in survival is compelling, and the risk to the child of treatment with multidisciplinary practice is not increased.

Evidence: Very low Recommendation: Weak

Rationale: The data supporting this recommendation are based on comparisons of clinical outcomes after the establishment of multidisciplinary intestinal rehabilitation programs relative to historical controls in the same 3 sites and with a total of 133 children included. In a meta-analysis of these 3 studies by Stanger et al.⁵² the relative risk of survival from intestinal failure was 1.22 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.06–1.40), favoring the post-multidisciplinary team practice; however, these findings may also be influenced by factors other than the multidisciplinary team practice that have changed over the same window in time. The Stanger et al article found another 12 articles that were descriptive in design outlining clinical improvement in patients with intestinal failure after initiation of an intestinal rehabilitation program, but no control group was included. In addition, interpretation of the literature is made difficult due to heterogeneity of patient populations, the intestinal rehabilitation program construct at different institutions, variable treatment protocols, and inconsistent definitions of key clinical outcomes. The literature would be improved if investigators could reach consensus on definitions of specific outcomes such as short bowel

^aGokmen et al,⁶⁷ Arslanoglu et al,⁶⁸ Chen et al,⁷⁰ and Heubi et al.⁵⁰

^bTime to full feeds was evaluated in both studies. Day of life (DOL) ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) was started varied, on DOL 3 in one study and DOL 14 in the other. All received enteral nutrition; difficult to know if it was the EN or the UDCA that had the treatment effect.

^cSmall number of participants in 2 studies. Confidence levels are wide.

^dOpen-label trials.

eKnew participants to whom treatment was administered.

Table 8. Evidence Summary Question 4: Are PNALD Outcomes Improved When Patients Are Managed by a Multidisciplinary Intestinal Rehabilitation Team?

Author, Year, Reference No.	Study Design, Quality	Population, Setting, N	Study Objective	Results	Comments
Sigalet et al, 2009 ⁶	Retrospective medical record review	Infants referred for surgical and nutrition care in 1998–2006, n = 33 vs 2006–2009, n = 22	Compare outcomes of early conventional approach to team- based aggressive care to prevent PNALD	Treatments that were increased in later cohort: • Rotating antibiotics • Fat emulsion dose reduction • FOE • STEP procedure Survival: • 1998–2006, 24 of 33 (73%) • 2006–2009, 22 of 22 (100%), P = .01 PNALD: • 1998–2006, 0 of 33 • 2006–2009, 0 of 22 Months of follow-up: • 1998–2006, 75 ± 15 • 2006–2009, 15.4 ± 8.0, P = .01	
Modi et al, 2008 ⁵	Retrospective medical record review	All patients with SBS after multidisciplinary team in 1999–2006, n = 54, vs historical control in 1986– 1998, n = 30	Does multidisciplinary team management improve outcomes?	Survival: • 1986–1998, 22 of 30 (73%) • 1999–2006, 49 of 54 (89%), $P < .05$ PNALD: • 1986–1998, 1 of 30 (3%) • 1999–2006, 5 of 54 (9%)	Small sample size
Diamond et al, 2007 ⁴	Retrospective medical record review	All patients with SBS after multidisciplinary team in 2003–2005, n = 54, vs historical control in 1997– 1999, n = 40	Describe outcome from multidisciplinary team management	Overall survival: • 1997–1999, 28 of 40 (70%) • 2003–2005, 42 of 54 (78%) Mortality from liver failure: • 1997–1999, 22.2% • 1999–2006, 11.1%, P = .14 Sepsis episodes (median/month): • 1997–1999, 0.5 • 1999–2006, 0.3, P = .01	Small sample size

FOE, fish oil fat emulsion; PNALD, parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease; SBS, short bowel syndrome; STEP, serial transverse enteroplasty procedure.

syndrome/intestinal failure, cholestasis, liver failure, sepsis, and PN independence. Further research is likely to change this recommendation.

