Misbehaving high energy electrons: Evidence in support of ubiquitous wave-particle interactions on dayside Martian closed crustal magnetic fields

Alexander Shane¹, Michael Liemohn¹, Corinne Florie¹, and Shaosui Xu²

¹Department of Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA ²Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Key Points:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Low energy photoelectrons on the Martian dayside have pitch angle distributions consistent with conservation of adiabatic invariants.
- High energy electrons on the Martian dayside have a flux peak at perpendicular pitch angles, indicating a ubiquitous energization process.
- Wave-particle interactions are the most likely candidate to produce such distributions for high energy electrons.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/2019GL084919

Corresponding author: Alexander Shane, adshane@umich.edu

15 Abstract

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

Multiple studies have reported either isotropic or trapped pitch angle distributions of high energy (> 100 eV) electrons on closed crustal field lines on the dayside of Mars. These pitch angle distributions are not to be expected from collisional scattering and conservation of adiabatic invariants alone. We use two years of data from the Mars Atmosphere and Volatile EvolutioN (MAVEN) mission to analyze the pitch angle distributions of superthermal electrons on dayside closed crustal magnetic fields and compare to results from an electron transport model. Low energy electrons (10-60 eV) have pitch angle distributions in agreement with modeling results, while high energy electrons (100-500 eV) do not. High energy electrons have a flux peak at perpendicular pitch angles which suggests there is a ubiquitous energization process occurring on crustal fields. Waveparticle interactions seem to be the most likely candidate. Trapping of high energy electrons may impact the nightside ionosphere dynamics.

Plain Language Summary

Superthermal electrons are electrons with energies between 1-1000 eV and can be produced from ionizing a neutral atmospheric molecule (photoelectron). These electrons are efficient at shifting energy around in space environments due to their high speeds and their ability to interact with the more ubiquitous lower energy (thermal) plasma. Past studies have investigated the distribution of photoelectrons on the crustal magnetic fields of Mars and they do not always agree with past modeling results and a basic understanding of electron transport. In this study, we use data from the Mars Atmosphere and Volatile EvolutioN (MAVEN) mission in order to understand the distribution of these electrons throughout the Mars space environment, previously impossible due to spacecraft orbits. We find that the lower energy electrons (10-60 eV) behave as expected but the higher energy electrons (100-500 eV) do not. We find that the type of distribution statistically seen by MAVEN for these high energy electrons suggests that a ubiquitous energization process is occurring on the dayside crustal magnetic fields of Mars. We consider multiple physical processes capable of producing such observed distributions and conclude that wave-particle interactions are the most likely candidate.

1 Introduction/Motivation

Superthermal electrons (1-1000 eV) are an important population of particles common throughout the solar system. They are excellent at shifting energy from one place to another in space environments through interactions with the bulk thermal plasma. Photoelectrons are one population of superthermal electrons, produced through ionization of neutral particles, and have been studied extensively at Earth, Mars, Venus and even moons such as Titan (see Coates et al. (2011) for a review). Photoelectrons have a distinct energy spectrum that allows them to be readily identified in the data. One characteristic of the photoelectron energy spectrum include flux peaks in the 20-30 eV range determined by the dominant atmospheric neutrals. At Mars, the primary peaks occur at 22.29 eV (O) and at 22.69 and 27.02 eV (CO₂). Other unique features of the photoelectron energy spectrum at Mars include the "photoelectron knee" at ~ 60 eV as a result in a drop in ionizing solar radiation (a characteristic shared by photoelectrons at other solar system bodies as well), a flux peak due to carbon Auger electrons at ~ 250 eV visible in measurements during times of intense photoelectron fluxes (Xu et al., 2018), and another flux peak at 500 eV due to oxygen Auger electrons. These distinct spectral features have allowed studies of the Mars space environment such as Xu et al. (2014), Shane et al. (2016), and Xu et al. (2017) to determine whether a measurement is observing photoelectrons or solar wind electrons.

