DOI: 10.1111/bdi.12587

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Altered N170 and mood symptoms in bipolar disorder: An electrophysiological study of configural face processing

Ivy F Tso¹ | Tyler B Grove² | Savanna A Mueller² | Lisa O'Donnell³ | Jinsoo Chun⁴ | Melvin G McInnis¹ | Patricia J Deldin^{1,2}

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

²Department of Psychology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

³School of Social Work, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

⁴Department of Psychiatry, Beth Israel Deaconness Medical Center, Fall River, MA, USA

Correspondence

Ivy Tso, Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. Email: ivytso@umich.edu

Funding information

This study was partially supported by the American Psychological Foundation Benton-Meier Neuropsychology Scholarship (to I.F.T.), National Institutes of Health (grant 5KL2TR000434 to I.F.T.), the National Institute of Mental Health (grant K23MH108823 to I.F.T.), and the Heinz C. Prechter Bipolar Research Fund (grant to M.G.M.). **Objectives**: Altered social behavior during mood episodes in bipolar disorder often has detrimental and long-lasting interpersonal consequences. Abnormal face processing may play a role in linking brain functions to clinical symptoms and behavior. This study aimed to understand configural face processing in bipolar disorder as a function of basic communicative attributes of the face and mood symptoms using event-related brain potentials (ERPs).

Methods: Forty-two participants with bipolar I disorder (BP) and 43 healthy controls (HC) viewed face stimuli varying in emotion (neutral or fearful), head orientation (forward or deviated), and gaze direction (direct or averted) while ERPs were recorded. Configural face processing was indexed by the N170 wave.

Results: BP participants had comparable overall N170 amplitude and peak latency to HC, although timing was more variable in the BP group. Abnormal N170 modulations by communicative face attributes were observed in BP: exaggerated sensitivity to emotion (fearful > neutral) in the left hemisphere, and reduced sensitivity to gaze-head incongruency (where N170 is normally larger in response to faces with incongruent than congruent gaze and head direction) in the right hemisphere. The former was not associated with mood symptoms, suggesting a heightened trait-like sensitivity to negative emotions. The latter was correlated with greater manic symptoms, indicating that an impaired perceptual sensitivity to faces with features signaling incongruent social attention may underlie social deficits observed during mania.

Conclusions: These findings suggest a pathophysiological role of altered configural face processing in the phenomenology of bipolar disorder, and call for further investigations to evaluate its potential as a biomarker and treatment target.

KEYWORDS

bipolar disorder, emotion, event-related potentials (ERPs), face processing, mania, social cognition

1 | INTRODUCTION

Bipolar disorder is a severe mental illness frequently accompanied by functional impairment. Emerging findings suggest that deficits in social cognition—the ability to process social information accurately and efficiently—are a critical determinant of psychosocial dysfunction in the disorder.¹ One fundamental social cognitive process is reception of facial communication.² Disruption in face processing and the misperception of social cues from faces may be one mechanism that links altered brain functions to observed clinical symptoms and social dysfunctions in bipolar disorder. For example, if a person has difficulties perceiving the focus of attention of others, they may misperceive irrelevant social WILEY-BIPOLAR DISORDERS

information as self-relevant. This could lead to or reinforce grandiosity (if the misperceived social attention is interpreted as positive) or paranoia (if interpreted as negative) which are prevalent during mania. Conversely, if one fails to perceive self-directed attention from others, one may have difficulties in participating productively in social interactions and consequently withdraw, as is often observed during the depressive state of the bipolar illness. Furthermore, if a person fails to detect (or has heightened sensitivity to) threat and negative emotion signaled by others' facial expressions and gaze direction, they may lack appropriate inhibition and have a propensity for engaging in impulsive, risk-taking, and reckless behavior (or be highly sensitive to negative social information and susceptible to mood reactivity). These all have detrimental interpersonal and functional consequences. Emerging data support the theory that face processing is altered in bipolar disorder (reviewed below), but its neural mechanisms and relationship to symptoms and behavior remain to be elucidated. Knowledge of the neural and clinical correlates of face processing in bipolar disorder would enhance our understanding of functional difficulties in the illness and inform interventions. To this end, this study examined the N170 wave, a face-sensitive event-related brain potential (ERP) component, during processing of faces with different attributes key to facial communication, and investigated how it is associated with mood symptoms in bipolar disorder.

1.1 | Three basic attributes of the face that influence face processing

Faces are a ubiquitous source of social cues. Humans can infer others' attention, intention, and mental state from three basic attributes of the face: gaze direction, head orientation, and facial expression. Gaze provides the most direct cue of one's focus of attention and communicative intention. The ability to perceive eye contact and follow others' gaze develops in infancy³ and serves as a basic building block of subsequent development of higher order social cognitive functions, including theory of mind and perspective-taking.⁴ When gaze direction is obscure, head orientation becomes the "default" indicator of attention direction, providing robust signals in terms of face contour and the shape of facial features (e.g., nose).⁵ Because both gaze direction and head orientation convey the direction of attention, when they are in incongruent directions, accuracy and speed of judgments of both gaze direction and head orientation are compromised.^{6,7} Another powerful cue of mental state is facial expression. In addition to affecting face evaluation on its own, it appears to interact with gaze direction. Perception of both gaze direction and emotion is facilitated or enhanced when the underlying approach/avoidant intent of gaze and emotion is matched (e.g., direct gaze in an angry face, or averted gaze in a fearful face).^{8,9} These behavioral findings suggest that gaze direction, head orientation, and facial emotion are key factors modulating face processing.