A number of related questions remain to be answered. What characteristics of nutrition supportive care employed by these programs are associated with improved clinical outcomes? Can key practice protocols derived from these groups be translated broadly to improve the care of children who are not able to access a multidisciplinary program? What is the prevalence of other chronic health concerns, such as metabolic bone disease, in long-term survivors of intestinal failure? Now that mortality risk has diminished with establishment of intestinal rehabilitation programs, future research should address the impact of other comorbidities on outcome, long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes, quality of life of patients receiving

chronic PN and after intestinal transplantation, and economic evaluation of intestinal rehabilitation programs.

Acknowledgments

A.S.P.E.N. Board of Directors Providing Final Approval: Ainsley Malone, MS, RD, CNSC; Tom Jaksic, MD, PhD; Phil Ayers, PharmD, BCNSP, FASHP; Albert Baroccas, MD; Praveen S. Goday, MBBS, CNSC; Carol Ireton-Jones, PhD, RD, LD, CNSC; Gordon Sacks, PharmD, BCNSP, FASHP; Jay Mirtallo, MS, RPh, BCNSP, FASHP; Lawrence A. Robinson, BS, MS, PharmD; and Charles W. Van Way III, MD, FASPEN

A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines Editorial Board: Charlene Compher, PhD, RD, CNSC, LDN, FADA, FASPEN; Nancy Allen, MS, RD; Joseph I. Boullata, PharmD, RPh, BCNSP; Carol

Table 9. GRADE Table Question 4: Are PNALD Outcomes Improved When Patients Are Managed by a Multidisciplinary Intestinal Rehabilitation Team?

			Quality Assessment ^a	ıent ^a			No. of Patients	ents	Ef	Effect		
No. of Studies	Design	Risk of Bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other Considerations	Other Multidisciplinary Control, Imprecision Considerations IRP, No. (%) No. (%)	Control, No. (%)	Relative Risk (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Quality Importance
				Survival f	rom intestinal	failure (follow	Survival from intestinal failure (follow-up 7-22 months)					
3	Observational Serious ^b studies	Serious ^b	No serious inconsistency ^{b,c}	No serious Nindirectness	No serious imprecision	None	113/130 (86.9) $74/103$ 1.22 (1.06– 158 more per $(71.8)^{d}$ 1.40) 1000 (from $43-287$ more)	74/103 (71.8) ^d	1.22 (1.06– 1.40)	158 more per 1000 (from 43–287 more)	Very low	Critical
				Ó	erall survival	Overall survival (follow-up 7-22 months)	2 months)					
κ	Observational Serious ^{b.c} No serious studies inconsiste	Serious ^{b,c}	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	106/130 (81.5) 70/103 (68)		1.22 (1.09– 1.41)	1.22 (1.09- 150 more per 1.41) 1000 (from 61-279 more)	Very Iow	Critical
				Develop	nent of liver fa	ailure (follow-u	Development of liver failure (follow-up 7-22 months)					
7	Observational Serious ^b studies	Serious ^b	Serious°	Serious ^f	Serious	None	13/76 (17.1)	34/73 (46.6)	0.2 (0–17.25)	373 fewer per Very 1000 (from low 466 fewer to 1000 more)	Very low	Critical
				Ent	eral autonomy	Enteral autonomy (follow-up 7-22 months)	22 months)					
3	Observational Serious ^{b.c} No serious studies inconsiste	Serious ^{b,c}	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	89/130 (68.5)	69/103 (67)	1.05 (0.88– 33 more per 1.25) 1000 (from 80 fewer to 167 more)	33 more per 1000 (from 80 fewer to 167 more)	Very low	Critical

CI, confidence interval; IRP, intestinal rehabilitation programs; PNALD, parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease.

^aSigalet et al, ⁶ Modi et al, ⁵ and Diamond et al. ⁴
^bThe risk ratio of the median risk of the 3 studies is equivalent to the mean risk ratio and is not reported.