Pitch angle distributions (PADs) (Brain et al., 2007; Weber et al., 2017) and energy spectra (Frahm, Winningham, et al., 2006; Liemohn et al., 2006, 2006; Frahm, Sharber, et al., 2006) of superthermal electrons can be used to infer magnetic topology. More recently, Xu et al. (2017, 2019) have utilized both to more accurately determine the magnetic topology in the Mars space environment. Liemohn et al. (2003) used a superthermal electron transport model (Khazanov et al., 1993; Khazanov & Liemohn, 1995; Xu & Liemohn, 2015) to perform data-model comparisons with Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) observations, and at low energies (< 100 eV), the model-calculated PADs agreed with MGS results. However, MGS measured isotropic PADs for electrons with > 100 eV, while the model calculated a source cone PAD at these energies. This was a case study comparison, and it demonstrated that the model is missing a physical process that produced the observed MGS measurement. Brain et al. (2007) performed a statistical study of 115 eV PADs with MGS data and found that on the dayside, isotropic and trapped (2-sided loss cone) distributions are common on closed crustal field lines, in agreement with the case study of Liemohn et al. (2003). It should be noted that Brain et al. (2007) was using pitch angle distributions to classify magnetic topology, however a source cone distribution was not among the common distributions used to do so. In fact they reported that only 2.8% of the pitch angle distributions they used on the dayside had a 2-sided source cone. These studies suggest that there are unstudied physical processes producing isotropic and trapped distributions on closed crustal field lines, as conservation of adiabatic invariants and collisional scattering predicts a source cone distribution. More recently Soobiah et al. (2014) observed field aligned low energy electrons and trapped high energy electrons inside of an ionospheric flux rope and during times of radial field lines, with low energy pitch angle distributions that indicate they are open. The electron impact ionization cross sections for the primary upper atmosphere neutral species, CO₂ and O, peak around 100 eV (Thompson et al., 1995; Itikawa, 2002). Therefore, any pitch angle scattering or energy diffusion affecting electrons at these energies will affect the ionosphere below.

The work done by Liemohn et al. (2003) revealed the need to study the pitch angle distributions of superthermal electrons on closed field lines at Mars. Brain et al. (2007) furthered this need by reporting the dominant PAD on dayside closed crustal fields for electrons with 115 eV were isotropic and trapped distributions. However, the Brain et al. (2007) study used MGS data which was locked in an orbit at roughly 400 km and 2am/pm local time. This study takes advantage of the precessing elliptical orbit of the Mars Atmosphere and Volatile EvolutioN (MAVEN) mission (Jakosky et al., 2015) which allows sampling of the different regions of the Martian space environment in order to better understand the physics that control the superthermal electron PADs on dayside closed crustal field lines.

2 Data & Filtering

Over two years of data collected from the Solar Wind Electron Analyzer (SWEA) (Mitchell et al., 2016) and Magnetometer (MAG) (Connerney et al., 2015) spanning the time range 01 December 2014 - 30 December 2016 are used in this study to obtain a clearer picture of the behavior of photoelectrons on dayside closed crustal field lines. Each pitch angle distribution (PAD) is mapped onto a common pitch angle grid of 18 bins each with bin width of 10°. We limit ourselves to energies below 500 eV as there are few photoelectrons with energies greater than this.

¹⁰⁹ Modified pitch angles (Xu et al., 2014; Shane et al., 2016) are used to provide more ¹¹⁰ information about the direction that the electrons are traveling, specifically if the elec-¹¹¹ trons are directed toward or away from the planet. If the magnetic elevation angle is greater ¹¹² than zero, the pitch angles are flipped (i.e. modified pitch angle = 180°- pitch angle, if ¹¹³ $B_{elev} > 0$). Electrons with modified pitch angles of 0°-90°have some guiding-center ve-

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76 77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

locity component in the direction of the planet, and electrons with modified pitch an gles of 90°-180°have some guiding center velocity component directed away from the planet.

