DR. YU LIU (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-2515-4431)



Peer counseling versus standard-of-care on reducing high-risk behaviors among newly diagnosed HIV-positive men who have sex with men in Beijing, China: a randomized intervention study

Yu Liu<sup>1,&</sup>, Sten H. Vermund<sup>2</sup>, Yuhua Ruan<sup>3</sup>, Hongjie Liu<sup>4</sup>, K. Rivet Amico<sup>5</sup>, Jane M. Simoni<sup>6</sup>, Bryan E. Shepherd<sup>7</sup>, Yiming Shao<sup>3</sup>, Han-Zhu Qian<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>2</sup> School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

<sup>3</sup> State Key Laboratory of Infectious Disease Prevention and Control (SKLID), Collaborative

Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, Chinese Center for

Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

<sup>5</sup> Department of Health Behavior and Health Education, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Washington Seattle, Washington, USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1002/jia2.25079

<sup>7</sup> Department of Biostatistics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

# & Corresponding author: Yu Liu

265 Crittenden Blvd, SRB 3305 Rochester, New York, USA 14642 Phone: +1(585)276-3562 Email: yu\_liu@urmc.rochester.edu

### Email addresses of authors:

Yu Liu: yu\_liu@urmc.rochester.edu Sten H. Vermund: sten.vermund@yale.edu Yuhua Ruan: ruanyuhua92@163.com Hongjie Liu: hliu1210@umd.edu K. Rivet Amico: ramico@umich.edu Jane M. Simoni: jsimoni@uw.edu Bryan E. Shepherd: bryan.shepherd@vanderbilt.edu Yiming Shao: yshao08@gmail.com Han-zhu Qian: han-zhu.qian@yale.edu

**Keywords**: men who have sex with men; HIV diagnosis; peer counseling; high-risk behaviors; China

# Abstract

**Introduction:** Reducing high-risk behaviors (i.e., multiple partnership, condomless anal/vaginal sex, alcohol use before sex, illicit drug use) after HIV diagnosis is critical for curtailing HIV transmission. We designed an intervention to explore peer- counseling in

reducing high-risk behaviors among newly diagnosed HIV-positive Chinese men who have sex with men (MSM).

**Methods:** We randomized 367 newly diagnosed HIV-positive men to either standard-of-care (SOC; n=183) or peer-counseling intervention (n=184), and followed them for 12 months (visit at 0-, 3-, 6-, 9- and 12-month). SOC participants received counseling on high-risk behavior reduction by clinic staff. Intervention participants received both SOC and peer counseling. A generalized estimating equation was used to compare pre-post diagnosis high-risk behavior change; logistic regression was used to assess the likelihood of practicing high-risk behaviors between intervention and SOC participants. Both intent-to-treat and perprotocol (full-dosage) approaches were used for the analyses.

**Results:** For pre- and post-diagnosis comparisons, multiple partnership fell from 50% to 16% (p<0.001), alcohol use before sex from 23% to 9% (p=0.001), illicit drug use from 33% to 6% (p<0.001), condomless anal sex from 47% to 4% (insertive from 23% to 2%; receptive from 36% to 3%; p<0.001). In the intent-to-treat analysis accounting for repeated measures, peer counseling was more likely to reduce insertive anal sex (AOR=0.65; 95% CI: 0.45-0.94), condomless anal sex (AOR=0.27; 95% CI: 0.10-0.64) and illicit drug use (AOR=0.32; 95% CI: 0.16-0.64). In the per-protocol analysis, peer counseling was associated with a lower likelihood of using illicit drug (OR=0.23; 95% CI: 0.07-0.81) and having condomless vaginal sex with women (OR=0.12; 95% CI: 0.07-0.98).

**Conclusions:** We observed a 14%-43% decrease in the prevalence of selected high-risk behaviors after HIV diagnosis. Peer counseling had a greater impact in reducing condomless anal sex with men, illicit drug use and condomless vaginal sex with women over time. Future studies with exclusive peer-counseling arm are necessary to test its efficacy and effectiveness among Chinese MSM.

Clinical Trial Number: NCT01904877

## Introduction

In China, an inexorable upward trend of HIV has been witnessed among men who have sex with men (MSM) (1-3), with the HIV prevalence among this subgroup surging from 1.2% in 2005 to 7.7% in 2014 (4). A mathematical modeling also suggests a potential escalation of HIV

prevalence among Chinese to 21.4% by 2020, if no further effective prevention interventions are implemented. (5). The unrelenting HIV burden among Chinese MSM highlights an urgent need to design and implement innovative, feasible, and effective HIV interventions.

Timely HIV diagnosis and rapid post-diagnosis linkage-to-care is a critical step of the continuum of HIV care (6). The theory behind this testing-and-linkage-to-care strategy involves "prevention with positives", including antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation/adherence for viral suppression and safer sex through behavioral interventions to reduce transmission to others (7-9). Despite the scale-up in available and free HIV care services in recent years, Chinese MSM remain suboptimal in regular HIV testing, linkage to and engagement in HIV care (10, 11). On one hand, this challenge can be largely attributed to various individual and structural barriers reported among Chinese MSM, including low HIV literacy, HIV-associated stigma, cultural discrimination against homosexuality, lack of support, HIV disclosure concern and psychological burden. On the other hand, the authoritativeness of regular healthcare provider and the rigidness of universal China Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) standard-of-care (hereafter SOC) may be insufficient to accommodate specific HIV care needs of Chinese MSM, resulting in reduced confidence and trust in routine HIV care (10-13).