^cBy design, the findings are associative.

^dSelection bias is likely. Tertiary centers are likely to have children with shorter bowel length than children treated at nonreferral centers with smaller geographic coverage.

^eHeterogeneity is very high for this outcome. The *P*² statistic is 90%.

^eWide confidence intervals and zero events in some groups decrease the precision in the size of the effect.

L. Braunschweig, PhD, RD; Donald E. George, MD; Edwin Simpser, MD; and Patricia A. Worthington, MSN, RN, CNSN

References

- Wales PW, de Silva N, Kim JH, Lecce L, Sandhu A, Moore AM. Neonatal short bowel syndrome: a cohort study. J Pediatr Surg. 2005;40(5):755-762.
- Nucci A, Burns RC, Armah T, et al. Interdisciplinary management of pediatric intestinal failure: a 10-year review of rehabilitation and transplantation. J Gastrointest Surg. 2008;12(3):429-436.
- Torres C, Sudan D, Vanderhoof J, et al. Role of an intestinal rehabilitation program in the treatment of advanced intestinal failure. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2007;45(2):204-212.
- Diamond IR, de Silva N, Pencharz PB, et al. Neonatal short bowel syndrome outcomes after the establishment of the first Canadian multidisciplinary intestinal rehabilitation program: preliminary experience. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2007;42(5):806-811.
- Modi BP, Langer M, Ching YA, et al. Improved survival in a multidisciplinary short bowel syndrome program. J Pediatr Surg. 2008;43(1):20-24.
- Sigalet D, Boctor D, Robertson M, et al. Improved outcomes in paediatric intestinal failure with aggressive prevention of liver disease. Eur J Pediatr Surg. 2009;19(6):348-353.
- Diamond IR, Pencharz PB, Feldman BM, Ling SC, Moore AM, Wales PW. Novel lipid-based approaches to pediatric intestinal failure-associated liver disease. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2012;166(5):473-478.
- Rangel SJ, Calkins CM, Cowles RA, et al. Parenteral nutrition-associated cholestasis: an American Pediatric Surgical Association Outcomes and Clinical Trials Committee systematic review. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2012;47(1):225-240.
- Diamond IR, de Silva NT, Tomlinson GA, et al. The role of parenteral lipids in the development of advanced intestinal failure–associated liver disease in infants: a multiple-variable analysis. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2011;35(5):596-602.
- Mutanen A, Lohi J, Heikkile P, Koivusalo AI, Rintala RJ, Pakarinen MP. Persistent abnormal liver fibrosis after weaning off parenteral nutrition in pediatric intestinal failure. *Hepatology*. 2013;58:729-738.
- Piper HG, Wales PW. Prevention of catheter-related blood stream infections in children with intestinal failure. Curr Opin Gastroenterol. 2013;29(1):1-6.
- Sanders J, Pithie A, Ganly P, et al. A prospective double-blind randomized trial comparing intraluminal ethanol with heparinized saline for the prevention of catheter-associated bloodstream infection in immunosuppressed haematology patients. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008;62(4):809-815.
- Metcalf SC, Chambers ST, Pithie AD. Use of ethanol locks to prevent recurrent central line sepsis. J Infect. 2004;49(1):20-22.
- Cavicchi M, Beau P, Crenn P, Degott C, Messing B. Prevalence of liver disease and contributing factors in patients receiving home parenteral nutrition for permanent intestinal failure. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000:132:525-532.
- Cober MP, Kovacevich DS, Teitelbaum DH. Ethanol-lock therapy for the prevention of central venous access device infections in pediatric patients with intestinal failure. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2011;35(1):67-73.
- Rollins MD, Ward RM, Jackson WD, et al. Effect of decreased parenteral soybean lipid emulsion on hepatic function in infants at risk for parenteral nutrition–associated liver disease: a pilot study. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2013;48(6):1348-1356.
- San Luis VA, Btaiche IF. Ursodiol in patients with parenteral nutrition associated cholestasis. Ann Pharmacother. 2007;41(11):1867-1872.
- Guidelines for the use of parenteral and enteral nutrition in adult and pediatric patients. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2002;26(1) (suppl):1SA-138SA.
- Arsenault D, Brenn M, Kim S, et al. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia in the neonate receiving parenteral nutrition. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2012;36(1):81-95.