In order to ensure that the measurements being used are observing electrons on dayside closed field lines and not other field line topographies, multiple filtering criteria are enforced. First, a lower altitude limit is set to 200 km to only include measurements above the photoelectron exobase where the electrons are magnetized (Xu et al., 2016). Secondly, the solar zenith angle is required to be less than 90° to include only dayside observations. We also require that the shape parameter (Xu et al., 2017) in both source cones is required to be less than one. This criterion is used to filter for closed crustal magnetic fields by examining the low energy (20-80 eV) and field aligned (0-30, 150-180 pitch angle) electron energy spectrum. No information of higher energy electrons and those with perpendicular pitch angles is used. However, this only indicates that the "ends" of the magnetic field lie below the superthermal electron exobase. While this is true for all closed crustal field structures, draped field lines deeply embedded in the ionosphere may also share this characteristic. Therefore a fourth criteria is set that the magnetic field magnitude must be greater than 20 nT to attempt to avoid this scenario. This is by no means a perfect filter, but a higher threshold would start to exclude crustal fields. Lastly, to avoid spacecraft potential issues, a filter is set to only include data when the spacecraft potential (calculated using publicly available MAVEN software) is between -1V and +3V. After filtering, the dataset includes $\sim 296,000$ PAD observations each with accompanying magnetic field and ephemeris information.

3 Expected Distribution with only Collisional Scattering - Model Results

A superthermal electron transport model (STET) (Khazanov et al., 1993; Khazanov & Liemohn, 1995; Liemohn et al., 2003; Xu & Liemohn, 2015) is utilized to demonstrate the expected dayside superthermal photoelectron distribution on a closed dipolelike symmetric crustal field line with collisions as the only scattering process. The types of collisions included in the model are collisions with thermal electrons and ions, elastic collisions with neutrals, inelastic excitation scattering with neutrals, and inelastic ionization scattering with neutrals. Figure 1 shows the PADs of superthermal electrons at multiple locations along a field line at 45° solar zenith angle: (1a): near the superthermal electron exobase, (1b): a position well above the exobase, and (1c): at the top of the field line. Below and near the exobase, collisions dominate and electrons are isotropic in pitch angle regardless of energy (1a). Above the exobase, the electrons are magnetized and collisions play a reducing role as the altitude increases. Collision frequencies (Coulomb and elastic collisions with neutrals) are proportional to E^{-2} where E is the energy of the electron, and this effect can be seen in 1b and more noticeably in 1c. It is easier to see in 1c because the more pronounced source cone allows any scattering into the trapped region to be more noticeable. As an electron travels up the field line, the magnetic field strength decreases. In order to conserve the first adiabatic invariant, the pitch angle of the electron becomes more field aligned producing the anisotropy seen in 1b and 1c. Figure 1d plots the normalized pitch angle distribution for photoelectrons with E = 50 eVat each altitude (vertical slices at 50 eV through each plot). The source cone is more pronounced as altitude increases, i.e. the ratio of field aligned flux to perpendicular flux increases with altitude. This is due to the combined effects of adiabatic invariant conservation and the insignificance of collisions at high altitudes. Different energy electrons will have the same trend in altitude, and higher energy electrons will experience more anisotropy as collisional effects are less important. The y-axis scale used here in Fig 1d is chosen to match the rest of this paper for easier comparison. The normalized flux value at pitch angle = 90° and at altitude = 500 km drops to 0.0025.

Note that these PADs will change with solar conditions, atmospheric densities, and/or magnetic field configurations. The specific magnetic field strengths and background ther-

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

mal electron densities used are given above each subplot. Different solar conditions and atmospheric densities will move the location of the exobase, affecting where collisions play an important role in controlling the PADs of photoelectrons. Altering the magnetic field configuration may have multiple effects. The ratio of B_{local} and $B_{exobase}$ determines the size of the source cone at any given location along the field line. A field line that is longer horizontally will force electrons to travel longer distances through high density parts of the atmosphere allowing for more collisions. However, none of these changes will affect the trends in altitude or energy described above. Only the magnitude of the fluxes will be changed and the degree to which the PADs evolve in altitude/energy. We will use this representative example as a baseline and any deviation seen in the data implies missing physics in the model, and a lack of understanding of the Martian space environment.

