

# A FURTHER GENERALIZATION OF HILBERT'S INEQUALITY

HUGH L. MONTGOMERY AND JEFFREY D. VAALER

§1. *Introduction.* Hilbert's inequality asserts that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{r-s} \right| \leq \pi \sum_r |a_r|^2,$$

for arbitrary complex numbers  $a_r$ . The constant  $\pi$  was first obtained by Schur [5], and is best possible. Following a suggestion of Selberg, Montgomery and Vaughan [4] showed that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\gamma_r - \gamma_s} \right| \leq \pi \delta^{-1} \sum_r |a_r|^2, \tag{1}$$

where the  $\gamma_r$  are distinct real numbers and

$$\delta = \min_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} |\gamma_r - \gamma_s|. \tag{2}$$

Still more generally, they showed also that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\gamma_r - \gamma_s} \right| < \frac{3}{2} \pi \sum_r |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\delta_r = \min_{\substack{s \\ r \neq s}} |\gamma_r - \gamma_s|. \tag{4}$$

This latter inequality is considerably more delicate than (1), and it contains (1) apart from the larger constant. (It remains unknown whether (3) holds with the constant  $\pi$ .) We now formulate a still more general inequality which includes (3) apart from a further imprecision in the constant.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\rho_r = \beta_r + i\gamma_r$  be complex numbers with  $\beta_r \geq 0$ , and let  $\delta_r$  be given by (4). Then*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\rho_r + \bar{\rho}_s} \right| < 84 \sum_r |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Since the possibility that this inequality might hold was proposed by researchers considering the distribution of zeros of  $L$ -functions on the one hand, and those considering questions of metric Diophantine approximation on the other, it may be hoped that this inequality will be of use in a variety of investigations. It is not difficult to construct examples from which it may be seen that the weaker hypotheses  $\beta_r \geq 0$ ,  $|\rho_r - \rho_s| \geq \delta$ , do not imply an inequality of the above sort. On the other hand, Graham and Vaaler have established an inequality intermediate to (1) and (5) in which  $\delta$  is given by (2) and all the  $\beta$ 's are equal but with the best possible constant (see [1], equation (5.11)).

COROLLARY. *Under the above hypotheses, for any  $U > 0$ ,*

$$\int_0^U \left| \sum_{r=1}^R a_r e^{-\rho_r u} \right|^2 du = \sum_{r=1}^R |a_r|^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\beta_r U}}{2\beta_r} + 168\theta \sum_{r=1}^R |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1}$$

for some  $\theta$ ,  $-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

If  $\beta_r > 0$  for all  $r$  then we can let  $U \rightarrow \infty$  in the above.

§2. *Proof of the Theorem.* Let  $\rho'_r = \delta_r + \rho_r$ . We note that

$$\frac{1}{\rho_r + \bar{\rho}_s} - \frac{1}{\rho'_r + \bar{\rho}'_s} = (\delta_r + \delta_s)(\rho_r + \bar{\rho}_s)^{-1}(\rho'_r + \bar{\rho}'_s)^{-1}.$$

Since  $|\rho_r + \bar{\rho}_s| \geq |\gamma_r - \gamma_s|$  and  $|\rho'_r + \bar{\rho}'_s| \geq |\gamma_r - \gamma_s|$ , it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\rho_r + \bar{\rho}_s} - \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\rho'_r + \bar{\rho}'_s} \right| \leq \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} (\delta_r + \delta_s) \frac{|a_r \bar{a}_s|}{(\gamma_r - \gamma_s)^2}.$$

However, Montgomery and Vaughan [4] have shown (see the estimate of  $T_6$  on pp. 80–81) that the expression on the right above is at most

$$17 \sum_r |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Here the constant 17 is not optimal, and it would be interesting to know what the best constant is. By taking  $\gamma_r = r$ ,  $a_r = 1$  for all  $r$ , it is evident that the best constant is at least as large as  $2\pi^2/3$ .

