1	Running Head: Traits and performance landscapes
2	
3	Alternative designs and tropical tree seedling growth performance landscapes
4	
5	Samantha J. Worthy <sup>1,*</sup> , Daniel C. Laughlin <sup>2</sup> , Jenny Zambrano <sup>3</sup> , María Natalia Umaña <sup>4</sup> , Caicai
6	Zhang <sup>5</sup> , Luxiang Lin <sup>5</sup> , Min Cao <sup>5</sup> , and Nathan G. Swenson <sup>1</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, U.S.A.
9	
10	<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, U.S.A.
11	
12	<sup>3</sup> The School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, U.S.A
13	
14	<sup>4</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
15	48109, U.S.A.
16	
17	<sup>5</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden,
18	Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan 650201, China
19	
20	*Corresponding Author: Samantha J. Worthy; 1210 Biology Psychology Bldg., 4094 Campus Dr.
21	University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 20742; Telephone: +1-334-695-0136; E-mail:
22	sworthy@terpmail.umd.edu
23	Abstract
24	The functional trait values that constitute a whole-plant phenotype interact with the
25	environment to determine demographic rates. Current approaches often fail to explicitly consider
26	trait-trait and trait-environment interactions, which may lead to missed information that is
27	valuable for understanding and predicting the drivers of demographic rates and functional
28	diversity. Here, we consider these interactions by modeling growth performance landscapes that
29	span multidimensional trait spaces along environmental gradients. We utilize individual-level
	This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the convediting typesetting pagination and proofreading process, which may

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1002/ECY.3007</u>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

30 leaf, stem and root trait data combined with growth data from tree seedlings along soil nutrient 31 and light gradients in a hyper-diverse tropical rainforest. We find that multiple trait combinations 32 in phenotypic space (i.e. alternative designs) lead to multiple growth performance peaks that 33 shift along light and soil axes such that no single or set of interacting traits consistently results in 34 peak growth performance. Evidence from these growth performance peaks also generally 35 indicates frequent independence of above and below ground resource acquisition strategies. 36 These results help explain how functional diversity is maintained in ecological communities and 37 question the practice of utilizing a single trait or environmental variable, in isolation, to predict 38 the growth performance of individual trees.

39

40 Key Words: Demographic Rate, Forest Ecology, Functional Traits, Growth, Seedlings, Tropical
41 Forest

#### 42 Introduction

43 The diversity and dynamics of communities are driven by differential demographic rates 44 that largely arise from how phenotypes interact with the environment (Fonseca et al. 2000, 45 Ackerly 2003, Cavender-Bares et al. 2004, HilleRisLambers et al. 2012, Anderson 2016). The 46 links between functional traits, demographic rates, and population and community structure form 47 the basis of trait-based community ecology (McGill et al. 2006). In this regard, two analytical 48 approaches are common: quantifying the relationships between single traits and demographic 49 rates, and quantifying the relationships between traits and environmental gradients (Wright and 50 Westoby 1999, Poorter et al. 2008, Enquist et al. 2015, Jager et al. 2015, Costa et al. 2017). The 51 first approach generally operates under the implicit assumption that trait-demographic rate 52 relationships are consistent across environments (Wright et al. 2004, Poorter et al. 2008, Kraft et 53 al. 2010, Adler et al. 2014). For example, a low wood density confers fast growth (e.g. Chave et 54 al. 2009, Kraft et al. 2010). The second approach implicitly assumes the optimal value for a 55 given trait changes across an environmental gradient. Thus, the two approaches appear to be 56 inconsistent, with the first searching for a single global optimum and the second searching for a 57 shifting optimum across an environmental gradient (Laughlin et al. 2018). 58 The functional diversity in ecological communities can potentially be explained by the

existence of alternative designs, *i.e.*, different phenotypic trait combinations that lead to similar
 demographic performance in a given environment (Marks and Lechowicz 2006). Alternative

61 designs arise when the relationship between a trait and performance is dependent upon an 62 interaction with another trait. These trait-trait interactions can be demonstrated using 63 performance landscapes where one can visualize expected performance along two trait axes (Fig. 64 1). While performance landscapes are rarely utilized in functional trait-based ecology, evolutionary biologists have long utilized these landscapes beginning with Wright's adaptive 65 66 landscape of gene frequencies (Wright 1931, Wright 1932, Wright 1945), progressing from 67 Simpson's phenotypic landscape (Simpson 1944) to adaptive landscapes (Arnold et al. 2001). 68 Despite this existing literature and the recognition for decades that plant functional trait-based ecology should focus more intently on trait-trait interactions (Ackerly et al. 2000, Marks and 69 70 Lechowicz 2006, Dwyer and Laughlin 2017a, D'Andrea et al. 2018), performance landscapes 71 have been largely ignored (but see Laughlin and Messier 2015, Dwyer and Laughlin 2017b) as 72 has a focus on individual-level positions on these landscapes over that of species means. 73 Functional diversity within a community can be further promoted if the shape of 74 performance landscapes shifts along local-scale environmental gradients. In other words, the 75 alternative phenotypic designs that perform best on one end of a local-scale environmental 76 gradient will not necessarily be those expected to perform best on the other end thereby 77 increasing the diversity of traits and trait combinations performing well within a community (Fig. 1). Thus, not only do trait-trait interactions need to be considered when modeling individual 78 79 performance, but a simultaneous investigation of the interactions among multiple traits and 80 environmental gradients may be necessary to understand how traits drive performance and plant 81 community diversity.

