

Author Manuscript

Title: High Surface Area, Thermally Stable, Hydrophobic, Microporous, Rigid Gels Generated at Ambient From MeSi(OEt)₃/(EtO)₃SiCH₂CH₂Si(OEt)₃ Mixtures by F- Catalyzed Hydrolysis

Authors: Richard M. Laine, Prof.; Joseph C Furgal, Ph.D.; Honami Yamane, Bachelors; Timothy R Odykirk, Bachelors; Eongyu Yi; Yoshiki Chujo, Ph.D.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record.

To be cited as: 10.1002/chem.201704941

Link to VoR: <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201704941>

High Surface Area Polymer Gels Generated from Me-Si(OEt)₃/(EtO)₃SiCH₂CH₂Si(OEt)₃ mixtures by Fluoride Catalyzed Hydrolysis

Joseph C. Furgal,^{1,8*} Honami Yamane,² Timothy R. Odykirk,³ Eongyu Yi,³ Yoshiki Chujo,²
Richard M. Laine^{3,4*}

¹Chemistry, ²Dept. Polymer Chemistry, Kyoto University, ³Materials Sci. and Eng.,
⁴Macromolecular Sci. & Engin., University of Michigan
*talsdad@umich.edu

Abstract

High surface area materials are of considerable interest for gas storage/capture, molecular sieving, catalyst supports, as well as for slow release drug delivery systems. We report here a very simple and fast route to very high surface area, mechanically robust, hydrophobic polymer gels prepared by fluoride catalyzed hydrolysis of mixtures of MeSi(OEt)₃ and bis-triethoxysilyl ethane (BTSE) at room temperature. These materials offer specific surface areas up to 1300 m²/g, peak pore sizes of 0.8 nm and thermal stabilities above 200 °C. The gelation times and surface areas can be controlled by adjusting the solvent volume (dichloromethane), percent fluoride (as nBu₄NF or TBAF) and the BTSE contents. Polymers with other corners and linkers were also explored. These nearly incompressible, high surface area, thermally stable, hydrophobic materials offer considerable potential as insulating materials for a wide variety of applications.

Author Manuscript

There is exceptional interest in developing polymeric materials with high surface areas (>750 m^2/g) and microporosity (pore sizes < 2 nm) driven by their potential utility in diverse applications including gas storage and/or sieving, as catalyst supports, molecular separations, insulation, low-k dielectrics, nano-reactors and release agents.¹⁻⁸ Recent active areas include the development of coordination polymers such as metal organic frameworks (MOFs) due to their minimum defect content arising from reversible chemistries and also very high surface areas (>4000 m^2/g).^{1,6,8}

Coincidentally, purely covalent systems, covalent organic frameworks (COFs)⁹⁻¹² have also received considerable recent attention partly because bond formation is irreversible and partly because covalent bonding makes them inherently more stable than MOFs, which rely on metal ion coordination. Two synthetic strategies used in developing COFs are via templating with porogens and the co-reaction of rigid non-planar moieties resulting in 3D structures. Many high surface area organic materials such as hyper-cross-linked styrene-divinylbenzene copolymers are made by the porogen method with surface areas approaching 2000 m^2/g . Silsesquioxane based materials fit into the second synthetic methodology, whereby porosity introduction employs the monomer geometry coupled with controlled polymerization.¹³⁻²¹

Most high surface area materials containing silicon are made via sol-gel processing of tri- and tetraalkoxysilanes.²²⁻²⁵ Sol-gel processing employs acid or base catalyzed hydrolysis and condensation to form highly cross-linked xero- or aerogels depending on the drying method. Surface areas > 1000 m^2/g are easily accessible by these methods, however the long reaction times and/or critical drying conditions necessary to make these materials by sol-gel processing have prompted exploration of simple alternatives.