Peers are often effective changing agents among social/sexual minority subgroups(14). As supporting members and role models to their community, peers are also capable of outreaching to specific hidden populations and facilitating care delivery to those in need(15). Compared to regular healthcare professionals, peer educators/counselors may be more cost-effective (16) in influencing behavioral modifications by addressing clients' psychological needs without discrimination or stigmatization (17). Qualitative evidence suggests that peer counseling may help to build confidence and trust towards HIV care services (18, 19). A meta-analysis of fifteen studies also found peer-led HIV interventions might reduce overall unprotected anal intercourse among HIV-negative MSM. However, most interventions were conducted in American and Canada (n=13), were not randomized control trials (RCT) (n=13) and were simply group-based (n=15)(20). Very little is known regarding the efficacy of individual-based peer counseling intervention in reducing high-risk among HIV-positive MSM.

The promising peer-delivered counseling for safer sex can be a crucial and scalable alternative to the predominant SOC to foster better HIV care engagement among Chinese MSM. However, no RCT has ever been conducted to compare the efficacy of peer counseling verses SOC in reducing high-risk behaviors among HIV-positive Chinese MSM. We conducted the first one-on-one randomized intervention trial in Beijing, China to 1) to assess change in high-risk behaviors across a cohort of recently diagnosed HIV-positive Chinese MSM before and after diagnosis; and 2) in the same cohort, assess difference in high-risk behaviors between those randomized to a 4-session peer counseling intervention vs. those randomized to SOC counseling during a 12-month follow-up.

# Methods

Study design and setting

This study was a two-year (2013.3-2015.3), two-phase RCT assessing the efficacy of peer-counseling vs. SOC on ART initiation/adherence (primary outcome of the trial) as well as high-risk behaviors change (main outcome in the current study), quality of life, HIV stigma, selfefficacy, hospital anxiety and depression among newly diagnosed HIV-positive MSM in Beijing, China (21, 22). Phase I was a continuing enrollment phase that has been substantially described elsewhere (10, 23-27). In short, we collaborated with a local gay-friendly community-based organization (CBO; Chaoyang AIDS Volunteer Group) to recruit participants via short message service, website advertisement, gay-frequented venue outreach and peer referral. The inclusion criteria include: cis-gender man, had sex with another man in the past12 months, 18 years or older, living in Beijing and not planning to relocate in the next 12 months, HIV-negative or status-unknown (self-report), willing to provide blood sample for HIV test, and willing to provide written informed consent for study participation. Eligible participants were asked to complete a questionnaire survey on sociodemographic characteristics and behavioral risk factors, followed by a free onsite HIV rapid test. Participants with an initial positive result were asked to have their blood drawn for laboratory confirmatory tests. Participants were invited back to the clinic within five days of the initial visit receive lab test result informed by a medical doctor.

HIV-positive men consented to participate in Phase II trial were randomized to receive either peer counseling or SOC within one week of their HIV diagnosis delivery. Treatment assignments generated by Vanderbilt Data Coordinating Center were put in numbered envelopes to be opened by study participants upon randomization. To ensure a balanced randomization between intervention and SOC arm, participants were first block-randomized using a 1:1 ratio to each of the two collaborated HIV clinics (Xicheng District CDC or Chaoyang District CDC). In each clinic, an equal number of participants were further randomized to intervention or SOC arm in multiple 4- or 6-person block sizes until the balance was reached (28). Randomized participants were directed to visit the designated CDC clinic to complete a survey and the first counseling to begin the Phase II trial. Participants in both arms were followed for 12 months, and were requested to complete either peer or SOC counseling at 0-, 3-, 6- and 9-month with a follow-up survey at 3-, 6-, 9- and 12-month. The questionnaire survey was set to precede the counseling during a visit with both events (0-, 3-, 6- and 9-month). We allowed a "buffering period" ( $\pm$  7 days before/after the scheduled date) to facilitate the participant retention.

SOC participants received counseling provided by a CDC-trained doctor. A generic message reminder was sent to the participants 3 days prior to the scheduled visit. The 30-min SOC counseling covered contents related to safer sex and prevention of HIV transmission per China CDC's HIV counseling guidelines. SOC counselor also addressed questions/concerns from the participants during the visit. In peer counseling, a total of six peer counselors were recruited and trained before administering peer counseling. All peer counselors were HIVpositive MSM who had at least six months of experience in community-based HIV counseling services, received 6-hour manual-guided workshop training, and 4-hour individual face-to-face training from an experienced behavioral scientist (K.R.A.). The peer-counseling manual was developed and enhanced based on an adapted Information-Motivation-Behavioral Skills (IMB) model (29-33). Participants in the peer-counseling intervention arm would receive a message sent by the designated peer counselor via cellphone or social media apps to schedule a mutually confirmed time/date within the buffering period. The peer-counseling session involved a one-onone 60-minute discussion focusing on topics regarding specific high-risk behaviors modification, including the strategy to reduce male/female sexual partners, condomless anal/oral sex, commercial sex, illicit drug use, alcohol intoxication and multiple concurrent partnerships. At the end of the counseling, peer counselor and participant would identify one or more goals for safer sex to be qualitatively evaluated in the next visit. As mandated by China CDC, all participants in the peer-counseling intervention arms need to attend routine SOC. Thus, participants in the intervention arm received an initial SOC prior to the peer-counseling session. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review boards of Vanderbilt University, and the

National Center for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention (NCAIDS) of China Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

### Data collection

A trained nurse in the clinic administered a paper-based questionnaire survey to all participants upon enrollment (before HIV testing) to collect data on: (1) socio-demographic characteristics, including age, ethnicity, marital status, education, employment, income, legal household registration in Beijing (hukou), duration of living in Beijing, and health insurance status; (2) pre-diagnosis high-risk behaviors, including age of sex debut, year of sexual activity, lifetime male/female sexual partners, prior HIV testing histories, recent (past-3-month) alcohol consumption, recent alcohol use before sex, recent illicit drug use (self-reported intake of any of these drugs: methamphetamine, MDMA, rush, magu [a stimulant consisting of Methamphetamine and caffeine], ketamine, cannabis/marijuana, cocaine, opium, heroin or morphine), recent condomless insertive/receptive anal sex with men, recent sex with women, recent experience with male commercial sex workers, recent anal or oral sex with HIV-positive men, and self-reported HIV risk perception (24, 26). HIV-positive participants who continued Phase II trial were asked to further complete a nurse-administered questionnaire on past-3-month high-risk behaviors (ascertained at 3-, 6-, 9- and 12-month follow-ups) and other interested outcomes (ascertained at 0-, 3-, 6-, 9- and 12-month follow-ups), including quality of life (34), stigma to HIV(35) and homosexuality(36), self-efficacy(37), hospital anxiety and depression (38, 39). All data regarding linkage-to-care and ART initiation analyzed elsewhere were obtained from medical records and National ART Database (21, 22). Both HIV and syphilis tests were lab-confirmed. All laboratory tests and techniques have been described elsewhere (26, 40).

