

PROF. WILLIAM V GIANNOBILE (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-7102-9746)

DR. RONALD ERNST JUNG (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-2055-1320)

Article type : Supplement Article

Evidence-based knowledge on the esthetics and maintenance of peri-implant soft tissues: Osteology Foundation Consensus Report

Part 1 – Effects of Soft Tissue Augmentation Procedures on the Maintenance of Peri-implant Soft Tissue Health

William V. Giannobile¹, Ronald E. Jung², Frank Schwarz³, on behalf of the Groups of the 2nd Osteology Foundation Consensus Meeting

Author's affiliations:

¹ Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of Dentistry, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

² Clinic of Fixed and Removable Prosthodontics and Dental Material Science, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

³ Department of Oral Surgery, Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

Running head: Osteology Consensus – Part 1

Corresponding author: William V. Giannobile
Najjar Professor of Dentistry & Chair
Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine
University of Michigan School of Dentistry
1011 N. University Ave.
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1078 USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/clr.13110](https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.13110)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Tel. +1 734 763 2105

e-mail: wgiannob@umich.edu

Key words: dental implant, soft tissue augmentation, peri-implant diseases, wound healing, soft tissue regeneration, connective tissue grafting

Abstract

Objectives: The goal of Working Group 1 at the 2nd Consensus Meeting of the Osteology Foundation was to comprehensively assess the effects of soft tissue augmentation procedures on peri-implant health or disease.

Materials and methods: A systematic review and meta-analysis on the effects of soft tissue augmentation procedures included a total of 10 studies (mucosal thickness: n=6; keratinized tissue: n=4). Consensus statements, clinical recommendations, and implications for future research were based on structured group discussions and a plenary session approval.

Results:

- Soft tissue grafting to increase the width of keratinized tissue around implants was associated with greater reductions in gingival- and plaque indices when compared to non-augmented sites. Statistically significant differences were noted for final marginal bone levels in favor of an apically positioned flap plus autogenous graft versus all standard-of-care control treatments investigated.
- Soft tissue grafting (i.e., autogenous connective tissue) to increase the mucosal thickness around implants in the esthetic zone was associated with significantly less marginal bone loss over time, but no significant changes in bleeding on probing, probing depths, or plaque scores when compared to sites without grafting.

Conclusions: The limited evidence available supports the use of soft tissue augmentation procedures to promote peri-implant health.

Introduction

Nowadays, soft tissue grafting has become a topic of growing interest in implant dentistry. The proposed surgical procedures mainly aim at increasing either: i) the width of keratinized tissue; or ii) the soft tissue volume at dental implant sites to improve functional, esthetic and biological outcomes after therapy (Thoma et al. 2014).

Biological complications refer to inflammatory conditions occurring in tissues around dental implants and are initiated by the host response to a bacterial challenge (Lang et al. 2011; Sanz et al. 2012; Jepsen et al. 2015). While previous systematic reviews provide some evidence that implant sites exhibiting an inadequately dimensioned keratinized tissue (i.e. width of <2 mm) were more prone to plaque accumulation and peri-implant soft tissue inflammation than implant sites exhibiting a keratinized tissue of ≥ 2 mm (Gobbato et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2013), the effects of soft tissue volume grafting on biological outcomes are currently unknown.

Therefore, a task of Working Group 1 of the Osteology Foundation Consensus Meeting was to comprehensively assess the effects soft tissue augmentation procedures on peri-implant health or disease.

Workshop discussion and consensus

The present part 1 of the Osteology Foundation Consensus Report was based on the following review:

- Effects of soft tissue augmentation procedures on peri-implant health or disease – a systematic review and meta-analysis (Thoma et al. 2017).

At the beginning of the meeting, the authors presented the systematic review in detail (i.e., methodology, results, conclusions) to the participants. Subsequently, the participants were separated into two working groups (Group 1: Maintenance of peri-implant soft tissues; Group 2: Esthetics of peri-implant soft tissues). Discussions and the formulation of consensus statements within groups were each directed by one chairperson and one secretary. The statements, elaborated by the members of the working groups, were presented and discussed in plenary sessions and revised according to the suggestions made by the audience. Finally, consensus statements, clinical recommendations, and implications for future research were approved.

Effects of soft tissue augmentation procedures on peri-implant health or disease – a systematic review and meta-analysis (Thoma et al. 2017).

Focused question

In systemically healthy patients with dental implants, what is the effect of soft tissue grafting procedures to increase the width of keratinized tissue or the mucosal thickness at dental implant sites in comparison to implant sites without soft tissue grafting procedures or with different grafting materials/transplants on peri-implant health?

Major findings

Soft tissue grafting to increase the width of keratinized tissue around implants

- Greater reductions in gingival (GI) and plaque indices (PI) were found following mucosal augmentation procedures when compared to non-augmented sites [GI change: n=2; WMD=0.863; 95% CI (0.658; 1.067); p<0.001]; [PI change: n=2; WMD=0.344; 95% CI (0.179; 0.509); p<0.001], respectively. There were no differences with regard to bleeding on probing (BOP) between augmented and non-augmented sites.
- Statistically significant differences were noted for final marginal bone levels in favor of an apically positioned flap (APF) plus autogenous grafts versus all control treatments [n=4; WMD=-0.175 mm; 95% CI: (-0.313; -0.037); p=0.013].

Soft tissue grafting to increase the mucosal thickness around implants in the esthetic zone

- Significantly less marginal bone loss over time was observed with the use of connective tissue graft [n=2; WMD=0.110 mm; 95% CI: (0.067; 0.154); p<0.001] compared to sites without grafting.

- Grafting using connective tissue did not result in significant changes in BOP, probing depths (PD), or plaque scores when compared to controls.