In a recent paper,²⁶ we reported that $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ catalyzed Piers-Rubinsztajn oxysilylation of the cubic symmetry Q-cage $[(\text{HMe}_2\text{SiOSiO}_{1.5})_8]$ with ethoxysilanes in hexane very rapidly (minutes) forms irreversible microporous 3-D networks with surface areas >700 m^2/g and peak pore sizes of ~ 0.6 nm. One limitation to this method is its sensitivity to water. As an alternative, we sought to develop a method that offers equal or higher surface areas and is insensitive to water/moisture.

To this end, and based on our recent efforts to explore the synthesis and rearrangement of SQs by F^- catalysis.²⁷⁻²⁹ We report here a facile method of in-situ formation of high surface area microporous $\text{MeSiOEt}_3/\text{Bistriethoxy-silylethane}$ (BTSE) based gels by F^- (as $n\text{Bu}_4\text{NF}$) catalyzed

hydrolysis and copolymerization (yields > 90%, < 24 h at ambient). This work follows on complementary studies on the conversion of the same components via traditional sol-gel methods to generate extremely hard, thin films of use for nano-imprint lithography.³⁰

Experimental section.

Materials

Methyltriethoxysilane [MeSi(OEt)₃], vinyltriethoxysilane [vinylSi(OEt)₃], phenyltriethoxysilane [PhSi(OEt)₃] and bistrithoxysilyl ethane [(EtO)₃SiCH₂CH₂Si(OEt)₃, BTSE] were obtained from Gelest, Inc. Phenyl T₁₀/T₁₂ silsesquioxane (dodecaphenyl silsesquioxane or DDPS) was made in house. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), and tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF, 1.0 M in THF) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. All chemicals were used as received. All reactions were conducted at room temperature in the presence of air.

Typical condensation reactions. In a 1 l round bottom flask equipped with magnetic stirring were mixed 800 ml of reagent grade CH₂Cl₂, and 12 to 25 mmol of MeSi(OEt)₃ and 8-4 mmol of BTSE. To this mixture was added 1-3 ml of H₂O and 0.5-2 mmol of TBAF in CH₂Cl₂ and the reaction stirred for 24 h at ambient under air. Table 1 lists sets of experiments conducted a minimum of two times.

Table 1. F catalyzed co-condensation of MeSi(OEt)₃/BTSE to form high surface area materials.

	MeSi(OEt) ₃ mmol	BTSE mmol	TBAF mmol	H ₂ O mL	CH ₂ Cl ₂ mL	Surface area m ² /g	Peak Pore Size	Cumulative Pore Vol.
1	25.1	8.11	2.0	3.0	800	875	-	-
2	25.1	8.78	2.0	3.0	800	1040	-	-
3	25.1	7.43	2.0	3.0	800	1034	-	-
4	25.1	9.46	2.0	3.0	800	1049	-	-
5	25.1	8.78	2.0	3.2	800	1188	-	-
6	12.5	5.07	1.0	1.7	400	1144	0.8 nm	1.44 cm ³ /g [0.37 micro/1.07 meso]
7	12.5	4.39	1.5	1.5	400	1307	0.8 nm	2.34 cm ³ /g [0.42 micro /1.92 meso]
8	12.5	4.39	0.5	1.5	400	1194	0.8 nm	1.97 cm ³ /g [0.39 micro/1.58 meso]
9	12.5	4.39	1.0	2.0	400	1242	-	-
10	12.5	4.39	1.0	1.0	400	1145	-	-
11	25.1	0	2.0	2.5	800	4	-	-

Analytical methods

Fourier– transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Diffuse reflectance Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectra were obtained using a Nicolet 6700 Series FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Madison, WI). Optical grade KBr (International Crystal Laboratories, Garfield, NJ) was ground with 1.0 wt% of the sample to be analyzed. The ground powder was packed into a sample holder and leveled off with a glass plate to give a smooth surface. The FTIR sample

chamber was purged continuously with N₂ prior to data acquisition. 64 scans were averaged for each spectrum in the range 4000-400 cm⁻¹ with a precision of ≈ 4 cm cm⁻¹.