In view of (6), it is enough to show that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\rho'_r + \bar{\rho}'_s} \right| \leq 67 \sum_r |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1}.$$

To simplify notation, from this point on we write  $\rho_r$  for  $\rho'_r$ , and assume that  $\beta_r \geq \delta_r$ . Clearly

$$\sum_{r,s} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\rho_r + \bar{\rho}_s} = \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{r=1}^R a_r e^{-\rho_r u} \right|^2 du. \quad (7)$$

Here the right-hand side is non-negative, and the terms  $r = s$  on the left contribute an amount  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_r |a_r|^2 \beta_r^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_r |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1}$ . Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{r,s \\ r \neq s}} \frac{a_r \bar{a}_s}{\rho_r + \bar{\rho}_s} \geq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_r |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1},$$

and to complete the proof it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{r=1}^R a_r e^{-\rho_r u} \right|^2 du \leq 67 \sum_r |a_r|^2 \delta_r^{-1}.$$

By the basic duality principle, as expressed for example by taking  $p = q = 2$  in Theorem 286 of Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [2], the above is equivalent to the assertion that

$$\sum_{r=1}^R \delta_r \left| \int_0^\infty f(u) e^{-\rho_r u} du \right|^2 \leq 67 \int_0^\infty |f(u)|^2 du \tag{8}$$

for all  $f \in L^2_{[0, \infty)}$ . Write  $s = \sigma + it$ , and for  $\sigma > 0$  put

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty f(u) e^{-su} du.$$

This function is analytic for  $\sigma > 0$ , and is in the Hardy class  $H^2$  on the half-plane  $\sigma \geq 0$ . From the basic properties of such functions, as discussed in Chapter 8 of Hoffman [3], for example, we know that  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} F(s)$  exists for almost all  $t$ ; we call its value  $F(it)$ . Moreover,  $F(it) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |F(it)|^2 dt = 2\pi \int_0^\infty |f(u)|^2 du. \tag{9}$$

For  $\sigma > 0$  we may express  $F(s)$  in terms of  $F(it)$  by means of the Poisson kernel:

$$F(s) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{F(iv)}{\sigma^2 + (v-t)^2} dv. \tag{10}$$

Let

$$\theta(x) = \sup_{\xi \neq x} \frac{1}{\xi - x} \int_x^\xi |F(iv)| dv$$

be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function of  $F(iv)$ . On integrating by parts in (10) we find that

$$|F(s)| \leq \frac{2\sigma}{\pi} \theta(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|v-x||v-t|}{(\sigma^2 + (v-t)^2)^2} dv.$$

As  $|v-x||v-t| \leq |x-t||v-t| + (v-t)^2$ , we find that the above is at most

$$\theta(x) \left( \frac{2|t-x|}{\pi\sigma} + 1 \right).$$

In this relation we take  $s = \rho_r$ , divide both sides by the expression in parentheses, square both sides, and integrate with respect to  $x$ ,  $\gamma_r - \delta_r/2 \leq x \leq \gamma_r + \delta_r/2$ . This gives

$$\delta_r |F(\rho_r)|^2 \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\delta_r}{\pi\beta_r} \right) \int_{\gamma_r - \delta_r/2}^{\gamma_r + \delta_r/2} |\theta(x)|^2 dx.$$

Here  $\beta_r \geq \delta_r$  and the intervals of integration are disjoint for distinct  $r$ . Hence it follows that the left-hand side of (8) is

$$\sum_{r=1}^R \delta_r |F(\rho_r)|^2 \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(x)^2 dx.$$

By the Hardy–Littlewood inequality (see p. 33 of Zygmund [6]), this latter integral is less than or equal to  $8 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(it)|^2 dt$ . Hence by (9) we see that (8) holds with constant  $16\pi(1 + 1/\pi) = 66.265 \dots < 67$ . This completes the proof.

To derive the Corollary it suffices to square out, integrate term-by-term, and apply the Theorem twice.

*Acknowledgement.* The research of the authors was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-9107605 and DMS-96-22556.

### References

1. S. W. Graham and J. D. Vaaler. A class of extremal functions for the Fourier Transform. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 265 (1981), 283–302.
2. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya. *Inequalities* (CUP, 1967).
3. K. Hoffman. *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions* (Prentice-Hall, 1962).
4. H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. Hilbert's Inequality. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), 8 (1974), 73–82.

5. I. Schur. Bemerkungen zur Theorie der beschränkten Bilinearformen mit unendlich vielen Veränderlichen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 140 (1911), 1-28.
6. A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric Series* Vols. I, II (CUP, 1968).

Professor Hugh L. Montgomery,  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Michigan,  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1109,  
U.S.A.

26D20: *REAL FUNCTIONS; Inequalities;  
Other analytic inequalities.*

Professor Jeffrey D. Vaaler,  
Department of Mathematics,  
The University of Texas,  
Austin, TX 78712  
U.S.A.

*Received on the 17th April, 1998.*