

Giulia Lane ORCID iD: 0000-0002-6977-5280

## OUTCOMES OF RENAL CALCULI IN PATIENTS WITH SPINAL CORD INJURY

Giulia Lane, M.D.<sup>1\*</sup>, William W. Roberts, M.D.<sup>1</sup> Rachel Mann, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Diana O'Dell, MPH<sup>1</sup>, John T. Stoffel, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, J. Quentin Clemens, M.D., MSCI<sup>1</sup>, Anne P. Cameron, M.D.<sup>1</sup>

Running head: Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury

Keywords: Urolithiasis, spinal cord injury, neurogenic bladder, Endourology, stones

Word Count: 2254/3000 words

Abstract: 246/250 words

References 22/25

### Abstract

**Aims:** Patients with spinal cord injury (SCI) are at risk of developing renal calculi.

This study describes the management of renal calculi among patients with SCI with attention to factors influencing surgical management versus observation.

---

\*Corresponding Author

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, University of Michigan 1500 East Medical Drive, Taubman Center 3875 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 giuliala@med.umich.edu  
Phone: 734-232-4881 Fax: 734-936-9127

<sup>2</sup> Department of Urology, University of Minnesota 420 Delaware Street SE; MMC 394 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/nau.24091](https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.24091).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

## Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury

**Methods:** This retrospective, cohort study identified patients with SCI and renal calculi between 2009-2016 from an institutional neurogenic bladder database and detailed the management of their stones. A stone episode was defined as radiographic evidence of new calculi.

**Results:** Of 205 patients with SCI, 34 had renal stones, for a prevalence of 17%. The mean age was 50 years (range 22,77) and most had cervical SCI (n=22, 65%). There were 41 stone episodes with 98 individual stones identified with mean stone size of 4.9 mm (range 1-19).

Of the 41 episodes, 10 (24%) underwent surgery after initial diagnosis. Pain was the most common primary indication for surgery (n=9, 60%). The median time from diagnosis to intervention for all patients was 4 months (IQR 1,23). Of the 41 episodes, 31 (76%) were initially observed and among these, 5 ultimately required surgery (16%) while 26 (84%) did not. Of these 26, 12 (46%) stones passed spontaneously and 14 (53%) remained unchanged. The need for surgery correlated with more stone episodes (p=0.049).

**Conclusion:** In this cohort of patients with spinal cord injury and small, nonobstructing renal stones, 76% (n=31) met safety parameters and were offered observation. Of these observed patients, 84% (n=26) did not require further intervention at median of 4 years of follow-up.

**Introduction:**

In the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century it was said that stone formation was a great menace to the urinary tract in patients with neurogenic bladder.(1) In fact, between 1944-1969, urogenital disease consisting of infections and renal failure was the most common cause of death among patients with spinal cord injury (SCI), accounting for nearly 1/3 of deaths.(2) Fortunately, the contemporary management of neurogenic bladder has led to improvements in the urogenital care of patients with SCI. Now, urogenital disease accounts for less than 10% of death representing the 4<sup>th</sup> cause of mortality since 1990. (3,4) Recent estimates report that patients who survive the first 3 years after SCI, will have between 48-84% of the average normal life expectancy, depending on the level of their SCI. (4)

The combination of urinary stasis, prolonged immobility leading to resorptive hypercalciuria, and urinary tract bacterial colonization with protease splitting organisms places patients with SCI at increased risk of urinary calculi formation.(5,6) Their risk of stone formation is about 6 times greater than the general population. (7)

The Consortium for Spinal Cord Medicine guidelines for Bladder Management for adults and the European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines on Neurogenic bladder recommend urologic evaluation annually with upper tract imaging every 6-12 months. (8,9) These surveillance renal ultrasounds can lead to finding of asymptomatic calculi. However, there are

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury  
currently no published guidelines regarding the management of incidentally found renal and ureteral (upper tract) calculi in patients with SCI.

A study from our institution of 46 consecutive patients with SCI undergoing ureteroscopy found that 21% of patients suffered a perioperative complication.

(5) Furthermore, achieving stone free status in this population with minimally invasive surgery was challenging, and in this study was reported in only 17% of patients. (5)

This current study aims to describe the management of renal stones among patients with spinal cord injury at a tertiary care center. Specifically, risk factors influencing surgical versus conservative management of calculi will be described.