Consensus statements regarding soft tissue grafting to increase the width of keratinized tissue and mucosal thickness

- The limited evidence available supports the use of soft tissue augmentation procedures to promote peri-implant health.
- In the studies investigated, peri-implant health/ disease was assessed by clinical parameters including GI, BOP, PD scores as well as marginal bone levels. However, the incidence/ prevalence of peri-implant diseases, based on clearly defined case definitions, has not been sufficiently reported.

Keratinized Tissue

- The surgical procedures to increase the width of keratinized tissue included an apically positioned split-flap/ vestibuloplasty (APF) with or without the application of autogenous tissue (i.e., free gingival graft) or a collagen matrix. The timing of the procedures following implant placement varied considerably among studies but was commonly accomplished after the final prosthetic restoration. The respective clinical indications included either the absence or a reduced width of keratinized tissue (2mm) at implant sites.
- It remains unclear as to whether or not the augmentation of keratinized tissue may positively affect self-performed oral hygiene measures and subsequently the occurrence of peri-implant soft tissue inflammation when compared with non-augmented, inadequately dimensioned implant sites.
- The presented meta-analyses have pointed to statistically significant differences in terms of PI and GI scores as well as PD values in favor of sites with an augmented keratinized tissue. Marginal bone levels show better stability following application of autogenous grafts.

Mucosal Thickness

- The surgical procedures to increase the mucosal thickness at implant sites commonly included the immediate or delayed placement of subepithelial connective tissue grafts. The respective clinical indications included a prevention of mucosal recessions / compensation of volume deficiencies and facilitation of tissue adaptation at implant

placement for functional and / or esthetic purposes.

- A thickening of the mucosa by means of subepithelial connective tissue grafts was not associated with any significant differences in PI, BOP, or PD as compared to control. Statistically significant higher interproximal marginal bone levels were obtained following the application of connective tissue grafts when compared to control sites.

Clinical recommendations regarding soft tissue grafting to increase the width of keratinized tissue and mucosal thickness

- The clinician may consider the use of autogenous soft tissue grafting to promote peri-implant soft tissue health or marginal bone levels at implant sites with insufficient soft tissue dimensions.

Keratinized tissue

- It is anticipated that plaque control is better facilitated in the presence of >2mm of keratinized tissue.
- When increasing the zone of keratinized tissue is desired around an implant, the clinician should consider performing a free gingival graft.

Mucosal thickness

- When increasing soft tissue thickness around implant sites displaying volume deficiencies is desired, clinicians should consider connective tissue grafting procedures to promote greater stability of interproximal marginal bone levels.

Implications for future research

Further investigations should consider:

- to use accepted case definitions in terms of peri-implant health and disease when performing clinical studies for gain of keratinized tissue and mucosal thickness.
- determine the role that soft tissue characteristics play in the homeostasis and stability of peri-implant bone, and vice versa.
- to design controlled clinical studies evaluating soft tissue grafting procedures with a primary endpoint for peri-implant health (BOP, GI).
- to evaluate different surgical techniques and materials for superiority in terms of maintaining and/or enhancing peri-implant health.

- to assess whether or not the time-point of performing soft tissue grafting procedures influences peri-implant health.
- to assess the relationship between soft tissue grafting procedures for gain of keratinized tissue and the ability to perform oral hygiene and brushing discomfort.
- the use of improved diagnostic soft tissue imaging technologies to discriminate between health and disease as well as assess soft tissue volume.
- the effects of soft tissue augmentation procedures at diseased implant sites.
- to evaluate surgical procedures to allow for the reduction of soft tissue augmentations.

Acknowledgements

This Consensus Meeting was supported by a grant of the Osteology Foundation, Lucerne, Switzerland. The authors and members of the working groups declare that they have no conflict of interests related to this consensus report. WVG, REJ and FS are members of the Osteology Foundation Board.

References

- Gobbato, L., Avila-Ortiz, G., Sohrabi, K., Wang, C. W. & Karimbux, N. (2013) The effect of keratinized mucosa width on peri-implant health: a systematic review. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants* **28**: 1536-1545.
- Jepsen, S., Berglundh, T., Genco, R., Aass, A. M., Demirel, K., Derks, J., Figuero, E., Giovannoli, J. L., Goldstein, M., Lambert, F., Ortiz-Vigon, A., Polyzois, I., Salvi, G. E., Schwarz, F., Serino, G., Tomasi, C. & Zitzmann, N. U. (2015) Primary prevention of peri-implantitis: managing peri-implant mucositis. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* **42 Suppl 16**: S152-157.
- Lang, N. P., Berglundh, T. & Working Group 4 of Seventh European Workshop on, P. (2011) Periimplant diseases: where are we now?--Consensus of the Seventh European Workshop on Periodontology. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* **38 Suppl 11**: 178-181.
- Lin, G. H., Chan, H. L. & Wang, H. L. (2013) The significance of keratinized mucosa on implant health: a systematic review. *Journal of Periodontology* **84**: 1755-1767.

- Sanz, M., Chapple, I. L. & Working Group 4 of the, V. E. W. o. P. (2012) Clinical research on peri-implant diseases: consensus report of Working Group 4. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* **39 Suppl 12**: 202-206.
- Thoma, D., Naenni, N., Figuro, E., Hämmerle, C., Schwarz, F., Jung, R. & Sanz-Sanchez, I. (2018) Effects of soft tissue augmentation procedures on peri-implant health or disease – a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, in press.
- Thoma, D. S., Buranawat, B., Hammerle, C. H., Held, U. & Jung, R. E. (2014) Efficacy of soft tissue augmentation around dental implants and in partially edentulous areas: a systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* **41 Suppl 15**: S77-91.

Author Manuscript