Viúdez-Moreiras Daniel (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8442-3788) Newman Claire (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-9990-8817) de la Torre Juarez Manuel (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1393-5297) Martinez German (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5885-236X) Guzewich Scott D. (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1149-7385) Lemmon Mark (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4504-5136) Pla-García Jorge (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8047-3937) Smith Michael D. (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-7601-1158) Vicente-Retortillo Alvaro (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4553-7624) Lepinette Malvitte Alain (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-5213-3521) Vasavada Ashwin R. (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-2665-286X)

# Effects of the MY34/2018 Global Dust Storm as Measured by MSL REMS in Gale Crater

D. Viúdez-Moreiras<sup>1,\*</sup>, C.E. Newman<sup>2</sup>, M. de la Torre<sup>3</sup>, G. Martínez<sup>4</sup>, S. Guzewich<sup>5</sup>, M. Lemmon<sup>6</sup>, J. Pla-García<sup>1</sup>, M.D. Smith<sup>5</sup>, A.-M. Harri<sup>7</sup>, M. Genzer<sup>7</sup>, A. Vicente-Retortillo<sup>4</sup>, A. Lepinette<sup>1</sup>, J.A. Rodriguez-Manfredi<sup>1</sup>, A.R. Vasavada<sup>3</sup> and J. Gómez-Elvira<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA) & Spanish National Institute for Aerospace Technology (INTA), Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain (<u>viudezmd@inta.es</u>)
- <sup>2</sup> Aeolis Research, 600 N. Rosemead Ave., Suite 205, Pasadena, CA 91106, USA.
- <sup>3</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA.
- <sup>4</sup> University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.
- <sup>5</sup>NASA Goddard Spaceflight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA.
- <sup>6</sup> Space Science Institute, College Station, TX 77843 USA.
- <sup>7</sup> Earth Observation, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Erik Palménin aukio, Helsinki, Finland.

# ABSTRACT

The Rover Environmental Monitoring Station (REMS) instrument that is onboard NASA's Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) Curiosity rover. REMS has been measuring surface pressure, air and ground brightness temperature, relative humidity, and UV irradiance since MSL's landing in 2012. In Mars Year (MY) 34 (2018) a global dust storm reached Gale Crater at  $L_s \sim 190^\circ$ . REMS offers a unique opportunity to better understand the impact of a global dust storm on local environmental conditions, which complements previous observations by the Viking landers and Mars Exploration Rovers. All atmospheric variables measured by REMS are strongly affected albeit at different times. During the onset phase, the daily maximum UV radiation decreased by 90% between sols 2075 (opacity ~1) and 2085 (opacity ~8.5). The diurnal range in ground and air temperatures decreased by 35K and 56K, respectively, with also a diurnal-average decrease of ~2K and 4K respectively. The maximum relative humidity, which occurs right before sunrise, decreased to below 5%, compared with pre-storm values of up to 29%, due to the warmer air

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/2019JE005985

-Author Manuscrip temperatures at night while the inferred water vapor abundance suggests an increase during the storm. Between sols 2085 and 2130, the typical nighttime stable inversion layer was absent near the surface as ground temperatures remained warmer than near-surface air temperatures. Finally, the frequency-domain behavior of the diurnal pressure cycle shows a strong increase in the strength of the semidiurnal and terdiurnal modes peaking after the local opacity maximum, also suggesting differences in the dust abundance inside and outside Gale.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Martian dust cycle greatly impacts atmospheric and surface temperatures and hence the circulation, since the atmospheric dust abundance and distribution strongly affects the solar and thermal radiation absorbed and scattered in the atmosphere and hence also the radiation received at the surface (e.g. Leovy and Zurek, 1979; Madeleine et al, 2011; Hassler et al., 2014 and references therein). The role of dust in the modern climate and weather of Mars therefore also has major implications for the design and safety of future human missions.

Local and regional dust storms are ubiquitous on Mars, particularly between areocentric solar longitudes (L<sub>s</sub>) 180 - 360° (table 2 of Zurek & Martin, 1993; Fig. 2 in Wang & Richardson, 2015). Every few Mars years, however, regional storms grow and merge to become a global dust storm (GDS), which is generally defined as a storm whose associated dust haze expands to cover all longitudes over the majority of both hemispheres. Wind-driven dust lifting is a necessary mechanism for injecting dust into the Martian atmosphere during GDS onset (e.g. Ryan & Henry, 1979; Tillman, 1988; Newman et al., 2002b; Basu et al., 2005; Kahre et al., 2006 and references therein), due to the very strong local-to-global scale positive feedbacks associated with this mechanism for lifting dust (Newman et al., 2002a). However, due to the rarity of such events, the evolution of the near-surface atmospheric thermal state and circulation during the onset, expansion/mature, and decay phases of a GDS have rarely been studied at the surface (e.g. Zurek, 1982; Tillman, 1988).

Although the Rover Environmental Monitoring Station (REMS) on the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) Curiosity rover (Gómez-Elvira et al., 2012; 2014) did not have a working wind sensor during the MY34 GDS, it was able to measure surface pressure, air and ground brightness temperature, relative humidity, and UV irradiance in six spectral bands, for a total of between 9 and 15 hours per sol (including at least 5 minutes of monitoring every hour) at a frequency of 1Hz (Gómez-Elvira et al., 2012; 2014). These atmospheric variables reveal how the atmospheric thermal balance and large-scale structure were affected,

and may also be compared to numerical model simulations to help understand how the atmospheric circulation and near-surface winds were likely impacted at each stage of the storm.

The previous GDS occurred in 2007 and was observed by several spacecraft from orbit: Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter, Mars Odyssey and Mars Express (Smith, 2009; Wang and Richardson, 2015; Guzewich et al., 2017; Fedorova et al., 2018). However, only the Mars Exploration Rovers (MER) were at that moment on the surface, and although able to measure the evolving optical depth (Lemmon et al., 2015) they were not equipped with the sensors needed to study the dust storm's impact on the near-surface environment. Only the Viking Lander 1 and 2 spacecraft in the 1970s measured some of the aforementioned variables from the surface during the two GDS that developed in MY12 (1977) at  $L_s$ ~204° (Ryan and Henry, 1979) and at  $L_s$ ~275° (Ryan and Sharman, 1981; Tillman, 1988; Zurek and Martin, 1993). In May 2018, after 5 Mars years without a GDS, orbiters observed precursor storms that grew until becoming global in mid-June. The effects of this MY34 GDS reached Gale Crater in early June, when the atmospheric opacity increased by a factor of 8 in comparison to typical values for this season, reaching an optical depth of ~8.5 at 880 nm (Guzewich et al., 2019). MSL instruments were able to measure the onset, expansion/maturation, and decay phases of this storm in unprecedented detail, from inside a crater, including providing the first measurements of how relative humidity and ultraviolet irradiation in different spectral bands varied during the GDS.

This manuscript is structured as follows: Section 2 briefly describes the REMS instrument. Section 3 describes the Gale Crater atmospheric environment and presents the effects of the GDS on the crater meteorology from a diurnal-average perspective. Section 4 focuses on the diurnal cycles observed during the storm, and their comparison to the nominal cycles for the same season. Finally, Section 5 presents the paper's conclusions.

