Coca-Pelaz Andrés (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1363-8559) Halmos Gyorgy (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-2460-2260) STROJAN PRIMOZ (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-0445-112X) de Bree Remco (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7128-5814) Bossi Paolo (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-0135-0224) Sanabria Alvaro (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-5563-8840) Takes Robert (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-4784-0499) Ferlito Alfio (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8247-8002)

CLINICAL REVIEW

The role of age in treatment-related adverse events in head and neck cancer patients: a systematic review

Andrés Coca-Pelaz, MD, PhD,¹ Gyorgy B. Halmos, MD, PhD,² Primož Strojan, MD, PhD,³ Remco de Bree, MD, PhD,⁴ Paolo Bossi, MD,⁵ Carol R. Bradford, MD,⁶ Alessandra Rinaldo, MD, FRCSEd *ad hominem*, FRCS (Eng, Ir) *ad eundem*, FRCSGlasg, FACS,⁷ Vincent Vander Poorten, MD, PhD,⁸ Alvaro Sanabria, MD, PhD,⁹ Robert P. Takes, MD, PhD,¹⁰ Alfio Ferlito, MD, DLO, DPath, FRCSEd *ad hominem*, FRCS (Eng, Glasg, Ir) *ad eundem*, FDSRCS *ad eundem*, FACS, FHKCORL, FRCPath, FASCP, IFCAP¹¹

¹Department of Otolaryngology, Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain

²Department of Otorhinolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

³Department of Radiation Oncology, Institute of Oncology, Ljubljana, Slovenia

⁴Department of Head and Neck Surgical Oncology, UMC Utrecht Cancer Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁵Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

⁶Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann

Arbor, MI, USA

⁷University of Udine School of Medicine, Udine, Italy

⁸Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Oncology, Section Head and Neck Oncology, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

⁹Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Universidad de Antioquia, Clínica Vida, Medellín, Colombia

¹⁰Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/hed.25696

¹¹Coordinator of the International Head and Neck Scientific Group, Padua, Italy

This article was written by members of the International Head and Neck Scientific Group (www.IHNSG.com).

Running title: Role of age in head and neck cancer.

Address for correspondence: Avenida de Roma s/n - 33011 – Oviedo.

E-mail: acocapelaz@yahoo.es

Abstract

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is often diagnosed in advanced stage and therefore requires aggressive, multimodal treatment. Elderly patients are often excluded from standard therapy regimens purely based on age. This clinical review aims to collect all published data in the literature on treatment modality selection in elderly patients and on age-related adverse events following treatment of HNSCC. We performed a literature search for articles on the treatment of HNSCC in elderly patients. Most of the articles were retrospective studies with the consequent limitations. It can be concluded that age is not an absolute contraindication for intensive treatment and comorbidity is an important predictor of outcome, but not the only one. Despite the existence of multiple tools for pretreatment evaluation, there are not consistent data on their use.

Key words: adverse events, head and neck cancer, elderly, comorbidity, postoperative complications, prognosis, toxicity.

1. Introduction

Despite the increase of a subpopulation of relatively younger head and neck squamous cell cancer (HNSCC) patients with human papillomavirus (HPV) related oropharyngeal cancer patients, HNSCC remains primarily a cancer of an older population. According to the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database, approximately 64% of all patients diagnosed with HNSCC in the U.S. between 1975 and 2014 were ≥ 65 years¹. It has been estimated that 24% of newly diagnosed HNSCC patients are older than 70 years^{2,3} and the larynx, oropharynx and oral cavity are the three tumor sites most common affected⁴. The definition of "elderly" is not uniform and different cut-points are used for this purpose. However, the National Institute on Aging suggest categories of "young old" (65-74 years), "older old" (75-85 years), and "oldest old" (>85 years)⁵. The majority of patients with HNSCC present with advanced stage disease which usually requires extensive combined treatment, i.e. surgery and postoperative radiotherapy (RT) with or without chemotherapy (CT) or primary RT with or without systemic therapy (CT or cetuximab) with salvage surgery, when needed and possible⁶. These intensive multimodality treatments harbor a high risk of associated acute and long-term toxicity, which in many cases is demonstrated by poor adherence to treatments, inferior quality of life (QoL), treatment-induced death and limited life expectancy⁷. In elderly patients, medical comorbidities are common. As such, these patients are considered poor candidates for intensive multimodal therapy and frequently they receive less effective but better tolerated treatments regimens, often with a poorer response⁸. The selection of patients for either standard or non-standard therapy

is not clearly defined, and a comprehensive geriatric evaluation is rarely conducted. Fear of adverse events in these elderly patients often results in different treatment of elderly as compared to younger patients. An adverse event can be defined as any unfavorable and unintended sign, symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of a medical treatment or procedure that may or may not be considered related to the medical treatment or procedure⁹, thus, subjective factors frequently influence the decision on treatment¹⁰. In the last years, the concept of frailty has been developed. The term frailty refers to a state of decreased physiological reserves, arising from cumulative deficits in several physiological systems and resulting in a diminished resistance to stressors^{11,12}. Research has been conducted to find screening methods to identify fit older patients who are able to receive standard cancer treatment, and vulnerable patients who should subsequently receive a geriatric assessment to guide tailoring of their treatment¹³. Moreover, elderly patients are frequently not included in prospective clinical trials. These trials often accrue younger and healthier patients, as described by Siddiqui and Gwede¹⁴. They found that the median age of the patients enrolled was between 53 and 62 years old and most studies lack data on comorbidities. Therefore, recommendations derived from these trials and guidelines based on their results are not directly applicable to older patients. Another factor is the reluctance of physicians to offer the best available therapy, based on the belief that older people are not fit to receive complex surgical procedures or intense chemoradiotherapy $(CRT)^{15}$.

The purpose of this article is to review the currently available literature with focus on (1) the importance of age in the treatment selection for HNSCC, (2) if these

patients legitimately receive nonstandard treatment regimens, (3) if elderly HNSCC patients more often face treatment-related adverse events and worse survival in contrast to their younger counterparts and finally, (4) if in the published articles any screening method is used to assess if older patients are candidates for standard treatment.

For this purpose, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) were used to conduct a systematic review of the current literature¹⁶. The search strategy aimed to include all articles concerning the treatment of HNSCC in elderly patients. A PubMed internet search updated to July 24, 2018 was performed for English language publications between the years 1980-2018 using the following search criteria in the title or abstract: "head and neck cancer," coupled with "older", "elderly" or "age", and "radiotherapy", "chemotherapy", "systemic therapy", "targeted therapy", "surgery" and "adverse event". The search results were reviewed for potentially eligible studies. When there was reference in the abstract that the study includes patients over 65 years old, the full text article was searched; all review articles were also checked in full. References from any full text articles were cross-checked to ensure inclusion all relevant publications in this review (Figure 1). Studies were selected if they met the following inclusion criteria: 1) patients treated for HNSCC, 2) age of the patients ≥ 65 years, 3) type of treatment that the patients received, 4) data about the primary site of the tumor, 5) if the study included also younger patients, data should be analyzed by age group (e.g. >65 years, <65 years). Studies involving patients of all ages, without age differentiation, were excluded.

According to our search criteria, 2543 papers were initially identified. After sorting and removal of duplicates, 82 papers that fully fit our inclusion criteria were retrieved, reviewed in detail and summarized in tables 1-4^{4,15,17-96} according to the modality of treatment used: RT, systemic therapy (CT or targeted therapy), surgery or multimodal therapy.

2. Adverse events and survival after different treatment modalities in elderly

2.1. Radiotherapy

2.1.1. Toxicity

Most studies find no age-specific differences in the efficacy of radiation therapy^{4,17}, and note comparable survival outcome. However, the data on treatment related toxicity differ among studies. For instance, Pignon et al.⁴ found more severe but not more frequent acute toxicities in aged patients, and contradictory to this, Schofield et al.²¹ found no differences. The study by Allal et al.²⁰, indicates lack of compliance of elderly patients to accelerated radiotherapy.

2.1.2. Specific RT techniques

Specific RT techniques and protocols, such as intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT)^{22,25}, intensity modulated radiotherapy/image guided radiotherapy simultaneous integrated boost (IMRT/IGRT SIB)²³, and hypofractionation²⁴ seems to be feasible and well-tolerated in elderly. Based on the published literature, age itself seems not to be a limiting factor in curative radical RT, even in the octo-¹⁸ and nonagenarians¹⁹. However, data on efficacy, toxicity and compliance in the very old patients is based on small

-Author Manuscrip

study populations. In addition, the proportion of older patients in these trials is lower compared to the fraction they represent among all HNSCC patients, which implies a significant selection bias. Despite only apparently "fit elderly" (individuals, over 65 years of age, living independently at home or in sheltered accommodation⁹⁷) patients are recruited to curative-intent radiotherapy programs, the meta-analysis of prospective randomized trials comparing conventional and altered fractionated radiotherapy showed a decreasing effect (worse overall survival, but not the disease specific survival) of intensified radiotherapy regimens with increasing^{98,99}. Increase in non-cancer related deaths, and lower compliance and tolerance were recognized as possible factors for this observation^{100,101}. However, the difference between chronological and biological age of the patients, the parameter that was not addressed in the meta-analysis, could also play a role¹⁰.

Based on the presented data, it appears that altered fractionated radiotherapy has a decreased benefit in older patients and in patients with poor performance status. It could be due to an excess of non-cancer related deaths but also by lower compliance and tolerance in older patients; although late toxicity and outcomes are not different, asking for careful selection of elderly patients for curative intent radiotherapy regimens.

2.2. Chemotherapy and other systemic therapy

2.2.1. Chemoradiation, bioradiation

Adding CT to radiation therapy for the treatment of HNSCC in elderly patients is often discarded from treatment protocols, based on a meta-analysis of Pignon et al. in

2009 (MACH-HN)¹⁰². This study concluded that adding CT to conventional RT has no beneficial effect in patients over 70. There are several points of criticisms on this conclusion; one of these is the fact, that non-cancer related deaths were more common in the elderly and significantly altered the analysis. A similar observation was made by Machtay et al.⁵⁷ who analyzed three RTOG chemoradiation trials (12% of patients were over 70) for the factors influencing the occurrence of severe (grade 3-4) late toxicities with potential detrimental effect to survival: the risk of their development was significantly increased with higher age (hazard ration 1.05 per year). Another weakness of the MACH-HN study is the small number (356 patients) of elderly patients who received CRT, compared to the whole study population, which was over 17.000 patients.

A more recent addition to systemic HNSCC treatment is Cetuximab, a monoclonal antibody directed against the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), approved in 2006 for concurrent use with radiation in locally or regionally advanced disease⁸¹not was demonstrated not to increase common acute radiation-associated toxicity or a decline of patient's quality of life¹⁰³. However, in older patients (\geq 65 years) no overall survival benefit was reported when used in combination with RT¹⁰⁴.

2.2.2. Systemic therapy for recurrent/metastatic (RM) disease

In the Extreme trial, which stated the superiority of cetuximab added to platinum and 5-fluorouracil (PF) in comparison to PF alone in first line setting for RM HNSCC, only 18% of the patients were 65 years and older²⁸. The highest benefit in survival for

the 3-drug regimen was observed in patients with less than 65 years (HR 0.74; 0.59– 0.94), whereas it was not significant in older patients (HR 1.07; 0.65–1.77). Gebbia et al.²⁶ showed that fit elderly can receive CT without major age-related toxicity, underlining the importance of screening. The outcome of a phase III, open-label trial was consistent with this study, and the results showed that advanced age does not adversely affect toxicity and oncologic outcome in patients treated in a second-line setting with afatinib or methotrexate²⁹. In contrast to these two studies, Argiris et al.²⁷ found significantly higher toxicity rates and also higher CT-related deaths in elderly; however, global survival data of elderly were comparable to the younger patients. These data are based on analysis of two phase III ECOG studies on palliative cisplatin-based studies with only 13% of the patients from \geq 70 age group. Observed differences are very likely due to the differences in eligibility for receiving CT across different age groups, indicating a need for more effective strategies for decreasing toxicities in elderly patients.