82 Theory indicates that trait-trait and trait-environment interactions are essential for 83 understanding how traits relate to whole-plant performance, influence population level 84 parameters, and drive community structure and dynamics (Marks and Lechowicz 2006, Enquist 85 et al. 2015). However, we currently lack clear empirical evidence that multiple phenotypic 86 optima exist in a given environment and that these optima change across an environmental gradient. Ideally, such evidence would be gathered using individual-level trait, demographic and 87 88 environmental information to account for the importance of intraspecific trait variation (Yang et 89 al. 2018, Swenson et al. 2020). Here, we determined whether interactions between multiple 90 functional traits and environmental gradients impacted tropical tree seedling growth rates. 91 Specifically, we modeled the growth of 1,559 individual tree seedlings from 122 species in a

92 Chinese tropical rainforest using an unprecedented dataset of individual-level leaf, root, and stem 93 trait data and detailed light and soil nutrient data. We asked two main questions: 1) Do 94 alternative phenotypic designs have similar demographic outcomes within an environment?, and 95 2) how do the peaks and ridges of growth performance landscapes change across environmental 96 gradients? We focus on seedlings because differential demographic rates at the seedling stage 97 have large and lasting impacts on tropical forest structure and dynamics (Metz et al. 2010; Paine 98 et al. 2012; Green et al. 2014; Umaña et al. 2016).

99

#### 100 Methods

101 Study Site

This study was conducted in a tropical rainforest in Xishuangbanna, which is in the Chinese province of Yunnan (101°34′E, 21°36′N). The climate for this region is monsoonal with a mean annual temperature of 21.8°C, mean annual precipitation of 1,493 mm, and soil pH between 4.5 and 5.7 (Cao et al. 2008). There are two seasons in this forest, differentiated by precipitation patterns, where the dry season starts in November and ends in April and 85% of the precipitation occurs between May and October (Cao et al. 2008).

108

## 109 Seedling Plot Establishment and Monitoring

110 Across 2-ha of forest, 215 1  $\times$  1 m<sup>2</sup> seedling plots were installed in a regular grid. All 111 seedlings from germination to 50 cm in height were tagged, identified, and monitored for 1 year 112 from 2013 to 2014. Seedlings were monitored for survival monthly, but height was measured 113 twice, once at the beginning and once at the end of the census period. During our study, the 114 average temperature was 22.4°C and the total rainfall was 1,590 mm. At the end of the year-long 115 monitoring, all surviving seedlings were harvested for functional trait measurement. In total, 116 there were 1,559 seedlings of 122 species distributed across the 215 plots. The number of 117 seedlings varied from one to 33 across the plots with a mean number of 7.25 individuals and 1.76 118 species per plot.

119

120 Functional Traits

Seven functional trait measurements were taken on each individual seedling in the study
(Appendix S1: Table S1). The organ-level traits measured were leaf mass per unit area (LMA)

123 and mean leaf thickness, which were measured on one to three leaves for each individual. The 124 biomass allocation traits measured in this study were leaf area ratio (LAR; total plant leaf area 125 divided by whole plant dry mass), leaf mass fraction (LMF; total leaf dry mass divided by whole 126 plant dry mass), root mass fraction (RMF; total root mass divided by whole plant mass), stem 127 mass fraction (SMF; total stem dry mass divided by whole plant dry mass), and stem specific 128 length (SSL: stem length divided by dry stem mass), all according to (Poorter et al. 2012) and 129 previously reported in (Umaña et al. 2015). Leaves, roots and stems were manually separated in 130 the lab using hand pruners and dried in the oven for 72 h at 70 °C. These traits were chosen for 131 measurement because they represent major allocation tradeoffs at the organ and whole plant 132 levels that should impact growth performance. Specifically, LMA represents the leaf economics 133 spectrum (Reich et al. 1997, Wright et al. 2004) where species with high LMA have a 134 conservative strategy with long leaf lifespans, but lower mass-based photosynthetic rates and 135 species with low LMA values have a more acquisitive strategy with short leaf life spans and 136 higher mass-based photosynthetic rates. Leaf thickness is measured to indicate leaf mechanical 137 resistance to damage (Onoda et al. 2011). LAR and LMF reflect relative allocation to leaf tissue and combined with LMA are often used in models of plant growth in functional ecology (Garnier 138 139 1991, Enquist et al. 2007, Poorter et al. 2012). RMF, SMF and SSL are indicative of allocation to 140 non-photosynthetic tissue. RMF and LMA were of particular interest to us as previous work has 141 indicated that they both are highly responsive to soil nutrient and light gradients (Freschet et al. 142 2015). Higher RMF and higher LMA values indicate an allocation pattern that maximizes soil 143 resource gain relative to light resource gain. Thus, we might expect high RMF and LMA values 144 for individuals in poor soils and/or in shade tolerant individuals and the opposite pattern in 145 nutrient rich soils and/or in high light environments.

146

### 147 Environmental Variables

Local environmental conditions were characterized by measuring soil nutrients and light availability for each plot. Prior research has shown that these environmental variables vary significantly, even at local scales (Hubbell et al. 1999; Baldeck et al. 2013; Umaña et al. 2018). Percent canopy openness, measured using hemispherical photographs taken systemically with a Nikon FC-E8 lens and a Nikon Coolpix 4500 camera, was used to determine light availability. We do note that these measurements only capture canopy openness and, therefore, do not capture

154 individual plant light environments or changes in light environments through time, but they do 155 offer a quick and pragmatic approach for estimating the average light environment in a sample

156 plot. Photographs were taken with the camera 1 m above the ground before sunrise with cloudy

157 conditions between March and April 2014 for each seedling plot. The images were analyzed

158 using Gap Light Analyser software (http://www.carvinstitute.org/science-program/our-

159 scientists/dr-charles-d-canham/gap-light-analyzer-gla) (Appendix S1: Table S2).

160 We also measured soil nutrients for each of the plots due to prior research showing relationships between soil nutrients, habitat associations and demography (Itoh et al. 2003; 161 Palmiotto et al. 2004; Russo et al. 2005; John et al. 2007; Russo et al. 2008). To analyze soil 162 163 nutrients, 50 g of topsoil (0-10 cm in depth) was collected from each of the corners of the plot. 164 After being air dried and sifted, the cation availability was determined using the Mehlich III 165 extraction method and atomic emission inductively coupled plasma spectrometry (AE-ICP). 166 Total nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) content were determined by total combustion using auto-167 analyzer and pH measured with a pH meter. All soil analyses were conducted at the 168 Biogeochemical Laboratory at Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden (Appendix S1: Table 169 S2).