- Fallon EM, Nehra D, Potemkin AK, et al. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support of neonatal patients at risk for necrotizing enterocolitis. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2012;36(5):506-523.
- McMahon MM, Nystrom E, Braunschweig C, et al. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support of adult patients with hyperglycemia. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2013;37(1):23-36.
- Mehta NM, Compher C. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support of the critically ill child. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2009;33(3): 260-276.
- Mueller C, Compher C, Ellen DM; American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition Board of Directors. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition screening, assessment, and intervention in adults. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2011;35(1):16-24.
- Brown RO, Compher C. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support in adult acute and chronic renal failure. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2010;34(4):366-377.
- Jaksic T, Hull MA, Modi BP, Ching YA, George D, Compher C. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support of neonates supported with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral* Nutr. 2010;34(3):247-253.
- Jesuit C, Dillon C, Compher C, American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition Board of Directors, Lenders CM. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support of hospitalized pediatric patients with obesity. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2010;34(1):13-20.
- August DA, Huhmann MB. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support therapy during adult anticancer treatment and in hematopoietic cell transplantation. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2009;33(5):472-500.
- Sabery N, Duggan C. A.S.P.E.N. Clinical Guidelines: nutrition support of children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2009;33(6):588-606.
- Schunemann H, Brozek J, Oxman AD. GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendation. Version 3.2. 2009. http://www.cc-ims.net/gradepro. Accessed September 27, 2013.
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction—GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64(4):383-394.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 2. Framing the question and deciding on important outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64(4):395-400.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, et al. GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64(4):407-415.
- Druyan ME, Compher C, Boullata JI, et al. Clinical guidelines for the use of parenteral and enteral nutrition in adult and pediatric patients: applying the GRADE system to development of A.S.P.E.N. clinical guidelines. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2012;36(1):77-80.
- Oliveira C, Nasr A, Brindle M, Wales PW. Ethanol locks to prevent catheter-related bloodstream infections in parenteral nutrition: a metaanalysis. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(2):318-329.
- Crnich C, Halfmann J, Crone W, Maki DG. The effects of prolonged ethanol exposure on the mechanical properties of polyurethane and silicone catheters used for intravascular access. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2005;26:708-714.
- Cober MP, Johnson CE. Stability of 70% alcohol solutions in polypropylene syringes for use in ethanol-lock therapy. Am J Health System Pharm. 2007;64(23):2480-2482.
- Food and Drug Administration. 2013. http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/ Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm370740.htm
- Colomb V, Jobert-Giraud A, Lacaille F, Goulet O, Fournet JC, Ricour C. Role of lipid emulsions in cholestasis associated with long-term parenteral nutrition in children. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2000;24(6):345-350.
- Rollins MD, Scaife ER, Jackson WD, Meyers RL, Mulroy CW, Book LS. Elimination of soybean lipid emulsion in parenteral nutrition and supplementation with enteral fish oil improve cholestasis in infants with short bowel syndrome. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2010;25(2):199-204.