4 Statistical Results

4.1 Energy Dependence

Figure 2 shows the average normalized pitch angle distribution over the two year filtered dataset for low energies (10-60 eV, 2a), and high energies (100-500 eV, 2b). Each curve in Figure 2 is normalized by the average flux in that energy channel over the two year period. Both measurement and statistical errors are accounted for, but due to the large sample size, these errors are small and within the circle markers. The dichotomy between low and high energies is evident from the figure. Low energy photoelectrons, on average, have a source cone distribution. From Section 3, this is what we would expect on a closed crustal field line with only collisional scattering. STET predicts that high energy photoelectrons should have a more pronounced source cone distribution. Instead, the high energy photoelectrons measured by MAVEN have a peak in flux at perpendicular pitch angles. Previously, Liemohn et al. (2003) suggested an energy dependent pitch angle scattering process is responsible for producing the isotropic high energy distributions seen by MGS. These results indicate otherwise, as pitch angle scattering processes will isotropize the distribution, not produce a peak at perpendicular pitch angles. One method of forming this distribution is on the nightside of Mars as the crustal field foot points are no longer sunlit and therefore the source of electrons has been removed (loss cone distribution). The photoelectrons at field aligned pitch angles are lost first through energy transfer with the thermal population and only the trapped particles remain (Shane et al., 2016). However, we have filtered for dayside observations where the source cone is filled with photoelectrons. Therefore, there must be an energization process occurring ubiquitously in the Martian ionosphere/magnetosphere in order to produce the average distribution observed for high energy photoelectrons. Another interesting feature to note is the asymmetry about 90° pitch angle. There are more high energy electrons with a velocity component toward the planet than away from it.

4.2 Altitude Dependence

Figure 3 shows how the normalized energy-averaged pitch angle distributions change with altitude for low (3a) and high energy (3b) photoelectrons. Flux measurements in each energy channel are normalized by the average flux for that energy for all measurements in each altitude range. The energy channels are then averaged together to produce the curves shown. Low energy photoelectrons at altitudes just above the exobase (200 - 300 km) are close to being isotropic. As the altitude increases, the average pitch angle distribution of low energy photoelectrons changes from isotropic to a source cone distribution. This source cone distribution becomes more distinct the higher the altitude, in agreement with the results from Section 3. High energy photoelectrons are also close to being isotropic at low altitudes. However, there is an asymmetry about 90° with more high energy electrons that have a velocity component toward the planet. At higher altitudes, the pitch angle distribution of high energy photoelectrons becomes perpendic-

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

ularly peaked, while the ratio of perpendicular flux to field aligned flux gets larger. Additionally, the asymmetry about 90° pitch angle also gets bigger the higher the altitude.
Of course, these statistical results combine measurements from many magnetic field lines
and atmospheric profiles so a binning by magnetic elevation angle was also performed
(results not shown) and the perpendicular peak exists on both horizontal and vertical
field lines. The peak is narrower and more pronounced on vertical field lines, however,
this can be explained by adiabatic motion.

5 Example PAD

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

Figure 4 plots an example MAVEN observation from 2015-05-24. These measurements took place on the dawn side of the planet (7 local time, 77° solar zenith angle) and over the strong crustal magnetic fields in the southern hemisphere (50°S, 150°E). Figure 4a, 4b, and 4c plot time series of the electric field wave power measured by the Langmuir Probes and Waves (LPW) instrument (Andersson et al., 2015) in passive mode, the normalized high energy electron pitch angle distribution, and the normalized low energy electron pitch angle distribution, and the normalized low energy electron pitch angle distribution, respectively, over a ~ 3 minute period. Note that 4b and 4c have different color bar scales. The shape parameter is less than 1 in all directions (including trapped electrons) for this time period (photoelectrons dominate the low energy distribution, see Xu et al. (2017) for details), indicating that these measurements all took place on closed crustal field structures.