1.2 | N170: index of configural face processing

Research findings concerning the N170 wave have provided neural evidence that these three communicative face attributes influence face perception early on in the visual processing stream. N170 is a negative-going ERP component detected maximally around 170 milliseconds post-stimulus at lateral occipito-temporal sites, and typically shows a right dominance.¹⁰ It is dubbed the "face-sensitive" ERP component because it is larger in response to faces than to objects. N170 is widely thought to index holistic encoding of face structure. with evidence coming from observations of increased amplitude and latency during processing of inverted (vs upright) faces,¹¹ eye region only (vs full face),¹² and faces of other race (vs own race).¹³ Since, in these situations, face configuration is disrupted and/or unfamiliar, the increased amplitude and latency are thought to reflect more effortful and slowed processing, presumably because more analytical processing is engaged in place of configural processing. The configural face processing interpretation of N170 is consistent with N170's demonstrated sensitivity (larger amplitude) to other unconventional presentations of faces. These include horizontally rotated (vs forward) faces,¹⁴ faces with incongruent (vs congruent) gaze-head direction,¹⁵ and emotional (vs neutral) faces.¹⁶

1.3 | Altered face processing in bipolar disorder

Although there is a plethora of research on face processing in psychiatric illnesses with prominent social deficits, such as autism and schizophrenia, research in this area is relatively new for bipolar disorder and has largely focused on the aspect of emotion recognition or identification. Behavioral and neuroimaging data so far generally support impairment in facial emotion recognition in individuals with bipolar disorder ¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and those at genetic risk.¹⁹ Such impairment is often viewed as limbic and prefrontal cortex dysfunctions,^{20,21} but there is evidence that visuospatial ability is affected in those with the disorder and first-degree relatives,²² implicating a role of altered early visual processing. In particular, one study showed that, compared with healthy controls, medication-free bipolar patients made more errors on a Gestalt completion test and tended to rely on individual facial features rather than simultaneous integration of multiple face elements for face recognition.²³ This suggests that configural face processing may be compromised in bipolar disorder and contribute to deficits in recognizing facial emotion, making N170 a particularly suitable measure to investigate this question.

Thus far, only a few studies have investigated face processing in bipolar disorder using N170.²⁴⁻²⁹ Most have found reduced amplitude ^{24,26-28} and, in some cases, delayed latency.^{25,29} However, most of the findings are extremely difficult to interpret and generalize due to the heterogeneity in samples and methodologies. These included patient characteristics (e.g., age, sex distribution, and diagnosis) and the tasks used (gender discrimination, emotion recognition, oddball paradigm, and go/no-go paradigm). N170 acquisition and measurement methods also varied widely, including choice of the reference electrode(s), filter settings, length of baseline, electrode sites where N170 was measured, time window used and how it was derived, use of peak amplitude vs mean amplitude to measure N170, and correction for multiple comparisons. Merits and problems of some of these ERP-related practices have been discussed in depth elsewhere ³⁰ and will not be detailed here. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that all studies, excepting one,²⁹ included only a small sample of bipolar patients (n ranged from 9 to 18). Additionally, since N170 amplitude and latency can be significantly modulated by task demand,³¹ it is unclear if findings of reduced or slowed N170 truly reflected deficits in configural face encoding in bipolar disorder, or were driven by the cognitive demands of the tasks used (e.g., emotion processing or response inhibition). Wynn et al²⁹ conducted the largest study to date (52 bipolar patients and 30 healthy controls) examining N170 in the context of gender and emotion identification. The authors found non-significantly different N170 amplitude, but delayed latency, in bipolar patients as compared with healthy controls, suggesting preserved (though slowed) configural face processing in the bipolar illness.

To obtain a fuller understanding of configural face processing in bipolar disorder, it is necessary to examine how the three face attributes that are key to facial communication (i.e., emotion, gaze direction, and head orientation) interact and impact face processing. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have addressed this in bipolar disorder. Furthermore, it is unclear from the literature whether altered face processing is related to mood symptoms, as some have reported impaired emotion recognition as a stable deficit in bipolar disorder ¹⁷ while others found it to be dependent on mood state.³²

1.4 | The present study

This study represents the first attempt in the literature to examine the effects of three face attributes key to facial communication (emotion, head orientation, and gaze direction) on configural face processing in bipolar disorder. We used face stimuli varying in emotion (neutral or fearful), head orientation (forward or deviated), and gaze direction (direct or averted) to examine how these factors interact and impact N170 in a relatively large sample of bipolar patients and healthy controls. To minimize issues due to cognitive demand and unequal performance, a simple two forced choice gaze discrimination task (putatively easier than tasks depending on emotion identification and other cognitive processes that are likely to be impaired in bipolar disorder) was used, and only correct trials were included to derive N170 for group comparisons. We explored whether bipolar patients would show abnormal N170 modulations by gaze direction, head orientation, and/or emotion as compared with healthy controls. Given previous reports of anomalous functional brain lateralization in bipolar patients that may be state dependent,³³ we also explored hemispheric differences in N170 abnormalities in bipolar disorder and examined their associations with mood symptoms.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants

Participants aged 18-60 years were recruited from a university outpatient specialty clinic and inpatient psychiatric unit, and through community advertisements. Diagnoses were established using the Diagnostic Interview for Genetic Studies ³⁴ or Structured Diagnostic <u>– BIPOLAR DISORDERS</u> – WILEY

Interview for DSM-IV.³⁵ Exclusion criteria for all participants included: (i) a history of closed head injury, neurological disorders, or serious medical illnesses that could result in significant changes of brain functions; (ii) alcohol or substance abuse/dependence in past 6 months; and (iii) mental retardation. For healthy controls, additional exclusion criteria included personal history of DSM-IV Axis-I disorders and history of bipolar or psychotic disorders in first-degree relatives. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant after study procedures were fully explained by trained research personnel. The study was conducted in accordance with a protocol approved by the University of Michigan Medical School Institutional Review Board and consistent with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.