Nakano et al.³⁰ compared the efficacy of two cetuximab-containing regimens (weekly paclitaxel and cetuximab vs 5-Fluorouracil, platinum and Cetuximab). They found that male gender, older age (\geq 70 years), good performance status, no history of platinum chemotherapy and the presence of a tracheostomy were favorable factors within the cohort treated with weekly paclitaxel and cetuximab.

Obviously, age-related changes in physiology of different organs alter pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of systemic drugs, which increase susceptibility of normal tissues to toxicity. Changes in toxicity profile observed in older

patients reduce tolerability to systemic therapies and require more effective supportive care measures; in this regard, the importance of tools for prediction of the risk of toxicity and the probability of response before administering systemic therapies should be underlined²⁷.

2.3.Surgery

The literature on the effect of age on treatment related adverse events in patients undergoing major head and neck surgery is very consistent. However, all available literature data is based on retrospective studies; which very likely introduces selection bias in the inclusion of patients in these studies. Surgical candidates are usually thoroughly screened before major oncological head and neck surgery and only fit elderly patients are selected for these complex procedures. Usually, patients who are excluded are not analyzed, and their outcome is unknown. Therefore, these studies have to be carefully interpreted.

Roughly, two types of studies can be identified in this topic; studies comparing young versus elderly and studies including only aged patients. All studies that compare complication rates^{31–35,37,40–43,105} conclude that complication rate is comparable in elderly and young patients, except the study of Morgan et al.³¹. Despite the fact that the latter study finds slightly more frequent complications in elderly patients (32% vs 21%), the authors concluded that age alone should not be a factor to exclude patients from extensive surgery³¹. Retrospective studies with cohorts of elderly patients that lack a control group^{34,36,38,39,44,45} draw the same conclusions; surgical treatment can be safely

-Author Manuscrip performed in elderly HNSCC patients and selection should be based on medical assessment, and not on age. While most of the studies focused on complication rates, a small subset do report survival data³⁵. Interestingly, Clayman et al.³⁵ found lower local control and disease-specific survival in octogenarians when compared with group of similar patients aged up to 65 years.

2.4. Multimodality treatment

The literature on age specific treatment outcome after multimodality treatment is not very consistent. Several studies confirm no age-related differences after multimodal treatment of HNSCC in terms of treatment-related adverse events^{46,47,49,60,62–64,68,77,106}. In studies contrast. other identified adverse events in more the elderly^{54,57,58,66,67,69,72,75,78,79,87}. Since all of these studies are retrospective; the selection bias, may have had an effect on the outcome. This problem is highlighted in the study of Hirano and Mori⁴⁸. These authors found significant differences between young and old patients regarding the choice of the modalities of curative treatment, due to significantly more common concomitant comorbidities in elderly. In the study of Derks et al.⁵³, the proportion of patients aged 45-60 years, 70-79 years and ≥80 year that received standard treatment was 89%, 75% and 35%, respectively; whereas no treatment was given to 4%, 13% and 18% of the patients from respective groups.

Comparing survival between cohorts of different age categories is difficult due to expected differences in life expectancy. Some studies confirm poorer survival in the elderly after multimodality treatment^{50,51,62,69,93,94}, others report comparable survival in

the elderly to the younger cohorts^{46,47,66,75,87}. Concerning the rate of treatment-related death, Sarini et al.⁴⁹ did not find any age-specific differences.

In the past, elderly patients were clearly underrepresented in non-age-related clinical trials. However, the number of studies, on the eligibility of elderly for intensive multimodal treatment is exponentially increasing. It seems that the old dogma, that elderly patients should be excluded from standard treatment protocols, purely based on their chronological age does not stand any longer. This is also reflected in the outcome of a recent study on the SEER database, confirming the increased use of chemoradiation and particularly cetuximab, in older patients over the past decades⁸¹.

3. Factors influencing adverse events

3.1.Comorbidity, advanced stage, use of CT

One of the key factors in the decision on treatment of a patient with HNSCC is comorbidity. Comorbidity is defined as one or more unrelated diseases present at the time of cancer diagnosis¹⁰. In elderly patients, comorbidity is more frequent, and these patients sometimes receive non-standard treatments due to the fear of complications, that intensive standard treatments entail. For this reason, these patients are often offered non-surgical treatments or surgical treatment without postoperative RT^{49,53,107}. Peters et al.⁶³ reported on a cohort of elderly oropharyngeal cancer patients, and no difference in post-treatment complications between young and old patients was found, despite the higher incidence of comorbidity in elderly patients. T-classification was the only factor associated with the frequency of complications in multivariate analysis. This

observation was confirmed by Zabrodsky et al.³⁸. They reported that surgical and medical complications were influenced by advanced comorbidity, long operative times and advanced stage at diagnosis. Sanabria et al³⁹ observed that advanced stage was associated with postoperative complications as well, and identified additional factors that contributed: male gender, bilateral neck dissection and presence of two or more comorbidities. Advanced stage at diagnosis is often considered a predictor for postoperative complications^{41,42,63,64}. One of the factors studied as influential in the incidence of adverse effects in the elderly is the addition of CT to RT. For example, in the study by O'Neill et al.⁷⁸, a higher rate of hospitalization and acute toxicity with emergency room visit was found in patients receiving CRT versus RT treatment. The group also reported a higher rate of acute treatment-related toxicity, feeding tube placement, and long-term feeding tube dependence among these older patients treated with combined modality therapy. Similar results were reported by Strom et al.¹⁰⁸. This report evaluated patients by age, rather than by treatment modality, and found an increased rate of hospital admission and a late percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) dependence among older patients treated with CRT. Michal et al.⁶⁶ confirmed these observations as well.

3.2. Other prognosticators

Other factors possibly related to adverse events during treatment of the elderly patients with HNSCC have also been studied. Besides comparing young and elderly patients, a significant proportion of these studies attempted to identify predictors of outcome other than age. Comorbidity, performance status, frailty and advanced tumor stage all seem to

-Author Manuscrip correlate with clinical outcome^{39,56,59,63,64,67,80,83,85–87,93,95,96,106}. Beside the known general prognosticators for surgical complications, like advanced stage and previous RT, also the duration of the surgery plays a role. In fact, prolonged surgery time was found to be a significant independent predictor for surgical complication in these studies^{38,41,44,105}. However, the ability of older patients to cope with the proposed treatment goes beyond the comorbidity status and includes other aspect of patient functioning with assessment of the nutritional status, polypharmacy, cognitive function, socioeconomic issues, and geriatric syndromes¹⁰⁹.

3.3.Coordination of care

Apart from these observations, the majority of the authors agree that older patients can receive the same treatment as younger ones, with comparable or higher complication rates. A higher chance on complications as such does not imply not to give treatment, but calls for better planning, better preoperative evaluation, and optimal multidisciplinary team coordination, including supportive care, to minimize treatment-related complications, maximize postoperative or post-(chemo)radiotherapy support and decrease the impact on survival and QoL. Introduction of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) strategy in other oncologic procedures can be considered a demonstration of this philosophy. The ERAS programs have been applied successfully in the last two decades to offer a faster recovery, reducing hospital stay and thus, fostering early return to daily activities after hospital discharge¹¹⁰.

-Author Manuscrip Other factors that are important for optimal recovery and quality of life after treatment are social and family support, caregivers' availability and resilience and financial support. Studies have demonstrated that living alone¹¹¹, lack of psychological support¹¹² and lack of social support¹¹³ are prognostic factors of QOL decline after treatment.

4. The selection of patients for therapy

4.1. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) and frailty tests

Attempting to predict adverse events of a given treatment in elderly patients is much more difficult than in young patients. It is preferable in elderly patients to evaluate the potential impacts of treatment on the QoL, survival and the potential for adverse events. With this knowledge, older patients who may benefit from intensified treatment can be better selected for the most appropriate treatment. One of the available options for this assessment is the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) which is defined as a "multidimensional interdisciplinary diagnostic process focused on determining a frail older person's medical, psychological and functional capability in order to develop a coordinated and integrated plan for treatment and long term follow up"¹¹⁴. CGA is therefore both a diagnostic and therapeutic tool. It seeks to ensure that problems are identified, quantified, and managed appropriately. A CGA is now considered as the gold standard by some authors to assess whether individual patients can undergo a certain (radical) treatment, or not, based on their vulnerability seems mandatory. However, as suggested by Neve et al.⁸⁶, to avoid over-use of this complex an time consuming assessment instrument the most feasible option is to use a

"screening" tool first, in order to identify patients who are truly "vulnerable" and therefore require further examination by a CGA (two-step approach). Other patients recognized in the screening phase as "fit" do not require further examination. In order to identify frail patients several tools are available, like the Geriatric 8 (G8), the Flemish version of the Triage Risk Screening Tool (fTRST), the Groningen Frailty Indicator (GFI), the Vulnerable Elders Survey-13 (VES-13), and an abbreviated CGA¹¹⁵.

4.2. Predictive value of CGA items and frailty tests

As described by Hamaker et al.¹¹ in a recent systematic review the predictive value of these frailty tests can be questioned as some tests are highly sensitive for frailty but their specificity and negative predictive value are rather poor. In HNSCC, the G8 appears to be the diagnostic screening tool with a greater ability to select vulnerable patients, who should need a full CGA¹¹⁶. In our review, only two studies use some kind of geriatric, QoL or functional assessments among the RT trials^{24,25}, two studies of the trial on systemic therapy^{26,29}, four in surgical studies^{38,41,42,44} and seventeen in papers on results of multimodal treatment ^{15,53,55,59,63,64,67,80,83,85–87,93,95,96,106,117}. Most of them did not use a specific geriatric tool. Since it is known that the selection of a substandard treatment for an elderly patient decreases overall and a cancer-specific survival, this decision must be based on the results of the available screening tools and eventual CGA, not simply on chronological age.

5. Conclusions and recommendations.

This is the first comprehensive review which systematically assesses age-related adverse events and treatment selection in elderly HNSCC patients and associated survival outcomes. Most of the studies agree that chronological age itself should not to be a reason to exclude patients from standard therapy. However, these data are mostly based on retrospective studies, which might introduce selection bias. Furthermore, the quality of the studies is diverse, and some data are controversial. When selecting treatment modalities, biological age is clearly more important than chronological age. However, there is no gold standard to assess it. Comorbidity and performance status of the patients are frequently analyzed and are certainly important factors, but as such seem to be insufficient to predict treatment outcome. In fact, tolerance to treatment is multi-factorial and also depends on psychological status and various socioeconomic issues, in addition to medical condition and the level of functioning. Pretreatment assessment remains a crucial issue in treatment selection. However, choosing the proper therapy remains challenging. This process is even more complex, as patients' preferences also need to be considered in the context of the shared decision making and elderly are known to have other priorities that their younger counterparts. Using frailty screening instruments, only selected candidate patients may be directed to more complex CGA evaluation (two-step approach). Other promising screening tools need further investigation of their impact in guiding the treatment decision making and in predicting toxicities, in the framework of HNSCC prospective trials. Large scale, prospective, multicenter studies are also needed to explore the possibility of including

more elderly HNSCC patients in guideline-based, (intensive) treatment protocols, and to select appropriate de-intensified approaches for non-fit patients.

Figure 1: Flow chart showing the process of the study selection for the systematic review.