170 All functional trait and environmental variables were natural log-transformed and scaled 171 to a mean of 0. The dimensionality of the soil data was reduced using a principal component 172 analysis (PCA) (Appendix S1: Fig. S1). The first three orthogonal axes, explaining 78% of the 173 total soil variation, were used for further analyses (Appendix S1: Table S3). PC1 scores were 174 negatively associated with K, Mg and Zn, which are known to play major roles in 175 photosynthesis, growth, as well as seed and stem maturation (Terry and Ulrich 1974; Holste et al. 176 2011; Broadley et al. 2007). PC2 scores were negatively associated with Ca and P. Soil 177 phosphorous is the major limitation of these two elements as phosphorous deficiency is known to 178 have negative impacts on plant growth (Wissuwa 2003; Wright et al. 2004). PC3 scores were 179 negatively associated with C and N. Soil N is of particular interest as N is a key component of 180 RuBisCO and, therefore, a resource that can limit photosynthesis (Evans and Clarke 2019). 

181

#### 182 Quantifying Growth Rates

183 To determine the relative growth rate (RGR) of each seedling, the change in log-184 transformed height was calculated for each individual as:

185	$RGR = (\log(M_{t+\Delta t}) - \log(M_t)) / \Delta t$
186	The variable M is the height at successive time steps t (Hoffmann and Poorter 2002). A
187	value of 1 was added to all observed RGR values and then the data were natural log-transformed
188	and scaled to a mean of 0 to approximate normality (Appendix S1: Table S1).
189	
190	Linear Mixed-Effects Model Description
191	We built linear mixed-effects models of growth using a Bayesian approach ranging in
192	complexity from a single term to having a three-way interaction with a focus on addressing the
193	biological question of whether trait-trait, trait-environment or trait-trait-environment interactions,
194	which are frequently not considered, influence growth rates. Models were run for all pairwise
195	combinations of the seven functional traits and environmental variables for a total of 84 models.
196	In all models, RGR followed a lognormal distribution:
197	$\log \text{RGR}_i \sim N(z_i, \sigma_z)$
198	where $z_i$ was the relative growth rate of each individual, $\sigma_z$ was the variance, and <i>i</i> was each
199	individual. First, individual linear models were fit where RGR was a function of a single trait and
200	initial seedling size, where $z_i$ was the relative growth rate of each individual, $\alpha$ was the model
201	intercept, $\beta l_p$ and $\beta 2_j$ were the plot and species random effects, respectively, $\beta 3$ was the effect
202	of a trait, $\beta$ 4 was the effect of initial size.
203	$z_i = \alpha + \beta 1_p + \beta 2_j + \beta 3 \times \text{trait} + \beta 4 \times \text{initial size}$ (1)
204	These simple linear models highlight a common method used to link functional traits,
205	demographic rates, and population and community structure in the functional trait literature. In
206	rare instances, a functional trait and the environment have been combined in models to include
207	their interactive effect as both impact plant performance (Laughlin and Messier 2015, Dwyer and
208	Laughlin 2017b, Blonder et al. 2018). To mimic these models, we modeled the linear predictor,
209	RGR, using mixed-effects models including a two-way interaction between a functional trait and
210	an environmental variable. Models were of the general form,
211	$z_i = \alpha + \beta 1_p + \beta 2_j + \beta 3 \times \text{trait} + \beta 4 \times \text{environment} + \beta 5 \times \text{initial size} + \beta 6$
212	$\times$ trait $\times$ environment (2)
213	where $z_i$ was the relative growth rate of each individual, $\alpha$ was the model intercept, $\beta 1_p$ and $\beta 2_j$
214	were the plot and species random effects, respectively, $\beta$ 3 was the effect of a trait, $\beta$ 4 was the

# This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

effect of the environmental variable,  $\beta$ 5 was the effect of initial seedling size, and  $\beta$ 6 was the effect of the interaction between the trait and the environmental variable on RGR.

Lastly, we modeled RGR using a linear mixed-effects model including a three-way interaction between two functional traits and an environmental variable. The three-way interaction term in these models is included to represent a relationship between a functional trait and growth performance dependent upon another functional trait and the environment. In this model, RGR of each individual was modelled using the general form,

222  $z_i = \alpha + \beta 1_p + \beta 2_j + \beta 3 \times \text{trait}_1 + \beta 4 \times \text{trait}_2 + \beta 5 \times \text{environment} + \beta 6 \times$ 223  $\text{trait}_1 \times \text{trait}_2 + \beta 7 \times \text{trait}_1 \times \text{environment} + \beta 8 \times \text{trait}_2 \times \text{environment} + \beta 9 \times \text{trait}_1 \times$ 224  $\text{trait}_2 \times \text{environment} + \beta 10 \times \text{initial size}$  (3)

where  $z_i$  was the relative growth rate of each individual,  $\alpha$  was the model intercept,  $\beta 1_p$  and  $\beta 2_j$ were the plot and species random effects, respectively,  $\beta 3$  was the effect of trait1,  $\beta 4$  was the effect of trait2,  $\beta 5$  was the effect of the environmental variable,  $\beta 6$  was the effect of the interaction between trait1 and trait2,  $\beta 7$  was the effect of the interaction between trait1 and the environment,  $\beta 8$  was the effect of the interaction between trait2 and the environment,  $\beta 9$  was the effect of the three-way interaction between trait1, trait2 and the environment, and  $\beta 10$  was the effect of the initial seedling size on RGR.