Specific surface area (SSA) and porosity analyses. Were carried out using an ASAP 2020 sorption analyzer (Micromeritics Inc., Norcross, GA). Samples (200 mg) were degassed at 150°C/8 h. Each analysis was run at 196°C (77 K) with N₂. The SSAs were determined by the BET multipoint method using ten data points at relative pressures (p/p₀) of 0.050.30. The micropore size distribution was determined by the Horvath-Kawazoe method. Data points were collected with low pressure incremental dose mode at $0 < p/p_0 \leq 0.1$. Mesopore size distributions were calculated using the BJH method from data points collected at $0 < p/p_0 \leq 1.0$.


Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA/DTA). Thermal stabilities of materials under synthetic air were measured on a Q600 simultaneous TGA-DSC Instrument (TA Instruments, Inc., New Castle, DE). Before analysis, samples were ground into a powder and 15-25 mg were placed into alumina pans and then ramped from 25 to 1000°C (10°C/min). The air-flow was 60mL/min.

X-ray diffraction patterns (XRDs) were collected on a Rigaku Rotating Anode Goniometer (Rigaku Denki., LTD., Tokyo, Japan). The Jade Program 2010 (Version 1.1.5 Materials Data, Inc., Livermore CA) was used to determine the presence of crystallographic phases. XRD scans were made from 5 to 40° 2 θ , using a scan rate of 0.5° min⁻¹ in 0.01° increments (2° min⁻¹ for those in SI) and CuK α radiation (1.541Å) operating at 40 kV and 100 mA.

Solvent impregnation studies. Gels (500-600 mg) were ground into powders and dried in air for 30 min at 100°C. Powders were cooled to ambient and weighed. Powders were then placed in a clean beaker and covered with 20mL of reagent grade solvent for 30 min. The mixture was then gravity filtered and the powders patted dry with a Kimwipe and air-dried for 10 min before weighing. Solvent mass retention was calculated from the difference between wet and dry mass using the following formula: $\text{mass}_{\text{wet}} - \text{mass}_{\text{dry}} / \text{mass}_{\text{dry}} \times 100\% = \text{mass gain}$.

Results and discussion

In the following, we begin with the most basic synthesis of high surface area [methylSiO_{1.5}]_n/[bis-ethylSiO_{1.5}]_m polymer gels by F⁻ catalysis (Scheme 1). These materials are very simple to make, MeSi(OEt)₃ and bis-triethoxysilylethane (BTSE) are added to a flask containing CH₂Cl₂, followed by small amounts of water and finally 1M TBAF solution as catalyst (Table 1). The solution is left to stir producing white precipitates (network polymer) within one hour. After 24 h, the precipitates are filtered off, rinsed and dried under vacuum at 50 °C. These precipitates were then characterized by FTIR (see SI), TGA, SEM and XRD) and their specific surface areas (SSAs) analyzed by BET.



Scheme 1. Reaction of $\text{MeSi}(\text{OEt})_3$ w/BTSE using TBAF as catalyst in CH_2Cl_2 as solvent.

Initial experiments adjusted $\text{MeSi}(\text{OEt})_3$ /BTSE ratios to determine how cross-link densities affected SSAs. As seen in Table 1 for gels 1-4, small changes ± 2 mmol in BTSE only minimally influence SSAs, providing materials with SSAs $\sim 1000 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$. Halving the reaction scale (gels 6-10) and increasing the F⁻ concentration from 0.08 to 0.12 equivalents increases SSAs slightly to $1300 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (Table 1, gel 7), higher than most zeolites.³¹⁻³⁷ The effect of water on the reaction was explored, however no clear trend was observed. FTIR analyses (Figure S1) of these materials showed only small $\nu\text{C-H}$ (3000 cm^{-1}) suggesting elimination of ethoxy groups, resulting from complete formation of $-\text{RSiO}_{1.5}$ species likely consisting of complete and partly ring opened SQ cages.^{22,23}

Thermal analysis using TGA/DTA was somewhat complicated by the high porosity and friability of these materials, with the air flow in TGA analysis often blowing powders out of the ceramic pans. Still a further issue is that some solvent or residual ethoxy groups might remain trapped in pores even despite drying such that initial mass losses though small may arise from very slow release of this residual solvent or water as it works its way through torturous paths.