References

- 1 National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. (https://seer.cancer.gov/ Accessed 21 Sep 2017). 2 Muir CS, Fraumeni JF, Doll R. The interpretation of time trends. Cancer Surv 1994; 19–20: 5–21. 3 Syrigos KN, Karachalios D, Karapanagiotou EM, Nutting CM, Manolopoulos L, Harrington KJ. Head and neck cancer in the elderly: An overview on the treatment modalities. Cancer Treat Rev 2009; 35: 237-45. 4 Pignon T, Horiot JC, Van Den Bogaert W, Van Glabbeke M, Scalliet P. No age limit for radical radiotherapy in head and neck tumours. Eur J Cancer 1996; 32: 2075-81. 5 Balducci L. Management of cancer in the elderly. Oncology (Williston Park) 2006; 20: 135–43. 6 Haddad RI, Shin DM. Recent advances in head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2008; 359: 1143–54. 7 Fentiman IS, Tirelli U, Monfardini S, et al. Cancer in the elderly: why so badly
 - 8 VanderWalde NA, Fleming M, Weiss J, Chera BS. Treatment of older patients with head and neck cancer: a review. Oncologist 2013; 18: 568–78.

treated? Lancet 1990; 335: 1020-2.

- 9 Bernier J. Head and Neck Cancer. Springer International Publishing. 2006. 613p.
- 10 Teymoortash A, Halmos GB, Silver CE, et al. On the need for comprehensive assessment of impact of comorbidity in elderly patients with head and neck cancer. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2014; 271: 2597–600.
- Hamaker ME, Jonker JM, de Rooij SE, Vos AG, Smorenburg CH, van Munster BC. Frailty screening methods for predicting outcome of a comprehensive geriatric assessment in elderly patients with cancer: a systematic review. Lancet Oncol 2012; 13: 437–44.
- 12 Rockwood K, Mitnitski A. Frailty defined by deficit accumulation and geriatric medicine defined by frailty. Clin Geriatr Med 2011; 27: 17–26.
- Maas HAAM, Janssen-Heijnen MLG, Olde Rikkert MGM, Machteld Wymenga AN. Comprehensive geriatric assessment and its clinical impact in oncology. Eur J Cancer 2007; 43: 2161–9.
- Siddiqui F, Gwede CK. Head and neck cancer in the elderly population. SeminRadiat Oncol 2012; 22: 321–33.
- 15 Sanabria A, Carvalho AL, Vartanian JG, Magrin J, Ikeda MK, Kowalski LP. Factors that influence treatment decision in older patients with resectable head and neck cancer. Laryngoscope 2007; 117: 835–40.
- 16 Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and

_ Author Manuscrip explanation. BMJ 2015; 350: g7647.

- 17 Huguenin P, Sauer M, Glanzmann C, Lütolf UM. Radiotherapy for carcinomas of the head and neck in elderly patients. Strahlenther Onkol 1996; 172: 485–8.
- 18 Zachariah B, Balducci L, Venkattaramanabalaji G, Casey L, Greenberg HM, DelRegato JA. Radiotherapy for cancer patients aged 80 and older: a study of effectiveness and side effects. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1997; 39: 1125–9.
- 19 Mitsuhashi N, Hayakawa K, Yamakawa M, et al. Cancer in patients aged 90 years or older: radiation therapy. Radiology 1999; 211: 829–33.
- 20 Allal AS, Maire D, Becker M, Dulguerov P. Feasibility and early results of accelerated radiotherapy for head and neck carcinoma in the elderly. Cancer 2000; 88: 648–52.
- 21 Schofield CP, Sykes AJ, Slevin NJ, Rashid NZZ. Radiotherapy for head and neck cancer in elderly patients. Radiother Oncol 2003; 69: 37–42.
- Yu JB, Soulos PR, Sharma R, et al. Patterns of care and outcomes associated with intensity-modulated radiation therapy versus conventional radiation therapy for older patients with head-and-neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012; 83: e101–7.
- 23 Straube C, Pigorsch SU, Scherb H, Wilkens JJ, Bier H, Combs SE. Reduced volume SIB-IMRT/IGRT to head and neck cancer in elderly and frail patients: outcome and toxicity. Radiat Oncol 2016; 11: 133.

- Bonomo P, Desideri I, Loi M, et al. Elderly patients affected by head and neck squamous cell carcinoma unfit for standard curative treatment: Is de-intensified, hypofractionated radiotherapy a feasible strategy? Oral Oncol 2017; 74: 142–7.
- De Felice F, Galdieri A, Abate G, Bulzonetti N, Musio D, Tombolini V.
 Definitive intensity-modulated radiation therapy in elderly patients with locally advanced oropharyngeal cancer. In Vivo (Brooklyn) 2017; 31: 455–9.
- 26 Gebbia V, Di Marco P, Citarrella P. Systemic chemotherapy in elderly patients with locally advanced and/or inoperable squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: Impact of anemia and role of recombinant human erythropoietin. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2003; 48: 49–55.
- 27 Argiris A, Li Y, Murphy BA, Langer CJ, Forastiere AA. Outcome of elderly patients with recurrent or metastatic head and neck cancer treated with cisplatinbased chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 262–8.
- 28 Vermorken JB, Mesia R, Rivera F, et al. Platinum-based chemotherapy plus cetuximab in head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med 2008; 359: 1116–27.
- 29 Clement PM, Gauler T, Machiels JP, et al. Afatinib versus methotrexate in older patients with second-line recurrent and/or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: Subgroup analysis of the LUX-Head & Neck 1 trial. Ann Oncol 2016; 27: 1585–93.
- 30 Nakano K, Marshall S, Taira S, et al. A comparison of weekly paclitaxel and

cetuximab with the EXTREME regimen in the treatment of recurrent/metastatic squamous cell head and neck carcinoma. Oral Oncol 2017; 73: 21–6.

- 31 Morgan RF, Hirata RM, Jaques DA, Hoopes JE. Head and neck surgery in the aged. Am J Surg 1982; 144: 449–51.
- Bridger AG, O'Brien CJ, Lee KK. Advanced patient age should not preclude the use of free-flap reconstruction for head and neck cancer. Am J Surg 1994; 168:
 425–8.
- 33 Kowalski LP, Alcantara PS, Magrin J, Parise Junior O. A case-control study on complications and survival in elderly patients undergoing major head and neck surgery. Am J Surg 1994; 168: 485–90.
- 34 McGuirt WF, Davis SP 3rd. Demographic portrayal and outcome analysis of head and neck cancer surgery in the elderly. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1995; 121: 150–4.
- Clayman GL, Eicher SA, Sicard MW, Razmpa E, Goepfert H. Surgical outcomes in head and neck cancer patients 80 years of age and older. Head Neck 1998; 20: 216–23.
- 36 Laccourreye O, Brasnu D, Périé S, Muscatello L, Ménard M, Weinstein G. Supracricoid partial laryngectomies in the elderly: Mortality, complications, and functional outcome. Laryngoscope 1998; 108: 237–42.
- 37 Shaari CM, Buchbinder D, Costantino PD, Lawson W, Biller HF, Urken ML.

Complications of microvascular head and neck surgery in the elderly. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1998; 124: 407–11.

- 38 Zabrodsky M, Calabrese L, Tosoni A, et al. Major surgery in elderly head and neck cancer patients: Immediate and long-term surgical results and complication rates. Surg Oncol 2004; 13: 249–55.
- 39 Sanabria A, Carvalho AL, Melo RL, et al. Predictive factors for complications in elderly patients who underwent head and neck oncologic surgery. Head Neck 2008; 30: 170–7.
- Milet PR, Mallet Y, El Bedoui S, Penel N, Servent V, Lefebvre JL. Head and neck cancer surgery in the elderly - Does age influence the postoperative course?
 Oral Oncol 2010; 46: 92–5.
- Peters TT, van Dijk BA, Roodenburg JL, van der Laan BF, Halmos GB. Relation
 Between Age, Comorbidity, and Complications in Patients Undergoing Major
 Surgery for Head and Neck Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2014; 21: 963–70.
- 42 Peters TT, Post SF, van Dijk BA, et al. Free flap reconstruction for head and neck cancer can be safely performed in both young and elderly patients after careful patient selection. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2015; 272: 2999–3005.
- 43 Goh CSL, Kok YO, Yong CPC, et al. Outcome predictors in elderly head and neck free flap reconstruction: A retrospective study and systematic review of the current evidence. J Plast Reconstr Aesthetic Surg 2017. [Epub ahead print].

- 44 L'Esperance HE, Kallogjeri D, Yousaf S, Piccirillo JF, Rich JT. Prediction of mortality and morbidity in head and neck cancer patients 80 years of age and older undergoing surgery. Laryngoscope 2018; 128: 871–7.
- Wu Y, Zhang B, Huang Z, Ruan Y, Huang Z. Study of surgical treatment for elderly patients with head and neck cancer. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2018.
 [Epub ahead print].
- 46 Barzan L, Veronesi A, Caruso G, et al. Head and neck cancer and ageing: A retrospective study in 438 patients. J Laryngol Otol 1990; 104: 634–40.
- Lusinchi A, Bourhis J, Wibault P, Le Ridant AM, Eschwege F. Radiation therapy for head and neck cancers in the elderly. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1990; 18: 819–23.
- 48 Hirano M, Mori K. Management of cancer in the elderly: Therapeutic dilemmas.Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1998; 118: 110–4.
- 49 Sarini J, Fournier C, Lefebvre JL, Bonafos G, Van JT, Coche-Dequeant B. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in elderly patients: a long-term retrospective review of 273 cases. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001; 127: 1089–92.
- 50 Vaccher E, Talamini R, Franchin G, Tirelli U BL. Elderly head and neck (H–N) cancer patients: a monoinstitutional series. Tumori 2002; 88: 63–6.
- 51 Bhattacharyya N. A matched survival analysis for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in the elderly. Laryngoscope 2003; 113: 368–72.

- -Author Manuscrip
- Airoldi M, Cortesina G, Giordano C, et al. Postoperative adjuvant
 chemoradiotherapy in older patients with head and neck cancer. Arch
 Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2004; 130: 161–6.
- 53 Derks W, de Leeuw JR, Hordijk GJ, Winnubst JA. Reasons for non-standard treatment in elderly patients with advanced head and neck cancer. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2005; 262: 21–6.
- van den Broek GB, Balm AJM, van den Brekel MWM, Hauptmann M,
 Schornagel JH, Rasch CRN. Relationship between clinical factors and the
 incidence of toxicity after intra-arterial chemoradiation for head and neck cancer.
 Radiother Oncol 2006; 81: 143–50.
- Sanabria A, Carvalho AL, Vartanian JG, Magrin J, Ikeda MK, Kowalski LP.
 Comorbidity is a prognostic factor in elderly patients with head and neck cancer.
 Ann Surg Oncol 2007; 14: 1449–57.
- 56 Koussis H, Scola A, Bergamo F, et al. Neoadjuvant carboplatin and vinorelbine followed by chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced head and neck or oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma: A phase II study in elderly patients or patients with poor performance status. Anticancer Res 2008; 28: 1383–8.
- 57 Machtay M, Moughan J, Trotti A, et al. Factors associated with severe late toxicity after concurrent chemoradiation for locally advanced head and neck cancer: An RTOG analysis. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 3582–9.

- Palazzi M, Tomatis S, Orlandi E, et al. Effects of Treatment Intensification on Acute Local Toxicity During Radiotherapy for Head and Neck Cancer: Prospective Observational Study Validating CTCAE, Version 3.0, Scoring System. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008; 70: 330–7.
- 59 Fesinmeyer MD, Mehta V, Tock L, Blough D, McDermott C, Ramsey SD. Completion of radiotherapy for local and regional head and neck cancer in medicare. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009; 135: 860–7.
- 60 Tsukuda M, Ishitoya J, Mikami Y, et al. Analysis of feasibility and toxicity of concurrent chemoradiotherapy with S-1 for locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in elderly cases and/or cases with comorbidity. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2009; 64: 945–52.
- 61 Boscolo-Rizzo P, Muzzi E, Trabalzini F, Gava A, Stellin M, Da Mosto MC. Functional organ preservation after chemoradiotherapy in elderly patients with\ loco-regionally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2011; 268: 1349–55.
- 62 Huang SH, O'Sullivan B, Waldron J, et al. Patterns of care in elderly head-andneck cancer radiation oncology patients: A single-center cohort study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011; 79: 46–51.
- Peters TT, Langendijk JA, Plaat BE, et al. Co-morbidity and treatment outcomes of elderly pharyngeal cancer patients: A matched control study. Oral Oncol 2011; 47: 1159–64.