232 In all models, plot  $(\beta 1_p)$  and species  $(\beta 2_i)$  were modeled as normally distributed random 233 intercepts to account for species-level differences in RGR that were unrelated to spatial 234 autocorrelation and the traits, respectively. For the hyperparameters of the random effects, we 235 specified diffuse normal priors: N(mean = 0, precision = 0.01). The variance hyperparameters 236 were given diffuse gamma priors: Gamma(shape = 0.1, rate = 0.1). We performed variable 237 selection by comparing "full" models, equation (3), with models of all other iterations of 238 variables using deviance information criterion (DIC) to determine the most parsimonious model 239 (Spiegelhalter et al. 2002). Typically, it is thought that DIC is a Bayesian analogue of AIC (Kéry 240 2010). They have a similar justification, but DIC has wider applicability (Spiegelhalter et al. 241 2002). The two main differences between AIC and DIC calculations are that the maximum 242 likelihood estimate is replaced with the posterior mean and the number of parameters is replaced 243 with a data-based bias correction (Gelman et al. 2013). Any model with a DIC value within 5 244  $(\Delta DIC < 5)$  of the lowest value for all models was given consideration (Spiegelhalter et al. 2002;

245 Lesaffre and Lawson 2012). Along with evaluating models with DIC, we assessed the fit of the 246 models by checking the posterior predictive distribution of the fit of the actual data with the fit of 247 an "ideal" dataset and computed the Bayesian p-value (Gelman et al. 1996). As the Bayesian p-248 value approaches 0.5, simulated data generated from the posteriors should look similar to the 249 observed data indicating a good fit model (Gelman et al. 2013). Evaluating models using DIC 250 and Bayesian *p*-values allowed us to compare less complex, commonly used models, equation 251 (1) for one, to our high-dimensional models (equations 2 and 3) to test for overall model fit 252 (Bayesian *p*-value ~ 0.5) and discover instances where more complex models, those containing a 253 three-way interaction, are more parsimonious ( $\Delta DIC < 5$ ) than more simple models lacking a 254 three-way interaction between two traits and the environment. Pearson's product-moment 255 correlation coefficients showed that some traits were weakly correlated (Appendix S1: Table 256 S4). We checked for multicollinearity between traits using variance inflation factor (VIF) 257 analysis to verify that all trait combinations had a VIF < 10 thereby indicating that we can 258 include them together in our models (Ohlemüller et al. 2006; Hair et al. 2014; Enquist et al. 259 2015) (Appendix S1: Table S4).

260 All models were fit using Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling techniques in 261 JAGS 4.3.0 (Plummer 2003) interfaced with R v3.3.1 programming language using the rjags 262 (Plummer 2016) and *runjags* (Denwood 2016) packages (Data S1). We ran six parallel chains 263 with random initial values for 50,000 iterations with a burn-in period of 10,000 iterations. 264 Parameter estimates and 95% credible intervals were obtained from the quantiles of the posterior 265 distribution. Parameters were statistically supported when their credible interval did not overlap 266 zero. Convergence of the MCMC chains was assessed visually in traceplots and using the 267 Gelman-Rubin convergence diagnostic to ensure values were less than 1.1 (Gelman and Rubin 268 1992). ------

269

#### 270 Assessing Growth Performance Peaks

Two criteria had to be met in order to declare the presence of alternative designs in a growth performance landscape. First, the two-way (trait-trait, trait-environment) or three-way interaction (trait-trait-environment) term in the model had to be statistically supported with 95% credible intervals around the parameter estimate not overlapping zero. Second, the slope of the relationship between the traits and RGR, computed as the first partial derivative of the fitted

276 model, had to switch signs across the range of the environmental variable (Laughlin 2018, 277 Laughlin et al. 2018). A switch in sign indicates that the partial derivative passed through zero at 278 some point along the environmental gradient. This would be evidence that a "saddle" exists at 279 the intermediate environmental condition, due to the probability surface being pulled downward 280 (Laughlin et al. 2018). To verify that the slope was significantly different from zero, we 281 randomly sampled parameter estimates, with replacement, from the posterior distribution 1000 282 times and calculated the slope for each sample to determine 95% confidence intervals (Appendix 283 S1: Table S5).

284 To understand how the effect of two functional traits on RGR changes across the 285 environmental gradients, simple slopes and intercepts were calculated to visualize partial effects 286 and peaks in growth performance. The minimum and maximum observed values were used as 287 constants for the second trait and environmental variable during the calculation of the simple 288 slopes and intercepts. We also statistically tested for the presence of multiple growth 289 performance peaks at each end of the environmental gradients. We randomly sampled, with 290 replacement, from the posterior distribution 1000 times from each model. We then calculated 291 each of the simple slopes for each of the 1000 samples. We then determined the number of times 292 the slopes were different in sign for the two growth performance peaks at the same end of the 293 environmental gradient. An exact binomial test with a probability of success equal to 0.50 was 294 used to test for significant differences. In all cases, a p value of < 0.05 indicated the presence of 295 two simple slopes, meaning traits were combining in different ways at the same end of the 296 environmental gradient to achieve higher RGR (Appendix S1: Table S6). If the signs of the mean 297 values of the simple slopes were in the same direction for both growth performance peaks in an 298 environment, we were unable to show a statistical presence of one versus two peaks. All analyses 299 were performed in *R* statistical software version 3.3.1 (R Development Core Team 2016).

300

#### 301 Results

302 The models in this study were designed based on biological interactions of functional

traits and the environment that are known or predicted in the literature (Wright et al. 2004,

Baraloto et al. 2010, Fortunel et al. 2012, Adler et al. 2014, Reich et al. 2014, Freschet et al.

305 2015, Liu et al. 2016). Eight models, out of 84 total, had evidence of multiple growth

306 performance peaks indicated by a significant three-way interaction in the model and a slope that

307 changed sign along the environmental gradient (Appendix S2). Five models, each with a 308 different three-way interaction term, were the most parsimonious or equally parsimonious based 309 on comparison of DIC values between each "full" model (equation 3) and simpler versions of 310 each model (Appendix S2: Tables S1-S5). For the remaining three models, the "full" model was 311 not the most parsimonious overall after variable selection, based on DIC, but was more 312 parsimonious than commonly used models, trait-growth rate and trait  $\times$  environment-growth 313 rate (Appendix S2: Tables S6-S8). All eight of these models had appropriate Bayesian *p*-values  $(\sim 0.5)$  indicating model fit and that values calculated from the simulated data are distributed 314 315 around the observed values (Appendix S2).