Forty-four individuals with bipolar I disorder and 44 healthy controls completed the study. One bipolar subject was excluded from the analyses due to excessive artifacts. One other bipolar patient and one healthy control were excluded because their N170 amplitudes exceeded three standard deviations (SDs) above their respective group mean. Data for the remaining 42 bipolar patients and 43 healthy controls were included in the analyses of this report. The two groups did not significantly differ in age, sex, or education. At the time of testing, 35 bipolar patients were taking psychiatric medications (including mood stabilizers, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors [SSRIs], antipsychotics, and anxiolytic medication), while three were medicationfree; medication information for four bipolar patients was unavailable. Detailed demographic and clinical data for the participants are presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1	Demographic and clinical characteristics of bipolar
patients (BP)	and healthy controls (HC)

Variable	BP (n = 42) Mean ± SD	HC (n = 43) Mean ± SD	t/χ^2	Р
Age (years)	41.6 ± 11.0	41.2 ± 12.9	0.12	.90
Sex (male/female)	22 m/20 f	29 m/14 f	2.01	.16
Education (years)	15.4 ± 2.8	15.8 ± 2.6	0.55	.59
Duration of illness (years)	24.4 ± 12.0	-	-	-
Altman	3.54 ± 3.72	-	-	-
BDI	11.12 ± 9.27	1.05 ± 1.67	6.85	<.001
Medicated (n, %) ^a	35 (92.1)	-	-	-
Lithium (n, %)ª	15 (39.5)	-	-	-
Antiepileptic (n, %)ª	3 (7.9)	-	-	-
Antidepressant (n, %)ª	20 (52.6)	-	-	-
Antipsychotic (n, %) ^a	14 (36.8)	-	-	-
Benzodiazepine (n, %)ª	10 (26.3)	-	-	-
Hypnotic (n, %) ^a	3 (7.9)	_	_	_

^aMedication data for four BP participants were unavailable. Percentage was calculated based on a denominator of 38 instead of 42. BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; SD, standard deviation.

WILEY- BIPOLAR DISORDERS

Data for 32 of the healthy controls were included in a previous study. $^{36}\,$

2.2 | Clinical assessments

Current mood was assessed using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) ³⁷ and Altman Self-Rating Mania Scale (ASRM).³⁸ ASRM scores for three and BDI scores for two bipolar patients were erroneously not collected.

2.3 | Experimental task

Participants viewed black-and-white face images that varied in emotion (neutral or fearful), head orientation (forward or 30° deviated), and gaze direction (direct or averted).^{39,40} Participants performed a simple gaze discrimination task: to decide whether the face was looking at them or not (yes/no). A total of 512 face images (64 trials × 8 conditions) were presented in a pseudo-random order, divided into four blocks with a self-paced break between blocks, using E-PRIME 1.0 (Psychology Software Tools, Inc., Sharpsburg, PA, USA). In each trial, a fixation cross was first presented for 500 milliseconds, followed by a face for 100 milliseconds, then a fixation cross for up to 2000 milliseconds, during which time participants were to give a response. Immediately after a button press or 2000 milliseconds had elapsed, whichever occurred first, a blank screen (1000 milliseconds) was shown before the next

2.4 | ERP data acquisition and processing

procedure. The task typically lasted 20 to 25 minutes.

An electroencephalogram (EEG) was recorded using a 32-channel lycra cap with Ag/AgCl electrodes using the standard International 10-20 system (Brain Products, GmbH, Munich, Germany) during the task. Data were sampled at 2000 Hz and referenced to FCz during recording. Electrooculography was collected using one electrode positioned below the right eye, which was referenced to the Fp2 electrode. Electrode impedance was kept at or below 5 k Ω .

trial started. See Figure 1 for sample stimuli and illustration of the task

Data were processed offline using BRAIN PRODUCTS VISION ANALYZER 2.0 software (Brain Products, Munich, Germany). EEG data were resampled at 250 Hz. Data were then re-referenced to average mastoids ([TP9 – TP10]/2) and segmented into 1.2-second epochs (200 milliseconds baseline; 1000 milliseconds post-stimulus). This was followed by a 0.01-Hz high-pass filter and a 30-Hz low-pass filter (zero-phase shift and 24 dB/octave roll-off), correction for ocular movements using a regression algorithm,⁴¹ and baseline correction. Data exceeding $\pm 80 \ \mu V$ were automatically rejected, and remaining artifacts were manually





removed. Correct trials were averaged to produce an ERP waveform for each of the eight experimental conditions. Since N170 is maximal at the bilateral occipito-temporal sites, the P7 and P8 channels were the closest electrode sites in our montage and thus were selected to measure N170. Due to the proximity of these two sites to the mastoids, data were re-referenced to the averaged signal across the scalp.⁴²

Visual inspection of the waveforms revealed a great intersubject variability in N170 latency, particularly in the bipolar group. Thus, to accurately measure N170 amplitude, personalized time windows were used to obtain N170 mean amplitude. This was accomplished using customized codes implemented in MATLAB R2015b (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Firstly, for each participant, the N170 peak was identified by locating the minimum point of their averaged ERP wave (across all correct trials) between 130 and 200 milliseconds, a typical time window suggested by the literature.⁴³ Then, N170 amplitude was defined as the mean amplitude within a ± 40-millisecond window around this peak. Visual inspection of the ERP waves indicated that, while every participant showed detectable N170 on the right hemisphere (P8 site), some participants showed negligible N170 on the left (thus the "peak" simply reflected noise). Given this observation and the consideration that N170 is typically right-lateralized,¹⁰ only data from the P8 site were used to detect the peak and identify the personalized N170 window. The mean number of correct trials averaged together for N170 peak detection was 401 (SD = 46.1) for healthy controls and 365 (SD = 65.0) for bipolar patients (t[83] = 2.97, P = .004).

Note that the statistical analyses concerning N170 in this study focused on amplitude (see below), and peak latency was not obtained for individual experimental conditions. This was because peak latency is extremely susceptible to noise and is a nonlinear measure.³⁰ Its measurement becomes especially problematic when the target ERP component is small (as is the case with N170) and the number of trials that goes into the averaged wave varies between conditions (as was the case in this study because the number of correct trials varied across conditions and between subjects). Therefore, N170 peak latency was obtained, from the average waves using all correct trials, only for the purposes of identifying personalized time windows to measure N170 mean amplitude, and for group comparison of overall N170 latency (i.e., across all conditions).