#### **Methods:**

Institutional review board approval was obtained for this study (HUM 00031859). A prospectively maintained institutional neurogenic bladder database was queried to identify patients with spinal cord injury and history of renal or ureteral calculi from 9/2009 until 12/2016. Demographic data and clinical data pertinent to spinal cord injury, neurogenic bladder and urolithiasis diagnosis were retrieved from the database.

Only patients with neurogenic bladder secondary to spinal cord injury (traumatic or medical) were included. Spinal cord injuries due to spinal tumors and transverse myelitis were included in the population. Other causes of

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury neurogenic bladder, such as multiple sclerosis, myelomeningocele, cerebral palsy, sacral agenesis, pelvic nerve injury, brain injury or systemic neurologic disease were excluded. Furthermore, only renal calculi were included; ureteral calculi and bladder calculi were excluded. A stone episode was defined as radiographic evidence of a new calculi(us) discovered by ultrasound (US) or computed tomography (CT) obtained either for routine screening, incidentally on imaging for other causes or for patient symptoms. In this population, routine upper tract surveillance with renal ultrasound is performed annually. Indications for surgical intervention in this cohort follow the 2018 European Association of Urology and the 2016 American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines.(10,11) These include stone growth, stones in high-risk patients for ongoing formation, obstruction, infection, stones >15mm, and patient preferences. Patients who do not meet these criteria, qualify as meeting the basic safety parameters for observation.

Descriptive statistics were utilized to evaluate the population. For normally distributed data, mean and standard deviations are reported, otherwise medians with interquartile ranges (Q1, Q3) are shown. Subgroups based on demographic or clinical variables were evaluated and compared utilizing inferential statistics. For normally distributed data, T-Test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were utilized to compare continuous variables and Chi Squared test was utilized to compare categorical variables. Fischer's exact test was utilized if there were less than 5 values within a group. For data that was not normally distributed, non-

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury  
parametric tests were utilized. The level of significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . IBM SPSS® Statistics version 25 was utilized for statistical analysis

### Results:

Of 315 patients within the neurogenic bladder database, 205 had spinal cord injury (190 traumatic or medical SCI, 9 transverse myelitis, 6 spinal tumors). Of these, 144 patients had no stones on imaging, 25 patients had no imaging and 36 patients had confirmed stones, 2 patients with ureteral stones were excluded. Of the 34 patients, mean age was 50 years (range 22-77). Patients had sustained their SCI at median age of 26 years old (IQR 16,43). Median length of follow-up since the patient's first stone episode was 48 months (IQR 24,73). The majority of patients were male and Caucasian with cervical spinal cord injury and ASIA A classification. **Table 1** Over half of patients (n=19, 56%) managed their neurogenic bladder with intermittent catheterization, whereas the remaining half were divided between urinary diversion (n=7, 21%) and suprapubic tube (n=6, 19=18%). **Table 1**

A total of 98 stones were identified in these 34 patients with 41 stone episodes and a median of 2.5 stones per episode (IQR 1,4). Most patients had only one stone episode (n=29), several had multiple, including one patient with five episodes. The presence of stones was most commonly diagnosed by computed tomography (CT) (n=19, 46%) and renal ultrasound (n=19, 16%). Imaging was obtained for neurogenic bladder surveillance in 49% (n=20), genitourinary symptoms (renal colic) in 39% (n=16) and non-urologic symptoms

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury in 12% (n=5). As expected, imaging for neurogenic bladder follow-up was more commonly ultrasound than CT (n=14 vs 4, p=0.012). **Table 3**

Out of each of the 98 stones identified, the mean maximum stone diameter was 4.9 mm (range 1-19mm). **Figure 1** Stone location was equally distributed between kidneys (49% right, 51% left), however there was a disproportionate amount of stone burden located at the lower pole (n=60, 61%). Four stones (3 episodes) were located at the ureteropelvic junction.

In the 41 episodes, 4 (10%) patients underwent immediate surgery (within 4 weeks), 6 (15%) underwent early surgery (within 12 months). The most common indication for surgery in these 10 episodes was flank or abdominal pain (n=5) and infection occurred only in 1 patient. The remaining 31 (75%) episodes were initially managed with observation, and of these, 5 (16%) ultimately required intervention at a median of 44 months (IQR 19, 67) after discovery. Reasons for surgery in the 5 episodes for delayed surgery included flank or abdominal pain (n=4) and infection requiring hospitalization (n=1). For the 26 (84%) episodes that continued on observation, 12 (39%) resolved with spontaneous stone passage, while stones in the remaining 14 (45%) episodes were unchanged.