As altitude increases (right to left of Figures 4a, b, and c), the low energy electron source cone becomes deeper, in agreement with the general trend of modeling results and the statistical averages, from 04:07:50 - 04:06:45. The artificial diagonal line seen on top of this natural source cone is due to sunlight contamination. The high energy electrons have more noise than the lower energies and are isotropic. During this time period, the magnetic elevation angle rotates from horizontal ($\sim 20^{\circ}$ at 04:07:50) to near-vertical ($\sim 80^{\circ}$ at 04:06:45). Around 04:06:45, the low energy electrons form a loss cone distribution, with more flux away from the planet than toward. The low energy electrons then transition into a slightly asymmetric source cone with more flux toward the planet than away from 04:06:30 - 04:06:40. At the same time, the high energy electrons have an intense flux increase at perpendicular pitch angles. The full energy-pitch angle distribution at 04:06:33 (indicated by the dashed white line) is shown in Figure 4d, and the normalized energy averaged PAD is displayed in Figure 4e (vertical slices through 4b.c). The exact values do not match as different normalization factors are used between 4b,c and 4e. Throughout these observations, LPW measured wave activity in the 2 - 30 Hz range. These frequencies lie between the local ion (< 0.1 Hz) and electron gyrofrequency (> 1000 Hz) for this time period. At higher altitudes (> 405 km), the high energy electrons again are isotropic, the low energy electrons have a source cone distribution, and the magnetic elevation angle rotates from vertical $\sim 80^{\circ}$ at 04:06:45) to horizontal ($\sim 20^{\circ}$ at 04:05:10).

Coinciding with the acute flux increase of perpendicular high energy electrons is a burst of electric field wave activity measured by LPW. Examining the magnetic field reveals many fluctuations across this time period, however no distinct frequency stands out when an FFT is performed. More analysis should be done on this time period to investigate the physics, but this is beyond the scope of this study and is left for future work. The purpose of this example is to demonstrate that the 2-year statistical averages of low and high energy PADs can be observed in any given observation.

²⁶¹ 6 Discussion & Conclusion

One process that could form the distributions observed for high energy photoelectrons is magnetic pumping (Borovsky, 1986). Magnetic pumping is the result of two different waves effects on a particle population. A compressional magnetosonic wave will compress and relax the plasma due to ExB drift provided the frequency of the wave is small such that the first adiabatic invariant is conserved. If another wave (in cyclotron resonance with the particles) is also present and is actively pitch angle scattering the particle population, then the compression/relaxation cycle of the particles is interrupted and particles may gain energy from the compressional wave. At Mars, the compressional waves could come from pressure variations in the solar wind, causing the crustal fields to compress and relax. Weber et al. (2019) recently observed that during periods of high solar wind pressure, statistically, closed fields are seen less often and draped fields are seen more often than during periods of low solar wind pressure. Due to the locality of the crustal fields, a local time effect is expected to be observed if magnetic pumping is the dominant process. A crustal field recently rotated onto the dayside will have experienced no pumping during night and no perpendicular peak should exist. In contrast, a crustal field on the dusk side will have experienced magnetic pumping throughout the day and the effects should be maximized. No local time effect can be seen in the data (Figure S1), and therefore we do not expect this to be the dominant process affecting the high energy electron pitch angle distributions.

Another process that could produce the observed distributions of high energy photoelectrons on dayside closed crustal field lines is adiabatic heating due to cross field drifts. On closed field lines, the gradient-curvature drift will be azimuthal, not radial, and would therefore not typically move electrons into regions of higher field strength. Provided the motional electric field and crustal field lines are in the correct orientation, solar wind electrons can ExB drift across field lines and onto a closed crustal field structure. However, Figures 2 and 3 are two year average distributions, and the angle between any individual crustal field line and the motional electric field is not constant enough for ExB drift to be the dominant process to form the flux peak at perpendicular pitch angles. Although a magnetosheath or external source of electrons can explain both the altitude dependence and the asymmetry in fluxes with respect to the planet, it seems unlikely this is the dominant mechanism due to two issues. First, there is a lack of a supply mechanism to the closed crustal field line, though wave-particle interactions in the sheath may scatter particles onto closed field lines. Secondly, the pitch angle distributions of high energy electrons on deep closed field lines ($|B| > 50nT, B_{elev} < 45^{\circ}$, and altitude > 400 km to minimize collisional effects) still exhibit a perpendicular peak. Furthermore, whatever mechanism would be supplying external electrons would need to be local time independent as the perpendicular peak is seen immediately on the dawn side.