2.5 | Statistical analyses

Behavioral performance (accuracy and reaction time) and N170 amplitude data were analyzed using linear mixed models (LMMs). An LMM has multiple advantages over traditional repeated- or mixed-measures ANOVA for ERP research. It is more robust against unbalanced cells and has the capability of including subjects with missing data in one or more conditions (e.g., due to artifacts or few correct trials), offering increased statistical power.⁴⁴ Additionally, an LMM aims to select the simplest model that provides the best fit to the data,⁴⁴ instead of evaluating every possible main effect and interaction as in ANOVA, which leads to inflated Type I errors as researchers very rarely correct for multiple comparisons.³⁰ BIPOLAR DISORDERS -WILEY

LMMs were estimated using restricted maximum likelihood (REML). For N170 data, due to the complexity of the study design/ data structure, which involved four within-subject variables (emotion. head, gaze, and laterality) and one between-subjects variable (group), LMM analyses were performed in two phases to enhance the interpretability of the results. In phase I, a separate model was built for each hemisphere to characterize group differences without considering the complexity of laterality. In this phase, models were built using a step-up strategy. Individual intercept was specified as a random effect, and emotion, head, and gaze were specified as repeated measures with covariance modeled using the diagonal structure. Initial models included emotion, head, gaze, and group as fixed effects. Then, two-way interactions between these variables were added as fixed effects in the model; significant interactions were retained and non-significant ones were removed from the model. This step was repeated with each level of higher order interaction terms until the final model was reached for each hemisphere. Significant group interactions in the final LMMs were followed up with contrast analyses to identify the differential within-subject effect(s) between the two groups that drove the interactions.

Phase II of the LMM analyses was to test if the significant group interaction observed in each hemisphere in phase I (emotion × group for the left hemisphere, and gaze × head × group for the right hemisphere) was specific to that particular hemisphere or applicable to both hemispheres. Models were built using data from both hemispheres. Individual intercept and laterality were specified as random effects, and emotion, head, gaze, and laterality were specified as repeated measures with covariance modeled using the diagonal structure. The initial model included emotion, head, gaze, laterality, and group, along with emotion × group and gaze × head × group as fixed effects. Three alternative models were built by replacing either or both of the two group interaction terms with their higher order interactions with laterality (i.e., laterality × emotion × group, or laterality × gaze × head × group). The model with the best fit, quantified by having the lowest information criteria, was chosen to be the winning model. The presence of a significant laterality × emotion × group and/or laterality × gaze × head × group interaction in the winning model would suggest that the group interaction(s) observed in phase I was hemisphere-specific.

Finally, each N170 abnormality observed in the bipolar group from the LMM analyses was correlated with two mood symptom scores (ASRM and BDI) using parametric (Pearson) and non-parametric (Spearman) correlations.

All statistical analyses were performed with the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (spss) version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Behavioral data

Descriptive statistics of accuracy and reaction time for the eight experimental conditions broken down by group are summarized in Supplemental Table S1. Results of the final LMMs are summarized ILEY- BIPOLAR DISORDERS

in Table 2, and significant effects are illustrated in Figure 2. A head × gaze interaction was evident for both accuracy and reaction time. Participants were generally highly accurate except for the deviated faces with direct gaze, similar to the finding of a previous study.³⁶ Participants took longer to respond to direct gaze presented in deviated than in forward head orientation, while the reaction time for averted gaze was constant across head orientations. Bipolar patients were less accurate and slower than healthy controls. There was a significant gaze × group interaction in both accuracy and reaction time. Specifically, the gaze effect in healthy controls (higher accuracy and shorter reaction time with averted than direct gaze) was significantly reduced in bipolar patients.

TABLE 2 Summary of final linear mixed models for accuracy and reaction time

	Accuracy	Reaction time			
Tests for fixed effects					
Intercept	F(1, 84.6) = 3650.0***	F(1, 83.3) = 2971.9***			
Emotion	F(1, 206.3) = 0.3	F(1, 329.8) = 0.1			
Head	F(1,301.9) = 189.2***	F(1,427.6) = 169.7***			
Gaze	<i>F</i> (1,200.3) = 160.4***	$F(1,429.4) = 4.17^*$			
Group	F(1,79.9) = 5.75*	F(1,79.9) = 5.75*			
Head × gaze	<i>F</i> (1, 297.4) = 210.7***	$F(1, 428.3) = 207.4^{***}$			
Gaze × group	F(1, 314.3) = 12.0***	$F(1, 410.8) = 10.3^{**}$			
Estimates of fixed effects ^a	β (SE)	β (SE)			
Intercept	0.82 (0.02)***	742.6 (19.1)***			
Neutral emotion	-0.00 (0.01)	1.1 (4.2)			
Forward head	-0.01 (0.01)	6.7 (5.1)			
Direct gaze	-0.28 (0.02)***	63.7 (8.1)***			
HC	0.10 (0.03)***	-72.6 (26.3)**			
Direct gaze, forward head	0.34 (0.02)***	-138.1 (9.6)***			
Direct gaze, HC	-0.07 (0.02)***	30.4 (9.5) **			
Model information criteria					
-2 REML log-likelihood	-674.97	7463.10			
AIC	-656.97	7481.10			
BIC	-616.30	7521.41			
Selective contrast estimates	Estimate (SE)	Estimate (SE)			
$Gaze \times group^b$	-0.06 (0.02)**	30.4 (9.5)***			

^aRedundant parameters omitted.

^bContrast of (direct – averted) in healthy controls vs (direct – averted) in bipolar patients. For accuracy, a negative value indicates a reduced gaze effect (higher accuracy for averted than direct gaze) in bipolar patients compared with healthy controls. For reaction time, a positive value indicates a reduced gaze effect (longer reaction time for direct than averted gaze) in bipolar patients relative to healthy controls.

*P < .05; **P < .01; ***P < .001.

AIC, Akaike information criteria; BIC, Bayesian information criteria; HC, healthy controls; REML, restricted maximum likelihood.