## **Figure 2**

Of the 34 patients, who had a total 41 stone episodes, 14 (41%) patients underwent surgical intervention for renal calculi (one patient had two surgeries for two separate stone episodes). **Figure 2** Of the surgical interventions

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury performed, ureteroscopy was the most common procedure (n=10, 63% of all procedure) followed by percutaneous nephrolithotomy (n=3, 7%).

There was no relationship between the mean number of stones with demographics or bladder management modality. **Supplemental Table 1** Nor was there an association between total number of stones and age at SCI (p=0.88) or age at first intervention for nephrolithiasis (p=0.33). Subgroup analysis to evaluate which patients had risk factors for intervention, revealed that patients undergoing surgery had more stone episodes (p=0.049) than the observation group. However, we did not find that the level of SCI or ASIA classification were associated with whether patients developed a symptomatic stone (p=0.209 and p=0.780, respectively). Furthermore, spontaneous stone passage was not correlated to stone diameter (p=0.26), laterality (p=0.09), or location (p=0.65).

### **Discussion:**

In this cohort, 31 of the 41 renal stone episodes (76%) in patients with spinal cord injury were offered initial observation. These were small (mean 4.9 mm, range 1-19 mm), non-obstructing renal stones on imaging. Of these 31 episodes initially observed, only 5 (16%) went on to require surgical intervention, whereas the remaining 26 (84%) episodes did not require intervention at a median follow-up of 4 years. While the outcomes of surgical interventions for nephrolithiasis in patients with spinal cord injury have been described(5,12–15), to our knowledge no prior study has described the clinical outcomes of observed calculi among patients with SCI. In 2002, Chen described 77 patients with SCI



Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury and renal stones, and within that cohort 60 patients underwent conservative therapy with an 82% stone free rate.(16) However, the rate of failure of conservative therapy (need for surgery) remained unknown. Understanding the natural history of nephrolithiasis in patients with SCI is paramount to informed decision making. This is because patients with spinal cord injury are at increased odds of developing major and minor complications after surgery for nephrolithiasis. Therefore, it is important to discuss observation as an option. (12,17) For example, the rate of complications for ureteroscopy and PCNL in patients with neurogenic bladder ranges from 12-21%.(5,6)The authors proposed that observation of recalcitrant stones in compliant patients could be a reasonable approach in order to decrease morbidity associated with surgical intervention. (5)

Our data suggests that observation of renal stones in patients with spinal cord injury is a reasonable option in motivated patients who are well informed of their stone status and have no symptoms, infection, renal dysfunction and have small stones (mean 4.9 mm). Our data also suggests that only a minority of stones eventually require intervention since many pass spontaneously without symptoms or remain indolent.

Both AUA and EAU guidelines advocate for conservative management in patients with asymptomatic, non-obstructing renal stones. (10,11) In renal stones, the indications for surgical removal include stone growth, stones in high-risk patients for ongoing formation, obstruction, infection, stones >15mm, and

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury patient preferences. (10,11) It is important to note that our findings apply only to patients with small (mean 4.9mm), non-obstructing renal stones, who do not meet indications for surgical removal as defined by these guidelines. For example, in our study, 10 (24%) stone episodes underwent immediate or early surgery since they did not meet these safety parameters. Our practice remains to obtain upper tract surveillance imaging every 12 months with renal ultrasound, and if small, incidental, non-obstructing, renal stones are identified, observation is offered to patients who meet safety criteria.

In this cohort, the majority of stones were small (mean 4.9 mm), lower pole stones (60%). In a retrospective study of 160 renal stones, Dropkin reported that overall the majority of stones (72%) remained asymptomatic over three years of follow-up.(18) The authors also found that lower pole stones were less likely than upper pole stones to become symptomatic. (18)It is important to note that there were three stones that did cause painless, silent obstruction in their cohort. The safety of observation compared to surgical intervention for small renal stones was also studied in a trial of 150 patients randomized to observation, ureteroscopy or shock wave lithotripsy. (19) In this study, 44/50 patients randomized to observation or surgery either passed their stone or the stone remained unchanged. (19) The remaining six (12%) patients required surgical intervention for development of symptoms or stone growth. (19) This compared to the rate of complications in the surgical groups was 6-14%.(19) The

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury  
authors found that the success rates (noneventful ratio) ( $p=0.80$ ) and complication rates ( $p=0.56$ ) among the groups were similar. (19)