- _ Author Manuscrip
- 64 Peters T, van der Laan B, Plaat B, Wedman J, Langendijk J, Halmos G. The impact of comorbidity on treatment-related side effects in older patients with laryngeal cancer. Oral Oncol 2011; 47: 56–61.
- 65 Jilani OK, Singh P, Wernicke AG, et al. Radiation therapy is well tolerated and produces excellent control rates in elderly patients with locally advanced head and neck cancers. J Geriatr Oncol 2012; 3: 337–43.
- 66 Michal SA, Adelstein DJ, Rybicki LA, et al. Multi-agent concurrent chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced head and neck squamous cell cancer in the elderly. Head Neck 2012; 34: 1147–52.
- 67 Merlano MC, Monteverde M, Colantonio I, et al. Impact of age on acute toxicity induced by bio- or chemo-radiotherapy in patients with head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol 2012; 48: 1051–7.
- 68 Nguyen NP, Vock J, Chi A, et al. Impact of intensity-modulated and imageguided radiotherapy on elderly patients undergoing chemoradiation for locally advanced head and neck cancer. Strahlenther Onkol 2012; 188: 677–83.
- 69 Maggiore RJ, Curran EK, Witt ME, Haraf DJ, Vokes EE, Cohen EEW. Survival and selected outcomes of older adults with locally advanced head/neck cancer treated with chemoradiation therapy. J Geriatr Oncol 2013; 4: 327–33.
- 70 Camilon PR, Stokes WA, Nguyen SA, Lentsch EJ. Are the elderly with oropharyngeal carcinoma undertreated? Laryngoscope 2014; 124: 2057–63.

- Author Manuscrip
- O'Neill CB, O'Neill JP, Atoria CL, et al. Treatment complications and survival in advanced laryngeal cancer: a population-based analysis. Laryngoscope 2014; 124: 2707–13.
- 72 Shapiro LQ, Sherman EJ, Riaz N, et al. Efficacy of concurrent cetuximab vs. 5fluorouracil/carboplatin or high-dose cisplatin with intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) for locally-advanced head and neck cancer (LAHNSCC). Oral Oncol 2014; 50: 947–55.
- 73 Sharma A, Madan R, Kumar R, et al. Compliance to therapy-elderly head and neck carcinoma patients. Can Geriatr J 2014; 17: 83–7.
- VanderWalde NA, Meyer AM, Deal AM, et al. Effectiveness of chemoradiation for head and neck cancer in an older patient population. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014; 89: 30–7.
- 75 Chang PH, Yeh KY, Huang JS, Chen EY, Yang SW WC. Chemoradiotherapy in elderly patients with advanced head and neck cancer under intensive nutritional support. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol 2015; 11: 228–35.
- Kataria T, Gupta D, Bisht SS, et al. Chemoradiation in elderly patients with head and neck cancers: a single institution experience. Am J Otolaryngol 2015; 36:
 117–21.
- Moye VA, Chandramouleeswaran S, Zhao N, Muss HB, Weissler MC, HayesDN ZJ. Elderly patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck and

Author Manuscrip

the benefit of multimodality therapy. Oncologist 2015; 20: 159-65.

- O'Neill CB, Baxi SS, Atoria CL, et al. Treatment-related toxicities in older adults with head and neck cancer: A population-based analysis. Cancer 2015; 121: 2083–9.
- 79 Sachdev S, Refaat T, Bacchus ID, Sathiaseelan V, Mittal BB. Age most significant predictor of requiring enteral feeding in head-and-neck cancer patients. Radiat Oncol 2015; 10: 93.
- 80 Amini A, Jones BL, McDermott JD, et al. Survival outcomes with concurrent chemoradiation for elderly patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer according to the National Cancer Data Base. Cancer 2016; 122: 1533–43.
- Baxi SS, O'Neill C, Sherman EJ, et al. Trends in chemoradiation use in elderly patients with head and neck cancer: Changing treatment patterns with cetuximab.
 Head Neck 2016; 38: 165–71.
- 82 Chalissery JR, Sudheeran PC, Varghese KM VK. Radical chemo-irradiation using intensity-modulated radiotherapy for locally advanced head and neck cancer in elderly patients: Experience from a tertiary care center in South India. Indian J Cancer 2016; 53: 483–6.
- Chen MM, Harris JP, Hara W, Sirjani D D V. Association of Postoperative
 Radiotherapy With Survival in Patients With N1 Oral Cavity and Oropharyngeal
 Squamous Cell Carcinoma. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2016; 142:

1224–30.

- B4 Doi H, Kitajima K, Tanooka M, et al. Radiotherapy in late elderly (aged 75 or older) patients with paranasal sinus carcinoma: a single institution experience.
 Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2016; 273: 4485–92.
- Kwon M, Kim S-A, Roh J-L, et al. An Introduction to a Head and Neck Cancer-Specific Frailty Index and Its Clinical Implications in Elderly Patients: A
 Prospective Observational Study Focusing on Respiratory and Swallowing
 Functions. Oncologist 2016; 21: 1091–8.
- 86 Neve M, Jameson M, Govender S, Hartopeanu C. Impact of geriatric assessment on the management of older adults with head and neck cancer: A pilot study. J Geriatr Oncol 2016; 7: 457–62.
- 87 Teymoortash A, Bohne F, Kissing L, et al. Oncological and surgical outcome of total laryngectomy in combination with neck dissection in the elderly. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2016; 273: 1825–33.
- 88 Ward MC, Reddy CA, Adelstein DJ, Koyfman SA. Use of systemic therapy with definitive radiotherapy for elderly patients with head and neck cancer: A National Cancer Data Base analysis. Cancer 2016; 122: 3472–83.
- 89 Falk AT, Hébert C, Tran A, et al. Radiotherapy for elderly patients and cetuximab, a monocentric study. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2017; 274: 1061–5.
- 90 Lai K, Lin J, Lu H, Liang C, Chen M. Is definitive concurrent chemoradiotherapy

effective for locally advanced head and neck cancer in the elderly aged ≥ 75 years: A single-institute, retrospective, cohort study. Asia-Pacific J Clin Oncol 2017. [Epub ahead print].

- 91 Juarez JE, Choi J, St John M, Abemayor E, TenNapel M, Chen AM. Patterns of Care for Elderly Patients With Locally Advanced Head and Neck Cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017; 98: 767–74.
- 92 Pollom EL, Chin AL, Lee NY, Tsai CJ. Patterns of Care in Adjuvant Therapy for Resected Oral Cavity Squamous Cell Cancer in Elderly Patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017; 98: 758–66.
- 93 Sommers LW, Steenbakkers RJHM, Bijl HP, et al. Survival Patterns in Elderly Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Patients Treated With Definitive Radiation Therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2017; 98: 793–801.
- Spiotto MT, Jefferson G, Wenig B, Markiewicz M, Weichselbaum RR, Koshy
 M. Differences in survival with surgery and postoperative radiotherapy compared
 with definitive chemoradiotherapy for oral cavity cancer: A National Cancer
 Database analysis. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2017; 143: 691–9.
- Zumsteg ZS, Lok BH, Ho AS, et al. The toxicity and efficacy of concomitant chemoradiotherapy in patients aged 70 years and older with oropharyngeal carcinoma in the intensity-modulated radiotherapy era. Cancer 2017; 123: 1345–53.

- 96 Yoshida EJ, Luu M, David JM, et al. Postoperative chemoradiotherapy in patients with head and neck cancer aged 70 or older with positive margins or extranodal extension and the influence of nodal classification. Head Neck 2018; 40: 1228–36.
- 97 Woodhouse KW, Wynne H, Baillie S, James OF, Rawlins MD. Who are the frail elderly? Q J Med 1988; 68: 505–6.
- Bourhis J, Overgaard J, Audry H, et al. Hyperfractionated or accelerated
 radiotherapy in head and neck cancer: a meta-analysis. Lancet 2006; 368: 843–
 54.
- 99 Lacas B, Bourhis J, Overgaard J, et al. Role of radiotherapy fractionation in head and neck cancers (MARCH): an updated meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol 2017; 18: 1221–37.
- 100 Bourhis J, Maître A Le, Pignon J, et al. Impact of age on treatment effect in locally advanced head and neck cancer (HNC): Two individual patient data metaanalyses. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 5501.
- 101 Khalil AA, Bentzen SM, Bernier J, et al. Compliance to the prescribed dose and overall treatment time in five randomized clinical trials of altered fractionation in radiotherapy for head-and-neck carcinomas. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2003; 55: 568–75.
- 102 Pignon JP, Maître A le, Maillard E, Bourhis J. Meta-analysis of chemotherapy in

head and neck cancer (MACH-NC): An update on 93 randomised trials and 17,346 patients. Radiother Oncol 2009; 92: 4–14.

- Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for
 squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med 2006; 354: 567–
 78.
- Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for
 locoregionally advanced head and neck cancer: 5-year survival data from a phase
 3 randomised trial, and relation between cetuximab-induced rash and survival.
 Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 21–8.
- Peters TT, van Dijk BA, Roodenburg JL, et al. Predictors of postoperative complications and survival in patients with major salivary glands malignancies:A study highlighting the influence of age. Head Neck 2014; 36: 369–74.
- Halmos GB, Peters TTA, Roodenburg JLN, Van Dijk BAC, Van Der Laan
 BFAM. Comorbidity, complications, and survival of sinonasal malignancies in
 young and elderly treated by surgery. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013; 148:
 860–6.
- Halmos GB, Bras L, Siesling S, van der Laan BFAM, Langendijk JA, van Dijk
 BAC. Age-specific incidence and treatment patterns of head and neck cancer in
 the Netherlands-A cohort study. Clin Otolaryngol 2018; 43: 317–24.
- 108 Strom TJ, Naghavi AO, Trotti AM, et al. Increased acute mortality with

chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced head and neck cancer in patients >70 years. J Geriatr Oncol 2017; 8: 50–5.

- Gosney MA. Clinical assessment of elderly people with cancer. Lancet Oncol.2005; 6: 790–7.
- 110 Bianchini C, Pelucchi S, Pastore A, Feo C V, Ciorba A. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) strategies: possible advantages also for head and neck surgery patients? Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2014; 271: 439–43.
- Wells M, Cunningham M, Lang H, et al. Distress, concerns and unmet needs in survivors of head and neck cancer: a cross-sectional survey. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 2015; 24: 748–60.
- Richardson AE, Morton R, Broadbent E. Psychological support needs of patients with head and neck cancer and their caregivers: A qualitative study. Psychol Health 2015; 30: 1288–305.
- 113 Penedo FJ, Traeger L, Benedict C, et al. Perceived Social Support as a Predictor of Disease-Specific Quality of Life in Head-and-Neck Cancer Patients. J Support Oncol 2012; 10: 119–23.
- Rubenstein LZ, Stuck AE, Siu AL, Wieland D. Impacts of Geriatric Evaluation and Management Programs on Defined Outcomes: Overview of the Evidence. J Am Geriatr Soc 1991; 39: 8–16.
- 115 Bellera CA, Rainfray M, Mathoulin-Pélissier S, et al. Screening older cancer
patients: First evaluation of the G-8 geriatric screening tool. Ann Oncol 2012; 23: 2166–72.