### Statistical analyses

To compare the baseline characteristics between the intervention and SOC arms, we used Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon Rank-sum tests for continuous variables. We used generalized estimating equations (GEE) to assess the statistical significance of high-risk behavior change pre- and post-HIV diagnosis, and to assess the trends of these behavioral changes during the 12 months follow-up, adjusted for *a priori* confounders( age, ethnicity, education, marital status and employment). ART use is suggested to

be associated with high-risk behavior change and healthcare visits (41). In our study, more than half of the participants initiated ART during the first 3 months of follow-up. Therefore, we also adjusted ART use as a confounder in the current analysis. For intent-to-treat analysis, we performed bivariate and multivariable GEE models with logit function (42) to longitudinally assess the impact of peer counseling vs. SOC in selected significant high-risk behaviors (P<0.05 in Supplemental Table 1). In the multivariable GEE model, we adjusted for corresponding baseline high-risk behavior, age, ethnicity, education, marital status, employment and ART use. In the per-protocol analysis (full-dosage analysis), we conducted subgroup analyses among participants in both arms that completed all four sessions of either SOC or peer counseling. Unconditional logistic regression analyses were employed to compare selected high-risk behaviors among participants between intervention and SOC arm based on the 12-month exit survey data. Due to insufficient degrees of freedom to spend (sparse 'yes' response in the characteristics being evaluated), only unadjusted result is presented to avoid over-fitting when performing multivariable adjustment (43). We used Stata  $12.0^{TM}$  (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA) for all statistical analyses.

# Results

### Enrollment and study population

We initially recruited 3,760 MSM in Phase I and then excluded 172 observations due to duplicate participation, confirmed HIV-seropositivity prior to this study, non-MSM, refusal to provide blood sample, invalid identification number, or lack of a questionnaire. Therefore, 3,588 participants undertook HIV tests and 455 men were newly diagnosed as being HIV-positive (prevalence=12.7%; 455/3,588). Among the 455 HIV-positive MSM, 367 (367/455; 80.7%) were further enrolled to Phase II randomization to either intervention (n=184) or control (n=183) arms. Eighty-eight participants were excluded due to refusal to participate in Phase II, loss of contact, having left Beijing for hometown for treatment after diagnosis, failure to fulfill Phase II questionnaires, very poor health, or death after diagnosis. Details of the enrollment and retention process are illustrated in Figure 1.

Sociodemographic characteristics of the 3,588 participants in Phase I have been described elsewhere (23, 26). Among the 367 HIV-positive men entering the Phase II trial, the median age

was 28 years (interquartile range, 24-32). Most men were Han-ethnic (93%), single (88%), employed (83%), non-local residents (82%), and college-educated (77%). The postrandomization distribution of most baseline characteristics were not significantly (P>0.05) different between two arms (Table 1).

### Pre- and post- HIV diagnosis high-risk behaviors change

Table 2 shows the prevalence of recent high-risk behaviors before and after HIV diagnosis. Comparing to baseline survey, reported risk behaviors assessed at 3-month declined dramatically, including alcohol consumption (55.1% vs. 39.1%; P<0.001), alcohol use before sex (22.9% vs. 8.6%; P<0.001), illicit drug use (33.0% vs. 5.9%; P<0.001), having had multiple male sexual partners (50.1% vs. 15.7%; P<0.001), having had condomless anal sex (47.4% vs. 3.8%; P<0.001) with men, having had condomless insertive anal sex (23.4% vs. 2.1%; P<0.001) with men. The decreasing pattern for these behaviors was consistent after stratifying in study arms.

### Trend and overall impact of peer counseling vs. SOC

Figure 2 displays the trend of selected high-risk behaviors over the study timeframe. In contrast to the sharp decrease immediately post-diagnosis (Table 2), we saw a plateau in the prevalence of almost all sexual and drug-using behaviors starting from the 3-month to the end of the study. Neither peer-counseling intervention nor SOC alone further reduced the prevalence of these high-risk behaviors beyond that was seen immediately post-diagnosis ( $P_{trend}$ >0.05). Peer counseling was more likely to reduce the frequency of practicing insertive anal sex, condomless receptive or insertive anal sex and illicit drug use over the 12 months period (P<0.05) (Supplemental Table 1). In multivariable analysis using intent-to-treat approach (Table 3), compared to SOC, MSM receiving peer counseling intervention had a 35% reduced risk of were practicing insertive anal sex with men (AOR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.44-0.91), 73% reduced risk of engaging in condomless anal sex with men (AOR: 0.27; 95% CI: 0.10-0.64), and 68% reduced risk of using illicit drugs (AOR: 0.32; 95% CI: 0.16-0.64).

### Subgroup analysis of full-dosage receiving participants

Results of the logistic regression analyses of associations between counseling status (intervention vs. SOC) and high-risk behaviors among full-dosage (completion of all four counseling sessions in either arm) participants are shown in Table 4. Based on the 12-month exit survey, we found participants in the peer counseling arm had a 77% lower risk of using illicit drug (OR=0.23; 95% CI: 0.07-0.81) and 88% lower risk of practicing condomless vaginal sex with women (OR=0.12; 95% CI: 0.02-0.98) compared to those receiving SOC counseling alone.