# 2. THE REMS INSTRUMENT

The REMS instrument (Gómez-Elvira et al., 2012) is a suite of six environmental sensors: pressure, air temperature, ground surface brightness temperature (hereafter referred to as ground temperature), relative humidity (RH), wind and ultraviolet (UV) radiation. REMS is composed of four units spread throughout the rover. Two units are located on booms attached to the rover's Remote Sensing Mast, with wind and air temperature sensors on both booms, a relative humidity sensor on one boom, and a ground temperature sensor on the other. The UV sensor unit is placed on the rover deck. The pressure sensor is located inside the rover body and connected to the outside by a small tube, which has a high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter to minimize the accumulation of dust inside the sensor, the tube, and for planetary protection purposes.

REMS measures for the first 5 minutes each Local Mean Standard Time hour by default (where an hour is defined as 1/24<sup>th</sup> of a Mars sol and a minute as 1/60<sup>th</sup> of an hour), plus typically 7 complete hours per sol, which are added according to a pre-defined cadence designed to e.g. monitor the time of peak insolation every sol, the sunrise period in many sols, and cover a complete diurnal cycle with 1 Hz measurements every 6 sols (Gómez-Elvira et al., 2014; Newman et al., 2017). During the GDS, however, this cadence was increased to cover a complete diurnal cycle every 3 sols, in order to better monitor the impact of the storm (Guzewich et al., 2019). All REMS sensors have been fully functional since landing, except for the Wind Sensor (WS) that was damaged during MSL's landing and remained only partially functional until sol 1491 (over the southern spring equinox in MY33), when its active board was damaged, possibly by saltating particles raised by the strong winds as the rover sat in the Bagnold Dune Field (Viúdez-Moreiras et al., 2019a; 2019b).



**Figure 1**: Dust deposition on the UV sensor: comparison between MSL sols 2036 (pre-storm) and 2216 (after the storm), taken by MAHLI. The images were taken at different times of sol, hence with different sun position, as evidenced by the different sun glint locations at the edges of the photodiodes. It can be seen in the zooms for both images of the same photodiode that the sensor is almost blocked by the dust, which highlights the deposition of dust over the rover due to the dust storm.

The UV sensor is exposed to dust deposition that attenuates the photodiodes signal, hence UV signals must be corrected in order to obtain the absolute irradiance. The correction can be performed by cross-correlating the 1 Hz UV sensor observations with radiance measurements made by the Mastcam camera, which takes measurements far less frequently (Smith et al., 2017; Vicente-Retortillo et al., 2018). The net result of the GDS was to significantly enhance dust deposition on the REMS UV sensors, as was seen by comparing images taken by the Mars Hand Lens Imager (MAHLI) just prior to (MSL sol 2036) and just after (sol 2216) the GDS (see Fig. 1).

# 3. GALE CRATER ENVIRONMENT AND GENERAL EFFECTS OF THE GLOBAL DUST STORM

#### 3.1 Phases of the GDS in Gale Crater

The chronology of the 2018/MY34 GDS is shown in Table 1. For a global perspective of its origin, expansion/mature and decay phases, see e.g. Guzewich et al. (2019). Precursor dust storms originated in the northern hemisphere across Acidalia and Utopia Planitia at  $L_s \sim 180^\circ$ , expanding and merging with others along the receding southern seasonal CO<sub>2</sub> polar cap. Effects of the storm first reached Gale at  $L_s \sim 190^\circ$  (sol 2075), with Mastcam 880 nm opacity increasing from ~0.7 to ~1.5 by sol 2080. The steepest opacity increase occurred between  $L_s = 192.5$  and 195.5° (sols 2080-2085), when it reached a peak value of ~8.5. After this, opacity declined until it returned to climatological values at  $L_s \sim 245^\circ$  (sol 2157). Compared to previous reported GDSs 15-20 sol expansion is comparable but slower than the 1977A storm (Pollacj et al., 1979; Zurek, 1982) and among the slowest decay at 85 sols from its peak, comparable to another early storm in MY25/2001 (Cantor, 2007; Wang & Richardson, 2015).

Event	Date	$L_{s}$ (deg)	MSL Sol	
Precursor storms across Acidalia and Utopia Planitia	May 2018	~ 180	~ 2060	
Expansion / Maturation phase (expansion and merging of regional storms)	Early June	Early June ~ 180 - 190		
Storm reaches Gale (start of the onset phase at Gale) *	Early June	~ 190	2075	
Period of largest increase at Gale	Mid June	~ 192.5 - 195	2080 - 2084	
Peak at Gale	Mid July	~ 195	2085	
Highly dusty phase at Gale	Mid July	~ 195 - 203	2085 - 2100	
Decay phase **	Mid September	~ 203 - 250	2101 - ~2169	

\* Note that the *onset* phase at Gale is defined as the onset of changes observed inside Gale Crater, which occurs ~15 sols after onset of the GDS.

\*\* Decay phase based on the atmospheric variables measured by REMS. The local 880nm opacity, measured by Mastcam, showed a decrease starting 16 sols earlier at sol 2085.

Table 1: Sequence of events related to the MY34/2018 global dust storm, focused on Gale crater.

The atmospheric variables reacted at different times to the GDS; in particular, pressure appeared to react first to the GDS. However, air and ground temperatures, and pressure, differed the most from climatological values between sols ~2085 and 2100. For our investigation, we define this period as the "highly dusty phase". Between sols 2101 and ~2169, these variables gradually returned to the normal

climatological conditions for this season, hence we define this as the "decay phase". Note that the local opacity (as measured by Mastcam and the REMS UV sensors) in fact began to decrease at the start of the highly dusty phase as defined here, but we define the storm's phases in Gale with respect to the local atmospheric response rather than dust loading.

#### 3.2 Dust storm onset and highly dusty phases

Fig. 2 overlays REMS measurements obtained for the four observed Martian years as a function of areocentric solar longitude, since landing at  $L_s \sim 150^\circ$  in MY31 until  $L_s \sim 300^\circ$  in MY34. The interannual comparison between seasonal periods shown here (between  $L_s = 120^\circ$  and  $300^\circ$ ) encompasses the onset (sols 2075-2084), highly dusty (sols 2085-2100) and decay phases of the GDS during MY34 as observed in Gale Crater, and comparison with previous years at the same  $L_s$  demonstrates the magnitude of the dust storm effects in the REMS measurements. Fig. 3 shows the evolution, over the details of the whole GDS period (sols 2060-2170) only for MY34, of (i) the daily mean, maximum and minimum pressure, air temperature, and ground temperature; (ii) relative humidity and water vapor abundance averaged over roughly the coldest period of each sol; and (iii) UV irradiance. A summary of the numerical values is presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Among all measurements, the most dramatic effect was seen in the surface radiative environment (Fig. 2a and Fig. 3f), with ~95% measured attenuation in UV fluxes in the REMS UV-ABC channel, which ranges from 200 to 380 nm, between  $L_s \sim 190^\circ$  and 195.5° (sols 2075-2085), as a result of the rise in the amount of suspended dust. Such a decrease is consistent with a relative reduction in the daily surface insolation from ~75% to ~3.5% as compared to that at the top of the atmosphere (TOA), and with a reduction in the contribution of direct radiation to the total daily insolation at the surface from ~50% to less than 0.1% of its value at the TOA (Vicente-Retortillo et al., 2015). Note that UV fluxes have been normalized to values at the beginning of MSL mission in Fig. 2, and to values at sol 2070 in Fig. 3. The values have not been corrected for inaccuracies in the angular response of the UV sensors and dust deposition (Smith et al., 2016; Vicente-Retortillo et al., 2018).