- Pottel L, Lycke M, Boterberg T, et al. Serial comprehensive geriatric assessment in elderly head and neck cancer patients undergoing curative radiotherapy identifies evolution of multidimensional health problems and is indicative of quality of life. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 2014; 23: 401–12.
- 117 Cao C, Hu Q, Chen X. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy for elderly patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Head Neck 2018; 40: 590–5.

Author ^{Ref}	Year	Cohort	Type of study	Primary tumor site	Adverse events
Huguenin et al. ¹⁷	1996	75	Retrospective study	Multiple	30% of the patien required treatmen interruption (unknown reasons 1 case of late bon necrosis
Pignon et al. ⁴	1996	1589	Secondary analysis	Multiple	An increase in grade III-IV mucosal toxicity among the aged
Zachariah et al. ¹⁸	1997	50	Retrospective study	Multiple (include other locations)	No differences
Mitsuhashi et al. ¹⁹	1999	14	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences

Table 1: Results of Radiotherapy Clinical Trials

Allal et al. ²⁰	2000	119	39 patients ≥ 70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences
			80 patients < 70 years			
Schofield et al. ²¹	2003		98	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences. 98% completed RT; severe late toxicity occurred 3.1%
Yu et al. ²²	2012	161 ≥ 0	3 patients 65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	NA

Straube et al. ²³	2016	27	Retrospective study	Multiple	Acute toxicities were seen on mospatients, however no severe acute side effects > CTCAE grade 4 (Life- threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated) were observed
Bonomo et al. ²⁴	2017	36 patients with advanced HNSCC deemed unsuitable for CRT	Observational prospective study	Multiple	The most commo treatment-related toxicities were grade 1 oral mucositis and grade 1 dysphagia both with an incidence of 36.1%
De Felice et al. ²⁵	2017	15 patients ≥ 70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Incidence rate of any severe acute toxicity was 37%
	Straube et al. ²³ Bonomo et al. ²⁴ De Felice et al. ²⁵	Straube et al. ²³ 2016 Bonomo et al. ²⁴ 2017 De Felice et al. ²⁵	Straube et al.23201627Bonomo et al.24201736 patients with advanced HNSCC deemed unsuitable for CRTDe Felice et al.25201715 patients \geq 70 years	Straube et al. 23201627Retrospective studyBonomo et al. 24201736 patients with advanced HNSCC deemed unsuitable for CRTObservational prospective studyDe Felice et al. 25201715 patients \geq 70 yearsRetrospective study	Straube et al. 23201627Retrospective studyMultipleBonomo et al. 24201736 patients with advanced HNSCC deemed unsuitable for CRTObservational prospective studyMultiple

IMRT: Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy. IGRT SIB: Image Guided Radiotherapy Simultaneous Integrated Boost. RT: Radiotherapy. CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events. HNSCC: Head and Neck Squamous Cell Cancer. CRT: Chemoradiotherapy.

Table 2: Results of Chemotherapy and/or Targeted Therapy Clinical Trials.

A	Author ^{Ref}	Year	Cohort	Type of study	Primary Tumor site	Adverse event
a	Gebbia et 1. ²⁶	2003	45 patients >70 years	Prospective study	Multiple	Mucositis grade 3 in 34% rhEpo) - 36% (with rhEpo Mild grade 1 renal and liv toxicities were occasional observed. Grades 3–4 leul 20 and 25% of patients, respectively. Grade 3 thrombocytopenia was no influenced by rhEpo treat

							12% of patients reported thrombocytopenia in both the study.
ipt	Argiris et al. ²⁷	2004	399 (53 patie years)	ents≥70	Review data from two consecutive phase III randomized trials	Multiple	Elderly patients had a sign higher incidence of severe nephrotoxicity, diarrhea, a thrombocytopenia. A high toxic deaths was noted in but did not reach statistica significance (13% v 8%; F
JUSO	Vermorken et al. ²⁸	2008	442 (77 patie years)	ents≥65	Randomized trial	Multiple	9 cases of sepsis in the cet group, as compared with 1 the chemotherapy- alone group
Jar	Clement et	2016	483	355	Phase III, open-	Multiple	No differences
	al.29			patients ≤ 65 years	label trial		
0				patients ≥ 65 years			
uth	Nakano et al. ³⁰	2017	86 (20 patients>70 years)		Retrospective study	Multiple	Different toxicity pattern l seen between the "5-Fluo platinum and cetuximab" "weekly paclitaxel and cer cohort
\triangleleft	CT: C	Chemothera	py.				

 Table 3: Results of Retrospective Cohorts Undergoing Surgery for HNSCC

Author ^{Ref}	Year	Coho	rt	Type of study	Primary tumor site	Adverse events	Geriat quality and fur assessn
Morgan et al. ³¹	1982	1773	 810 patients ≥65 years 963 patients <65 years 	Retrospective study	Multiple	Nonlethal complications: 32% for patients >65 years; 21% for patients <65 years	No
Bridger et al. ³²	1994	117	26 patients ≥70 years 91 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences	No
Kowalski et al. ³³ McGuirt and Davis ³⁴	1994 1995	230 217	115 patients ≥70 years 115 patients <70 years	Retrospective study Retrospective study	Multiple Multiple	No differences in complications or postoperative deaths No differences	No
Clayman et al. ³⁵	1998	122	43 patients ≥80 years 79 patients ≤65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences in postoperative complications	No
Laccourreye et al. ³⁶	1998	69		Retrospective study	Larynx	No differences	No

Shaari et al. ³⁷	1998	87	52 patients >70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences	No
			35 patients <70 years				
Zabrodsky et al. ³⁸	2004	24		Retrospective study	Multiple	Presence of advanced comorbidity, longer operative times and advanced stage of disease seemed to influence the development of surgical or medical complications	Comor Data C Form
Sanabria et al. ³⁹	2008	242 p years	atients>70	Retrospective study	Multiple	Male sex, bilateral neck dissection, presence of 2 or more comorbidities, reconstruction, and clinical stage IV were associated with postoperative complications	No
Milet et al. ⁴⁰	2010	261	29 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences	No
			232 patients <70 years				

Pe	ters et al. ⁴¹	2014	1201	205 patients ≤49 years 359 patients:50- 60 years 341 patients:60- 70 years 214 patients:70- 80 years 82 patients >80 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age was only associated with the risk of cardiopulmonary/ neurologic complications in patients aged > 80 years ($p = 0.033$). Higher complications rates were found in elderly and patients with preexisting comorbidities. Advanced tumor stage and prolonged surgery time were associated with surgical complications.	Adult Comor Evalua index/0 Dindo classif
Pe	tters et al. ⁴²	2015	202	 169 patients <70 years 33 patients ≥70 years 	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age was not a predictor of complications in patients treated with free-flap surgery. Only disease stage was a significant predictor of recipient site complications, and	Adult Comor Evalua index/ Dindo classif

Author Manuscript

						the only significant predictor of medical complications	
Goh et al. ⁴³	2017	234	60 patients ≥65 years 174 patients <65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age alone, tobacco use and preoperative radiation treatment did not independently increase the risk of postoperative complications.	No
L'Esperance et al. ⁴⁴	2017	219 pa years	atients ≥80	Retrospective study	Multiple	74 patients experienced serious complications within 30 days and 25 died within 90 days of surgery.	Adult Comor Evalua index
Wu et al. ⁴⁵	2018	637 pa years	atients >65	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age not predictive of complications	No

or mortality. Flap
reconstruction
surgery had no
significant
association with
necrosis,
hemorrhage,
infection, need for
rescue treatment,
or length of
intensive care unit
stav

Author ^{Ref}	Year	Cohor	t	Type of study	Type of treatment	Primary tumor site	Adverse events
Barzan et al. ⁴⁶	1990	438	196 patients \leq 59 years 135 patients: 60-69 years 107 patients \geq 70 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/CT/ RT	Multiple	The percentage of local and general post- operative complications were similar in the three age groups
Lusinchi et al. ⁴⁷	1990	331 pa years	tients >70	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Multiple	No differences
Hirano et al. ⁴⁸	1998	679	560 patients <75 years 119 patients ≥75 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Multiple	Concomitant health problems were significan more common the older group

Table 4: Multimodal Therapy of HNSCC

Sarini et al. ⁴⁹	2001	4610 (patient ≥75 ye	273 s ars)	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CT/CRT	Multiple	No significantl more treatment related deaths elderly
Vaccher et al. ⁵⁰	2002	2143	1962 patients <75 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CT/CRT	Multiple	NA
			181 patients ≥75 years				
Bhattacharyy a ⁵¹	2003	5016	2508 patients ≥70 years	Matched controlled study on SEER database	Surgery/RT	Multiple	NA
			2508 patients <70 years				

Airoldi et al. ⁵²	2004	40 pati years	ents >70	Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Grade 3 toxicity included mucos (10 patients), neutropenia (6 patients), dermatitis (2 patients), and thrombocytoper (1 patient)
Derks et al. ⁵³	2005	183	105 patients:4 5-60 years 78 patients ≥70 years	Prospective stydy	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA
van den Broek et al. ⁵⁴	2006	125		Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Severe acute toxicity (grade 4), mainly mucositis and dysphagia was recorded in 51% of patients. Leukopenia (gr 3-4, 39%) and aspiration pneumonia in 20%.

							Tracheotomy (12%). Neurological complications (2%) patients. Severe late toxicity (34%)
Sanabria et al. ¹⁵	2007	312 patie years	nts ≥70	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA
Sanabria et al. ⁵⁵	2007	310 patie years	nts >70	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA
Koussis et al. ⁵⁶	2008	35	16 patients ≥70 years	Phase II study	CRT	Multiple	Haematological toxicity was gra 3-4 in 13 patien while gastrointestinal toxicity was gra 3-4 in 20 patien
			19 patients <70 years				

Machtay et al. ⁵⁷	2008	230	27 patients >70 years 203 patients ≤70yea rs	Secondary analysis	CRT	Multiple	Severe late toxicity was related with advanced age
Palazzi et al. ⁵⁸	2008	149		Prospective study	RT/CRT	Multiple	Severe (Grade 3 4) adverse even were recorded i 28% (mucositis 33% (dysphagia 40% (pain), and 12% (skin) of patients
Fesinmeyer et al. ⁵⁹	2009	5086 pati ≥66 years	ents S	Retrospective study of SEER database	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA

Γ

	Tsukuda et al. ⁶⁰	2009	50	13 patient >75 ye 37 patient <75 ye
Januscrip	Boscolo- Rizzo et al. ⁶¹	2011	44 patie years	ents >65
Author	Huang et al. ⁶²	2011	2312	452 patient: ≥75 ye 1860 patient: <75 ye

1860 patients <75 years

patients

 \geq 75 years

patients

patients

<75 years

>75 years

Prospective

Retrospective

Retrospective

study

study

study

CRT

Surgery/CR

Surgery/RT/

CT

Т

Multiple

Multiple

Multiple

Grade 3 mucosi

occurred in 20% of the patients.