### Discussion

In our Beijing MSM study, we observed a 14%-43% decreased prevalence in alcohol drinking before sex, illicit drug use, multiple male partnerships and condomless anal sex among HIV-positive Chinese MSM after an HIV diagnosis. In contrast, anal/oral sex with HIV-positive MSM, commercial sex, and condomless sex with women was less frequent in this population, thus not varying at a greater extent in pre- and post- HIV diagnosis comparison (0.4%-2.4% decrease). Our findings were consistent with a longitudinal study among MSM in Amsterdam showing that recently seroconverted MSM reduce their condomless anal sex and number of sexual partners following an HIV diagnosis (44). A Mathematical modeling study also reveals substantial risky behavior reduction among post-diagnosis MSM in Southern California (45). However, a recent study in Los Angeles indicates a higher prevalence of condomless anal sex among recently seroconverted MSM compared to that before HIV diagnoses(46). Per the China CDC guidelines, our study participants received both pre-test and post-test counseling in safer sex provided by healthcare staff; participants in the intervention arm received both SOC and peer counseling. This may explain the substantial decline in risky behaviors that participants reported post-diagnosis. Alternatively, awareness of one's HIV positivity status may raise psychological distress and reduce motivation for sex (47). It is challenging to disaggregate whether high-risk behaviors were reduced among HIV-positive MSM as a result of the HIV diagnosis itself or due to the impact of pre- and post-test counseling; or the dramatic decrease was simply a phenomenon of "regression to the mean" (48) in a prospective intervention study. Future trial with minimum yet ethical pre- and post-test safer sex counseling may help better elucidate the influence of HIV diagnosis on modifying high-risk behaviors.

Previous studies among HIV-negative Chinese MSM by Zhang et al. (serial crosssectional study) and Zhu et al.(single arm pre-post intervention) suggests that intervention based on MSM peer groups is feasible to reduce unprotected anal intercourse (49, 50). In our study among newly diagnosed HIV-positive Chinese MSM in Beijing, we found peer counselling (vs. clinic staff-delivered SOC) intervention were more likely to reduce illicit drug use, condomless anal sex with men and condomless vaginal sex with women in the 12 months post-diagnosis. Our per protocol analyses (vs. intent-to-treat) showed a greater peer-counseling intervention effect on risky behavior (i.e. illicit drug use) reduction compared to SOC, suggesting a cumulative benefit of peer counseling in positively influencing risky behaviors. In line with our findings, an RCT among HIV-infected MSM in Chicago, United States found greater HIV transmission risk reduction in an treatment advocacy program led by peer advocates compared to those in standard care (51). Aggregate evidence of 22 MSM studies suggests that peer-delivered intervention is more efficacious in discouraging high-risk activities or encouraging safer sex practice among MSM (20). Compared to traditional healthcare providers, peers are more credible and less stigmatizing or judgmental, which is essential in building mutual trust before any counseling can be successfully delivered (20, 52). An experimental analysis among an urban gay community center also suggests that peer educators/counselors are often deemed as opinion leader among gay community members; interventions that are employed and endorsed by such roles may less high-risk takings(53).

Although peer counseling was found to be effective in reducing several high-risk behaviors in our trial, the overall results should be interpreted critically. Nearly all high-risk behaviors fell dramatically between baseline and 3-month visit, but did not show any greater reducing trend over time in both arms. This might be due to the strengthened SOC guided by the "Four Free, One Care" policy (18), thus the SOC counselling corresponded with our trial time period might have been effective in nurturing risk reduction, especially relevant to our participating clinics in Beijing where SOC staff received additional centralized training before study participation. It is also likely that since both intervention and control arms received SOC counseling, any additional efficacy as a result of peer counselling intervention might be too small to be measured apart from the existing SOC; or the robust SOC effect is likely to compensate the effect of any peer counselling. Finally, the counseling may not be a principal factor in the reduction in high-risk activities post-diagnosis. Prevention services offered to HIV-positive peers are a recognized strategy to reduce new infections (54), along with suppression of viral load by early ART initiation and adherence (55, 56). Innovative and culturally adapted prevention interventions for "prevention with positives" remain limited among Chinese MSM, highlighting the urgent need of developing such programs for tackling the HIV epidemics among Chinese MSM in any non-interventional settings.

Our study is subjected to limitations. First, despite we assigned participants to a specific peer counselor at the beginning of the trial, participants might switch to an alternative peer counselor when the assigned peer counselor was absent due to any emergency, which might affect peer-counseling consistency. In addition, since the actual peer-counseling experience was one-on-one between counselor and participants, we could not monitor the actual intervention quality beyond the initial protocol-based training (lack of fidelity monitor). Second, since the sample size was derived to test the intervention on primary outcomes (i.e. ART initiation/adherence); we were restrained in statistical power to perform a number of dosage-specific analyses or complex multivariable adjustment in regression analyses. Third, per the trial protocol, we were limited in testing the exclusive effect of peer counseling in modifying high-risk behavior without the interference by SOC or introduction of ART. Fourth, high-risk sexual and substance use behaviors are sensitive topics to our study participants. We did not use computer-assisted self-interviews due to the restrained resource in our study locations, subjecting our data to potential social disability bias. We also observed higher retention rates among participants in the intervention arm; the differential retention and lost-to-follow-up across groups is likely to result in biased findings. However, we did not find any statistically significant difference in baseline sociodemographic and behavioral characteristics between those retained and lost-to-followup (data now shown). Last, this study was conducted among MSM sampled in Beijing, China, which may limit the generalizability of our findings to MSM in other regions of the country. Despite these limitations, our study was the first RCT to prospectively assess the impact HIV-diagnosis, as well as peer counseling versus standard-of-care on high-risk behavior changes among newly diagnosed HIV-positive Chinese MSM.