The most likely process that is producing the observed statistical distributions are wave-particle interactions. Whistler mode waves are an example of an energy dependent scattering mechanism and have recently been observed at Mars (Harada et al., 2016; Fowler et al., 2018). These right-handed circularly polarized waves occur between the local ion and electron gyrofrequency and will interact with different populations of electrons in energy-pitch angle space depending on the background plasma conditions (thermal electron density and magnetic field magnitude) and on the wave parameters (wave frequency and wave normal angle distributions). These waves can both pitch angle scatter and energy diffuse and are a good candidate process for producing the observed distributions. While pitch angle scattering may be occuring, the dominant process seems to be energization of locally gyrating low energy electrons up to 100's of eV. Whistler waves are capable of preferentially energizing these electrons. The example shown in Section 5 supports this explanation with both electric and magnetic variations observed between the local ion and electron gyrofrequency, however it is by no means conclusive. Whistler mode waves are generated when a temperature anisotropy occurs $(T_{e\perp} > T_{e\parallel})$. From a single spacecraft pass, it is difficult to determine the location of wave generation, direction of propagation, and region of interaction. For example, the increase in perpendicular flux may have generated whistler waves instead of being the result of them, as was observed by Fowler et al. (2018).

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

More work needs to be done in investigating the pitch angle distributions of superthermal electrons on dayside closed crustal field lines at Mars. Statistical averages from MAVEN measurements show that low energy electrons behave as if collisional scattering and conservation of the first adiabatic invariant are the only processes that control their distribution. High energy electrons on the other hand have an average distribution that cannot be explained by these two processes alone. A flux peak at perpendicular pitch angles indicates that a ubiquitous energization process is occurring on dayside closed crustal field lines with wave-particle interactions being the most likely candidate. It is difficult at the moment to explain the asymmetry with respect to the planet with wave-particle interactions. An external source of electrons can explain this, however this explanation suffers due to the lack of a supply mechanism. The modification of electron PADs at these high energies is of direct importance to the energy budget in the Martian space environment. With ionization cross sections peaking at these energies for the main neutral species, this energization process may affect the ionosphere below. However, the energized electrons are outside the loss cone and low energy electrons may still dominate the electron impact ionization due to higher fluxes. This will be especially important on the nightside as trapped electrons on closed field lines live longer (Shane et al., 2016) and will be able to deposit their energy deeper into the nightside ionosphere. Furthermore, these results show that there are unstudied physical processes occurring in the Martian space environment on dayside closed crustal field lines.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) grant NNX16AQ04G to the University of Michigan. The MAVEN project is funded by NASA through the Mars Exploration Program. All MAVEN data can be accessed through the Planetary Data System (https://pds.nasa.gov/).

References

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

Andersson, L., Ergun, R. E., Delory, G. T., Eriksson, A., Westfall, J., Reed, H.,
Meyers, D. (2015). The Langmuir Probe and Waves (LPW) Instru-
ment for MAVEN. Space Science Reviews, 195(1), 173–198. doi: 10.1007/
s11214-015-0194-3