The abrupt decrease in surface solar radiation between  $L_s \sim 190^\circ$  and  $195.5^\circ$  only caused a moderate decrease in the daily mean surface (Fig. 2b and Fig. 3e) and air (Fig. 2c and Fig. 3b) temperatures. The diurnal-average air temperature was ~231 K prior to the dust storm but fell by only ~4K to ~227 K during the highly dusty phase (Table 2). The impact on the diurnal range of air temperatures was far more dramatic, however, with the diurnal range,  $T_{rng} = T_{max}-T_{min}$ , decreasing from ~71K to ~36K ( $T_{rng}$ ~35K) between the start of the onset and highly dusty phases. This behavior was also observed in the 1977A,B GDSs (Ryan & Henry, 1979; Ryan & Sharmann, 1981; Tillman, 1985), both at Viking 1 (VL1) and Viking 2 (VL2) landing sites (22.5°N 48°W and 48°N 230°W respectively). Also, this range is close to the 35 K reported by VL1 during the 1977A storm by Ryan & Henry (1979). Maximum temperatures decreased from ~276 K to ~249 K and minimum temperatures increased from ~202 K to ~209 K over the same period (Table 3), as atmospheric opacity increased rapidly. The physics behind this are discussed in detail in section 4.2. Similar to air temperature, the diurnal-average ground temperature decreased by only ~2K (from a pre-storm value of ~233 K) due to the GDS, but showed a far bigger change in the diurnal range,  $Tg_{rng} = Tg_{max}$ -Tg<sub>min</sub>, which decreased from ~94K to ~38K (Tg<sub>rng</sub>~56K) during the onset phase of the storm. The maximum ground temperature decreased from ~286 K to ~249 K while the minimum increased from ~187 K to ~211 K. Also observed is a slight decrease in T<sub>max</sub>, and Tg<sub>max</sub>, and an increase in T<sub>min</sub> and Tg<sub>min</sub>, at the end of the highly dusty phase, which is consistent with the observed increase in MastCam opacity (see Section 4.2).



**Figure 2**: Interannual comparison of the intra-seasonal ( $L_s = 120 - 300^\circ$ ) evolution as a function of solar longitude (MY color-coded) of: (a) normalized values of the daily maximum UV flux measured by the ABC channel, (b) daily mean ground temperature, (c) daily-mean near-surface air temperature, (d) daily maximum RH (generally achieved between 04:00 and 06:00 LTST), (e) water vapor volume mixing ratio inferred at the same time as the RH shown in (d), and (f) daily mean atmospheric pressure. Vertical lines show the start times of the GDS onset (sol 2075), highly dusty (sol 2085) and decay (sol 2100) phases in Gale Crater, as well as the end of the decay phase (sol 2169), as defined in Table 1.

The daily maximum RH measured by REMS, which typically occurs between 4:00 – 6:00 LTST when air temperature is the lowest (Martínez et al., 2016; Savijärvi et al., 2016; Savijärvi et al., 2019a) is shown in Fig. 2d and Fig. 3c. The daily maximum RH abruptly decreased from ~29% prior to the dust storm to less than 5% during the highly dusty phase (between  $L_s = 190^\circ$  and  $195^\circ$ ), returning to climatological values of ~10% at  $L_s \sim 250^\circ$  as the storm abated. As this measurement is highly influenced by the air temperature, it is better to look at the inferred water vapor volume mixing ratio (VMR) when considering how the actual

atmospheric water abundance changed. This may be calculated using contemporaneous REMS measurements of RH, air temperature, and atmospheric pressure, and is shown in Fig. 2e and Fig. 3d. Prior to the storm, between  $L_s = 170^{\circ}$  and 190°, VMR values showed a decreasing trend (as in previous years; Harri et al., 2014; Savijärvi et al., 2015; Martín-Torres et al., 2015; Martínez et al., 2017; McConnochie et al., 2018). We note that the significantly larger VMR (and slightly larger RH) values in MY 34 compared to all prior years started to occur at  $L_s \sim 60^{\circ}$  (not shown), well before the dust storm reached Gale, which might be due to differences in the local circulation and properties of the terrain (thermal inertia and porosity, Savijärvi et al., 2019b) as the rover ascended Aeolis Mons.

Even taking into account the interannual variability prior to the onset phase (Fig. 2), a strong increase in VMR was seen during the onset phase until sol 2085, from mean values of ~86 ppm (although with high variability in the range 70 - 95 ppm), to values exceeding 150 ppm. Between sols 2085 and 2090, however, the water abundance decreased again to  $\sim 107$  ppmv, meaning that the water abundance peaked at the same time as the dust opacity over Gale. Although it could be the result of global effects, previous global dust storms observed from orbit suggest lower water abundances during a global dust storm (e.g. Fedorova et al. 2018 and reference therein). The increase in the nighttime near-surface water abundance observed by MSL REMS could be the result of reduced water adsorption by the regolith at night, due to the warmer nighttime temperatures, resulting in an increase in the atmospheric water vapor (Viúdez-Moreiras et al., 2018), and is consistent with previous studies suggesting this process for exchanging water between the regolith and the atmosphere (e.g. Savijärvi et al., 2016, Martinez et al., 2017 and references therein). In addition, the disappearance of the typical inversion in the near-surface layer during the highly dusty phase and the first sols of the decay phase (see section 4.2), may also increase the water vapor mixing ratio by means of enhanced vertical mixing. Both processes acting together could drive the observed water abundance during the GDS. The impact of the GDS on daytime or diurnal averaged water abundance cannot be determined, due to the very low daytime relative humidity being smaller than the sensor uncertainties. Similarly, the low pre-dawn relative humidity values after sol 2085 result in more uncertain VMR values, therefore the

values of the reported VMRs during the highly dusty phase should be considered with a high error bar (e.g. Harri et al., 2014).



**Figure 3**: Evolution of REMS variables (sols 2060-2170) for the period encompassing the onset (sols 2075-2084), highly dusty (sols 2085-2100) and decay phases of the GDS. Daily mean, maximum, and minimum values are shown for pressure (A) and temperatures (B and E), while the relative humidity (C) and water mixing ratio (D) values correspond to values where the RH reaches its maximum (between 4:00-6:00 LTST) and their uncertainty is lower. Finally, the daily maximum UV irradiance (F) is shown normalized to the value on sol 2070. A 20-sol mobile average is also shown for each variable for a better visualization. As in Fig.2, vertical lines show the start times of the GDS onset (sol 2075), highly dusty (sol 2085) and decay (sol 2100) phases in Gale Crater.

	Nominal	atmosphere	Dusty at	mosphere	Differ	ences
Variable	mean	SEM	mean	SEM	absolute	relative
Pressure (Pa)	750	1.14	784	1.48	34.6	5%
Air Temperature (K)	231	0.158	227	0.110	-3.96	-2%
Ground Temperature (K)	233	0.158	231	0.155	-2.15	-1%
Relative Humidity (%) *	28.9	1.71	4.46	$5.05 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-24.4	-85%
H <sub>2</sub> O mixing ratio (ppm) **	86.2	3.70	107	1.86	21.3	25%
UV irradiance ch-ABC (norm)	1.00	9.19·10 <sup>-3</sup>	$4.89 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-0.951	-95%

\* Measured between 04:00 and 06:00 LTST.

\*\* Inferred from RH, temperature and pressure, and averaged between 04:00 and 06:00 LTST.

 Table 2: Comparison of sets of 10 sols during the *nominal* (sols 2060-2070) and *highly dusty* (sols 2090-2100) atmosphere periods in selected REMS variables. The mean and the Standard Error of the Measurements (SEM) are shown for each variable.

