

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Imagining thin: Why vanity sizing works”
[Journal of Consumer Psychology 22 (2012) 565–572]

Nilüfer Z. Aydınoğlu^{a,b,1}, Aradhna Krishna^{b,*,1}

^a College of Administrative Sciences and Economics at Koç University, Rumeli Feneri Yolu, Sarıyer, İstanbul 34450, Turkey

^b Stephen M. Ross School of Business, University of Michigan, 701 Tappan St., Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1234, USA

In the article, the signs for the *Beta* coefficients and *t* values for *Mo Appearance self-esteem* (line 2) should be positive for Eqs. (1) and (3) of Table 1 (p. 569); and should be negative for Eq. (2) of Table 1 (p. 569) and for Eqs. (1)–(3) in Table 2 (p. 570). The signs for the *Beta* coefficients and *t* values for *XMo Interaction* (line 3) and *MeMo Interaction* (line 5) of Tables 1 (p. 569) and 2 (p. 570) should be negative. There are no changes to the numerical values.

Thus, the text on p. 569, line 30, should read: “ $\beta = -.29$, $t = -2.08$, $p < .05$...”; the text on p. 570, column 2, line 22, should read “ $\beta = -.23$, $t = -2.15$, $p < .05$...”.

The text on p. 570, column 2, line 35, should read “ $\beta = .315$, $t = 2.06$, $p < .05$...” instead of “ $\beta = .345$, $t = 2.11$, $p < .01$...”; the text on p. 570, column 2, line 38 should read “ $(p > .2)$...” instead of “ $(p > .3)$...”.

Please note that all the inferences in the paper stay intact.

N. Z. Aydınoğlu, who collected the data and did the analysis, regrets these errors.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2011.12.001>.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 734 936 8716.

E-mail addresses: naydinoglu@ku.edu.tr (N.Z. Aydınoğlu),

aradhna@umich.edu (A. Krishna).

¹ Author names are in alphabetic order and authors contributed equally to the research.