The prevalence of renal calculi among patients with spinal cord injury in our neurogenic bladder database was 16.6% (34/205). This rate fits within the range previously published (1984-2007), that determined a 1.3-28% prevalence of nephrolithiasis among patients with spinal cord injury, though some of these studies utilized intravenous pyelogram and renal ultrasound as method of imaging. (7,20,21) Since that time, diagnostic imaging has improved significantly and in our study, 46% of stones were diagnosed by CT, which has been demonstrated to be a more sensitive imaging tool than ultrasound or plain film. (22) In 2000, a study of over 8000 patients with SCI found that 3% of patients suffered from kidney stones with an average of 13 years follow-up after injury. (21) The same study predicted that within 10 years of SCI, 7% of patients would develop a kidney stone. (21) Kidney stone formation appears to be highest within 3 months of SCI (31 cases per 1000 person years) and then reduces to 8 cases per 1000 after 8 years following SCI. (21) In our study, the median time between spinal cord injury and the first stone was 8 years (IQR 3,30), which aligns with this prior literature. (21)

While the data utilized for this current study was kept as a prospectively curated database, the study is limited due to its retrospective nature and small cohort. Only health information available within the neurogenic bladder database and institutional electronic medical record was included. This could have led to

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury  
under-reporting of surgical interventions, if patients sought treatment at outside institutions. In addition, patients with stones identified on renal ultrasound who elected for observation did not routinely receive a confirmatory CT scan.

Therefore, the incidence of stone can be overestimated by false positive renal ultrasounds. As part of this study we did not collect information on adverse events, surgical outcomes nor on stone composition, all of which would be helpful to note when discussing options for management of calculi in patients with spinal cord injury.

### **Conclusions:**

In this cohort of patients with spinal cord injury and small (mean 4.9mm), nonobstructing renal stones 76% (n=31) met safety parameters and were offered observation. Of these observed patients, 84% (n=26) did not require further intervention at a median of 4 years of follow-up. Future prospective studies focused on adverse effects of observation as compared to surgical intervention are necessary.

### **Bibliography**

1. Creevy CD. The management of neurogenic vesical dysfunction. *Am Pract Dig Treat.* 1948 Oct;3(2):71–4.
2. Savic G, DeVivo MJ, Frankel HL, Jamous MA, Soni BM, Charlifue S. Causes of death after traumatic spinal cord injury-a 70-year British study. *Spinal Cord.* 2017 Oct;55(10):891–7.
3. Lane GI, Elliott SP. Safely Avoiding Surgery in Adult Neurogenic Bladder. *Curr Bladder Dysfunct Rep.* 2018 Sep 1;13(3):169–77.
4. Shavelle RM, DeVivo MJ, Brooks JC, Strauss DJ, Paculdo DR. Improvements in

## Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury

- long-term survival after spinal cord injury? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2015 Apr;96(4):645–51.
5. Morhardt DR, Hadj-Moussa M, Chang H, Wolf JS Jr, Roberts WW, Stoffel JT, et al. Outcomes of Ureteroscopic Stone Treatment in Patients With Spinal Cord Injury. *Urology.* 2018 Jun;116:41–6.
  6. Welk B, Fuller A, Razvi H, Denstedt J. Renal stone disease in spinal-cord-injured patients. *J Endourol.* 2012 Aug;26(8):954–9.
  7. Welk B, Shariff S, Ordon M, Catharine Craven B, Herschorn S, Garg AX. The surgical management of upper tract stone disease among spinal cord-injured patients. *Spinal Cord.* 2013 Jun;51(6):457–60.
  8. Professionals S-O. Neuro-urology | Uroweb [Internet]. Uroweb. [cited 2019 Mar 9]. Available from: <https://uroweb.org/guideline/neuro-urology/>
  9. Consortium for Spinal Cord Medicine. Bladder management for adults with spinal cord injury: a clinical practice guideline for health-care providers. *J Spinal Cord Med.* 2006;29(5):527–73.
  10. Professionals S-O. EAU Guidelines: Urolithiasis | Uroweb [Internet]. Uroweb. [cited 2019 Jun 12]. Available from: [https://uroweb.org/guideline/urolithiasis/#3\\_4\\_10](https://uroweb.org/guideline/urolithiasis/#3_4_10)
  11. Kidney Stones: Surgical Management Guideline - American Urological Association [Internet]. [cited 2019 Jun 12]. Available from: <https://www.auanet.org/guidelines/kidney-stones-surgical-management-guideline>
  12. Baldea KG, Blackwell RH, Vedachalam S, Kothari AN, Kuo PC, Gupta GN, et al. Outcomes of percutaneous nephrolithotomy in spinal cord injury patients as compared to a matched cohort. *Urolithiasis.* 2017 Oct;45(5):501–6.
  13. Clifton MM, Gettman MT, Patterson DE, Rangel L, Krambeck AE. The change in upper tract urolithiasis composition, surgical treatments and outcomes of para and quadriplegic patients over time. *Urolithiasis.* 2014 Oct;42(5):415–9.
  14. Wolfe T, Klausner AP, Goetz LL, King AB, Hudson T, Gater DR. Ureteroscopy with laser lithotripsy for urolithiasis in the spinal cord injury population. *Spinal Cord.* 2013 Feb;51(2):156–60.
  15. Ramsey S, McIlhenny C. Evidence-based management of upper tract urolithiasis in the spinal cord-injured patient. *Spinal Cord.* 2011 Sep;49(9):948–54.
  16. Chen Y, DeVivo MJ, Stover SL, Lloyd LK. Recurrent kidney stone: a 25-year follow-up study in persons with spinal cord injury. *Urology.* 2002 Aug;60(2):228–32.