Fig. 2f illustrates the interannual changes in daily mean atmospheric pressures measured by REMS. The lower mean pressures in MY34 compared to MYs 31-33 are due to the rover's higher elevation on the slopes of Aeolis Mons after the rover began climbing more rapidly than before. Focusing on the period surrounding the GDS, however, the mean pressure varies according to the usual seasonal cycle due to the sublimation of the Martian polar caps and was barely affected by the storm. However, the diurnal pressure amplitude range,  $p_{rng} = p_{max}-p_{min}$ , within each sol varies during the storm, which was also observed during the 1977a,b dust storms (Ryan & Henry, 1979; Ryan & Sharmann, 1981). The diurnal range increased from ~84 Pa prior to the storm to ~124 Pa on average during sols 2090 - 2100. This is due in part to the impact of the dust storm on thermal tides, and in part to the storm's impact on mean air temperatures during the day and night, as described further in Section 4.

	Nominal atmosphere			Dusty atmosphere		
Variable	max	min	amplitude	max	min	amplitude
Pressure (Pa)	795	699	84.4	858	713	124
Air Temperature (K)	276	202	70.7	249	209	36.3
Ground Temperature (K)	286	187	93.6	254	211	38.0

**Table 3**: As Table 2, but for diurnal maximum, minimum and mean amplitudes within the nominal (sols 2060-2070) and *highly dusty* (sols 2090-2100) atmospheres. This table is focused on pressure, air and ground temperatures.

#### 3.3 Dust storm decay phase

The pressure returned to the nominal diurnal cycle around sol 2170 (Fig. 3a), with slight differences in accordance with the seasonal evolution (Haberle et al, 2014; Guzewich et al., 2016). The daily pressure amplitude also decreased from the average amplitude within the highly dusty phase of ~124 Pa to ~103 Pa, close to its nominal values for this season.

The diurnal-average air temperature was apparently constant at ~227 K during the decay phase (Fig. 3). However, this is the result of two independent processes taking place at the same time. On the one hand, REMS data for previous years show the air temperature achieved its maximum values in Gale at  $L_s$  ~210 deg (Martinez et al., 2017 and Fig. 2), just after the start of the decay phase when a warming trend is typical. On the other hand, the presence of dust produced a decrease in the diurnal-average near-surface temperature (a rapid decrease of ~4 K occurred during the onset phase), but this dust was slowly disappearing during the decay phase. Both competing processes acting simultaneously resulted in roughly constant air temperatures during the decay phase. A similar behavior is observed in the ground temperature. Over the decay phase, the diurnal range in both air and ground temperature that abruptly decreased during the onset phase was also gradually restored.

The relative humidity also returned to nominal values (Fig. 3), which were ~8-10 % in previous years for this season (Fig. 2). However, the water vapor mixing ratio was also apparently reduced to ~60 ppm, lower than the values observed during the onset of the GDS (Table 2) and quite close to the levels observed during previous years for this season by REMS (Fig. 2), which significantly contrast to the higher values observed during the current Martian year. This suggests that the pre-storm increase in water vapor abundance in MY34 compared to prior years, which was previously ascribed to the rover's higher position on the slopes of Aeolis Mons, may also have a seasonal component. Thus, changes in transport of water vapor into and out of Gale Crater in different seasons could be the responsible. In addition, differences in the nighttime temperatures between MY34 and previous years may play a role in the observed variability. It would also be possible that the dust deposited on surface during the fall out of the dust storm could contribute to a change in the properties of the near-surface terrain, becoming closer to similar years.

Finally, all UV channels measured by REMS experienced a significant but slower increase in irradiance over the decay phase as a result of the decrease in the dust optical depth. Figure 3f shows irradiances normalized to those values on sol 2070, just prior to the onset phase, and demonstrates that the UV ABC-channel increased its signal from <10% (during the highly dusty phase) to ~60% of the values measured prior to onset. These irradiances are in fact only slightly below typical values for this time of year (see Fig.

2), and given that Mastcam reported typical seasonal values by this point it is likely that the increase in dust deposition on the sensor photodiodes during the storm (Fig. 1) attenuated the UV signal in its aftermath, rather than higher-than-normal atmospheric dust loading continuing to exist.

#### 4. EFFECTS OF THE DUST STORM ON THE DIURNAL CYCLE

This section presents the effect of the GDS on the diurnal cycles of meteorological variables observed by REMS. Fig. 4 shows the diurnal cycle for pressure, air and ground brightness temperature, relative humidity and the normalized irradiance of the UV-ABC channel, both before the GDS (sols 2060-2070) and during its highly dusty phase (sols 2085-2095). Fig. 5 complements Fig. 4 by showing the evolution of the ground-to-air temperature difference and the diurnal pressure modes over the pre- to post-storm period.

#### 4.1 Pressure diurnal cycle

The nominal and highly dusty diurnal pressure cycles are shown in Fig. 4a. The main contributors are the global thermal tides. Tides are driven by the solar cycle and modulated by the presence of water ice clouds (Kleinböhl et al. 2013), topography, and surface albedo and thermal inertia, in addition to dust loading, which largely determines the amplitude of the thermal tide signature (Hess et al., 1977; Leovy and Zurek, 1979; Zurek, 1980; Wilson and Hamilton, 1996). Atmospheric dust is directly connected to atmospheric heating, which produces expansion and motion of air masses, which in turn drives surface pressure changes. The tidal signature on Mars is typically dominated by the diurnal mode in relatively clear conditions and by the semidiurnal mode in highly dusty conditions (Hess et al., 1977; Leovy and Zurek, 1979; Zurek, 1980; Wilson and Hamilton, 1996; Lewis and Barker, 2005; Rafkin et al. 2016, Guzewich et al. 2016). This is due to the longer vertical wavelength of the latter mode, which makes it more responsive to the more vertically-extended heating produced by increased atmospheric absorption of solar radiation (by dust particles) during a major dust storm (Leovy and Zurek, 1979, Zurek, 1980; Lewis and Baker, 2005). Before interpreting the daily pressure mode observed by MSL it should be highlighted that it is not possible to separate the signal of the migrating diurnal thermal tide from the non-migrating nearly resonant Kelvin

wave (Zurek., 1976; Zurek and Leovy, 1981; Guzewich et al., 2016), westward and eastward propagating respectively, resulting in a destructive interference. Also, in Gale crater, non-tidal contributions such as hydrostatic adjustment flows that are a result of day-night contrasts in solar heating and hence background atmospheric temperatures over regions of topography possibly amplify the diurnal amplitude (Richardson and Newman, 2018). As the air column expands during a period of heating, the surface pressure decreases everywhere above some level along a slope, but increases everywhere below that level along the slope, in order to maintain an along-slope hydrostatic balance. This process, which involves transfer of air down the slope, reverses at night when the air columns contract and air must be transferred up the slope to again maintain the along-slope surface pressure distribution in hydrostatic balance.