- Shin JI, Namgung R, Park MS, Lee C. Could lipid infusion be a risk for parenteral nutrition—associated cholestasis in low birth weight neonates? *Eur J Pediatr*. 2008;167(2):197-202.
- Cober MP, Killu G, Brattain A, Welch KB, Kunisaki SM, Teitelbaum DH. Intravenous fat emulsions reduction for patients with parenteral nutrition– associated liver disease. *J Pediatr*. 2012;160(3):421-427.
- Gura KM, Lee S, Valim C, et al. Safety and efficacy of a fish-oil-based fat emulsion in the treatment of parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease. *Pediatrics*. 2008;121(3):e678-e686.
- Le HD, de Meijer VE, Robinson EM, et al. Parenteral fish-oil-based lipid emulsion improves fatty acid profiles and lipids in parenteral nutritiondependent children. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;94(3):749-758.
- Puder M, Valim C, Meisel JA, et al. Parenteral fish oil improves outcomes in patients with parenteral nutrition–associated liver injury. *Ann Surg*. 2009;250(3):395-402.
- de Meijer VE, Gura KM, Le HD, Meisel JA, Puder M. Fish oil-based lipid emulsions prevent and reverse parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease: the Boston experience. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2009;33(5):541-547.
- 46. Goulet O, Antebi H, Wolf C, et al. A new intravenous fat emulsion containing soybean oil, medium-chain triglycerides, olive oil, and fish oil: a single-center, double-blind randomized study on efficacy and safety in pediatric patients receiving home parenteral nutrition. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2010;34(5):485-495.
- Skouroliakou M, Konstantinou D, Koutri K, et al. A double-blind, randomized clinical trial of the effect of omega-3 fatty acids on the oxidative stress of preterm neonates fed through parenteral nutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2010;64(9):940-947.
- 48. Tomsits E, Pataki M, Tolgyesi A, Fekete G, Rischak K, Szollar L. Safety and efficacy of a lipid emulsion containing a mixture of soybean oil, medium-chain triglycerides, olive oil, and fish oil: a randomised, double-blind clinical trial in premature infants requiring parenteral nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2010;51(4):514-521.
- Rayyan M, Devlieger H, Jochum F, Allegaert K. Short-term use of parenteral nutrition with a lipid emulsion containing a mixture of soybean oil, olive oil, medium-chain triglycerides, and fish oil: a randomized double-blind study in preterm infants. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2012;36(1) (suppl):81S-94S.
- Heubi JE, Wiechmann DA, Creutzinger V, et al. Tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) in the prevention of total parenteral nutrition-associated liver disease. J Pediatr. 2002;141(2):237-242.
- Al-Hathlol K, Al-Madani A, Al-Saif S, Abulaimoun B, Al-Tawil K, El-Demerdash A. Ursodeoxycholic acid therapy for intractable total parenteral nutrition—associated cholestasis in surgical very low birth weight infants. Singapore Med J. 2006;47(2):147-151.
- Stanger JD, Oliveira C, Blackmore C, Avitzur Y, Wales PW. The impact of multi-disciplinary intestinal rehabilitation programs on the outcome of pediatric patients with intestinal failure: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2013;48(5):983-992.
- Pieroni KP, Nespor C, Ng M, et al. Evaluation of ethanol lock therapy in pediatric patients on long-term parenteral nutrition. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2013;28(2):226-231.
- Wong T, Clifford V, McCallum Z, et al. Central venous catheter thrombosis associated with 70% ethanol locks in pediatric intestinal failure patients on home parenteral nutrition: a case series. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2012;36(3):358-360.