### Conclusions

Much has been written about peer counseling and "positive prevention" for HIVinfected persons. We think it is important to address the oversimplified issue of the benefits of peer counseling in various settings and among populations of different characteristics. The use of peers as facilitators for MSM-specific intervention should be studied further, given their potential to accommodate the psychological, social, and institutional needs by MSM. Future randomized clinical trial with exclusive peer counseling arm vs. routine care or a combination of other comparable risk reduction programs are necessary to further demonstrate its efficacy in real-word practice.

anusc **Competing interests** 

The authors report no competing interests.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health (R01AI094562, R34AI091446), and Tennessee Center for AIDS Research (P30 AI110527). We thank volunteers from Chaoyang Chinese AIDS Volunteer Group, the staff at Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Beijing Municipal CDC, Chaoyang District CDC, and Jingcheng Dermatology Hospital, and Xicheng District CDC for their assistance in recruiting the participants, collecting data, and conducting focus group discussions.

# Authors' contributions

SHV, YR, HL, KRA, JMS, BES, YS and HZQ designed the study. YL and HZQ chose the main directions for data analysis, and YL performed the statistical analysis. YL, SHV and HZQ wrote the manuscript with input from YR, HL, KRA, JMS, BES, YS. All authors revised and approved the manuscript before submission.



1. Chow EP, Lau JT, Zhuang X, Zhang X, Wang Y, Zhang L. HIV prevalence trends, risky behaviours, and governmental and community responses to the epidemic among men who have sex with men in China. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:607261.

2. Lu J, Xu J, Reilly KH, Li Y, Zhang CM, Jiang Y, et al. The proportion and trend of human immunodeficiency virus infections associated with men who have sex with men from Chinese voluntary blood donors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transfusion. 2015;55(3):576-85.

3. Suguimoto SP, Techasrivichien T, Musumari PM, El-saaidi C, Lukhele BW, Ono-Kihara M, et al. Changing patterns of HIV epidemic in 30 years in East Asia. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2014;11(2):134-45.

Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China AIDS Response Progress Report. 2015.
Lou J, Blevins M, Ruan Y, Vermund SH, Tang S, Webb GF, et al. Modeling the impact on HIV incidence of combination prevention strategies among men who have sex with men in Beijing, China.
PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e90985.

Gardner EM, McLees MP, Steiner JF, Del Rio C, Burman WJ. The spectrum of engagement in HIV care and its relevance to test-and-treat strategies for prevention of HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52(6):793-800.

7. Fisher JD, Smith L. Secondary prevention of HIV infection: the current state of prevention for positives. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2009;4(4):279-87.

8. Yin L, Wang N, Vermund SH, Shepherd BE, Ruan Y, Shao Y, et al. Sexual risk reduction for HIVinfected persons: a meta-analytic review of "positive prevention" randomized clinical trials. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e107652.

9. Gamble T, Branson B, Donnell D, Hall HI, King G, Cutler B, et al. Design of the HPTN 065 (TLC-Plus) study: A study to evaluate the feasibility of an enhanced test, link-to-care, plus treat approach for HIV prevention in the United States. Clin Trials. 2017;14(4):322-32.

10. Liu Y, Osborn CY, Qian HZ, Yin L, Xiao D, Ruan Y, et al. Barriers and Facilitators of Linkage to and Engagement in HIV Care Among HIV-Positive Men Who Have Sex with Men in China: A Qualitative Study. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2016;30(2):70-7.

11. Liu Y, Sun X, Qian HZ, Yin L, Yan Z, Wang L, et al. Qualitative Assessment of Barriers and Facilitators of Access to HIV Testing Among Men Who Have Sex with Men in China. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2015;29(9):481-9.

12. Li H, Wei C, Tucker J, Kang D, Liao M, Holroyd E, et al. Barriers and facilitators of linkage to HIV care among HIV-infected young Chinese men who have sex with men: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):214.

13. Wei C, Yan H, Yang C, Raymond HF, Li J, Yang H, et al. Accessing HIV testing and treatment among men who have sex with men in China: a qualitative study. AIDS Care. 2014;26(3):372-8.

14. Hart GJ. Peer education and community based HIV prevention for homosexual men: peer led, evidence based, or fashion driven? Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(2):87-9.

15. Shiner M. Defining peer education. J Adolesc. 1999;22(4):555-66.

16. Simoni JM, Nelson KM, Franks JC, Yard SS, Lehavot K. Are peer interventions for HIV efficacious? A systematic review. AIDS Behav. 2011;15(8):1589-95.

17. Simoni JM, Nelson KM, Franks JC, Yard SS, Lehavot K. Are peer interventions for HIV efficacious? A systematic review. AIDS Behav. 2011;15(8):1589-95.

18. Liu Y, Li D, Vermund SH, Zhang C, Ruan Y, Yin L, et al. Associations of current marital status and living arrangements with HIV and syphilis risk: findings from a community-based sample of men who have sex with men in China. AIDS Care. 2016:1-6.

19. Liu Y, Sun X, Qian HZ, Yin L, Yan Z, Wang L, et al. Qualitative Assessment of Barriers and Facilitators of Access to HIV Testing Among Men Who Have Sex with Men in China. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2015;29(9):481-9.

20. Ye S, Yin L, Amico R, Simoni J, Vermund S, Ruan Y, et al. Efficacy of peer-led interventions to reduce unprotected anal intercourse among men who have sex with men: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e90788.

21. Tao J, Qian HZ, Kipp AM, Ruan Y, Shepherd BE, Amico KR, et al. Effects of depression and anxiety on antiretroviral therapy adherence among newly diagnosed HIV-infected Chinese MSM. AIDS. 2017;31(3):401-6.

22. Tao J, Vermund SH, Lu H, Ruan Y, Shepherd BE, Kipp AM, et al. Impact of Depression and Anxiety on Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy Among Men Who Have Sex with Men with Newly Diagnosed HIV Infections in China. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2017;31(2):96-104.