Fig. 4a shows a clear increase in the daily signal's amplitude during the dust storm, with a far clearer twopeak structure, due to the increase in the semidiurnal pressure mode. Fig. 5 shows the first three pressure modes (diurnal, semidiurnal and terdiurnal) for sols 2060-2170, encompassing the onset, highly dusty, and decay phases of the GDS in Gale Crater. The diurnal pressure amplitude first reacted ~4 sols before the arrival of dust to the crater (sol 2075) and showed considerable variability during the onset phase (~30 - 45 Pa), including rapid increases and decreases of more than 10 Pa in a few sols. However, the diurnal pressure amplitude then steadily decreased over the highly dusty phase, to below pre-storm levels (~30 Pa), before recovering and increasing again during the decay phase of the storm, eventually stabilizing at ~45 Pa after another ~20 sols. By contrast, the semidiurnal pressure tide amplitude increased rapidly throughout the onset and highly dusty phases, exceeding the diurnal amplitude for half of the highly dusty phase and over half of the decay phase. The semidiurnal tide phase showed an increase before the arrival of dust to Gale Crater (sol 2075) and peaked roughly in sol 2080, recovering the nominal values well after the start of the decay phase, with an oscillatory behaviour around the general trend. The diurnal pressure phase, which generally ranged from 4 to 5 h during MY31 to MY33 (see Guzewich et al., 2016 for MY31-MY32), also reacted before sol 2075 and fell to values less than 2 h between sols 2075 and 2080, which was never previously observed over the entire mission, showing also a slow recovering trend.



**Figure 4**: Comparison between the *nominal* diurnal cycle (blue asterisks) just prior to storm onset (sols 2060-2070) and the *highly dusty* diurnal cycle (red dots) within the highly dusty phase of the GDS (sols 2085-2095). The values for very low RH (<3%) are considered unreliable and therefore are not shown (see text). Note that the UV radiance after noon in the nominal case is strongly affected by shadows in all sols; i.e., the direct component of the sunlight is fully or partially blocked by the rover's remote sensing mast during the ~12:00-14:00 period.

Given that the diurnal and semidiurnal tidal modes have different vertical wavelengths, the different times at which their amplitudes peaked is likely related to changes in the regional and global dust distribution as the storm evolved. The diurnal tide amplitude is more dependent on the local, regional and tropical dust abundance, while the semidiurnal mode depends to a greater extent on regional and globally-averaged dust abundance, due to the predominance of the gravest Hough mode in the migrating semidiurnal tide (Chapman and Lindzen, 1970; Guzewich et al., 2016). The transitory amplitude and phase disturbances in the diurnal pressure mode before sol 2075, and during the GDS, could be allocated to the enhancement of the non-migrating Kelvin wave, as a result of longitudinal asymmetries in the atmospheric dust content. A similar behaviour was found during the 1977B dust storm based on tidal analysis of Vikings data (Zurek and Leovy, 1981) and posterior General Circulation Model (GCM) simulations (Wilson and Hamilton, 1996). Also, the deep decrease observed in the diurnal amplitude during the GDS could be partly due to a

reduction of the non-tidal hydrostatic flow contribution. As discussed in Guzewich et al. (2019), the  $\sim$ 50% reduction in diurnal air temperature range during the GDS would reduce this non-tidal contribution by a similar amount, from a contribution of  $\sim$ 15 Pa before the storm to  $\sim$ 8 Pa during it. The evolution of the terdiurnal mode, negligible under *nominal* conditions and usually enhanced around the solstices when hemispheric thermal gradients are greater, contributed significantly to the daily amplitude of pressure in the *highly dusty* phase, and followed the same evolution as the semidiurnal mode. It could be the result of hemispheric differences in atmospheric dust content during the GDS.

Overall, the pressure tides began to respond to the storm well before Mastcam opacity showed any significant increase. However, the peak in semi-diurnal and terdiurnal tide amplitudes - and the dip in diurnal tide amplitude - occurred about 20 sols after the peak in Mastcam opacity. Given that Mastcam optical depth measurements are *local* measurements inside Gale, and that the pressure tides respond to the larger-scale atmospheric dust abundance, the offsets in timing of the pressure tides response and Mastcam opacity suggests different dust abundances inside the crater versus the regional-to-global dust distribution. In fact, THEMIS observations (Smith, 2009) suggest that the optical depth peak for this storm in tropical latitudes was over L<sub>s</sub> ~200°-205° (M. Smith, personal communication), corresponding to MSL sols ~2095-2100, much later than the peak inside Gale Crater (sol 2085, corresponding to  $L_s \sim 195^{\circ}$ ). Similarly, from the Mars Climate Sounder (MCS) (McCleese et al., 2007, Kleinbohl et al., 2017; Kass et al., 2018), the peak of the dust abundance was at L<sub>s</sub> ~201° in the southern tropics (D. Kass, personal communication). This is the same time as the peak for the entire tropics, however the value remained close to the maximum until Ls ~209°. In the southern mid-latitudes, MCS data suggest that the dust column opacity peak was not reached until  $L_s \sim 209^\circ$  (D. Kass, *personal communication*). This is in agreement with the peak in the amplitude of the semidiurnal mode at Gale, inferred from the REMS pressure data, at sol ~2105 ( $L_s$  ~205°). Orbiter data thus seem to confirm that opacities continue to rise in the general tropical atmosphere during the GDS even as they fall inside the crater. This is in contrast to the good correlation between tidal amplitudes and local optical depth measurements observed in nominal atmospheric conditions, which indicate that the atmospheric opacities at Gale Crater are normally largely representative of the global average (Guzewich et al., 2016). Interpreting these results further, including the secondary effects of different dust vertical profiles and optical properties during the storm as well as the influence of non-migrating tides in the observed pressure tides, would require dedicated modelling work.

#### 4.2. Air and ground temperature diurnal cycles

As briefly described in Section 3, the GDS resulted in an overall decrease in the amplitude of the diurnal air and ground temperature cycles: overnight minimum temperatures increase and daytime maximum temperatures decrease, phenomena also observed in Ryan and Henry (1979) and modelled by Wilson and Richardson (2000) during the Vikings GDS(s). Both daily ranges followed closely the temporal evolution of the growth and decay in Mastcam opacity seen at Gale, unlike the pressure tides which seemed more responsive to the global evolution that predated the arrival of the dust at Gale. Maximum ground temperatures decreased, by about 35 K, only when the increase in local opacity (hence decrease in insolation) occurred over Gale during the growth phase of the GDS, while the minimum ground temperatures increased by some 20 K as a consequence of the more opaque and hence warmer atmospheric layers above the rover preventing more nighttime IR radiative cooling of the surface. Near-surface air temperatures responded similarly (though with a smaller amplitude), reflecting stronger than usual thermal radiative coupling between the surface and opaque atmosphere, while the reduction in insolation reaching the surface resulted in the mean ground and near-surface air temperatures decreasing by ~2 K and ~4 K respectively (Table 2).

It is also interesting to note the change in sign of the surface-to-air temperature difference at the coldest and warmest times of sol (shown in Fig. 5). Prior to the storm, the surface cooled faster than the near-surface air after sunset, with upward longwave radiation from the surface exceeding downward longwave radiation from the atmosphere, and the surface became up to 10K colder at night (Fig. 4e) (Martinez et al. 2014). The sensible heat flux was also directed into the surface when the atmosphere was warmer at this time. Midway through the GDS onset phase, however, opacities became sufficiently high that the surface received far

more longwave radiation from the atmospheric layers above it at night than it was emitting itself, and the surface became warmer than the atmosphere again. This means that the usual 'nocturnal inversion layer' was absent near the surface between sols ~2085 and ~2130, and hence the nighttime near-surface atmosphere stability was significantly reduced for more than 40 sols.