- Wales PW, Kosar C, Carricato M, de Silva N, Lang K, Avitzur Y. Ethanol lock therapy to reduce the incidence of catheter-related bloodstream infections in home parenteral nutrition patients with intestinal failure: preliminary experience. J Pediatr Surg. 2011;46(5):951-956.
- Jones BA, Hull MA, Richardson DS, et al. Efficacy of ethanol locks in reducing central venous catheter infections in pediatric patients with intestinal failure. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2010;45(6):1287-1293.
- Mouw E, Chessman K, Lesher A, Tagge E. Use of an ethanol lock to prevent catheter-related infections in children with short bowel syndrome. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2008;43(6):1025-1029.
- Nehra D, Fallon EM, Carlson SJ, et al. Provision of a soy-based intravenous lipid emulsion at 1 g/kg/d does not prevent cholestasis in neonates. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2013;37(4):498-505.
- Calkins KL, Dunn JC, Shew SB, et al. Pediatric intestinal failure-associated liver disease is reversed with 6 months of intravenous fish oil [published online July 26, 2013]. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.
- Premkumar MH, Carter BA, Hawthorne KM, King K, Abrams SA. High rates of resolution of cholestasis in parenteral nutrition—associated liver disease with fish oil–based lipid emulsion monotherapy. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metabol*. 2013;162:793-798.
- Le HD, Gura KM, Arsenault DA, Fallon EM, Potemkin AK, Puder M. Assessing portal fibrosis in parenteral nutrition-dependent patients treated with omega-3 fatty acid lipid emulsion. *J Pediatr*. 2010;157(3):517-518.
- de Meijer VE, Gura KM, Meisel JA, Le HD, Puder M. Parenteral fish oil monotherapy in the management of patients with parenteral nutrition associated liver disease. *Arch Surg.* 2010;145(6):547-551.
- Diamond IR, Pencharz PB, Wales PW. Omega-3 lipids for intestinal failure associated liver disease. Semin Pediatr Surg. 2009;18(4):239-245.
- Lee SI, Valim C, Johnston P, et al. Impact of fish oil–based lipid emulsion on serum triglyceride, bilirubin, and albumin levels in children with parenteral nutrition–associated liver disease. *Pediatr Res.* 2009;66(6):698-703.
- Le HD, de Meijer VE, Zurakowski D, Meisel JA, Gura KM, Puder M. Parenteral fish oil as monotherapy improves lipid profiles in children with parenteral nutrition–associated liver disease. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral* Nutr. 2010;34(5):477-484.
- de Meijer VE, Le HD, Meisel JA, Gura KM, Puder M. Parenteral fish oil as monotherapy prevents essential fatty acid deficiency in parenteral nutrition dependent patients. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2010;50(2):212-218.
- Gokmen T, Oguz SS, Bozdag S, Erdeve O, Uras N, Gilmen U. A controlled trial of erythromycin and UDCA in premature infants during parenteral nutrition in minimizing feeding intolerance and liver function abnormalities. *J Perinatol*. 2012;32:123-128.
- Arslanoglu S, Moro GE, Tauschel HD, Boehm G. Ursodeoxycholic acid treatment in preterm infants: a pilot study for the prevention of cholestasis associated with total parenteral nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2008;46(2):228-231.
- De Marco G, Sordino D, Bruzzesi E, et al. Early treatment with ursodeoxycholic acid for cholestasis in children on parenteral nutrition because of primary intestinal failure. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2006;24:387-394.
- Chen C-Y, Tsao P-N, Chen HL, et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid therapy in very low birth weight infants with parenteral nutrition-associated cholestasis. *J Pediatr*. 2004;2004(145):317-321.
- Spagnuolo MI, Iorio R, Vegnente A, Guarino A. Ursodeoxycholic acid for treatment of cholestasis in children on long-term total parenteral nutrition: a pilot study. *Gastroenterology*. 1996;111(3):716-719.

A.S.P.E.N Journal-based Continuing Education (CE) Activity

Journal Article Title and Citation:

ERAS - Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: Moving Evidence-Based Perioperative Care to Practice, *IPEN*, July 2014

Journal-based CE Activity Overall Goal:

The JPEN Editor, in concurrence with A.S.P.E.N.'s Education and Professional Development Committee, selected this article to be offered for CE credit to fill an observed learning need in the arena of clinical nutrition and metabolism. This CE activity serves to promote the process of life-long learning for physicians, dietitians, pharmacists, and nurses by providing peer-reviewed journal articles that fully qualify for continuing education credits.

Goal and Target Audience:

This educational activity is directed toward clinical nutrition and metabolism professionals and others who wish to update their knowledge of clinical nutrition and metabolism. By participating in this educational activity, the reader may expect to:

- Acquire knowledge in the area of clinical nutrition and metabolism research
- Update or confirm your understanding of appropriate clinical nutrition and metabolism practices.
- Identify further learning needs as they relate to the subject matter.