Grade 3

and

tubes

neutropenia

occurred in 12%

leukocytopenia occurred in 6% the cases

66% developed

severe toxicities 11% required permanent feed

No differences

	Peters et al. ⁶³	2011	126
uscript	Peters et al. ⁶⁴	2011	428
Author Man	Jilani et al. ⁶⁵	2012	73 pa ≥65 <u>s</u>

ers et al. ⁶³	2011	126	 84 patients ≤64 years 42 patients ≥75 years 	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Pharynx	Complication ra was not significantly different. Only stage was significant independent predictor of complications
ers et al. ⁶⁴	2011	428	139 patients ≥75 years 289 patients ≤65 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Larynx	Co-morbidity at age were not predictors of complications. Radiation theray (vs. total laryngectomy) a tumor stage were predictors. There was correlation between co- morbidity and complication, b not in the elderl group
ni et al. ⁶⁵	2012	73 patio ≥65 yea	ents ars	Retrospective study	RT/CRT	Multiple	Most common toxicities include dermatitis, mucositis, dysphagia and xerostomia. The patients develop grade 4 (4%) toxicities including fistulate esophageal stricture, tracheostomy dependence

рt	Michal et al. ⁶⁶	2012
USCL	Merlano et al. ⁶⁷	2012
or Man	Nguyen et al. ⁶⁸	2012
Autho	Maggiore et al. ⁶⁹	2013

Лісһаl et 1. ⁶⁶	2012	181	44 patients ≥70 years 137 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	The elderly was less likely to receive both CT courses, experienced more myelosuppressi required more unplanned hospitalization, and were feeding tube dependent longer
Aerlano et 1. ⁶⁷	2012	317	93 patients ≥65 years 224 patients <65 years	Retrospective study	CRT/BRT	Multiple	Infections (p=0.011) and pneumonias (p=0.002) were significantly more represented in elderly patients
Vguyen et 1. ⁶⁸	2012	112	27 patients ≥70 years 85 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	No differences grade 3-4 toxici weight loss and treatment break
Aaggiore et 1. ⁶⁹	2013	89 patio ≥70 yea	ents ars	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	The majority (86.5%) could complete all planned treatme cycles. A significant proportion of patients require gastrostomy tub (62%) and

						developed aspiration durin swallowing evaluation post- treatment (44% Several patients required hospic (9%) or skilled nursing facility (13%) referrals during treatment
Camilon et al. ⁷⁰	2014	14909 (4406 patients ≥65years)	Retrospective study on SEER database	Surgery/Rt/ CRT	Orophary nx	NA
O´Neill et al. ⁷¹	2014	759 patients ≥66 years	Retrospective study on SEER database	Surgery/ CRT	Larynx	Almost 20% of the CRT patient had a tracheostomy following treatment, and 57% had a feed tube

	Shapiro et al. ⁷²	2014	360
<u> </u>			
	Sharma et al. ⁷³	2014	47 patier ≥65 year
nso	VanderWald e et al. ⁷⁴	2014	10599 pa ≥66 year
Man	Chang et al. ⁷⁵	2015	126
10L			
Aut			

o et	2014	360	317 patients <71 years 43 patients ≥71 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	Age over 70 and pre-treatment tracheostomy al correlated with significantly higher rate of la toxicity
et	2014	47 patients ≥65 years		Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA
Wald	2014	10599 patients ≥66 years		Retrospective study on SEER database	CRT/RT	Multiple	NA
et al. ⁷⁵	2015	126	21 patients >65 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	Elderly were less likely to tolerate cisplatin, experienced more weight loss, required more feeding tube support and tended to have
			105 patients ≤65 years				>grade 3 hematological toxicities and to develop sepsis during the period of CRT

Kataria et al. ⁷⁶	2015	32 pati ≥65 ye	ents ars	Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Fourteen (45.29 patients experienced gra 3 mucositis. No one developed grade 3 or abov hematological toxicity
Moye et al. ⁷⁷	2015	1598	1166 patients <70 years 281 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT/CT	Multiple	NA
O´Neill et al. ⁷⁸	2015	1502 p ≥66 ye	atients ars	Retrospective study on SEER database	CRT/RT	Multiple	Patients receivi CRT had more acute toxicities and prolonged to of feeding tubes
Sachdev et al. ⁷⁹	2015	100		Retrospective study	RT/CRT	Multiple	NA

+	Amini et al. ⁸⁰	2016	4042 patients >70 years]
nuscrip	Baxi et al. ⁸¹	2016	3705 patients ≥65 years	
r Ma	Chalissery et al. ⁸²	2016	47 patients ≥65 years]
Autho	Chen et al. ⁸³	2016	2257(523 patients ≥70 years)]

Amini et al. ⁸⁰	2016	4042 patients >70 years	Retrospective study on NCDB	RT/CRT	Multiple	NA
3axi et al. ⁸¹	2016	3705 patients ≥65 years	Retrospective study on SEER database	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA
Chalissery et 1. ⁸²	2016	47 patients ≥65 years	Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Grade III skin reaction and mucositis in 249 and 47% respectively. No grade III neutropenia observed.
Chen et al. ⁸³	2016	2257(523 patients ≥70 years)	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/RT	Oral cavity and oropharyn x	NA

Doi et al. ⁸⁴	2016	14 patients ≥75 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Paranasal	Grade 3 mucosi in 3 patients.
Kwon et al. ⁸⁵	2016	165 patients ≥65 years	Prospective study	Surgery/CT/ RT/CRT	Multiple	Respiratory complications such as aspiration pneumonia or dyspnea were the most common reasons for hospitalization, and dysphagia wa another major cause of severe post-treatment morbidity
Neve et al. ⁸⁶	2016	35 patients ≥65 years	Prospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA

	Teymoortash et al. ⁸⁷	2016	58	28 patients <65 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT/CT
Cipt				30 patients ≥65 years		
anuso	Ward et al. ⁸⁸	2016	4165 pa ≥71 yea	atients ars	Retrospective study on NCDB	RT/CRT
	Falk et al. ⁸⁹	2017	35 patie ≥70 yea	ents ars	Retrospective study	CRT
utho	Lai et al. ⁹⁰	2017	70 patie ≥75 yea	ents ars	Retrospective study	CRT/RT
\triangleleft						

Larynx

Multiple

Multiple

Multiple

NA

RT was

29%.

interrupted in 9 of patients and dose of cetuxim was reduced in

CRT group had more adverse events such as neutropenia, febrile

neutropenia and thrombocytopen than RT group.

Surgical

complication ratios was significantly increased in elderly (p = 0.0)

Author Manuscrip

Juarez et al. ⁹¹	2017	421 patien ts >50 years	118 patients: 50-59 years 152 patients: 60-69 years 151 patients: ≥ 70 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/CR T/RT	Multiple	NA
Pollom et al. ⁹²	2017	25829 (patients years)	7823	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/CR T/RT	Oral cavity	NA
Sommers et al. ⁹³	2017	$674 (16) \ge 70 \text{ yes}$	8 patients ars)	Retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data	RT/CRT	Multiple	NA

Spiotto et al. ⁹⁴	2017	6900 (1541 patients >70 years)	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Oral cavity	NA
Zumsteg et al. ⁹⁵	2017	74 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Orophary nx	RT interruption of > 1 day were needed in 4% o patients receiving cisplatin, 20% of patients receiving CARB, and 15% of patients receiving cetuximab ($P = .19$). Unplanned hospitalizations during CRT occurred in 25% 55%, and 58%, respectively, of patients receiving cisplatin, CARI and cetuximab ($P = .03$). There were 2 treatment related deaths, both of which occurred among

						the patients who were treated with cetuximab
Yoshida et al. ⁹⁶	2018	1199 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA

CARB: Carboplatin with either 5-fluorouracil or paclitaxel. EORTC QLQ-C30 and H&N35: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Head and Neck Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire. CES-D: Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale. RSS12-I: Social Support List-Interactions. QQ: Quality-Quantity questionnaire. BRT: Bioradiotherapy. SEER: Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results program. NCDB: National Cancer Data Base. BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory.

Author Ref	Year	Cohort	Type of study	Primary tumor site	Adverse events	Geriatric, quality of life and functional assessments	Conclusions
Huguenin et al. ¹⁷	1996	75	Retrospective study	Multiple	30% of the patients required treatment interruption (unknown reasons), 1 case of late bone necrosis	No	Outcome in elderly patients HNSCC is comparable to the outcome in younger patients
Pignon et al. ⁴	1996	1589	Secondary analysis	Multiple	An increase in grade III-IV mucosal toxicity among the aged	No	Differences in toxicity but not in overall survival between older and younger patients
Zachariah et al. ¹⁸	1997	50	Retrospective study	Multiple (include other locations)	No differences	No	RT is highly effective and well tolerated by patients over 80 years. Age is not a contraindicatio n for

aggre								
Age years is no limit for R	No	No differences	Multiple	Retrospective study	14		1999	Mitsuhashi et al. ¹⁹
Acut toxic treat outco simil youn older More patie \geq 70- grouj unpla treat breal patie acute and l comj	No	No differences	Multiple	Retrospective study	39 patients ≥ 70 years 80 patients < 70 years	119	2000	Allal et al. ²⁰
Canc speci survi	No	No differences. 98% completed RT; severe late	Multiple	Retrospective study	98		2003	schofield et al. ²¹

nuscrip						toxicity occurred in 3.1%		59% and overall local control was 70% at 5 years. Cancer- specific survival was comparable in patients over and under 80 years
r Mar	Yu et al. ²²	2012	1613 patients ≥ 65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	NA	No	Equivalent survival was observed between IMRT and standard RT. Use of IMRT had no adverse impact on survival in older patients
Authc	Straube et al. ²³	2016	27	Retrospective study	Multiple	Acute toxicities were seen on most patients, however no severe acute side effects > CTCAE grade 4 (Life-	No	The IMRT/IGRT SIB concept is feasible by and reduces the total treatment time to 4

+--

					threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated) were observed		weeks. By limiting treatment to gross disease, this approach should be considered for patients that are not able or not willing to undergo radical treatment
Bonomo et al. ²⁴	2017	36 patients with advanced HNSCC deemed unsuitable for CRT	Observational prospective study	Multiple	The most common treatment-related toxicities were grade 1 oral mucositis and grade 1 dysphagia, both with an incidence of 36.1%	Geriatric 8 and Charlson comorbidity index	Hypofractionat ed radiation provides clinical benefit with low toxicity in frail, elderly patients affected by locally advanced HNSCC
De Felice et al. ²⁵	2017	15 patients \geq 70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Incidence rate of any severe acute toxicity was 37%.	Adult Comorbidity Evaluation-27 (ACE-27)	A high degree of locoregional and distant

+--

control can be achieved with definitive sequential IMRT, with high compliance and tolerable toxicity. IMRT should be considered a valid option in old patients.

IMRT: Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy. IGRT SIB: Image Guided Radiotherapy Simultaneous Integrated Boost. RT: Radiotherapy. CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events. HNSCC: Head and Neck Squamous Cell Cancer. CRT: Chemoradiotherapy.

Table 2: Results of Chemotherapy and/or Targeted Therapy Clinical Trials.

Author Ref	Year	Cohort	Type of study	Primary Tumor site	Adverse events	Geriatric, quality of life and functional assessments	Conclusions
Gebbia et al. ²⁶	2003	45 patients >70 years	Prospective study	Multiple	Mucositis grade 3 in 34% (without rhEpo) - 36% (with rhEpo). Mild grade 1 renal and liver toxicities were occasionally observed. Grades 3–4 leukopenia in 20 and 25% of patients, respectively. Grade 3 thrombocytopenia was not influenced by rhEpo treatment: 12% of patients reported thrombocytopenia in both arms of the study.	Visual linear- analog scales for energy, activity, and global QoL	Fit elderly patients with HNSCC may receive CT without major age-related toxicity
Argiris et al. ²⁷	2004	399 (53 patients≥70 years)	Review data from two consecutive phase III randomized trials	Multiple	Elderly patients had a significantly higher incidence of severe nephrotoxicity, diarrhea, and thrombocytopenia. A higher rate of toxic deaths was noted in the elderly but did not reach statistical significance (13% v 8%; $P = .29$).	No	Fit elderly patients with recurrent or metastatic HNSCC sustained increased toxicities with cisplatin-based treatments but had comparable survival outcomes

								compared with younger patients
Vermorken et al. ²⁸	2008	442 (77 patie years)	ents≥65	Randomized trial	Multiple	9 cases of sepsis in the cetuximab group, as compared with 1 case in the chemotherapy- alone group	No	Compared with platinum-based chemotherapy plus fluorouracil alone, cetuximab plus platinum– fluorouracil chemotherapy improved overall survival
Clement et al. ²⁹	2016	483	355 patients ≤ 65 years 128 patients ≥ 65 years	Phase III, open- label trial	Multiple	No differences	ECOG performance status	Advanced age (≥ 65 years) did not adversely affect clinical outcomes or safety with afatinib versus methotrexate in second-line recurrent or metastatic tumors
Nakano et al. ³⁰	2017	86 (20 patients>70 years)		Retrospective study	Multiple	Different toxicity pattern has been seen between the "5-Fluorouracil, platinum and cetuximab" and the "weekly paclitaxel and cetuximab" cohort	No	Older patients might be good candidates for weekly paclitaxel and cetuximab.