23. Liu Y, Qian HZ, Amico KR, Liu H, Yin L, Ruan Y, et al. Subsequent Sexual Risks Among Men Who Have Sex with Men May Differ by Sex of First Partner and Age at Sexual Debut: A Cross-Sectional Study in Beijing, China. AIDS Behav. 2017.

24. Liu Y, Ruan Y, Strauss SM, Yin L, Liu H, Amico KR, et al. Alcohol misuse, risky sexual behaviors, and HIV or syphilis infections among Chinese men who have sex with men. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016;168:239-46.

25. Liu Y, Li D, Vermund SH, Zhang C, Ruan Y, Yin L, et al. Associations of current marital status and living arrangements with HIV and syphilis risk: findings from a community-based sample of men who have sex with men in China. AIDS Care. 2016;28(11):1461-6.

26. Liu Y, Qian HZ, Ruan Y, Wu P, Osborn CY, Jia Y, et al. Frequent HIV Testing: Impact on HIV Risk Among Chinese Men Who Have Sex with Men. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016;72(4):452-61.

27. Liu Y, Wang J, Qian HZ, Liu H, Yin L, Lu H, et al. Seeking Male Sexual Partners via Internet and Traditional Venues among Chinese Men Who Have Sex with Men: Implications for HIV Risk Reduction Interventions. AIDS Behav. 2016;20(10):2222-30.

28. Suresh K. An overview of randomization techniques: An unbiased assessment of outcome in clinical research. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2011;4(1):8-11.

29. Osborn CY, Rivet Amico K, Fisher WA, Egede LE, Fisher JD. An information-motivation-behavioral skills analysis of diet and exercise behavior in Puerto Ricans with diabetes. J Health Psychol. 2010;15(8):1201-13.

30. Fisher JD, Fisher WA, Amico KR, Harman JJ. An information-motivation-behavioral skills model of adherence to antiretroviral therapy. Health Psychol. 2006;25(4):462-73.

31. Amico KR, Barta W, Konkle-Parker DJ, Fisher JD, Cornman DH, Shuper PA, et al. The Information-Motivation-Behavioral Skills Model of ART Adherence in a Deep South HIV+ Clinic Sample. AIDS Behav. 2009;13(1):66-75.

32. Norton WE, Amico KR, Fisher WA, Shuper PA, Ferrer RA, Cornman DH, et al. Information-Motivation-Behavioral Skills barriers associated with intentional versus unintentional ARV nonadherence behavior among HIV-positive patients in clinical care. AIDS care. 2010:1-9.

33. Simoni JM, Amico KR, Smith L, Nelson K. Antiretroviral adherence interventions: translating research findings to the real world clinic. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2010;7(1):44-51.

34. Ma L, Ye DQ, Zhang GD, Qi HG, He YL, Hu AX, et al. [Study on the quality of life and social support among people living with HIV/AIDS and their family members]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2007;28(3):254-7.

35. Steward WT, Herek GM, Ramakrishna J, Bharat S, Chandy S, Wrubel J, et al. HIV-related stigma: adapting a theoretical framework for use in India. Soc Sci Med. 2008;67(8):1225-35.

36. Neilands TB, Steward WT, Choi KH. Assessment of stigma towards homosexuality in China: a study of men who have sex with men. Arch Sex Behav. 2008;37(5):838-44.

37. Chen WT, Wantland D, Reid P, Corless IB, Eller LS, lipinge S, et al. Engagement with Health Care Providers Affects Self- Efficacy, Self-Esteem, Medication Adherence and Quality of Life in People Living with HIV. J AIDS Clin Res. 2013;4(11):256.

38. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1983;67(6):361-70.

39. Brennan C, Worrall-Davies A, McMillan D, Gilbody S, House A. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: a diagnostic meta-analysis of case-finding ability. J Psychosom Res. 2010;69(4):371-8.

40. Zhang H, Teng T, Lu H, Zhao Y, Liu H, Yin L, et al. Poppers use and risky sexual behaviors among men who have sex with men in Beijing, China. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016;160:42-8.

41. Liu Y, Ruan Y, Vermund SH, Osborn CY, Wu P, Jia Y, et al. Predictors of antiretroviral therapy initiation: a cross-sectional study among Chinese HIV-infected men who have sex with men. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15:570.

42. Zhang C, Liu Y, Sun X, Wang J, Lu HY, He X, et al. Substance use and HIV-risk behaviors among HIV-positive men who have sex with men in China: repeated measures in a cohort study design. AIDS Care. 2017;29(5):644-53.

43. F H. Regression modeling strategies: with applications to linear models, logistic and ordinal regression, and survival analysis. Springer; 2015 Aug 14.

44. Heijman T, Geskus RB, Davidovich U, Coutinho RA, Prins M, Stolte IG. Less decrease in risk behaviour from pre-HIV to post-HIV seroconversion among MSM in the combination antiretroviral therapy era compared with the pre-combination antiretroviral therapy era. Aids. 2012;26(4):489-95.

45. Khanna AS, Goodreau SM, Gorbach PM, Daar E, Little SJ. Modeling the impact of post-diagnosis behavior change on HIV prevalence in Southern California men who have sex with men (MSM). AIDS Behav. 2014;18(8):1523-31.

46. Gorbach PM, Javanbakht M, Bolan RK. Behavior change following HIV diagnosis: findings from a Cohort of Los Angeles MSM. AIDS Care. 2017:1-5.

47. Firn S, Norman IJ. Psychological and emotional impact of an HIV diagnosis. Nurs Times. 1995;91(8):37-9.

48. Hughes JP, Haley DF, Frew PM, Golin CE, Adimora AA, Kuo I, et al. Regression to the mean and changes in risk behavior following study enrollment in a cohort of U.S. women at risk for HIV. Ann Epidemiol. 2015;25(6):439-44.

49. Zhu JL, Zhang HB, Wu ZY, Zheng YJ, Xu J, Wang J, et al. [HIV risk behavior based on intervention among men who have sex with men peer groups in Anhui province]. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2008;42(12):895-900.