- Borovsky, J. E. (1986). Magnetic pumping by magnetosonic waves in the presence of noncompressive electromagnetic fluctuations. *The Physics of Fluids*, 29(10), 3245–3260. doi: 10.1063/1.865842
- Brain, D. A., Lillis, R. J., Mitchell, D. L., Halekas, J. S., & Lin, R. P. (2007). Electron pitch angle distributions as indicators of magnetic field topology near Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 112(A9). doi: 10.1029/2007JA012435
- Coates, A. J., Tsang, S. M. E., Wellbrock, A., Frahm, R. A., Winningham, J. D., Barabash, S., ... Crary, F. J. (2011). Ionospheric photoelectrons: Comparing Venus, Earth, Mars and Titan. *Planetary and Space Science*, 59(10), 1019– 1027. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2010.07.016
- Connerney, J. E. P., Espley, J., Lawton, P., Murphy, S., Odom, J., Oliversen, R., & Sheppard, D. (2015). The MAVEN Magnetic Field Investigation. Space Science Reviews, 195(1), 257–291. doi: 10.1007/s11214-015-0169-4
- Fowler, C. M., Andersson, L., Ergun, R. E., Harada, Y., Hara, T., Collinson, G., ... Jakosky, B. M. (2018). MAVEN Observations of Solar Wind-Driven Magnetosonic Waves Heating the Martian Dayside Ionosphere. *Journal of Geophysi*cal Research: Space Physics, 123(5), 4129–4149. doi: 10.1029/2018JA025208
- Frahm, R. A., Sharber, J. R., Winningham, J. D., Wurz, P., Liemohn, M. W., Kallio, E., ... Mckenna-Lawler, S. (2006). Locations of Atmospheric Photoelectron Energy Peaks Within the Mars Environment. *Space Science Reviews*,

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

126(1), 389-402. doi: 10.1007/s11214-006-9119-5Frahm, R. A., Winningham, J. D., Sharber, J. R., Scherrer, J. R., Jeffers, S. J., Coates, A. J., ... Dierker, C. (2006). Carbon dioxide photoelectron energy peaks at Mars. *Icarus*, 182(2), 371–382. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.icarus.2006.01.014 Harada, Y., Andersson, L., Fowler, C. M., Mitchell, D. L., Halekas, J. S., Mazelle, C., ... Jakosky, B. M. (2016). MAVEN observations of electron-induced whistler mode waves in the Martian magnetosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 121(10), 9717–9731. doi: 10.1002/2016JA023194 Itikawa, Y. (2002). Cross Sections for Electron Collisions With Carbon Dioxide. Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 31(3), 749-767. doi: 10.1063/1.1481879 Jakosky, B. M., Lin, R. P., Grebowsky, J. M., Luhmann, J. G., Mitchell, D. F., Beutelschies, G., ... Zurek, R. (2015). The Mars Atmosphere and Volatile Evolution (MAVEN) Mission. Space Science Reviews, 195(1), 3–48. doi: 10.1007/s11214-015-0139-xKhazanov, G. V., & Liemohn, M. W. (1995). Nonsteady state ionosphereplasmasphere coupling of superthermal electrons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 100(A6), 9669-9681. doi: 10.1029/95JA00526 Khazanov, G. V., Liemohn, M. W., Gombosi, T. I., & Nagy, A. F. (1993). Nonsteady-state transport of superthermal electrons in the plasmasphere. Geophysical Research Letters, 20(24), 2821-2824. doi: 10.1029/93GL03121 Liemohn, M. W., Frahm, R. A., Winningham, J. D., Ma, Y., Barabash, S., Lundin, R., ... Dierker, C. (2006). Numerical interpretation of high-altitude photoelectron observations. *Icarus*, 182(2), 383–395. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.icarus.2005.10.036 Liemohn, M. W., Mitchell, D. L., Nagy, A. F., Fox, J. L., Reimer, T. W., & Ma, Y. (2003). Comparisons of electron fluxes measured in the crustal fields at Mars by the MGS magnetometer/electron reflectometer instrument with a B field-dependent transport code. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 108(E12). doi: 10.1029/2003je002158 Mitchell, D. L., Mazelle, C., Sauvaud, J.-A., Thocaven, J.-J., Rouzaud, J., Fedorov, A., ... Jakosky, B. M. (2016). The MAVEN Solar Wind Electron Analyzer. Space Science Reviews, 200(1), 495-528. doi: 10.1007/s11214-015-0232-1 Shane, A. D., Xu, S., Liemohn, M. W., & Mitchell, D. L. (2016). Mars nightside electrons over strong crustal fields. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space *Physics*, 121(4), 3808–3823. doi: 10.1002/2015JA021947 Thompson, W. R., Shah, M. B., & Gilbody, H. B. (1995). Single and double ionization of atomic oxygen by electron impact. Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, 28(7), 1321–1330. doi: 10.1088/0953-4075/28/ 7/023Weber, T., Brain, D., Mitchell, D., Xu, S., Connerney, J., & Halekas, J. (2017). Characterization of Low-Altitude Nightside Martian Magnetic Topology Using Electron Pitch Angle Distributions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space *Physics*, 122(10), 9777–9789. doi: 10.1002/2017JA024491 Weber, T., Brain, D., Mitchell, D., Xu, S., Espley, J., Halekas, J., ... Jakosky, B. (2019). The Influence of Solar Wind Pressure on Martian Crustal Magnetic Field Topology. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(5), 2347–2354. doi: 10.1029/2019GL081913 Xu, S., Liemohn, M., Bougher, S., & Mitchell, D. (2016). Martian high-altitude photoelectrons independent of solar zenith angle. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 121(4), 3767–3780. doi: 10.1002/2015JA022149 Xu, S., & Liemohn, M. W. (2015). Superthermal electron transport model for Mars.