Nonetheless, several successful runs were obtained allowing interpretation of extent of polymerization and thermal stability. Figure 2 shows an exemplary TGA of gel 7 revealing a thermal stability, defined as a 5 wt % mass loss temperature of $395 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The actual and theoretical ceramic yields, 87 vs 90% respectively may be attributable to residual solvent, water or incomplete removal of the ethoxy groups as noted just above.

These results can be anticipated based on polymer formation coincident with precipitation. Precipitation will result in greatly reduced reaction rates. Certainly, diffusion rates must be curtailed by the very fine pore structures. This is the most likely scenario for retaining (trapping)

small amounts of ethoxy groups. Even so, this is relatively small if we assume the mass loss just above 200 °C is from ethoxy groups, it amounts to ≈ 2 wt %. A thermal anneal at 200 °C would alleviate this discrepancy forcefully removing the remaining ethoxy groups.




Figure 1. TGA/DTA of gel 7. Theoretical ceramic yield (CY) of 90% and actual CY of 87%.

The Figure 3 XRD shown for gel 7 shows little to no periodicity. The only clear peak at $\approx 23^\circ 2\theta$ is typical of an amorphous hump but it also corresponds to the separation between cage faces (~ 0.4 nm).³⁸ These results were anticipated and contrast with those exhibited by zeolites because the reaction is a kinetic precipitation method at room temperature, whereas zeolites are hydrothermally equilibrated to form crystalline structures.^{32,33}

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of gel 7 (Figure 3) reveal a tendency to agglomerate, typical of fine powders. Overall the method gives materials with quite uniform particle shapes and sizes.




Figure 2. XRD of gel 7 showing that the material is amorphous.




Figure 3. SEM images of gel 7 at 2x and 8x magnification.

Micropore/mesopore analysis of gel 7 (Figure 4) shows peak pore diameters of ~ 0.8 nm, (Figure 4a) and a cumulative pore volume of $2.3 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ (Micro- and meso-pore volumes, 0.42 and $1.92 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, respectively). Since the pore diameters have a reasonably narrow distribution, it would be expected that the formed structures are uniform. For these materials, retention of high SSA does not necessitate supercritical processing, which means no extensive pore collapse is observed on drying. Efforts to measure pore sizes and pore volumes show that the materials are in general very similar as seen in Table 1. Thus, extensive measurements of all samples were considered unnecessary.

Porous materials with pore sizes in both mesoporous and microporous ranges often exhibit hysteresis during gas adsorption measurements. Interconnected pore systems containing pore blockages often cause a lag in desorption of gas from the material at $p/p_0 = 0.45$ (N_2 at 77 K), see Figure 4b, in agreement with literature.³⁹ Due to this, porosity measurements are taken from the adsorption instead of desorption stage, which is less affected by hysteresis.

However, note in gel 7 that only slight hysteresis is observed in the type II isotherm between the adsorption and desorption curves from 0.6 to 1.0 p/p_0 , and the curves nearly overlap.⁴⁰ This would suggest that there are few pore blockages in the materials. The shape of this hysteresis (Type H3) suggests that we have slit shaped pores.




Figure 4. a. Micro/mesoporosimetry BJH/Horvath-Kawazoe differential pore volume plot for gel 7 at $0 \leq p/p_0 \leq 0.1$ showing peak pore size of ~ 1.0 nm, b. BET mesopore analysis of gel 7 showing N_2 adsorption up to 1500 cm^3/g with a type II shape and H3 hysteresis loop with slit-like pores.⁴⁰

Compression, density and solvent uptake studies were also conducted on these materials. The bulk density was of the order of aerogels, 0.06 g/cm^3 (gel 7) as might be expected based on their high porosity.²² Attempts to compress recovered dried powders into pellets using a die press were thwarted due to their inherent flowability, with the material squeezing past the die, or retaining its texture after more than 1 ton of pressure was applied. Further attempts using a relatively crude test resulted in some densification of the sample (Figure 5), climbing to 0.15 g/mL at ≈ 9 kPa of pressure.