316

#### 317 Comparison of Model Types

Each model was built to evaluate commonly used modeling methods (single trait-growth rate models and trait  $\times$  environment-growth rate models) with high dimensional models containing a three-way interaction between two functional traits and the environment. Below we present an extended comparison between simple models and the "full" model for one of the eight different significant three-way interactions found (LMA  $\times$  RMF  $\times$  light). We then present just the interpretation of the "full" model for the remaining seven three-way interactions.

324 The "full" model, which contained the three-way interaction LMA  $\times$  RMF  $\times$  light, had 325 equally the highest support (lower DIC) than all iterations of variables of this "full" model 326 (Appendix S2: Table S1). We compared the "full" model with the simplest, commonly used 327 models that fit linear mixed-effects models with relative growth rate (RGR) as a function of each 328 trait. We found significant negative relationships between leaf mass per area (LMA) and RGR 329 (Appendix S1: Table S7) as well as between root mass fraction (RMF) and RGR (Appendix S1: 330 Table S7). However, neither of these models was as well supported as the "full" model based on 331 DIC (Appendix S2: Table S1). Next, we included a trait by environment interaction into the 332 linear mixed-effects models. The interaction between LMA and light was not significant (Fig. 333 2a) so the first criteria for evidence of growth performance peaks was not met (Fig. 2b). 334 However, the interaction between RMF and light was significant (Fig. 2c), but the slope of the 335 relationship between RMF and RGR had only a few individuals cross zero along the light 336 gradient suggesting a weak interaction. There was one obvious peak in RGR for individuals 337 when they had low RMF in high light environments, however some individuals did occupy an

alternative peak where individuals had high RMF in low light environments (Fig. 2d). Despite
these models with two-way interactions showing evidence of growth peaks, they had higher DIC
values (less support) than the "full" model that contained the three-way interaction (Appendix
S2: Table S1). Furthermore, the single term and two-way interaction term models afforded less
interpretation of the effects of the model variables on growth because they lack the three-way
interaction term between two traits and the environment.

344 This "full" model contained a significant interaction between LMA, RMF, and light and had equally the highest support (lowest DIC) following variable selection of the model (Fig. 3a; 345 Appendix S2: Table S1). The slope of the relationship between LMA and RGR at different 346 347 values of RMF changed sign along the light gradient indicating multiple growth performance 348 peaks which allows for the effect of the three-way interaction term on growth to be examined. In 349 low light environments, there were two growth performance peaks for individuals, one when 350 they had low LMA and high RMF and one when they had high LMA and low RMF (Fig. 3b). In 351 high light environments, there were also two growth performance peaks for individuals, one 352 when they had both high LMA and RMF and one when they had both low LMA and RMF (Fig. 353 3b).

354

# 355 Evidence of Multiple Growth Performance Peaks

356 The following three models had a three-way interaction term that was significant in the 357 model and had a slope that changed sign along the environmental gradient (i.e. multiple growth 358 performance peaks present). Variable selection was performed on each model separately using 359 DIC. For each of these models, the "full" model was not the best supported overall, but had 360 higher support than commonly used trait-growth rate and trait  $\times$  environment-growth rate 361 models (Appendix S2: Tables S6-S8). For each of these three models, the best supported model 362 was a complex model highlighting the inability of simple models to reflect the effects of the 363 traits and the environment on growth (Appendix S2: Tables S6-S8).

The first of these three models had a significant three-way interaction between LMA, stem mass fraction (SMF) and light (Appendix S1: Fig. S2a; Appendix S2: Table S6). For individuals to achieve a growth performance peak across the light gradient, they combined LMA and SMF in different manners (Appendix S1: Fig. S2b). In low light environments, there were two growth performance peaks for individuals, one when they have both low LMA and SMF and 369 one when they have both high LMA and SMF. In high light environments, there were also two 370 growth performance peaks for individuals, one when they have low LMA and high SMF and one 371 when they have high LMA and low SMF. The last two models involved three-way interactions 372 with the soil PC2 environmental variable, which was negatively associated with soil Ca and P. 373 The first involved an interaction between LMA, RMF and soil PC2 (Appendix S1: Fig. S3a; 374 Appendix S2: Table S7). When soil PC2 was low, indicating high levels of Ca and P, plants with 375 high LMA and low RMF or low LMA and high RMF grew faster (Appendix S1: Fig. S3b). In 376 high soil PC2 environments, indicating low levels of Ca and P, plants with either low LMA and 377 low RMF or high LMA and high RMF performed best (Appendix S1: Fig. S3b). Finally, there 378 was a significant interaction between leaf area ratio (LAR) and leaf mass fraction (LMF) 379 (Appendix S1: Fig. S4a; Appendix S2: Table S8). We found that the effect of LAR on growth rates along the soil PC2 gradient was dependent on LMF (Appendix S1: Fig. S4b). In order for 380 381 individuals to be on a growth performance peak in low soil PC2 environments, meaning high Ca 382 and P, they had high LAR and low LMF or high LAR and high LMF (Appendix S1: Fig. S4b). 383 However, we were unable to statistically show each of these peaks separately because the slopes 384 for each peak were the same sign since LAR was high for both peaks. In high soil PC2 385 environments, individuals with high LAR and high LMF or low LAR and low LMF exhibited 386 peak growth performance (Appendix S1: Fig. S4b).