**Figure 5**: (top-left): Evolution of the diurnal pressure tide amplitude (blue circles), compared to the semidiurnal (green crosses) and the terdiurnal (red points) tide amplitudes; (bottom-left): as in the top-left, but for the diurnal pressure tide phases; (top-right): difference between minimum surface and air temperatures (i.e., the difference at night) at 1.5 m above the surface; (bottom-right): difference between maximum surface and air temperatures. Moving average values are also shown for a better visualization of the trend. Mastcam opacity is included in every plot (black lines) for comparative purposes. The first vertical line indicates the onset of GDS effects in Gale, the second indicates the start of the *highly-dusty* phase, and the third indicates the start of the decay phase, from the REMS variables perspective.

During the daytime, the pre-storm surface became much warmer than the atmosphere, with little solar radiation being absorbed by the thin, transparent atmosphere and most going into heating the surface, which then heated the atmosphere above it (Fig. 5). However, when opacities increased during the GDS onset phase, the insolation reaching the surface was reduced and more was absorbed by the atmosphere. The surface remained warmer than the near-surface air during the day throughout the GDS, but the daytime

sensible heat flux was reduced due to the decrease in the thermal gradient between the ground and the air, resulting in no convective vortices exceeding 0.5 Pa or dust devils being detected by MSL between sols 2083 and 2107 (Guzewich et al., 2019).

#### 4.3. Relative humidity and atmospheric water abundance diurnal cycles

As noted in Section 3, the relative humidity also experienced great variability between nominal and highly dusty conditions, highly influenced by the air temperatures. This difference is also observed throughout the diurnal cycle. Note that Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 showed respectively the maximum and mean RH and VMR over only the 04:00-06:00 LTST timeslot, as this contains the highest RH measurements and when the inferred VMRs have the highest reliability. By contrast, Fig. 4c and 4d show every RH and inferred VMR over the whole sol. Results for very low RH (<3%) are considered unreliable and therefore are not shown, in accordance to Savijarvi et al. (2015).

For the nominal diurnal cycle, this results in the loss of confidence in the measurements from ~8:00 until ~20:00 LTST, but during the GDS - when higher nighttime temperatures produce lower RH values - measurements from ~20:00 to ~00:00 LTST are also unreliable. The nominal cycles showed very small RH during the daytime, slow growth after sunset, faster growth after midnight, maximum values of almost 40% just prior to sunrise (which occurs at ~6:00 LTST at this time of year), followed by a rapid drop (Savijarvi, 2016). During the highly dusty phase, however, RH values remained below 5% throughout the diurnal cycle, although a small maximum still occurred at the same time as usual. Also, the evening period (19:00 – 00:00 LTST), and particularly the first two hours (19:00 – 21:00 LTST) present RH values larger than expected for this diurnal timeslot (Savijarvi et al., 2016, 2019a), perhaps due to an unresolved sensor issue. We do not show the *nominal* values within the 19:00 – 21:00 LTST diurnal timeslot. Also, the 21:00–00:00 LTST diurnal timeslot should also be considered with care. There are no values within 19:00 – 00:00 LTST for the *highly dusty* phase (RH<3%).

By contrast, the VMR had its maximum at some time during the day and decreased from at least 21:00LTST onwards (at least in the nominal case), reaching its minimum value shortly after sunrise, while

RH was still very high. This is consistent with model analyses of the atmospheric water vapor abundance decreasing as the regolith cools and water is adsorbed into the soil (Savijarvi et al., 2016; 2019a, Steele et al., 2017), with this water then starting to desorb back into the atmosphere when the surface again heats up after sunrise. The overnight atmospheric water abundance during the dusty diurnal cycle seems to be higher than during the nominal cycle, in accordance with the results presented in Table 2. There is some sign that this trend may have reversed a few hours after sunrise - i.e., that there is less water vapor in the highly dusty phase - due to the surface temperature first becoming cooler compared to the nominal case, hence the rate of desorption presumably being slower. Unfortunately, while the trends are likely indicative of what is happening, the large uncertainty in the inferred values of water abundance prevents highly reliable values from being calculated for the mixing ratios.

# 4.4. UV irradiance diurnal cycle

The maximum values for the nominal and dusty diurnal cycles correspond to ~12:00 LTST, corresponding to the smallest solar zenith angles. As stated above, the apparent attenuation observed during the highly dusty phase was 95% (Table 2), although additional dust deposition on the photodiodes (Fig. 1) during the storm may also have slightly affected this value. Fig. 4f shows a diurnal cycle during the nominal and highly dusty phases, but it is important to note that the afternoon UV irradiance during the nominal phase was strongly affected by shadows, with the rover mast blocking direct solar radiation for the rover's orientation on those sols.

The effects of shadows seem to disappear during the GDS. This may be because, while most of the irradiance reaching the surface in the nominal cycle corresponds to the direct component, the strong attenuation during the highly dusty phase ( $\tau > 8$ ) implies an almost complete contribution by scattered sunlight.

#### 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The MY34/2018 global dust storm (GDS) reached Gale in early June 2018 and significantly disturbed the atmospheric parameters measured by MSL REMS. Atmospheric dust increased the Mastcam 880 nm opacity over Gale Crater by more than 8 times in comparison to the typical values for this season, peaking on MSL sol 2085 and largely decaying steadily thereafter. The atmospheric variables reacted at different times to the GDS, with effects first noted in pressure data, particularly in the pressure diurnal tide.

We define a period over which the REMS variables exhibited steady dust storm conditions that were abnormal from climatological conditions ("highly dusty phase", sols 2085 - 2100) and another during which the variables gradually returned to the climatological conditions for this season ("decay phase" sols 2101 -  $\sim$ 2169).

REMS results show that the daily maximum of the UV radiation decreased by 90% over only 10 sols after the dust storm first reached Gale, as a result of the dust absorption and scattering in the UV band. Diurnalaverage air and ground temperatures decreased almost 4 K and 2 K due to the lower radiation reaching the surface. In addition, their diurnal cycles and maxima and minima experienced strong disturbances. The daytime cooling and the nighttime warming resulting from the GDS reduced the diurnal amplitude of nearsurface air temperature by 35K (from 71 to 36K) and of ground temperature by 56K (from 94 to 38K). During the highly dusty period, the ground temperature remained warmer than the near-surface air temperature during the nighttime, and therefore the typical nighttime inversion layer was absent near the surface, due to the greater coupling between the surface and atmosphere in the more opaque conditions. Consequently, the nighttime near-surface atmosphere stability was significantly reduced over a period of more than 40 sols, allowing enhanced convection during the nighttime.

The pressure diurnal cycle showed an unusual frequency domain behavior, with enhanced semidiurnal and terdiurnal tidal amplitudes, and first an increase then a decrease in the diurnal tide, as a result of the different response of atmospheric thermal tidal modes to large-scale changes in the vertical and horizontal dust distribution. There was a delay between both the semidiurnal and terdiurnal peaks of the tidal modes observed by REMS (sol ~2105,  $L_s$  ~205°) and the peak in the dust optical depth observed by Mastcam (sol ~2085,  $L_s$  ~195°), which suggest different dust abundance during the highly dusty phase inside and outside

Gale Crater. Orbital observations made by THEMIS suggest that the optical depth peak for this storm in tropical latitudes corresponds to MSL sol ~2095-2100. In addition, Mars Climate Sounder (MCS) data suggest that the dust column opacity peak is not reached in the southern mid-latitudes until  $L_s$  ~209°, which reinforces this hypothesis.