FIGURE 2 Behavioral performance differences between the bipolar disorder (BP) and healthy control (HC) groups on the gaze discrimination task. Upper panel: The BP group was less accurate than HC overall, and this was driven by reduced accuracy for faces with averted gaze in BP compared with HC (i.e., BP participants showed same hit rate but more false alarms for eye contact). Lower panel: The BP group had an overall longer reaction time than HC, and lacked the gaze effect observed in HC where it took less time to respond to averted gaze than to direct gaze

3.2 | N170 data

The grand average N170 waves for the two groups are displayed in Figure 3. The overall N170 peak latency did not differ between healthy controls [mean \pm standard deviation (SD) 166 \pm 9 milliseconds] and bipolar patients (170 \pm 15 milliseconds) (t[64.4] = -1.37, P = .18), but the bipolar group showed significantly more inter-subjects variability than healthy controls (F = 13.83, P < .001).

Descriptive statistics of N170 amplitude for the eight experimental conditions broken down by group are summarized in Table S2. Results of the final LMM obtained for each of the hemispheres are summarized in Table 3. In both hemispheres, significant emotion and head effects were observed across all participants. Specifically, fearful faces elicited larger N170 than did neutral faces, and deviated head elicited larger N170 than did forward head. Gaze direction did not modulate N170 by itself in both hemispheres. All of these findings are consistent with the literature.^{14-16,36}

In both hemispheres, group effect was not significant, indicating that bipolar patients did not differ from healthy controls in terms of overall N170 amplitude in each hemisphere. However, two significant group interactions were detected. In the left hemisphere, there was a significant emotion × group interaction, driven by an exaggerated emotion effect (i.e., larger N170 in response to fearful than neutral faces) in the bipolar group as compared with healthy controls (Figure 4A). In the right hemisphere, a significant head × gaze interaction was observed, such that faces with incongruent head-gaze



FIGURE 3 Grand average waves at bilateral occipito-temporal sites (P7 and P8) for the healthy controls (HC: n = 43) and the bipolar disorder group (BP: n = 42). Negative is plotted upward. Gray vertical lines indicate 170 milliseconds post-stimulus

direction elicited larger N170 than did faces with congruent headgaze direction. This head-gaze incongruency effect differed between groups, as indicated by the significant head × gaze × group interaction. Post hoc contrast analyses showed that this head-gaze incongruency effect was virtually absent in bipolar patients as a group (Figure 4B).

Results of the LMM analyses considering data of both hemispheres are summarized in Table S3. The best model (Alternative Model 3) included laterality × emotion × group and laterality × gaze × head × group as fixed effects, confirming that the exaggerated emotion effect and reduced head-gaze incongruency effect in the bipolar participants were hemisphere-specific.

3.3 **Clinical correlates of N170 abnormalities**

The exaggerated emotion effect found in the left hemisphere of bipolar patients did not show any significant correlations with either mood symptom score. However, the reduced head-gaze incongruency effect found in the right hemisphere in the bipolar group was significantly correlated with higher manic symptoms as measured with ASRM (r = .36, P = .031; ρ = .39, P = .016) (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION 4

This study used a simple gaze discrimination task to probe configural face processing in bipolar disorder. In a relatively large sample of participants with bipolar I disorder and healthy controls, we found that patients showed comparable overall N170 amplitude to healthy controls. This is consistent with the finding of Wynn et al²⁹, the largest N170 study in bipolar disorder thus far, that N170 amplitude was not reduced in the patient group. We also found that patients' overall peak latency was comparable to that of healthy controls, but with a wider inter-subject variability, causing a "short and stout" appearance of their grand average waves compared with those of healthy controls. The lack of statistical group differences in overall N170 latency in this study was contrary to Wynn et al's report of delayed latency in bipolar patients. This discrepancy may be due to the methodological differences between these two studies. Wynn et al used the method of difference wave (subtracting the ERP of object processing from the ERP of face processing) to isolate N170 (to ensure it contains facespecific activity only), and the data were processed with a severe high-pass filter (1 Hz), which may have shifted forward the timing of the ERP waves.³⁰ It is unclear whether these methodological choices caused systematic biases to one group or the other. Overall, we replicated Wynn et al's finding of overall intact holistic encoding of face structure as indexed by N170 amplitude in bipolar disorder, although it remains to be investigated whether this operation is slowed.

483

Despite overall intact N170, however, bipolar patients showed abnormal modulations by face attributes that are influential for facial communication. While healthy controls showed a previously documented ¹⁵ sensitivity to faces with incongruent head and gaze directions in the right hemisphere, this effect was virtually absent in the bipolar group. Faces with incongruent head-gaze direction (including forward faces with averted gaze and deviated faces with direct gaze), configurally, represent unconventional structural presentations of the face. Semantically, they convey conflicting focuses of attention as suggested by the different head orientation and gaze direction. The normal N170 accentuation to these faces suggests increased effort in encoding and integrating face elements, which may serve as a mechanism to facilitate detection of conflicting social signals embedded in a face. The ability to detect incongruent social signals is instrumental in accurately assessing others' mental state, evaluating ongoing interpersonal dynamics, and guiding appropriate social responses. The II FY- BIPOLAR DISORDERS

TABLE 3 Summary of final linear mixed models for N170 amplitude

	Left hemisphere (P7)	Right hemisphere (P8)
Tests for fixed effects		
Intercept	F(1, 82.9) = 78.1***	F(1, 83.0) = 137.0***
Emotion	F(1, 547.3) = 18.8***	F(1, 540.6) = 46.8***
Head	F(1, 537.4) = 25.8***	F(1, 539.2) = 75.1***
Gaze	F(1, 542.8) = 0.3	F(1, 532.4) = 0.0
Group	F(1, 82.9) = 1.0	F(1, 83.0) = 0.0
Emotion × group	$F(1, 547.5) = 7.1^{**}$	_
Head × gaze × group	-	F(4, 346.3) = 4.34**
Estimates of fixed effects ^a	β (SE)	β (SE)
Intercept	-2.53 (0.40)***	-4.12 (0.47)***
Neutral emotion	0.37 (0.08)***	0.40 (0.06)***
Forward head	0.27 (0.05)***	0.46 (0.11)***
Direct gaze	0.03 (0.05)	-0.11 (0.12)
HC	-0.43 (0.56)	-0.08 (0.65)
Neutral, HC	-0.28 (0.11)**	_
Direct gaze, forward head, HC	-	0.33 (0.23)
Direct gaze, deviated head, HC	-	-0.07 (0.17)
Averted gaze, forward head, HC	-	-0.18 (0.16)
Model information criteria		
-2 REML log-likelihood	1777.02	1920.81
AIC	1795.02	1920.81
BIC	1835.31	1961.09
Selective contrast estimates	Estimate (SE)	Estimate (SE)
Emotion \times group ^b	-0.285 (0.107)**	-
Head × gaze × group ^c	_	0.287 (0.117)*

^aRedundant parameters are omitted.