Earth and Space Science, 2(3), 47–64. doi: 10.1002/2014EA000043

⁴²³ Xu, S., Liemohn, M. W., & Mitchell, D. L. (2014). Solar wind electron precipitation

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

- into the dayside Martian upper atmosphere through the cusps of strong crustal fields. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 119(12), 10,100–110,115. doi: 10.1002/2014JA020363
- Xu, S., Mitchell, D., Liemohn, M., Fang, X., Ma, Y., Luhmann, J., ... Jakosky, B. (2017). Martian low-altitude magnetic topology deduced from MAVEN/SWEA observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122(2), 1831– 1852. doi: 10.1002/2016JA023467
- Xu, S., Thiemann, E., Mitchell, D., Eparvier, F., Pawlowski, D., Benna, M., ...
 Mazelle, C. (2018). Observations and Modeling of the Mars Low-Altitude Ionospheric Response to the 10 September 2017 X-Class Solar Flare. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(15), 7382–7390. doi: 10.1029/2018GL078524
- Xu, S., Weber, T., Mitchell, D. L., Brain, D. A., Mazelle, C., DiBraccio, G. A., & Espley, J. (2019). A Technique to Infer Magnetic Topology at Mars and Its Application to the Terminator Region. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124(3), 1823–1842. doi: 10.1029/2018JA026366



Figure 1. Superthermal electron transport (STET) model results of photoelectron pitch angle distributions at three representative locations along a crustal dipole-like magnetic field line: (a) near the exobase, (b) above the exobase, and (c) at the top of the field line. (d) Normalized pitch angle distributions for electrons with energy = 50 eV at each altitude.



Figure 2. Normalized pitch angle distributions of photoelectrons for (a) low and (b) high energies. The error bars include both measurement and statistical sources of error and are contained within each data marker.



Figure 3. Normalized pitch angle distributions of (a) low and (b) high energy photoelectrons as a function of altitude. The error bars include both measurement and statistical sources of error and are contained within each data marker.



Figure 4. Time series of (a) electric wave power spectra from the Langmuir Probes and Waves (LPW) instrument, (b) normalized high energy photoelectron pitch angle distributions, and (c) normalized low energy photoelectron pitch angle distributions. The white dashed line marks the observation at 04:06:33 with the complete energy-pitch angle distribution at this time shown in (d) and the normalized energy-averaged pitch angle distributions shown in (e).



2019GL084919-f01-z-.png





2019GL084919-f02-z-.png





2019GL084919-f03-z-.png