The maximum of the relative humidity, which occurs right before sunrise, decreased drastically to below 5%, compared with pre-storm mean values of 29%, due to the warmer air temperatures at night. The inferred values for water mixing ratio suggest an increase during the highly dusty phase, peaking at sol 2085 (corresponding to the peak in local dust opacity), and also evolve accordingly to the minimum air and ground temperatures. REMS data suggest that an adsorption-desorption mechanism in the regolith is driving the diurnal cycle of the near-surface atmospheric water vapor abundance and that the GDS reduced its strength due to the decrease in the diurnal range of ground temperature. Also, the enhanced vertical mixing suggested to occur during the GDS' nighttime, could be favoring the increase in nighttime water vapor abundances in the near-surface layer. However, the large uncertainties in the inferred mixing ratios mean that these results should be considered with care.

The MSL REMS measurements are the most detailed *within* a GDS since Vikings in the 1970s and they are also the first measurements acquired in a region with significant topography that plays a strong role in the near-surface environment, which differs to those from Vikings on large plain landing sites.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All data used here are from the NASA's Planetary Data System (PDS). REMS data are obtained from the MOD dataset, except the REMS UV, obtained from the ENV processing level. REMS data, including MOD and ENV levels, are available in the PDS after a 6 months embargo since its acquisition (https://pds.nasa.gov/). This research has been partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competiveness (MINECO), under project ESP2016-79612-C3-1-R. In addition, we wish to express our gratitude to the NASA Mars Science Laboratory for supporting this investigation.

# REFERENCES

Bridger, A. F., & Murphy, J. R. (1998). Mars' surface pressure tides and their behavior during global dust storms. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 103(E4), 8587-8601.

Cantor, B.A. (2007) MOC observations of the 2001 Mars planet-encircling dust storm, Icarus, 186, 60-96.

Chapman, S. & Lindzen, R.S. (1970). Atmospheric Tides. D. Reidel, Norwell, MA.

Fedorova, A., Bertaux, J.-L., Betsis, D., Montmessin, F., Korablev, O., Maltagliati, L. & Clarke, J. (2018), Water vapor in the middle atmosphere of Mars during the 2007 global dust storm, *Icarus*, 300, 440-457

Gómez- Elvira, J., C. Armiens, I. Carrasco, M. Genzer, F. Gómez, R. Haberle, V. E. Hamilton, A. M. Harri, H. Kahanpää, O. Kemppinen, & A. Lepinette (2014), Curiosity's rover environmental monitoring station: Overview of the first 100 sols, *J. Geophys. Res: Planets*, 119(7), 1680-1688.

Gómez-Elvira, J., C. Armiens, L. Castañer, M. Domínguez, M. Genzer, F. Gómez, R. Haberle, A. M. Harri, V. Jiménez, H. Kahanpää, & L. Kowalski (2012), REMS: The environmental sensor suite for the Mars Science Laboratory rover, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 170(1-4), 583-640.

Guzewich, S.D., C.E. Newman, M. de la Torre Juarez, R.J. Wilson, M. Lemmon, M.D. Smith, H. Kahanpää, A.-M. Harri & the REMS Science Team and MSL Science Team (2016), Atmospheric tides in Gale Crater, Mars, *Icarus*, 268, 37-49.

Guzewich, S.D., Toigo, A.D. & Wang, H. (2017), An investigation of dust storms observed with the Mars Color Imager, *Icarus*, 289, 199-213.

Guzewich, S. D. et al. (2019), Mars Science Laboratory Observations of the 2018/Mars Year 34 Global Dust Storm, *Geophys. Res. Lett*, 46(1), 71-79.

Haberle, R. M., J. Gómez-Elvira, M. de la Torre Juárez, A. M. Harri, J. L. Hollingsworth, H. Kahanpää, M. A. Kahre, M. Lemmon, F. J. Martín-Torres, M. Mischna, J. E. Moores, C. Newman, S. C. R. Rafkin, N. Rennó, M. I. Richardson, J. A. Rodríguez-Manfredi, A. R. Vasavada, M. P. Zorzano-Mier & R. M. S. Teams (2014). "Preliminary interpretation of the REMS pressure data from the first 100 sols of the MSL mission." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 119(3): 2013JE004488.

Hassler, D.M., C. Zeitlin, R. F. Wimmer-Schweingruber, B. Ehresmann, S. Rafkin, J. L. Eigenbrode, D. E. Brinza, G. Weigle, S. Böttcher, E. Böhm, & S. Burmeister (2014), Mars' surface radiation environment measured with the Mars Science Laboratory's Curiosity rover, *Science*, 343(6169), p.1244797.

Hess, S. L., Henry, R. M., Leovy, C. B., Ryan, J. A., & Tillman, J. E. (1977). Meteorological results from the surface of Mars: Viking 1 and 2. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 82(28), 4559-4574.

Kass, D., Kleinboehl, A., Shirley, J.H., Schofield, J.T., McCleese, D. & Heavens, N.G. (2018), Overview of the 2018a Global Dust Event from Mars Climate Sounder Observations, presented at the Fall American Geophysical Union Meeting, Washington, DC, December 2018.

Kleinböhl, A., Wilson, R. J., Kass, D., Schofield, J. T., & McCleese, D. J. (2013). The semidiurnal tide in the middle atmosphere of Mars. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(10), 1952-1959.

Kleinböhl, A., Friedson, A & Schodield, J.T. (2017). Two-dimensional radiative transfer for the retrieval of limb emission measuremnts in the martian atmosphere. *J. of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 187, 511-522.

Leovy, C.B., & Zurek, R.W. (1979), Thermal tides and Martian dust storms: Direct evidence for coupling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 2956-2968.

Lemmon, M.T., M.J. Wolff, J.F. Bell III, M.D. Smith, B.A. Cantor, and P.H. Smith (2015), Dust aerosol, clouds, and the atmospheric optical depth record over 5 Mars years of the Mars Exploration Rover mission, *Icarus*, 251, 96-111.

McCleese, D. J., J. T. Schofield, F. W. Taylor, S. B. Calcutt, M. C., Foote, D. M. Kass, C. B. Leovy, D. A. Paige, P. L. Read, & R. W. Zurek (2007), Mars Climate Sounder: An investigation of thermal and water vapor structure, dust and condensate distributions in the atmosphere, and energy balance of the polar regions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, E05S06, doi:10.1029/2006JE002790.

Madeleine, J.-B., Forget, F., Millour, E., Montabone, L. & Wolff, M.J. (2011), Revisiting the radiative impact of dust on Mars using the LMD Global Climate Model, J. *Geophys. Res.*, 116, E11010, DOI: 10.1029/2011JE003855.

Martín-Torres, F. et al. (2015) Transient liquid water and water activity at Gale crater on Mars. *Nature Geoscience*, 8,5:357.

Martínez, G.M. et al. (2017), The Modern Near-Surface Martian Climate: A Review of In-situ Meteorological Data from Viking to Curiosity, *Space Science Reviews*, doi:10.1007/s11214-017-0360-x

McConnochie, T. H., et al. (2018) Retrieval of water vapor column abundance and aerosol properties from ChemCam passive sky spectroscopy. *Icarus* 307: 294-326.

Newman, C.E., S.R. Lewis, P.L. Read, & F. Forget (2002a), Modeling the Martian dust cycle, 1, Representations of dust transport processes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(E12), 5123, doi: 10.1029/2002JE001910.

Newman, C.E., S.R. Lewis, P.L. Read, & F. Forget (2002b), Modeling the Martian dust cycle, 2, Multiannual radiatively active dust transport simulations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(E12), 5124, doi: 10.1029/2002JE001920.