^bContrast of (neutral – fearful) in healthy controls vs (neutral – fearful) in bipolar patients. A negative value indicates an increased emotion effect (larger N170 for fearful) in bipolar patients relative to healthy controls. ^cContrast of (faces with congruent head-gaze direction – faces with incongruent head-gaze direction) in healthy controls vs (faces with congruent head-gaze direction) in bipolar patients. A positive value indicates a reduced head-gaze incongruency effect (i.e., normally larger N170 response to faces with incongruent than congruent head-gaze direction) in bipolar patients compared with healthy controls. **P* < .05; ***P* < .01; ****P* < .001.

reduction in this head-gaze incongruency effect on N170 observed in bipolar patients indicates an impaired perceptual sensitivity to facial features signaling incongruent social attention. It is worth noting that bipolar patients did show normal N170 sensitivity to deviated head orientation,^{14,15,36} as suggested by a significant head effect in

all participants but a non-significant head × group interaction effect on N170 amplitude. This suggests that altered configural face perception in bipolar disorder is nuanced and is not readily captured by decreased sensitivity to head orientation as demonstrated in schizophrenia,³⁶ confirming the importance of examining multiple core face attributes to fully understand how face processing is altered in bipolar disorder. The reduced head-gaze incongruency effect on N170 was significantly associated with more severe manic symptoms. This provides support that altered behaviors during the manic state, which are often characterized by interpersonal difficulties, may be related to altered face perception. The association between manic symptoms and right-hemisphere N170 abnormality is in line with the view that "right hemisphere deficits" previously reported in bipolar disorder and mania likely reflect transient lateralized abnormalities (e.g., due to neurotransmitter imbalance) that fluctuate with mood state rather than permanent brain lesions or structural abnormalities.³³ The emerging field of computational psychiatry would be instrumental in enhancing our understanding of how specific changes in the synaptic and circuitry levels give rise to collective electrophysiological signals and cognitive functions in bipolar disorder. Incorporating comprehensive measures of social cognition, particularly those that assess the ability to identify others' mental state in dynamic and ecologically valid scenarios, in future studies will help further to elucidate the role of configural face processing in social functioning and to investigate its promise as a treatment target in bipolar disorder.

Another N170 abnormality noted in bipolar patients in this study was an exaggerated emotion effect (larger N170 response to fearful than neutral faces) in the left hemisphere. Since emotion processing was implicit in this study, this finding could not be attributed to poor behavioral performance in emotion identification. It has been shown that N170 increases as attention,⁴⁵ intensity of emotion,⁴⁶ or perceived personal importance of the stimuli 47 increases. Therefore, exaggerated N170 response to fearful faces in the bipolar group may reflect increased perceived salience of threat-related emotions. This interpretation is consistent with the results of an eye-tracking study, in which bipolar patients were found to attend more to threatening images than did healthy controls, and such a bias was not associated with mood state.⁴⁸ Similarly, we did not find any associations between mood symptoms and enhanced emotion effect on N170 in our patient group. Such a trait bias toward threat emerging in an early visual processing stage may constitute a cognitive vulnerability to stressful experience and consequently susceptibility to emotional reactivity in bipolar disorder.⁴⁸ Previous research suggests that the ability to correctly identify fear is reduced in bipolar disorder, particularly during mania.⁴⁹ Therefore, the exaggerated N170 response to fearful faces among bipolar patients may also be interpreted as having more difficulty or inputting more effort in encoding fearful expression. We did not include assessments of emotion identification in this study. Future studies examining if the enhanced emotion effect in bipolar disorder indeed corresponds to poorer behavioral performance in fear identification would provide a more definitive interpretation of this N170 abnormality. It would also be valuable to obtain longitudinal data to confirm that this exaggerated N170 response to negative emotions is





(B) Right hemisphere: Absence of headgaze incongruency effect in BP



FIGURE 4 N170 differences between healthy controls (HC) and patients with bipolar disorder (BP). (A) Exaggerated emotion effect on N170 in the left hemisphere in BP compared with HC. (B) Absence of head-gaze incongruency effect (i.e., normally larger N170 response to faces with incongruent than congruent head-gaze direction) in the right hemisphere in BP. Negative is plotted upward. Gray solid vertical lines indicate the mean N170 peak latency of the corresponding group (HC: 166 milliseconds; BP: 170 milliseconds), and gray dashed lines indicate ± 40 milliseconds from the peak. Black vertical lines in bar graphs indicate standard errors



FIGURE 5 Clinical correlate of N170 abnormality in bipolar disorder. Reduced head-gaze incongruency effect on N170 was associated with greater mania symptoms as measured with the Altman Self-Rating Mania Scale (ASRM) in bipolar patients, as indicated by parametric (Pearson *r*) and non-parametric (Spearman ρ) tests

independent of mood state, and evaluate its potential in serving as a biomarker of bipolar disorder.