Figure 5. Efforts to compress gel 7. **a.** uncompressed density 0.06 g/mL, 719 mg powder fills 10 mL **b.** Density under a 5 g wt. = 0.12 g/mL (719 mg powder in 5.8 mL) **c.** Density under 300 g wt (9 kPa), 0.15 g/mL 719 mg powder in 4.9 mL.

Simple solvent uptake studies were also performed, comparing hexanes, THF and water. The methyl groups and ethyl bridges in these materials give them strongly hydrophobic properties; therefore only organic solvents were “soaked up,” with hexanes studies giving 560% mass:mass solvent uptake and THF giving 600% solvent uptake per mass of gel (Table 3). The gel ran up the sides of the vials in tests with water, showing no interaction or water uptake. This suggests that these materials may be useful as molecular sponges for oil/water separation.²⁵

Table 2. Solvent uptake studies using gel 7.

Solvent	Mass Solvent	Mass Gel	~Uptake
Hexanes	135 mg	22 mg	560 %
Tetrahydrofuran	95 mg	17 mg	600 %
Water	N/A	N/A	N/A

To add utility to these materials and study the influence of other R-functional groups on the SSA of the system, further reaction analyses were conducted by varying the above reaction conditions and also studying the incorporation of vinylSi(OEt)₃ and PhSi(OEt)₃ (Scheme 2). Table 3 shows the results of these studies and FTIR characterization given in Figures S2-S9.



Scheme 2. TBAF catalyzed reaction: vinylSi(OEt)₃ or PhSi(OEt)₃ and MeSi(OEt)₃ w/BTSE.

At present, it appears that introducing small amounts of another R group do not lead to sacrifices in SSAs but these studies are very preliminary and simply provide the basis for further studies especially where R is a functional group used to introduce a catalyst, a drug, a point to capture trace metals or pollutants, etc. Thus, the above initial studies lay the groundwork for practical efforts.

Table 3. F⁻ catalyzed co-condensation of MeSi(OEt)₃/BTSE/RSi(OEt)₃ (R = vinyl, phenyl).

	MeSi(OEt) ₃ mmol	BTSE mmol	TBAF mmol	DI water mL	CH ₂ Cl ₂ mL	VinylSi(OEt) ₃ mmol	PhenylSi(OEt) ₃ mmol	SSA m ² /g
12	25.1	8.8	6.9	3	50	-	-	745
13	25.1	8.8	6.9	3	100	-	-	651
14	25.1	8.8	6.9	3	200	-	-	660
15	25.1	8.8	6.9	3	400	-	-	880
16	12.5	4.5	5.2	1.5	400	-	-	578
17	12.5	3.5	5.2	1.5	400	-	-	835
18	12.5	3	5.2	1.5	400	-	-	605
19	12.5	2	5.2	1.5	400	-	-	805
20	15.1	8.8	6.9	3	400	13.1	-	691
21	20.1	8.8	6.9	3	400	6.5	-	880
22	22.6	8.8	6.9	3	400	3.3	-	947
23	23.8	8.8	6.9	3	400	1.6	-	742
24	24.8	8.8	6.9	3	400	0.33	-	834
25	7.53	4.4	1.5	1.5	400	4.7	-	910
26	0	8.8	6.9	3	400	-	20.7	345
27	12.5	8.8	6.9	3	400	-	10.4	688
28	22.6	8.8	6.9	3	400	-	2.1	785

Conclusions

Fluoride catalyzed hydrolysis-condensation methods offer a unique route to high SSA materials based on methylsilsesquioxane. In general, the resulting gels are stable to >250 °C and offer very low densities of 0.1 – 0.2 g/mL, similar to aerogels. Gel materials offer SSA's of up to 1300 m²/g some of the the highest known systems based on SQs.¹⁵⁻²⁴ Gel materials also offer solvent uptake up to 600% by mass. Vinyl groups can be incorporated into the systems offering post polymerization functionalization points and further crosslink density, extending the useful possibilities for these materials.