387 The "full" model of the remaining four models had the best support or equal support ( $\Delta$ DIC < 5) after variable selection (Appendix S2: Table S2-S5). These models also had support 388 389 for multiple growth performance peaks (i.e. they had a slope that switched sign along the 390 environmental gradient). Three of these models had significant interactions of traits with soil 391 PC1, which was negatively associated with Mg, K, and Zn (Appendix S1: Table S3). The first 392 interaction was between LMA and RMF (Appendix S1: Fig. S5a; Appendix S2: Table S2). In 393 low soil PC1 environments, indicating high levels of Mg, K and Zn, individuals had high LMA 394 and low RMF or low LMA and high RMF (Appendix S1: Fig. S5b). In high soil PC1 395 environments, individuals with high LMA and high RMF or low LMA and low RMF exhibited 396 peak growth performance (Appendix S1: Fig. S5b). The second interaction was between leaf 397 thickness and RMF (Appendix S1: Fig. S6a; Appendix S2: Table S3). In order for individuals to 398 be on a growth performance peak in low soil PC1 environments, they had high leaf thickness and 399 low RMF or low leaf thickness and high RMF (Appendix S1: Fig. S6b). In high soil PC1

400 environments, individuals with high leaf thickness and high RMF or low leaf thickness and low 401 RMF exhibited peak performance (Appendix S1: Fig. S6b). The next interaction was between 402 leaf thickness and SMF (Appendix S1: Fig. S7a; Appendix S2: Table S4). Two performance 403 peaks were found when soil PC1 is low and when soil PC1 is high (Appendix S1: Fig. S7b). 404 When soil PC1 was low, indicating high levels of Mg, K and Zn, individuals with low leaf thickness and low SMF or high leaf thickness and high SMF exhibited the highest RGR 405 406 (Appendix S1: Fig. S7b). When soil PC1 was high, indicating low values of Mg, K, and Zn, 407 individuals with low leaf thickness and high SMF or high leaf thickness and low SMF exhibited 408 peak growth performance (Appendix S1: Fig. S7b). The final model had a significant interaction 409 between leaf thickness, SSL, and soil PC2 (Appendix S1: Fig. S8a; Appendix S2: Table S5). For 410 this interaction of traits, peak growth performance in low soil PC2 environments occurred for 411 individuals that had high leaf thickness with high SSL or had low leaf thickness with low SSL 412 (Appendix S1: Fig. S8b). To perform well in high soil PC2 environments, plants with low leaf 413 thickness and high SSL or high leaf thickness and low SSL had faster growth (Appendix S1: Fig. 414 S8b).

415

#### 416 **Discussion**

417 Here, we have provided empirical evidence of multiple peaks in growth rate, a strong 418 determinant of long-term plant performance, across phenotypic space in a diverse tropical 419 seedling community thereby indicating the presence of multiple successful alternative designs. In 420 other words, multiple trait combinations can lead to similar growth performance. The location of 421 growth performance peaks in phenotypic space shifts across local-scale light and soil gradients 422 such that no single or set of interacting traits resulted in a peak in growth across these 423 environmental gradients. These results help to demonstrate how functional diversity can be 424 maintained in ecological communities and question the practice of utilizing a single trait or 425 environmental variable in isolation to predict the growth performance of individual trees.

426 Through a step-wise process of increasing model complexity, we have shown that including 427 interactions between two functional traits and the environment and visualization of growth 428 performance landscapes leads to a better overall indication of how functional traits and the 429 environment affect individual seedling growth performance. Specifically, we provide five 430 separate instances where multiple growth performance peaks are found in best supported (ΔDIC < 5) high-dimensional models containing a three-way interaction (Fig. 3, Appendix S1: Figs. S5-</li>
S8).

433 Based on fast-slow plant economics theory (Reich 2014), it may be expected that single 434 growth performance peaks relating to a combination of acquisitive values may be found in areas 435 with higher resource levels. For example, species with relatively more leaf mass investment and 436 lower leaf mass per area (LMA) may be expected in high light environments (Lusk et al. 2008). 437 Similarly, combinations of conservative traits (e.g. low root mass investment and high LMA), 438 may be expected to have superior growth in resource poor (e.g. low light) environments. This expectation is only partially supported by our results. For example, we did find a growth 439 440 performance peak for plants with acquisitive traits (i.e. relatively high root investment and low 441 LMA) in high soil nutrient environments (Appendix S1: Fig. S3, Fig. S5). However, we also 442 have several instances where multiple growth performance peaks occur at a given point on light 443 or soil gradients and many of these peaks combine an acquisitive below ground strategy and a 444 conservative above ground or leaf level strategy. For instance, at the high end of the soil PC1 445 gradient, meaning low levels of Mg, K, and Zn, growth performance peaked at either high LMA 446 with high root mass fraction (RMF), which reflect a more conservative leaf strategy and 447 acquisitive root strategy, respectively, or low LMA with low RMF, which reflect a more 448 acquisitive leaf strategy and conservative root strategy (Appendix S1: Fig. S5). For the soil 449 nutrient gradients, we found multiple growth performance peaks at both ends of the gradients. 450 The high ends of the soil PC1 and PC2 gradients were associated with low levels of K, Mg, Zn 451 (PC1) and Ca and P (PC2), nutrients known to play major roles in photosynthesis, growth, as 452 well as seed and stem maturation (Terry and Ulrich 1974; Wissuwa 2003; Wright et al. 2004; 453 Broadley et al. 2007; Holste et al. 2011). Soil moisture may have also contributed to peaks 454 associated with soil nutrients, but we were unable to measure this environmental axis. 455 This modulation of root and leaf resource acquisition may indicate a fourth interaction 456 between light and soil nutrient levels (Freschet et al. 2015). We did not explicitly test for 457 interactions between environmental gradients here, however, our results generally indicate that 458 above ground resource levels (i.e. light) and below ground resource levels (i.e. soil nutrients) can 459 vary independently such that mixtures of conservative and acquisitive leaf and root strategies can 460 be selected independently in a forest depending on the resource that is most limiting. Indeed, soil 461 and light variables are very weakly correlated in this study, which likely promotes a greater

462 diversity of trait combinations in the community (Appendix S1: Table S8). We do note that leaf 463 and root traits, at the plant level, are not independent of one another, given that plants only have 464 a finite amount of resources to invest in each. However, we have shown that plants can express 465 acquisitive leaf traits while their root traits are conservative, which may allow us to see 466 disassociation of leaf and root traits at the population or community level. Thus, our results often 467 did not indicate superior growth arising from either conservative or acquisitive strategies at the 468 whole plant scale and this is likely due to the independence of the selective environments above 469 and below ground in the system. In other systems where resource levels above and below ground 470 co-vary, a whole plant coordination of plant functional spectra may be more common (e.g. 471 Freschet et al. 2015). The results also coincide with evidence in the literature that indicates major 472 functional spectra (e.g. leaf and wood economics spectra (e.g. Baraloto et al. 2010); leaf and root 473 economics spectra (e.g. Fortunel et al. 2012)) are generally weakly correlated in adult trees in 474 tropical forests as is the case in the present study for tropical seedlings (Appendix S1: Table S4). 475 All other significant interactions were similar in that multiple growth performance peaks and 476 frequent independence of above and below ground strategies were evident (Appendix S1: Figs. 477 S2-S8).