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury

17. Wang H-HS, Wiener JS, Ferrandino MN, Lipkin ME, Routh JC. Complications of surgical management of upper tract calculi in spina bifida patients: analysis of nationwide data. *J Urol*. 2015 Apr;193(4):1270–4.
18. Dropkin BM, Moses RA, Sharma D, Pais VM Jr. The natural history of nonobstructing asymptomatic renal stones managed with active surveillance. *J Urol*. 2015 Apr;193(4):1265–9.
19. Sener NC, Bas O, Sener E, Zengin K, Ozturk U, Altunkol A, et al. Asymptomatic Lower Pole Small Renal Stones: Shock Wave Lithotripsy, Flexible Ureteroscopy, or Observation? A Prospective Randomized Trial [Internet]. Vol. 85, *Urology*. 2015. p. 33–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2014.08.023>
20. Bølling Hansen R, Biering-Sørensen F, Kvist Kristensen J. Urinary calculi following traumatic spinal cord injury. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*. 2007 Jan 1;41(2):115–9.
21. Chen Y, DeVivo MJ, Roseman JM. Current trend and risk factors for kidney stones in persons with spinal cord injury: a longitudinal study. *Spinal Cord*. 2000 Jun;38(6):346–53.
22. Ciaschini MW, Remer EM, Baker ME, Lieber M, Herts BR. Urinary calculi: radiation dose reduction of 50% and 75% at CT—effect on sensitivity. *Radiology*. 2009;251(1):105–11.

Table 1: Patient Characteristics

	Number	
	(n=34)	%
Current Age (mean, range)	50 (22-77)	
Age at SCI (median, IQR)	26 (16,43)	
Gender - Male	26	77%
Race - Caucasian	33	97%
Level of Spinal Cord Injury		
Cervical	22	65%

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury

Thoracic	7	21%
Lumbar	5	15%
ASIA Classification		
A	17	50%
B	3	9%
C	3	9%
D	4	12%
Unknown	7	21%
Comorbidities		
Pulmonary Disease	10	29%
Cardiac Disease	13	38%
Diabetes Mellitus	2	6%
Chronic Kidney Disease	2	6%
Venous Thromboembolism	9	26%
Bladder Management		
Voiding	2	6%
Intermittent Catheterization	19	56%
Suprapubic Tube	6	18%
Urinary Diversion	7	21%

Table 2: Relationship between patient demographics and stone formation

Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury

	Median	IQR	
		Q1	Q3
Age at first stone (years)	47	34	58
Time between SCI and stone (years)	7.5	3	29
Total No. Stones per patient	2.5	1	4
Total Follow-up Time (years)	4	2	6

IQR: Interquartile Range. SCI: Spinal Cord Injury

Table 3: Indications for imaging for each episode (n=41)

	N	%
Neurogenic Bladder Screening	20	49
Genitourinary Symptoms (flank pain, UTI)	16	39
Non-GU symptoms	5	12

Figure 1: Stone diameter and frequency.



## Renal Calculi in Spinal Cord Injury




Figure 2: Flow Chart of Patient Management