Additional useful features include good thermal stability, (minor mass loss) to temperatures 49 50 of ≈ 400 °C and they greatly resist compression. All of these properties translate to materials that may offer exceptional utility for vacuum insulation panels.⁴¹⁻⁴³

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Intel for support of the work reported here through SRC (MSR) Task 2170.001.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

§**Current Address:** Bowling Green State University Department of Chemistry, Bowling Green, OH 43403

References

1. O. M. Yaghi, H. Li, C. Davis, D. Richardson, T. L. Groy, "Synthetic Strategies, Structure Patterns, and Emerging Properties in the Chemistry of Modular Porous Solids," *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 474-484
2. S. Inagaki, S. Guan, Y. Fukushima, T. Ohsuna, O. Terasaki, "Novel Mesoporous Materials with a Uniform Distribution of Organic Groups and Inorganic Oxide in Their Frameworks," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 9611-9614.
3. J. Y. Ying, C. P. Mehnert, M. S. Wong "Synthesis and Applications of Supramolecular-Templated Mesoporous Materials," *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 56-77.
4. A. Stein, B. J. Melde, R. C. Schroden, "Hybrid Inorganic-Organic Mesoporous Silicates--Nanoscopic Reactors Coming of Age," *Adv. Mater.* **2000**, *12* 1403-1419.
5. F. Schüth, "Non-siliceous Mesostructured and Mesoporous Materials," *Chem. Mater.* **2001**, 3184-3195.
6. M. Eddaoudi, D. B. Moler, H. Li, B. Chen, T. M. Reineke, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, "Modular Chemistry: Secondary Building Units as a Basis for the Design of Highly Porous and Robust Metal-Organic Carboxylate Frameworks," *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 319-330.
7. Galo J. A. A. Soler-Illia, C. Sanchez, B. Lebeau, J. Patarin, "Chemical Strategies To Design Textured Materials: from Microporous and Mesoporous Oxides to Nanonetworks and Hierarchical Structures," *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 4093-4138.
8. H.-Cai Zhou, J. R. Long, Omar M. Yaghi, "Introduction to Metal-Organic Frameworks," *Chem. Rev.*, **2012**, *112* (2), pp 673-674
9. Mastalerz, M. "The Next Generation of Shape-Persistent Zeolite Analogues: Covalent Organic Frameworks," *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 445 - 447.
10. Yang, H.-B.; Ghosh, K.; Arif, A. M.; Stang, P. J.; "The Synthesis of New 60 Organometallic Subunits and Self-Assembly of Three-Dimensional M_3L_2 Trigonal-Bipyramidal Cages," *J. Org. Chem.*; **2006**, *71*, 9464-9469.
11. H. Menzel, M. D. Mowery, M. Cai, C. E. Evans, "Fabrication of Noncovalent and Covalent Internal Scaffolding in Monolayer Assemblies Using Diacetylenes," *Macromolecules* **1999**, *32*, 4343-4350.
12. S.-Y. Ding, W. Wang, "Covalent organic frameworks (COFs): from design to applications," *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2013**, *42*, 548-56
13. C. Zhang, F. Babonneau, C. Bonhomme, R.M. Laine, C.L. Soles, H.A. Hristov, A.F. Yee, "Highly Porous Polyhedral Silsesquioxane Polymers. Synthesis and Characterization," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **120**, 8380-91 (1998).
14. Y. Kim, K. Koh, M. F. Roll, R. M. Laine, A. J. Matzger, "Porous Networks Assembled from Octaphenylsilsesquioxane Building Blocks," *Macromolecules*, **2010**, *43* 6995-7000.
15. Mark F. Roll, Jeffrey W. Kampf, Y. Kim, E. Yi, R.M. Laine, "Nano-Building Blocks via Iodination of $[PhSiO_{1.5}]_n$ forming $[p-I-C_6H_4SiO_{1.5}]_n$ where $n = 8, 10, 12$ and a new route to high surface area, thermally stable, microporous materials via thermal elimination of I_2 ," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10171-10183.
16. D. Wang, L. Xue, L. Li, B. Deng, S. Feng, H. Liu, X. Zhao, "Rational Design and Synthesis of Hybrid Porous Polymers Derived from Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxanes via Heck Coupling Reactions," *Macromol. Rapid Comm.*, **2013**, *34*, 861-866.
17. D. Wang, W. Yang, L. Li, X. Zhao, S. Feng, H. Liu, "Hybrid networks constructed from tetrahedral silicon-centered precursors and cubic POSS-based building blocks via Heck reaction: porosity, gas sorption, and luminescence" *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **2013**, *1*, 13549-13558.