50. Zhang HB, Zhu JL, Wu ZY, Pang L, Zhang LL, Li T, et al. [Intervention trial on HIV/AIDS among men who have sex with men based on venues and peer network]. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2009;43(11):970-6.

51. McKirnan DJ, Tolou-Shams M, Courtenay-Quirk C. The Treatment Advocacy Program: a randomized controlled trial of a peer-led safer sex intervention for HIV-infected men who have sex with men. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2010;78(6):952-63.

52. Hart GJ. Peer education and community based HIV prevention for homosexual men: peer led, evidence based, or fashion driven? Sex Transm Infect. 1998;74(2):87-9.

53. Kelly JA, St Lawrence JS, Diaz YE, Stevenson LY, Hauth AC, Brasfield TL, et al. HIV risk behavior reduction following intervention with key opinion leaders of population: an experimental analysis. Am J Public Health. 1991;81(2):168-71.

54. Collins CB, Jr., Hearn KD, Whittier DN, Freeman A, Stallworth JD, Phields M. Implementing packaged HIV-prevention interventions for HIV-positive individuals: considerations for clinic-based and community-based interventions. Public Health Rep. 2010;125 Suppl 1:55-63.

55. Cohen MS, Gay CL. Treatment to prevent transmission of HIV-1. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50 Suppl 3:S85-95.

56. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(6):493-505.

Author Ma

Characteristics	ALL	Intervention arm	Standard-of-care arm	P-value
<b></b>	( N=367)	(N=184)	(N=183)	
·	n, (%)	n, (%)	n, (%)	
Age, year				0.91
Median, IQR	28, (24-32)	28, (25-32)	28, (24-33)	
Ethnicity				0.31
Han majority	342 (93.2)	169 (91.8)	173 (94.5)	
Non-Han minorities	25 (6.8)	15 (8.2)	10 (5.5)	
Current marital status				0.10
Unmarried	323 (88.0)	167 (90.8)	156 (85.2)	
Married	44 (12.0)	17 (9.2)	27 (14.8)	
Education (year of schooling)				0.26
College and above (>12)	282 (76.8)	149 (81.0)	133 (72.7)	
Senior high school (10-12)	35 (9.6)	15 (8.2)	20 (10.9)	
Junior middle school (7-9)	46 (12.5)	19 (10.3)	27 (14.8)	
Primary school or lower ( $\leq 6$ )	4 (1.1)	1 (0.5)	3 (1.6)	
Employment				0.25
Employed	304 (82.8)	157 (85.3)	147 (80.3)	
Unemployed/retired	25 (6.8)	10 (5.4)	15 (8.2)	
Student	24 (6.6)	13 (7.1)	11 (6.0)	
Other	14 (3.8)	4 (2.2)	10 (505)	
Monthly income, Chinese Yuan (1				0.76
USD≈6.8 Yuan)				
Median, IQR	5,000 (3,000-8,000)	5,000 (3,000-8,000)	5,000 (3,000-8,000)	
Health insurance				0.08
No	164 (44.7)	74 (40.2)	90 (49.2)	
Yes	203 (33.5)	110 (59.8)	93 (50.8)	
Legal Beijing residency (Hukou)				0.81
No	301 (82.0)	150 (81.5)	151 (82.5)	
Yes	66 (18.0)	34 (18.5)	32 (17.5)	
Duration of living in Beijing, year				0.97

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of newly diagnosed HIV-positive Chinese MSM in a randomized clinical trial (N=367)

Median, IQR	5, (2-10)	5, (2-9)	5, (2-10)	
Age of sex debut, year				0.76
Median, IQR	20, (18-22)	20, (18-22)	20, (18-23)	
Year of sexual activity				0.88
Median, IQR	8, (4-12)	8, (4-11)	8, (4-12)	
Lifetime number of male sexual				0.16
partners				
<10	159 (43.3)	73 (39.7)	86 (47.0)	
≥10	208 (56.7)	111 (63.3)	97 (53.0)	
Perception of HIV risk prior to				0.06
HIV diagnosis				
Low or very low	100 (27.3)	42 (22.8)	58 (31.7)	
High or very high	267 (72.7)	142 (77.2)	125 (68.3)	
Syphilis infection				0.37
No	313 (85.3)	160 (87.0)	153 (83.6)	
Yes	54 (14.7)	24 (13.0)	30 (16.4)	
())				

Author N

0	Overall		In	Intervention arm			Standard-of-care arm		
Characteristics	Pre HIV	Post HIV	P-value	Pre HIV	Post HIV	P-value	Pre HIV	Post HIV	P-value
	diagnosis	diagnosis		diagnosis	diagnosis		diagnosis	diagnosis	
()	(N=367)	(N=338)		(N=184)	(N=174)		(N=183)	(N=164)	
	n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	n (%)	
Alcohol consumption			< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
No	165 (44.9)	206 (60.9)		82 (44.6)	111 (63.8)		83 (45.4)	95 (57.9)	
Yes	202 (55.1)	132 (39.1)		102 (55.4)	73 (36.2)		100 (54.6)	69 (42.1)	
Alcohol use before sex			< 0.001			0.003			0.001
No	283 (77.1)	309 (91.4)		147 (79.9)	159 (91.4)		136 (74.3)	150 (91.5)	
Yes	84 (22.9)	29 (8.6)		37 (20.1)	15 (8.6)		47 (25.7)	14 (8.5)	
Illicit drug use			< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
No	246 (67.0)	318 (94.1)		132 (71.6)	168 (96.9)		114 (62.5)	150 (91.4)	
Yes	121 (33.0)	20 (5.9)		52 (28.4)	6 (3.1)		69 (37.5)	14 (8.6)	
Had multiple (>1) male sexual			< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
partners O									
No	183 (49.9)	285 (84.3)		95 (51.6)	148 (85.1)		88 (48.1)	137 (83.5)	
Yes	184 (50.1)	53 (15.7)		89 (48.4)	26 (14.9)		95 (51.9)	27 (16.5)	
Had condomless anal sex with			< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
men									
No	193 (52.6)	325 (96.2)		96 (52.2)	171 (98.3)		97 (53.0)	154 (93.9)	
Yes	174 (47.4)	13 (3.8)		88 (47.8)	3 (1.7)		86 (47.0)	10 (6.1)	
Had condomless insertive anal			< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001