Table 3: Results of Retrospective Cohorts Undergoing Surgery for HNSCC

Author Ref	Year	Coho	rt	Type of study	Primary tumor site	Adverse events	Geriatric, quality of life and functional assessments	Conclusions
Morgan et al. ³¹	1982	1773	810 patients ≥65 years 963 patients <65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Nonlethal complications: 32% for patients >65 years; 21% for patients <65 years	No	Differences in complications were reported but no data was presented regarding survival differences. Advanced age alone should not exclude patients from aggressive surgical therapy
Bridger et al. ³²	1994	117	26 patients ≥70 years 91 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences	No	No differences in complications, no data about survival differences. Age alone should not exclude a patient from radical surgery for HNSCC with free-flap reconstruction
Kowalski et al. ³³	1994	230	115 patients ≥70 years 115 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences in complications or postoperative deaths	No	The main causes of death in the elderly patients were not related to cancer or treatment complications
-Author Manuscrip

Davis ³⁴				study			in survival or complications in oldest patients (≥81 years) compared with youngest old patients (65–71 years)	
Clayman et al. ³⁵	1998	122	43 patients ≥80 years 79 patients ≤65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences in postoperative complications	No	Although median survival was different among groups, when patients ≥80 years were compared with expected survival, there was no difference
Laccourreye et al. ³⁶	1998	69		Retrospective study	Larynx	No differences	No	Age was not correlated with mortality or morbidity
Shaari et al. ³⁷	1998	87	52 patients >70 years 35 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences	No	No differences in complications, no data about survival differences. Age older than 70 years did not increase the rate of surgical complications.
Zabrodsky et al. ³⁸	2004	24		Retrospective study	Multiple	Presence of advanced comorbidity, longer operative times and advanced stage of disease seemed to	Comorbidity Data Collection Form	In cases with clinically important comorbidities the extent and duration of surgery should be reduced to minimum

0t							· (1		
							development of surgical or medical complications		
anusc	Sanabria et al. ³⁹	2008	242 payears	atients>70	Retrospective study	Multiple	Male sex, bilateral neck dissection, presence of 2 or more comorbidities, reconstruction, and clinical stage IV were associated with postoperative complications	No	No differences in complications, no data about survival differences
OL	Milet et al. ⁴⁰	2010	261	29 patients ≥70 years 232 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	No differences	No	No differences in complications, no data about survival differences. The postoperative course in elderly patients is not significantly different from that of younger patients

Peters et al. ⁴¹	2014	1201	205 patients ≤49 years 359 patients:50- 60 years 341 patients:60- 70 years 214 patients:70- 80 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age was only associated with the risk of cardiopulmonary/ neurologic complications in patients aged > 80 years ($p = 0.033$). Higher complications rates were found in elderly and patients with preexisting comorbidities. Advanced tumor stage and prolonged surgery	Adult Comorbidity Evaluation-27 index/Clavien- Dindo classification	Elderly have more comorbidities than young; however, surgical complication is not more common. Age itself seems not to be a contraindication for major head and neck surgery.
			82 patients >80 years			time were associated with surgical		

						complications.		
Petters et al. ⁴²	2015	202	 169 patients <70 years 33 patients ≥70 years 	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age was not a predictor of complications in patients treated with free-flap surgery. Only disease stage was a significant predictor of recipient site complications, and comorbidity was the only significant	Adult Comorbidity Evaluation-27 index/Clavien- Dindo classification	Optimal patient selection for free flap surgery is essential by thorough pre-operative assessmen. Patients' biological age, and not chronological age, should be individually determined to assess feasibility of major surgery. Optimal patient selection requires a thorough pre-operative assessment, including
						predictor of medical complications		analysis of comorbidity in all patients
oh et al. ⁴³	2017	234	60 patients ≥65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age alone, tobacco use and preoperative radiation treatment	No	Advanced age itself does not predict poor outcome following head and neck free flap
			174 patients <65 years			did not independently increase the risk of postoperative complications.		reconstruction

. . 5 < ч 4

+---

	L'Esperance et al. ⁴⁴	2017	219 patients ≥80 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	74 patients experienced serious
)						complications within 30 days and 25 died
)						within 90 days of surgery.
)						
5						
5	Wu et al. ⁴⁵	2018	637 patients >65 years	Retrospective study	Multiple	Age not predictive of complications or mortality. Flap reconstruction

Patient and surgical factors predict risk of serious complications and mortality in patients aged 80 years and older undergoing ablative head and neck surgery. ASA score \geq 4, and surgeries longer than 6 hours are associated with increased risk of serious complications. Age ≥ 90 years, severe comorbid disease, presence of dysphagia, and large resections are associated with increased 90-day mortality

The treatment choice for elderly patients with HNSCC should be based on medical

Adult

index

No

Comorbidity

Evaluation-27

surgery had no	assessments but not on age.
significant	Age is not a risk factor for
association with	surgical treatment or flap
necrosis,	reconstruction
hemorrhage,	
infection, need for	
rescue treatment,	
or length of	
intensive care unit	
stay.	

Author Ref	Year	Cohort		Type of study	Type of treatment	Primary tumor site	Adverse events	Geriatric, quality of life and functional assessments	Conclusions
Barzan et al. ⁴⁶	1990	438	196 patients \leq 59 years 135 patients: 60-69 years 107 patients \geq 70 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/CT/ RT	Multiple	The percentage of local and general post- operative complications were similar in the three ages groups	No	Age is not an independent prognostic factor for local control and survival
Lusinchi et al. ⁴⁷	1990	331 pati years	ents >70	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Multiple	No differences	No	No differences in survival nor toxicity. No significant relationship between age, general status, and the carcinologic

								outcome c be observe
Hirano et al. ⁴⁸	1998	679 560 patients <75 years 119 patients ≥75 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Multiple	Concomitant health problems were significantly more common in the older group	No	The freque with which curative treatment of not be exec was 8.8% f younger gr and 26.1% older group
Sarini et al. ⁴⁹	2001	4610 (273 patients ≥75 years)	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CT/CRT	Multiple	No significantly more treatment related deaths in elderly	No	HNSCC in elderly pat did not hav significant different outcome w compared younger pa When prop monitored, therapies according guidelines feasible in patients

Vaccher et al. ⁵⁰	2002	2143	1962 patients <75 years 181 patients ≥75 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CT/CRT	Multiple	NA	No	Chronological age may be an unreliable parameter for decision making. Cancer-specific overall survival of elderly with laryngeal and hypopharyngeal carcinoma was significantly poorer than in younger.
Bhattacharyy a ⁵¹	2003	5016	2508 patients ≥70 years 2508 patients <70 years	Matched controlled study on SEER database	Surgery/RT	Multiple	NA	No	Overall Survival and Disease Specific Survival are significantly worse in elderly tongue and glottis laryngeal cancer and not different in tonsil cancer. However, survival does not differ after stage stratification
Airoldi et	2004	40 pati	ients >70	Prospective	CRT	Multiple	Grade 3 toxicity	No	The results of

Author Manuscrip

-

al. ⁵²		years		study			included mucositis (10 patients), neutropenia (6 patients), dermatitis (2 patients), and thrombocytopenia (1 patient)		adjuvant CRT were better than those observed in a comparable group treated with RT alone and were like those observed in a younger group with the same
Derks et	2005	183	105	Prospective	Surgery/PT/	Multiple	NA	FORTC	poor prognostic factors treated with adjuvant carboplatin plus RT
al. ⁵³	2003	100	patients:4 5-60 years	stydy	CRT	munple	NA	QLQ-C30, H&N35, CES-D, RSS12-I, QQ	treatment is predicted by: marital status (widowed), advanced tumor stage,
			78 patients ≥70 years						the length of life less important than its quality and old age

van den Broek et al. ⁵⁴	2006	125	Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Severe acute toxicity (grade 3- 4), mainly mucositis and dysphagia was recorded in 51% of patients. Leukopenia (grade 3-4, 39%) and aspiration pneumonia in 20%. Tracheotomy (12%). Neurological complications (2%) patients. Severe late toxicity (34%)	No	A statistically significant, association was observed with advanced age and severe xerostomia, $p =$ 0.004. Older patients were more likely to developing xerostomia, ascompared to younger patients
Sanabria et al. ¹⁵	2007	312 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA	Adult Comorbidity Evaluation- 27 index	Selecting substandard treatment for reasons such as chronologic age, tumor site, or moderate or mild comorbidities worsen patient prognosis

Sanabria et al. ⁵⁵	2007	310 patie years	ents >70	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA	Adult Comorbidity Evaluation- 27 index	Comorbidity measured with ACE-27 was a prognostic factor for overall survival in patients older than 70 years with HNSCC
Koussis et al. ⁵⁶	2008	35	16 patients ≥70 years	Phase II study	CRT	Multiple	Haematological toxicity was grade 3-4 in 13 patients, while gastrointestinal toxicity was grade 3-4 in 20 patients	No	The regimen of neo-adjuvant carboplatin and vinorelbine followed by CRT is feasible and active in older (> or =70 years) or
			19 patients <70 years						low performance status (Karnofsky 70-80) patients, although toxicity is not negligible and long-term outcome remains poor
Machtay et al. ⁵⁷	2008	230	27 patients	Secondary analysis	CRT	Multiple	Severe late toxicity was	No	Older age, advanced T-

		>70 years 203 patients ≤70yea rs				related with advanced age		stage, and larynx / hypopharynx primary site were strong independent risk factors
Palazzi et al. ⁵⁸	2008	149	Prospective study	RT/CRT	Multiple	Severe (Grade 3- 4) adverse events were recorded in 28% (mucositis), 33% (dysphagia), 40% (pain), and 12% (skin) of patients	No	CT is the most relevant factor independently predicting for worse toxicity (mucositis, dysphagia, weight loss, salivary changes). RT acceleration and older age predicted for a worse outcome of weight loss.
Fesinmeyer et al. ⁵⁹	2009	5086 patients ≥66 years	Retrospective study of SEER database	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA	Charlson score	Surgery before RT is associated with an increased likelihood of completing RT. At a subset of

									sites (oral cavity, pharynx and larynx) CT is associated with a decreased likelihood of completing RT
Tsukuda et al. ⁶⁰	2009	50 13 pati >75 37 pati <75	ients 5 years ients 5 years	Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Grade 3 mucositis occurred in 20% of the patients. Grade 3 neutropenia occurred in 12% and leukocytopenia occurred in 6% of the cases	No	Concurrent CRT is a safe, well- tolerated and effective regimen for locally advanced HNSCC in elderly cases and/or cases with comorbidity
3oscolo- Rizzo et al. ⁶¹	2011	44 patients > years	>65	Retrospective study	Surgery/CR T	Multiple	66% developed severe toxicities, 11% required permanent feeding tubes	No	In selected medically fit elderly patients with loco- regionally advanced HNSCC, cis- platinum-based CRT can be successfully applied, with

r Manuscrip C uth

+---

									moderate adverse events, in attempt to preserve a functional upper aerodigestive tract
Huang et al. ⁶²	2011	2312	452 patients ≥75 years 1860 patients <75 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CT	Multiple	No differences	No	Differences in survival (2-year cancer-specific survival: 72% for patients \geq 75 years; 86% for patients<75 years; p<.01). Elderly patients selected for definitive RT or intensified RT showed no evidence of impaired treatment tolerance
Peters et al. ⁶³	2011	126	84 patients ≤64 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Pharynx	Complication rate was not significantly different. Only	Adult Comorbidity Evaluation- 27 index	No evidence has been found to treat elderly pharyngeal