18. F. Alves and Ivo Nischang, "Tailor-Made Hybrid Organic–Inorganic Porous Materials Based on Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxanes (POSS) by the Step-Growth Mechanism of Thiol–Ene "Click" Chemistry," *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2013**, *19*, 17310 – 17313
19. Y. Wu, D. Wang, L. Li, W. Yang, S. Feng, H. Liu, "Hybrid porous polymers constructed from octavinylsilsesquioxane and benzene *via* Friedel–Crafts reaction: tunable porosity, gas sorption, and postfunctionalization," *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **2014**, *2*, 2160-2167.
20. C. J. Brinker, G. W. Scherer, *Sol-Gel Science: The Physics and Chemistry of Sol-Gel Processing*; Academic press, 1990.
21. Z. Zhang, P. Zhang, Y. Wang, W. Zhang, "Recent advances in organic/inorganic well-defined hybrid polymers using controlled living radical polymerization techniques." *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, 3950–3976.
22. Y. Li, X.-H. Dong, Y. Zou, Z. Wang, K. Yue, M. Huang, H. Liu, X. Feng, Z. Lin, W. Zhang, et al., "Polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane meets "click" chemistry: Rational design and facile preparation of functional hybrid materials." *Polymer*. **2017**, *125*, 303–329.
23. D. A. Loy and K. J. Shea, "Bridged Polysilsesquioxanes. Highly Porous Hybrid Organic–Inorganic Materials," *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 1431-1442.
24. H. W. Oviatt Jr., K. J. Shea, J. H. Small, "Alkylene-Bridged Silsesquioxane Sol-Gel Synthesis and Xerogel Characterization. Molecular Requirements for Porosity," *Chem. Mater.* **1993**, *5*, 943-950.
25. C. M. Burkett, L. A. Underwood, R. S. Volzer, J. A. Baughman, P. A. Edmiston "Organic–Inorganic Hybrid Materials that Rapidly Swell in Non-Polar Liquids: Nanoscale Morphology and Swelling Mechanisms," *Chem. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 1312-1321.
26. P. H. Doan, E. Yi, J. C. Furgal, M. Schwartz, S. Clark, T. Goodson III, R. M. Laine, "Microporous Inorganic/Organic Hybrids via Oxysilylation of a cubic symmetry nanobuilding block [(HMe₂SiOSiO_{1.5})₈] with R_xSi(OEt)_{4-x} in Hydrophobic Media," *J. Ceram. Soc. Japan*, **2015** *123*, 756-763.
27. M. Z. Asuncion and R.M. Laine, "Fluoride Rearrangement Reactions of Polyphenyl- and Polyvinylsilsesquioxanes as a Facile Route to Mixed Functional Phenyl, Vinyl T₁₀ and T₁₂ Silsesquioxanes," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132* 3723–3736.
28. J. C. Furgal, J. H. Jung, S. Clark, T. Goodson III, R. M. Laine "Beads on a Chain (BoC), Phenylsilsesquioxane (SQ), Polymers Via F⁻ Catalyzed Rearrangements and ADMET or Reverse Heck Cross-coupling Reactions; through chain, extended conjugation in 3-D with potential for dendronization," *Macromolecules*, **2013**, *46* 7591–7604.
29. J. C. Furgal, T. Goodson III, R. M. Laine, "D_{5h} [PhSiO_{1.5}]₁₀ synthesis via F⁻ catalyzed rearrangement of [PhSiO_{1.5}]_n. An experimental/computational analysis of likely reaction pathways," *Dalton Trans.*, **2016**, *45*, 1025.
30. H. W. Ro, V. Popova, L. Chen, A. M. Forster, Y. Ding, K. J. Alvine, D. J. Krug, R. M. Laine, C. L. Soles, "Cubic Silsesquioxanes as a Green, High Performance Mold Material for Nanoimprint Lithography," *Adv. Mater.* **2010**, *23*, 414-420.
31. E. M. Flanigen, J. M. Bennett, R. W. Grose, J. P. Cohen, R. L. Patton, R. M. Kirchner, J. V. Smith, "Silicalite, a new hydrophobic crystalline silica molecular sieve," *Nature* **1978**, *271* 512-516.
32. R. M. Barrer, *Hydrothermal Chemistry of Zeolites*; Academic Press, London, **1992**.
33. *Synthesis of Porous Materials*; M. L., Occelli, H. Kessler, Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, **1997**.