Table 2. Comparison of high-risk behaviors during the past 3 months assessed before and after HIV diagnosis among Chinese MSM in a randomized clinical trial

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- -

sex with men									
No	281 (76.6)	331 (97.9)		139 (75.5)	171 (98.3)		142 (77.6)	160 (97.6)	
Yes	86 (23.4)	7 (2.1)		45 (24.5)	3 (1.7)		41 (22.4)	4 (2.4)	
Had condomless receptive anal			< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
sex with men									
No	234 (63.8)	328 (97.0)		114 (62.0)	173 (99.4)		120 (65.6)	155 (94.5)	
Yes	133 (36.2)	10 (3.0)		70 (38.0)	1 (0.6)		63 (34.4)	9 (5.5)	
Had anal sex with known HIV-			0.15			0.57			0.14
positive men									
No	351 (95.6)	315 (93.2)		175 (95.1)	163 (93.7)		176 (96.2)	152 (92.7)	
Yes	16 (4.4)	23 (6.8)		9 (4.9)	11 (6.3)		7 (3.8)	12 (7.3)	
Had oral sex with known HIV-			0.14			0.08			0.87
positive men									
No	351 (95.6)	330 (97.6)		174 (94.6)	171 (98.3)		177 (96.7)	159 (97.0)	
Yes	16 (4.4)	8 (2.4)		10 (5.4)	3 (1.7)		6 (3.3)	5 (3.0)	
Had anal sex with commercial			0.66			0.37			0.88
sex worker									
No	360 (98.1)	333 (98.5)		181 (98.4)	173 (99.4)		179 (97.8)	160 (97.6)	
Yes	7 (1.9)	5 (1.5)		3 (1.6)	1 (0.6)		4 (2.2)	4 (2.4)	
Had condomless vaginal sex			0.02			0.04			0.17
with women									
No	350 (95.4)	331 (97.9)		176 (95.6)	171 (98.3)		174 (95.1)	160 (97.6)	
Yes	17 (4.6)	7 (2.1)		8 (4.4)	3 (1.7)		9 (4.9)	4 (2.4)	

Note: sample size may vary due to missing response and attrition from baseline to 3-month follow-up visit

# **\_\_\_** Author Manuscrip

# **\_\_\_** Author Manuscri

Table 3 Bivariate and multivariable logistic regression analyses of the impact of peer counseling intervention versus standard-of-care on selected sexual behaviors among Chinese MSM over a 12-month follow-up (intention-to-treat)

High risk behaviors	Comparison	OR	95% CI	aOR <sup>*</sup>	95% CI
Had insertive anal sex with men	Yes vs. no	0.63	(0.44, 0.91)	0.65	(0.45,0.94)
Had condomless receptive or insertive anal sex with men	Yes vs. no	0.25	(0.09, 0.65)	0.27	(0.10,0.74)
Illicit drug use	Yes vs. no	0.29	(0.15, 0.59)	0.32	(0.16, 0.64)

Note: OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

\*Adjusted for the corresponding baseline high-risk behavior, age, ethnicity, education, marital status, employment and ART use

Author Manuellan

# lanusc Z Z uth

Table 4 Subgroup analysis of peer counseling versus standard-of-care on recent high-risk behaviors among newly diagnosed HIV-positive MSM participated in all sessions (per-protocol<sup>§</sup>)

Characteristics	Intervention	Standard-of-care (N=124)	Unadjusted OR (95%
	(N=165)		CI)*
	n (%)	n (%)	
Alcohol consumption			
No	102 (61.8)	76 (61.3)	Reference
Yes	63 (38.2)	48 (38.7)	0.97 (0.61,1.58)
Alcohol use before sex			
No	151 (91.5)	116 (93.6)	Reference
Yes	14 (8.5)	8 (6.4)	1.34 (0.55,3.31)
Illicit drug use			
No	149 (97.6)	121 (90.3)	Reference
Yes	16 (2.4)	3 (9.7)	0.23 (0.07,0.81)
Had multiple (>1) male sexual			
partners			
No	146 (88.5)	103 (83.1)	Reference
Yes	19 (11.5)	21 (16.9)	0.64 (0.33,1.25)
Had condomless insertive or receptive			
anal sex with men			
No	163 (98.8)	122 (98.4)	Reference
Yes	2 (1.2)	2 (1.6)	0.75 (0.11,5.39)
Had anal sex with known HIV-			
positive men			
No	155 (96.9)	120 (96.8)	Reference
Yes	10 (6.1)	4 (3.2)	1.94 (0.59,6.32)
Had oral sex with known HIV-			
positive men			
No	160 (97.0)	123 (99.2)	Reference
Yes	5 (3.0)	1 (0.8)	3.84 (0.44,33.32)
Had anal sex with commercial sex			
worker			
No	163 (98.8)	124 (100)	Reference
Yes	2 (1.2)	0	-
Had condomless vaginal sex with			
women			
No	164 (99.4)	118 (95.2)	Reference
Yes	1 (0.6)	6 (4.8)	0.12 (0.02,0.98)

Note: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

<sup>§</sup>Assessed cross-sectionally using the last follow-up data (12-month visit)

r Manusc uth





Figure 1. Screening, enrollment flow, retention and intervention dosage among study participants in the trial

jia2\_25079\_f2.docx



**Figure 2**. Trends of selected high-risk behaviors across baseline (pre-diagnosis, 0-month) and post-diagnosis (3-, 6-, 9-, and 12-month) visits among newly-diagnosed HIV-positive Chinese MSM in Beijing, China