		42 patients ≥75 years				stage was significant independent predictor of complications		cancer patients differently than younger ones
Peters et al. ⁶⁴	2011	428 139 patients \geq 75 years 289 patients \leq 65 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT	Larynx	Co-morbidity and age were not predictors of complications. Radiation therapy (vs. total laryngectomy) and tumor stage were predictors. There was correlation between co- morbidity and complication, but not in the elderly group	Adult Comorbidity Evaluation- 27 index	There is no reason to treat elderly laryngeal cancer patients differently from guidelines
Jilani et al. ⁶⁵	2012	73 patients ≥65 years	Retrospective study	RT/CRT	Multiple	Most common toxicities included dermatitis, mucositis, dysphagia and xerostomia. Three patients developed grade 4 (4%)	No	Elderly patients have a high response rates to RT with excellent local control and limited toxicity

CLD								toxicities including fistula, esophageal stricture, tracheostomy dependence		
Manus	Michal et al. ⁶⁶	2012	181	44 patients ≥70 years 137 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	The elderly was less likely to receive both CT courses, experienced more myelosuppression, required more unplanned hospitalization, and were feeding- tube dependent longer	No	Outcomes were the same as in younger patients. Age alone should not be considered a contraindication to aggressive CRT for this disease
Ithor	Merlano et al. ⁶⁷	2012	317	93 patients ≥65 years 224 patients <65 years	Retrospective study	CRT/BRT	Multiple	Infections (p=0.011) and pneumonias (p=0.002) were significantly more represented in elderly patients	ECOG performance status	Age alone does not justify exclusion from treatment

+--

Nguyen et al. ⁶⁸	2012	112	27 patients ≥70 years 85 patients <70 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	No differences in grade 3-4 toxicity, weight loss and treatment breaks	No	No significant differences in protocol schedule violations and survival were found between the two groups
Maggiore et al. ⁶⁹	2013	89 patie ≥70 yea	ents ars	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	The majority (86.5%) could complete all planned treatment cycles. A significant proportion of patients required gastrostomy tube (62%) and developed aspiration during swallowing evaluation post- treatment (44%). Several patients required hospice (9%) or skilled	No	Older patients have lower 5- year survival rates than younger and with higher risk for acute toxicities

-

						nursing facility (13%) referrals during treatment		
Camilon et al. ⁷⁰	2014	14909 (4406 patients ≥65years)	Retrospective study on SEER database	Surgery/Rt/ CRT	Orophary nx	NA	No	Proportiona fewer elder patients with oropharyng cancer rece treatment (surgery, R CRT) than younger individuals These patie can have significant benefits fro aggressive treatments despite thei older age as shown by th
O´Neill et al. ⁷¹	2014	759 patients ≥66 years	Retrospective study on SEER database	Surgery/ CRT	Larynx	Almost 20% of the CRT patients had a tracheostomy	No	Total laryngector remains an important

						treatment, and 57% had a feeding tube		in well selecte older patients
Shapiro et al. ⁷²	2014	 360 317 patients <71 years 43 patients ≥71 years 	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	Age over 70 and pre-treatment tracheostomy also correlated with a significantly higher rate of late toxicity	No	Concurrent IMRT and platinum-base chemotherapy resulted in significantly superior overa survival than cetuximab
Sharma et al. ⁷³	2014	47 patients ≥65 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA	No	Nearly two-th of elderly HNSCC patie were complian to cancer- directed thera
VanderWald e et al. ⁷⁴	2014	10599 patients ≥66 years	Retrospective study on SEER database	CRT/RT	Multiple	NA	No	The addition of CT to RT may less effective an older patie population treated outsid a controlled to setting

\frown										
Manuscrip	Chang et al. ⁷⁵	2015	126	21 patients >65 years 105 patients ≤65 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	Elderly were less likely to tolerate cisplatin, experienced more weight loss, required more feeding tube support and tended to have >grade 3 hematological toxicities and to develop sepsis during the period of CRT	No	1- and 2-year disease-free survival and disease-specific survival rates were nearly identical. With an intensive nutritional support program, age alone should not be considered a contraindication to aggressive CRT for advanced head and neck cancer
	Kataria et al. ⁷⁶	2015	32 patie ≥65 yea	ents trs	Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Fourteen (45.2%) patients experienced grade 3 mucositis. No one developed grade 3 or above hematological toxicity	No	CRT in elderly patients with IMRT is a feasible option

+--

Moye et al. ⁷⁷	2015	1598	1166 patients <70 years 281 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT/CT	Multiple	NA	No	Older patients receiving stage- appropriate treatment had oncologic outcomes equivalent to those of their younger counterparts
O´Neill et al. ⁷⁸	2015	1502 p ≥66 ye	atients ears	Retrospective study on SEER database	CRT/RT	Multiple	Patients receiving CRT had more acute toxicities and prolonged use of feeding tubes	No	For certain older patients, the potential benefit of adding CT to RT does not outweigh the harm of combined modality therapy
Sachdev et al. ⁷⁹	2015	100		Retrospective study	RT/CRT	Multiple	NA	No	Older age was found to be the most significant risk factor for needing enteral feeding in patients with locally advanced HNSCC treated

								with multimodal treatment
Amini et al. ⁸⁰	2016	4042 patients >70 years	Retrospective study on NCDB	RT/CRT	Multiple	NA	Charlson- Deyo score	Patients older than 70 years should not be denied concurrent CT solely on the basis of age; additional factors, including the performance status and the tumor stage, should be taken into account
Baxi et al. ⁸¹	2016	3705 patients ≥65 years	Retrospective study on SEER database	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA	No	The use of CRT has increased substantially from 2001 to 2009 and cetuximab may have increased CRT use, especially in older and sicker patients

Chalissery et al. ⁸²	2016	47 patients ≥65 years	Prospective study	CRT	Multiple	Grade III skin reaction and mucositis in 24% and 47% respectively. No grade III neutropenia observed.	No	Radical CRT with IMRT in elderly patients is a feasible option
Chen et al. ⁸³	2016	2257(523 patients ≥70 years)	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/RT	Oral cavity and oropharyn x	NA	Charlson- Deyo score	Postoperative RT may be associated with improved survival in patients with pN1 oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancer, especially in those younger than 70 years or those with pT2 disease
Doi et al. ⁸⁴	2016	14 patients ≥75 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Paranasal	Grade 3 mucositis in 3 patients.	No	>60 Gy of RT in IMRT led to improved survival outcomes in

								elderly paranasal sinus carcinoma patients
Kwon et al. ⁸⁵	2016	165 patients ≥65 years	Prospective study	Surgery/CT/ RT/CRT	Multiple	Respiratory complications such as aspiration pneumonia or dyspnea were the most common reasons for hospitalization, and dysphagia was another major cause of severe post-treatment morbidity	Charlson comorbidity index, ECOG score, BDI-II	Pretreatment functional disabilities related to respiration and swallowing were significantly associated with early morbidity and mortality
Neve et al. ⁸⁶	2016	35 patients ≥65 years	Prospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA	G8 score	There was a trend towards longer postoperative stay and lower RT completion rates in patients deemed vulnerable by Geriatric 8 scores

Teymoortash et al. ⁸⁷	2016	 58 28 patients <65 years 30 patients ≥65 years 	Retrospective study	Surgery/RT/ CRT/CT	Larynx	Surgical complication rate was significantly increased in elderly ($p = 0.04$)	Charlson comorbidity index, Clavien- Dindo Classification	Locoregional and distant control did not significantly differ by age. Disease-free and overall survival showed no significant differences for the two age
		_ ,						groups by the Kaplan-Meier analysis (p = 0.66 and 0.08, respectively)
Ward et al. ⁸⁸	2016	4165 patients ≥71 years	Retrospective study on NCDB	RT/CRT	Multiple	NA	No	A threshold age eliminating the need for systemic therapy could not be identified, and the decision to administer systemic therapy should be patient-specific
Falk et al. ⁸⁹	2017	35 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Multiple	RT was interrupted in 94%	No	Concomitant RT and cetuximab

+--

<u> </u>										
								of patients and the dose of cetuximab was reduced in 29%.		seem to be an effective therapy in the elderly population
or Manusc	Lai et al. ⁹⁰	2017	70 patie ≥75 yea	ents ars	Retrospective study	CRT/RT	Multiple	CRT group had more adverse events such as neutropenia, febrile neutropenia and thrombocytopenia than RT group.	No	Definitive RT with or without systemic CT did not significantly influence disease-specific survival and overall survival in elderly patients. Therefore, for elderly patients aged \geq 75 years, conservative RT might be sufficient for treatment purposes
uth	Juarez et al. ⁹¹	2017	421 patien ts >50 years	118 patients: 50-59 years 152 patients:	Retrospective study	Surgery/CR T/RT	Multiple	NA	No	Patients aged ≥70 years were more commonly treated with less- aggressive strategies,

. .

		60-69 years 151 patients: ≥70 years						including RT alone
Pollom et al. ⁹²	2017	25829 (7823 patients >70 years)	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/CR T/RT	Oral cavity	NA	No	Greater patient distance from reporting facility in addition to older age, was associated with lower odds of receiving both adjuvant RT and adjuvant CRT. Elderly patients were disproportionally offered less aggressive treatment
Sommers et al. ⁹³	2017	674 (168 patients \geq 70 years)	Retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data	RT/CRT	Multiple	NA	WHO performance status	Elderly HNSCC patients have poorer survival outcomes than younger patients Age is an independent

									prognostic factor for overall survival, mainly due to an increase in non-
)									cancer-related mortality and comorbid diseases
5	Spiotto et al. ⁹⁴	2017	6900 (1541 patients >70 years)	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Oral cavity	NA	No	On multivariate analysis, worse survival was associated with increasing age
	Zumsteg et al. ⁹⁵	2017	74 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study	CRT	Orophary nx	RT interruptions of > 1 day were needed in 4% of patients receiving cisplatin, 20% of patients receiving CARB, and 15% of patients receiving cetuximab (P = .19). Unplanned hospitalizations	Charlson Comorbidity Index	Toxicity from concomitant CRT remains a challenge for older adults. No evidence that this toxicity was mitigated by treatment with cetuximab. Nevertheless, a subset of patients aged \geq 70 years

Yoshida et al.2018 \geq 70 years1199 patients study on NCDBRetrospective CRTSurgery/RT/ Multiple CRTMultiple NANACharlson- Deyo comorbidityCRT improved survival in N2 or N3 disease but not in earlier	Yoshida et al. ⁹⁶ 2018 1199 patients ≥70 years Retrospective study on NCDB Surgery/RT/ Multiple NA Charlson-Deyo comorbidity CRT improved survival in N2 or NCDB CARB: Carboplatin with either 5-fluorouracil or paclitaxel. EORTC QLQ-C30 and H&N35: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Head and Neck Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire. CES-D: Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale. RSS12-I: Social							occurred in 25%, 55%, and 58%, respectively, of patients receiving cisplatin, CARB, and cetuximab ($P = .03$). There were 2 treatment- related deaths, both of which occurred among the patients who were treated with cetuximab		cisplatin-based treatment with acceptable toxicity and survival rate
. 1'	CARB: Carboplatin with either 5-fluorouracil or paclitaxel. EORTC QLQ-C30 and H&N35: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Head and Neck Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire. CES-D: Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale. RSS12-I: Social	Yoshida et al. ⁹⁶	2018	1199 patients ≥70 years	Retrospective study on NCDB	Surgery/RT/ CRT	Multiple	NA	Charlson- Deyo comorbidity	CRT improved survival in N2 or N3 disease but not in earlier

appear to tolerate