Newman, C.E., Gómez-Elvira, J., Marín, M., Navarro, S., Torres, J., Richardson, M.I., Battalio, J.M., Guzewich, S.D., Sullivan, R., de la Torre-Juárez, M., Vasavada, A.R. & Bridges, N.T. (2017) Winds measured by the Rover Environmental Monitoring Station (REMS) during the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) rover's Bagnold Dunes Campaign and comparison with numerical modeling using MarsWRF. *Icarus*, 291, 203-231.

Pla-Garcia, J., Rafkin, S. C., Kahre, M., Gomez-Elvira, J., Hamilton, V. E., Navarro, S. & Vasavada, A. R. (2016). The meteorology of Gale crater as determined from rover environmental monitoring station observations and numerical modeling. Part I: Comparison of model simulations with observations. *Icarus*, 280, 103-113.

Pollack, J. B., D. S. Colburn, F. M. Flasar, R. Kahn, C. E. Carlston, and D. Pidek (1979). Properties and Effects of Dust Particles Suspended in the Martian Atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 2929-2945.

Rafkin, S. C., Pla-Garcia, J., Kahre, M., Gomez-Elvira, J., Hamilton, V. E., Marín, M. & Vasavada, A. (2016). The meteorology of Gale Crater as determined from Rover Environmental Monitoring Station observations and numerical modeling. Part II: Interpretation. *Icarus*, 280, 114-138.

Richardson, M.I., & Newman, C.E.(2018), On the relationship between surface pressure, terrain elevation, and air temperature. Part I: The large diurnal surface pressure range at Gale Crater, Mars and its origin due to lateral hydrostatic adjustment, *Plan. Space Sci.*, *164*, 132-157.

Ryan, J. A., & Henry, R. M. (1979). Mars atmospheric phenomena during major dust storms, as measured at surface. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84(B6), 2821–2829, doi: 10.1029/JB084iB06p02821.

Ryan, J. A., & Sharmann. (1981). Two Major Dust Storms, One Mars Year Apart: Comparison from Viking Data. J. Geophys. Res., 86, 3247-3254.

Savijarvi, H, Harri, A-M & Kemppinen, O. (2015). Mars Science Laboratory diurnal moisture observations and column simulations. J. Geophys. Res., 120, 1011-1021.

Savijärvi H, et al. (2016), The diurnal water cycle at Curiosity: role of exchange with the regolith, *Icarus* 265, 63-69, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2015.10.00

Savijarvi, H, McConnochie, T.H., Harri, A-M & Paton, M. (2019a). Annual and diurnal water vapor cycles at Curiosity from observations and column modeling. *Icarus*, 319, 485-490.

Savijarvi, H, McConnochie, T.H., Harri, A-M & Paton, M. (2019b). Water vapor mixing ratios and air temperatures for the three martian years from Curiosity. *Icarus*, 326, 170-175.

Smith, M.D. (2009), THEMIS observations of Mars aerosol optical depth from 2002-2008, *Icarus*, 202(2), 444-452.

Smith, M. D., Zorzano, M.P., Lemmon, M., Martín-Torres, J. & Mendaza de Cal, T. (2016) Aerosol optical depth as observed by the Mars Science Laboratory REMS UV photodiodes, *Icarus*, 280, 234-248, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2016.07.012.

Steele, L.J., Balme, M.R., Lewis, S.R. & Spiga, A. (2017) The water cycle and regolith-atmosphere interaction at Gale carter, Mars. *Icarus*, 287, 56-79

Tillman, J. E. (1984), Martian Meteorology and Dust Storms from Viking Observations, PP 333-342, Proceedings of The Case for Mars II conference, Boulder Colo., July 1984, Christopher P. McKay, ed, Vol 62, Science and Technology Series American Astronautical Society, San Diego, Calif 1985)

Tillman, J.E. (1988), Mars Global Atmospheric Oscillations: Annually Syncronized, Transient Normal-Mode Oscillations and the Triggering of Global Dust Storms. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, 9433-9451.

Tyler, D. & Barnes, J. (2013). Mesoscale Modeling of the Circulation in the Gale Crater Region: An Investigation into the Complex Forcing of Convective Boundary Layer Depths. *Mars*, 8.

Vicente-Retortillo, A., et al. (2015), A model to calculate solar radiation fluxes on the Martian surface, *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate*, 5, A33, 2015.

Vicente-Retortillo, Á., Martínez, G.M.. Renno, N.O., Lemmon, M.T. & de la Torre-Juárez, M. (2017), Determination of dust aerosol particle size at Gale Crater using REMS UVS and Mastcam measurements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 3502–3508, doi: 10.1002/2017GL072589.

Vicente-Retortillo, Á., et al. (2018) Seasonal Deposition and Lifting of Dust on Mars as Observed by the Curiosity Rover, *Scientific reports* 8.1: 17576.

Viúdez-Moreiras, D., Gómez-Elvira, J., Martínez, G., Guzewich, S., Newman, C., Pla-García, J., de la Torre, M., Vicente-Retortillo, A., Smith, M.D., Harri, A.-M., Genzer, M., Lemmon, M., Rodriguez-Manfredi, J.A. & Vasavada, A. (2018), Effects of the MY34/2018 Global Dust Storm in the Gale Crater Environment as Measured by REMS, presented at the Fall American Geophysical Union Meeting, Washington, DC, December 2018.

Viúdez-Moreiras, D., Gómez-Elvira, J., Newman, C.E, Navarro, S., Marin, M., Torres, J., de la Torre, M. & the MSL team. (2019a), Gale Surface Wind Characterization based on the Mars Science Laboratory REMS Dataset. Part I: Wind Retrieval and Gale's Wind Speeds and Directions. *Icarus*, 319, 909-925.

Viúdez-Moreiras, D., Gómez-Elvira, J., Newman, C.E, Navarro, S., Marin, M., Torres, J., de la Torre, M. & the MSL team (2019b), Gale Surface Wind Characterization based on the Mars Science Laboratory REMS Dataset. Part II: Wind Probability Distributions. *Icarus*, 319, 645-656.

Wang, H., & Richardson, M.I. (2015), The origin, evolution, and trajectory of large dust storms on Mars during Mars years 24-30 (1999-2011), *Icarus*, 251, 112-127

Wilson, R. J., & Richardson, M.I. (2000). The Martian atmosphere during the Viking mission, I: Infrared measurements of atmospheric temperatures revisited. *Icarus*, 145(2), 555-579.

Wilson, R. J. (2000). Evidence for diurnal period Kelvin waves in the Martian atmosphere from Mars Global Surveyor TES data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27(23): 3889-3892.

Wilson, R. J. & Hamilton, K. P. (1996). Comprehensive model simulation of thermal tides in the Martian atmosphere. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 53: 1290-1326.

Zurek, R.W. (1976). Diurnal tide in the Martian atmosphere. J. of the Atmospheric Sciences, 33, 321-337

Zurek, R. W. (1980). Surface Pressure Response to Elevated Heating Sources: Comparison of earth and Mars, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 37, 1132-1136.

Zurek, R.W & Leovy, C.B. (1981) Thermal tides in the Dusty Martian Atmosphere: A Verification of Theory, *Science*, 213, 437-439

Zurek, R. W. (1982). Martian Great Dust Storms: An Update, Icarus, 50, 288-310

Zurek, R.W. (1988) Free and Forced Modes in the Martian Atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 9452-9462

Zurek, R. W., & Martin, L. J. (1993), Interannual variability of planet - encircling dust storms on Mars, J. *Geophys. Res.*, 98(E2), 3247-3259



2019JE005985-f01-z-.jpg



2019JE005985-f02-z-.jpg