Learning Objectives:

- Describe the basic principles of ERAS enhanced recovery after surgery programs
- 2. Summarize why the principles of ERAS give improved outcomes
- 3. Name key elements of the ERAS program
- 4. Describe an ERAS team

Successful Completion: To obtain CE credit for this activity, attendees must read the journal article in its entirety, complete an online assessment for each article and achieve a score of 100%, and complete an online activity evaluation. All are located in A.S.P.E.N.'s eLearning Center – www.nutritioncare.org/elearning.

Accreditation Statements/Continuing Education Credit: Nurses



A.S.P.E.N. is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

This activity is for a maximum of 1 contact hours per journal article.

A.S.P.E.N. is approved by the California Board of Registered Nursing, Provider CEP 3970, for 1 contact hour per article.

Pharmacists



A.S.P.E.N. is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education as a provider of continuing pharmacy education.

This activity is for a maximum of 1 contact hours (.1 CEU) per journal article. ACPE UAN: 0216-0000-14-094-H04-P. Knowledge activity.

Dietitians



A.S.P.E.N., Provider Number AM005, is a Continuing Professional Education (CPE) Accredited Provider with the Commission on Dietetic Registration (CDR). Registered dietitians (RDs) and dietetic technicians, registered (DTRs) will receive a maximum of 1 continuing professional education units (CPEU) for completion of each journal article. CDR level 2. Dietitians may post

comments on this program on www.cdrnet.org.

Physicians Provider 0002345

A.S.P.E.N. is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

A.S.P.E.N. designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits TM per article. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Policies/Information:

- Release and Expiration: Release date: July 1, 2014. Expiration date: July 31, 2015. All CE credit must be claimed by the expiration date in A.S.P.E.N.'s eLearning Center www.nutritioncare.org/elearning.
- Non-Commercialism: A.S.P.E.N. subscribes to the ACCME Standards for Commercial Support. A.S.P.E.N. does not provide programs that constitute advertisement or include promotional materials. A.S.P.E.N. does not endorse any products.
- Computer Requirements and Technical Support: Participants will need a computer with internet connection to access the online assessment and evaluation for each journal article. If the journal article is read electronically, then the ability to read a PDF document is also necessary. Users should enable pop-ups if attempting to read article electronically. For technical difficulties please contact Digitell Inc. Customer Support at: 1-800-679-3646. Office hours are between 9AM to 5PM ET, Monday through Friday
- Privacy and Confidentiality: A.S.P.E.N. respects the privacy of its members and website visitors. Companies that receive personal information from A.S.P.E.N. in order to execute the business of A.S.P.E.N. may use personal information only for that purpose.
- Refund Policy: Refunds are not available.
- Grievances: Grievances must be submitted in writing to Director of Education at A.S.P.E.N. 8630 Fenton Street. Suite 412. Silver Spring, MD 20910.

Commercial Support and Sponsorship:

No commercial support or sponsorship has been received for this continuing education activity.

Commercial Relationships Disclosures and Conflicts of Interest (COI) of Authors and Journal Editors

Olle Ljungqvist has served on the advisory board for Nutricia and received honoraria. Is a founder and stock owner of Encare AB (contracted by the ERAS society to run the IT systems and implementation programs for the society). Peer review process resolves any potential conflicts.

JPEN Associate Editors, David August, Lingtak-Neander Chan, Jean-Charles Preiser, Diana Mager: nothing to disclose. Mark Puder has served on the Pronova advisory board and received grant funding and consulting fee

JPEN Editor-in-Chief Kelly Tappenden has served as a consultant for NPS Pharmaceuticals, Inc and Abbott Nutrition. Has received honoraria for both roles.

No conflicts related to disclosure information present and subsequently no need for resolution. Standard journal peer review process also in place as another layer of review to ensure no conflicts to resolve.