34. B. Naik, N. N. Ghosh, "A Review on Chemical Methodologies for Preparation of Mesoporous Silica and Alumina Based Materials," *Recent Pat Nanotechnol* **2009**, 3, 213-224.
35. T. Yanagisawa, T. Shimizu, K. Kuroda, C. Kato, "The preparation of alkyltrimethylammonium-kanemite complexes and their conversion to microporous materials." *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **1990**, 63, 988-992
36. C. T. Kresge, M. E. Leonowicz, W. J. Roth, J. C. Vartuli, J. S. Beck, "Ordered mesoporous molecular sieves synthesized by a liquid-crystal template mechanism" *Nature* **1992**, 359, 710-712.
37. J. S. Beck, J. C. Vartuli, W. J. Roth, M. E. Leonowicz, C. T. Kresge, K. D. Schmitt, C. T-W. Chu, D. H. Olson, E. W. Sheppard, S. B. McCullen, J. B. Higgins, J. L. Schlenker, "A new family of mesoporous molecular sieves prepared with liquid crystal templates" *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 10834-10843.
38. D. B. Cordes, P.D. Lickiss, R. Franck, "Recent Developments in the Chemistry of Cubic Polyhedral Oligosilsesquioxanes," *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, 10, 2081–2173 (2010).
39. M. Thommes, B. Smarsly, M. Groenewolt, P. I. Ravikovitch, A. V. Neimark, "Adsorption Hysteresis of Nitrogen and Argon in Pore Networks and Characterization of Novel Micro- and Mesoporous Silicas," *Langmuir*, **2006**, 22, 756-764.
40. K. S. W. Sing, D. H. Everett, R. A. W. Haul, L. Moscou, R. A. Pierotti, J. Rouquerol, T. Siemieniewska, "Reporting Physisorption Data for Gas/Solid Systems with Special Reference to the Determination of Surface Area and Porosity," *Pure Appl. Chem*, **1985**, 57, 603-619.
41. M. Thommes, B. Smarsly, M. Groenewolt, P. I. Ravikovitch, A. V. Neimark, "Adsorption hysteresis of nitrogen and argon in pore networks and characterization of novel micro- and mesoporous silicas," *Langmuir*, **2006**, 22, 756-764.
42. E. Kucukpinara, et al, "Development of Transparent and Opaque Vacuum Insulation Panels for Energy Efficient Buildings," *Energy Procedia*, **2015**, 78, 412-417.
43. R. Baetens, B. P. Jelle, A. Gustavse, "[Aerogel insulation for building applications: a state-of-the-art review](#)," *Energy and Buildings* **2011**, 43, 761-769.

TOC