Caution is needed when interpreting the results of this study due to several sample-related and methodological limitations. Our bipolar sample contained mostly medicated patients with chronic bipolar disorder. Since most patients with bipolar disorder are treated with medications in North America, the results of this study inform face processing in patients we often encounter in medical settings. However, it is unclear to what extent the observed effects were due to medication or the illness itself; this needs to be clarified in future studies recruiting patients in the early phase of the illness and preferably those who are medication-free or with limited medication exposure. In terms of methods, the routine timing of stimulus onset due to a lack of a temporal jitter between trials in the ERP task might have introduced anticipation brain activity, confounding the early visual N170 wave. However, the effect on the results was likely minimal, because the intertrial interval was relatively short (1 second) and the task was not a reaction-time task. We used only two electrodes (P7 and P8) to measure N170, as other electrode sites reasonably near where N170 is most prominent (e.g., PO7 and PO8) were unavailable in the EEG cap used in this study. Although this is not an uncommon practice in N170 studies,¹⁶ deriving IL FY- BIPOLAR DISORDERS

N170 from multiple electrodes at the low occipito-temporal sites in future studies would improve the reliability and reproducibility of the findings. This is especially important given that the correlation between mania and the reduced head-gaze incongruency effect detected in bipolar disorder would not survive a stringent study-wise Bonferroni correction (alpha would be adjusted to 0.0125 for totally four correlations run). Additionally, we used a 2 (emotion) × 2 (head orientation) \times 2 (gaze direction) \times 2 (group) mixed design to study how the three basic face attributes differentially interact and affect configural face processing in bipolar disorder relative to healthy people. Such a complex design precludes the inclusion of more than two levels of each of the three within-subject variables (e.g., including more categories or intensity levels of emotions, ambiguous gaze directions, or intermediate head deviations), for the task to remain tolerable. There is evidence that the interaction between gaze direction and emotion may depend on the intensity of the facial expression ⁵⁰ and ambiguity of gaze direction.⁴⁰ Future studies examining how the signal intensity of these face attributes influences configural face processing in bipolar disorder would provide a more ecologically valid understanding of social cognition in the illness. Finally, we did not measure and make group comparisons of N170 latency for individual experimental conditions due to measurement challenges associated with peak latency.³⁰ Whether the N170 amplitude abnormalities observed in patients were also accompanied by slowed processing needs to be clarified in future studies.

To conclude, overall configural face processing as indexed by N170 amplitude appeared to be intact, but with more heterogeneous timing, in bipolar disorder relative to healthy individuals. However, nuanced abnormalities were observed when three face attributes key to facial communication were manipulated in the stimuli: an exaggerated emotion effect in the left hemisphere and a reduced gaze-head incongruency effect in the right hemisphere. The exaggerated emotion effect was not associated with mood state, suggesting a heightened trait-like sensitivity to negative emotions that may contribute to vulnerability to stress and mood instability. The reduced gaze-head incongruency effect was significantly correlated with more severe manic symptoms, suggesting that an impaired perceptual sensitivity to faces with features signaling incongruent social attention may underlie social deficits observed during mania. These findings together suggest that disruption of configural face processing may play a critical role in the clinical manifestations of bipolar disorder and warrants further investigations to evaluate its potential as a biomarker and treatment target. For example, excessive N170 responses to negative emotions may be used as an index of susceptibility to developing bipolar disorder or mood dysregulations among genetically at-risk individuals and help inform early intervention strategies. Cognitive training and brain stimulation techniques may be used to normalize N170 response to incongruent social attention signals, and thus improve clinical and functional outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the research volunteers for their participation, Gloria Harrington for her help in recruitment, and Ryan Cardinale for his assistance in data management.

DISCLOSURES

The authors had nothing relevant to this study to disclose.

ORCID

Ivy F Tso http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0984-1186 *Lisa O'Donnell* ttp://orcid.org/0000-0001-6649-2894

REFERENCES

- Van Rheenen TE, Rossell SL. Phenomenological predictors of psychosocial function in bipolar disorder: is there evidence that social cognitive and emotion regulation abnormalities contribute? Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2014;48:26-35.
- National Institute of Mental Health. Research Domain Criteria Matrix [Internet]. [cited 2017 Sep 5]. Available from: https://www.nimh.nih. gov/research-priorities/rdoc/constructs/rdoc-matrix.shtml
- Senju A, Johnson MH. The eye contact effect: mechanisms and development. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2009 Mar;13:127-134.
- Bedford R, Elsabbagh M, Gliga T, et al. Precursors to social and communication difficulties in infants at-risk for autism: gaze following and attentional engagement. J Autism Dev Disord. 2012;42: 2208-2218.
- Emery NJ. The eyes have it: the neuroethology, function and evolution of social gaze. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2000 Aug;24:581-604.
- Langton SRH. The mutual influence of gaze and head orientation in the analysis of social attention direction. Q J Exp Psychol. 2000;53A:805-845.
- Seyama J, Nagayama R. The effect of torso direction on the judgement of eye direction. Vis Cogn. 2005;12:103-116.
- Adams RBJ, Kleck RE. Effects of direct and averted gaze on the perception of facially communicated emotion. *Emotion*. 2005;5: 3-11.
- 9. Tipples J. Fear and fearfulness potentiate automatic orienting to eye gaze. *Cogn Emot*. 2006;20:309-320.
- Bentin S, Allison T, Puce A, Perez E, Mccarthy G. Electrophysiological studies of face perception in humans. J Cogn Neurosci. 1996;8: 551-565.
- Rossion B, Gauthier I, Tarr MJ, et al. The N170 occipito-temporal component is delayed and enhanced to inverted faces but not to inverted objects: an electrophysiological account of face-specific processes in the human brain. *NeuroReport*. 2000;11:69-74.
- Jemel B, George N, Chaby L, Fiori N, Renault B. Differential processing of part-to-whole and part-to-part face priming: an ERP study. *NeuroReport*. 1999;10:1069-1075.
- Stahl J, Wiese H, Schweinberger SR. Expertise and own-race bias in face processing: an event-related potential study. *NeuroReport*. 2008;19:583-587.
- Caharel S, Collet K, Rossion B. The early visual encoding of a face (N170) is viewpoint-dependent: a parametric ERP-adaptation study. *Biol Psychol.* 2015;106:18-27.
- Itier RJ, Alain C, Kovacevic N, McIntosh AR. Explicit versus implicit gaze processing assessed by ERPs. *Brain Res.* 2007;1177: 79-89.
- Hinojosa JA, Mercado F, Carretié L. N170 sensitivity to facial expression: a meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2015;55:498-509.
- 17. Kohler CG, Hoffman LJ, Eastman LB, Healey K, Moberg PJ. Facial emotion perception in depression and bipolar disorder: a quantitative review. *Psychiatry Res.* 2011;188:303-309.
- Van Rheenen TE, Rossell SL. Is the non-verbal behavioural emotionprocessing profile of bipolar disorder impaired?: a critical review. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2013;128:163-178.