478 Functional trait-based studies of plant community assembly and structure often focus on 479 abiotic selection for optimal trait values. For example, community weighted mean trait values are 480 expected to shift predictably across abiotic gradients (Muscarella and Uriarte 2016), and the 481 stress gradient hypothesis (Keddy 1992) emphasizes that the expected variation around this trait 482 optimum should decrease in more abiotically stressful conditions (Dwyer and Laughlin 2017b). 483 Correlative studies of plant functional traits and demographic rates implicitly assume that a 484 single trait value will lead to a similar demographic outcome across environments (Laughlin 485 2018; but see Westerband and Horvitz 2017). This is because of their use of a linear model 486 regressing a trait on a rate using data from many environments or datasets. We have shown 487 empirically that multiple growth performance peaks associated with different trait combinations 488 can occur at multiple points along an environmental gradient. Multiple growth performance 489 peaks were found on both ends of the environmental gradients, indicating that in extreme ends of 490 an environmental gradient, multiple trait combinations can lead to high demographic 491 performance. Importantly, we show the performance of a single trait value is dependent upon 492 other trait values. Combined, the trait-trait and trait-environment interactions, the context

dependencies of trait-performance relationships, and the ability of multiple trait combinations to
give rise to similar performance outcomes indicate why single functional traits often fail to
predict tree demographic rates (Poorter et al. 2008; Paine et al. 2015, Yang et al. 2018; Swenson
et al. 2020) and how trait diversity can be maintained in ecological communities.

497 High-dimensional trait-based trade-offs have been hypothesized as being important for 498 promoting species co-existence and maintaining community diversity (e.g. Adler et al. 2013, 499 Kraft et al. 2015). Our results support this hypothesis by showing that the relationship between 500 traits, the environment, and demographic outcomes is complex and can lead to alternative 501 phenotypic designs. While we find evidence in this study from multiple models of multiple 502 growth performance peaks, we understand that performance will vary across years and that 503 growth isn't the only metric of performance. We also know that the number of peaks we found is 504 relatively small compared to the number of species in our study system with only eight of 84 505 models having evidence of multiple growth performance peaks. This raises several new 506 questions that should be addressed in the future. First, how densely occupied are growth 507 performance peaks? Specifically, if multiple peaks exist in phenotypic space, are some more 508 densely occupied by individuals and species in the system and, if so, why? Second, are growth 509 performance peaks mitigated or eroded by other demographic outcomes (e.g. low survival rates, 510 recruitment or reproductive output) at the same or later life stages such that ultimately a single 511 peak is produced from a given environment? Answering these two questions is critical for our 512 understanding of why trait distributions in tropical forests often have only one or two modes (e.g. 513 Swenson et al. 2012). Final outstanding questions are: how do species that occupy the same 514 growth performance peak co-exist? Are intra-specific interactions (e.g. shared enemies, 515 competition) strong enough to permit the co-existence of multiple species on a given growth 516 performance peak? Do species occupying the same peak spatially or temporally segregate the 517 environment? These questions are all large foundational questions in trait-based community 518 ecology and our results should re-focus this literature towards a stronger consideration of 519 performance landscapes and multiple alternative phenotypic designs.

520

### 521 Conclusions

522 Here we have explored the possibility that multiple growth performance peaks occur in 523 the phenotypic space found in a diverse tropical seedling community using detailed individual 524 level trait and growth rate data. We find evidence for multiple peaks in phenotypic space and that 525 these peaks shift across environmental gradients. Further, we found evidence that above and 526 below ground functional strategies often combine to produce optimal growth performance in 527 such a way that acquisitive above ground strategies can align with conservative below ground 528 strategies and vice versa. Combined, these results show that there are multiple trait combinations 529 possible in phenotypic space that will lead to increased growth performance in a diverse 530 community, which lead to the promotion and maintenance of functional diversity. The results 531 also caution against focusing on single trait analyses in functional trait-based community ecology 532 and the aggregation of individual level trait variation to the species level. In particular, complex 533 trait-trait and trait-environment interactions are realized at the individual-level in communities 534 and performance landscapes should be empirically quantified and conceptualized using 535 individual-level data (Liu et al. 2016, Umaña et al. 2018, Swenson et al. 2020). Lastly, future 536 research will be needed to uncover the mechanisms that allow multiple species in a community 537 to occupy the same performance peaks in multidimensional phenotypic space and how above and 538 below ground resource levels interact to influence performance landscapes across systems.

539

#### 540 Acknowledgments

541 This study was supported by NSF US-China Dimensions of Biodiversity grants to NGS (DEB-542 1241136, DEB-1046113). The work was also supported by the Strategic Priority Research 543 Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant Numbers XDB31000000 and XDPB0203), 544 the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFC0500202) and the National Natural Science 545 Foundation of China (31370445, 31570430). This work was also supported by funding from the 546 University of Maryland and the US Department of Education GAANN program. Logistical 547 support was provided by Xishuangbanna Station of Tropical Rainforest Ecosystem Studies 548 (National Forest Ecosystem Research Station at Xishuangbanna), Chinese Academy of Sciences. 549 S.J.W., D.C.L. and N.G.S. generated the research idea; M.N.U., C.Z, L.L., M.C. and N.G.S. 550 organized and conducted data collection; S.J.W. analyzed data; and S.J.W., D.C.L. J.Z. and 551 N.G.S. wrote the paper with comments from all other authors. 552

553

#### 554 Literature Cited

- Ackerly, D. D. 2003. Community assembly, niche conservatism, and adaptive evolution in
   changing environments. International Journal of Plant Sciences 164:S165-S184.
- Ackerly, D. D., et al. 2000. The evolution of plant ecophysiological traits: Recent advances and
   future directions. BioScience 50:979-995.
- Adler, P. B., A. Fajardo, A. R. Kleinhesselink, and N. J. B. Kraft. 2013. Trait-based tests of

560 coexistence mechanism. Ecology Letters 16:1294-1306.