BIPOLAR DISORDERS

- Brotman MA, Guyer AE, Lawson ES, et al. Facial emotion labeling deficits in children and adolescents at risk for bipolar disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2008;165:385-389.
- Delvecchio G, Sugranyes G, Frangou S. Evidence of diagnostic specificity in the neural correlates of facial affect processing in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of functional imaging studies. *Psychol Med.* 2013;43:553-569.
- Surguladze SA, Marshall N, Schulze K, et al. Exaggerated neural response to emotional faces in patients with bipolar disorder and their first-degree relatives. *NeuroImage*. 2010;53:58-64.
- Frantom LV, Allen DN, Cross CL. Neurocognitive endophenotypes for bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2008;10:387-399.
- Sapin LR, Berrettini WH, Nurnberger JI, Rothblat LA. Mediational factors underlying cognitive changes and laterality in affective illness. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1987;22:979-986.
- Degabriele R, Lagopoulos J, Malhi G. Neural correlates of emotional face processing in bipolar disorder: an event-related potential study. J Affect Disord. 2011;133:212-220.
- Degabriele R, Lagopoulos J. Delayed early face processing in bipolar disorder. NeuroReport. 2012;23:152-156.
- Sokhadze EM, Tasman A, Tamas R, El-Mallakh RS. Event-related potential study of the effects of emotional facial expressions on task performance in euthymic bipolar patients. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback*. 2011;36:1-13.
- Ibanez A, Urquina H, Petroni A, et al. Neural processing of emotional facial and semantic expressions in euthymic bipolar disorder (BD) and its association with theory of mind (ToM). *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:1-12.
- Ibáñez A, Aguado J, Baez S, et al. From neural signatures of emotional modulation to social cognition: individual differences in healthy volunteers and psychiatric participants. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2014;9:939-950.
- Wynn JK, Jahshan C, Altshuler LL, Glahn DC, Green MF. Event-related potential examination of facial affect processing in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Psychol Med.* 2013;43:109-117.
- Luck SJ. An Introduction to the Event-Related Potential Technique, 2nd edn. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2014.
- Latinus M, Taylor MJ. Face processing stages: impact of difficulty and the separation of effects. *Brain Res.* 2006;1123:179-187.
- Getz GE, Shear PK, Strakowski SM. Facial affect recognition deficts in bipolar disorder. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2003;9:623-632.
- Bearden CE, Hoffman KM, Cannon TD. The neuropsychology and neuroanatomy of bipolar affective disorder: a critical review. *Bipolar Disord*. 2001;3:106-150.
- Nurnberger JI, Blehar MC, Kaufmann CA, et al. Diagnostic interview for genetic studies: rationale, unique features, and training. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994;51:849-859.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JB. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Patient Edition. (SCID-P), version 2.0. New York, NY: Biometrics Research; 1995.
- Tso IF, Calwas AM, Chun J, Mueller SA, Taylor SF, Deldin PJ. Altered attentional and perceptual processes as indexed by N170 during gaze perception in schizophrenia: relationship with perceived threat and paranoid delusions. J Abnorm Psychol. 2015 Aug;124:519-531.

- Beck AT, Steer RA. Manual for the Beck Depresion Inventory. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation; 1993.
- Altman EG, Hedeker D, Peterson JL, Davis JM. The altman self-rating mania scale. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1997;42:948-955.
- Gur RC, Sara R, Hagendoorn M, et al. A method for obtaining 3-dimensional facial expressions and its standardization for use in neurocognitive studies. J Neurosci Methods. 2002;115:137-143.
- Tso IF, Mui ML, Taylor SF, Deldin PJ. Eye-contact perception in schizophrenia: relationship with symptoms and socioemotional functioning. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2012 Aug;121:616-627.
- Gratton G, Coles MGH, Donchin E. A new method for off-line removal of ocular artifact. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*. 1983;55:468-484.
- Joyce C, Rossion B. The face-sensitive N170 and VPP components manifest the same brain processes: the effect of reference electrode site. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2005;116:2613-2631.
- Rossion B, Jacques C. The N170: understanding the time course of face perception in the human brain. In: the Oxford Handbook of Event-Related Potential Components. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. p. 115–41.
- West BT, Welch KB, Galecki AT. Linear mixed models: a practical guide using statistical software. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2007.
- Holmes A, Vuilleumier P, Eimer M. The processing of emotional facial expression is gated by spatial attention: evidence from event-related brain potentials. *Cogn Brain Res.* 2003;16:174-184.
- Sprengelmeyer R, Jentzsch I. Event related potentials and the perception of intensity in facial expressions. *Neuropsychologia*. 2006;44:2899-2906.
- Caharel S, Courtay N, Bernard C, Lalonde R, Rebaï M. Familiarity and emotional expression influence an early stage of face processing: an electrophysiological study. *Brain Cogn.* 2005;59:96-100.
- Garcia-Blanco A, Salmeron L, Perea M, Livianos L. Attentional biases toward emotional images in the different episodes of bipolar disorder: an eye-tracking study. *Psychiatry Res.* 2014;215:628-633.
- Lembke AMD, Ketter TAM. Impaired recognition of facial emotion in mania. [Report]. Am J Psychiatry. 2002;159:302-304.
- Graham R, LaBar KS. Garner interference reveals dependencies between emotional expression and gaze in face perception. *Emotion*. 2007;7:296-313.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Tso IF, Grove TB, Mueller SA, et al. Altered N170 and mood symptoms in bipolar disorder: An electrophysiological study of configural face processing. *Bipolar Disord*. 2018;20:477–487. https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12587