- Adler, P. B., R. Salguero-Gómez, A. Compagnoni, J. S. Hsu, J. Ray-Mukherjee, C. Mbeau-Ache,
  and M. Franco. 2014. Functional traits explain variation in plant life history strategies.
- 563 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 111:740-745.
- 564 Anderson, J. T. 2016. Plant fitness in a rapidly changing world. New Phytologist 210:81-87.
- Arnold, S. J., M. E. Pfrender, and A. G. Jones. 2001. The adaptive landscape as a conceptual
  bridge between micro- and macroevolution. Genetica 112-113:9-32.
- Baldeck, C. A., et al. 2013. Soil resources and topography shape local tree community structure
  in tropical forests. Proceedings of the Royal Society 280:20122532.
- 569 Baraloto, C., C. E. T. Paine, L. Poorter, J. Beauchene, D. Bonal, A. Domenach, B. Hérault, S.
- 570 Patiño, J.-C. Roggy, and J. Chave. 2010. Decoupled leaf and stem economics in rain forest
  571 trees. Ecology Letters 13:1338-1347.
- 572 Blonder, B., R. E. Kapas, R. M. Dalton, B. J. Graae, J. M. Heiling, and Ø. H. Opedal. 2018.
- 573 Microenvironment and functional-trait context dependence predict alpine plant community 574 dynamics. Journal of Ecology 106:1323-1337.
- Broadley, M. R., P. J. White, J. P. Hammond, I. Zelko, and A. Lux. 2007. Zinc in plant. New
  Phytologist 173:677-702.
- 577 Cao, M., H. Zhu, H. Wang, G. Lan, Y. Hu, S. Zhou, X. Deng, and J. Cui. 2008. Xishuangbanna
   578 Tropical Seasonal Rainforest Dynamics Plot: Tree Distribution Maps, Diameter Tables and
- 579 Species Documentation. Yunnan Science and Technology Press, Kunming, China.
- 580 Cavender-Bares, J., D. D. Ackerly, D. A. Baum, and F. A. Bazzaz. 2004. Phylogenetic
- 581 overdispersion in Floridian oak communities. American Naturalist 163:823-843.
- 582 Chave, J., D. Coomes, S. Jansen, S. L. Lewis, N. G. Swenson, and A. E. Zanne. 2009. Towards
- a worldwide wood economics spectrum. Ecology Letters 12:351-366.
- 584 Costa, D. S., F. Gerschlauer, H. Pabst, A. Kühnel, B. Huwe, R. Kiese, Y. Kuzyakov, and M.
- 585 Kleyer. 2017. Community-weighted means and functional dispersion of plant functional

- traits along environmental gradients on Mount Kilimanjaro. Journal of Vegetation Science28:684-695.
- D'Andrea, R., A. Ostling, and J. P. O'Dwyer. 2018. Translucent windows: how uncertainty in
  competitive interactions impacts detection of community pattern. Ecology Letters 21:826835.
- 591 Denwood, M. J. 2016. runjags: An R package providing interface utilities, model templates,
- 592 parallel computing methods and additional distributions for MCMC models in JAGS. Journal593 of Statistical Software 71:1-25.
- 594 Dwyer, J. M. and D. C. Laughlin 2017a. Selection on trait combinations along environmental
   595 gradients. Journal of Vegetation Science 28:672-673.
- 596 Dwyer, J. M. and D. C. Laughlin. 2017b. Constraints on trait combinations explain climatic
- drivers of biodiversity: the importance of trait covariance in community assembly. Ecology
  Letters 20:872-882.
- 599 Enquist, B. J., A. J. Kerkhoff, S. C. Stark, N. G. Swenson, M. C. McCarthy, and C. A. Price.
- 2007. A general integrative model for scaling plant growth, carbon flux, and functional traitspectra. Nature 449:218-222.
- 602 Enquist, B. J., J. Norberg, S. P. Bonser, C. Violle, C. T. Webb, A. Henderson, L. L. Sloat, and V.
- M. Savage. 2015. Scaling from traits to ecosystems: Developing a general trait driver theory
- Evans, J. R., and V. C. Clarke. 2019. The nitrogen cost of photosynthesis. Journal of
   Experimental Botany 70:7-15.
- Fonseca, C. R., J. M. C. Overton, B. Collins. and M. Westoby. 2000. Shifts in trait-combinations
  along rainfall and phosphorus gradients. Journal of Ecology 88:964-977.
- Fortunel, C., P. V. A. Fine, and C. Baraloto. 2012. Leaf, stem and root tissue strategies across
  758 Neotropical tree species. Functional Ecology 26:1153-1161.
- 612 Freschet, G. T., E. M. Swart, and J. H. C. Cornelissen. 2015. Integrated plant phenotypic
- 613 responses to contrasting above- and below-ground resources: key roles of specific leaf area
- and root mass fraction. New Phytologist 206:1247-1260.
- Garnier, E. 1991. Resource capture, biomass allocation and growth in herbaceous plants. Trendsin Ecology and Evolution 6:126-131.

- 617 Gelman, A., and D. B. Rubin. 1992. Inference from iterative simulation using multiple
  618 sequences. Statistical Science. 7:457-511.
- 619 Gelman, A., X.-L. Meng, and H. Stern. 1996. Posterior predictive assessment of model fitness
  620 via realized discrepancies. Statistica Sinica 6:733-807.
- Gelman, A., J. B. Carlin, H. S. Stern, D. B. Dunson, A. Vehtari, and D. B. Rubin. 2013. Bayesian
  Data Analysis, Third Edition. Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York, USA.
- 623 Green, P. T., K. E. Harms, and J. H. Connell. 2014. Nonrandom, diversifying processes are
- disproportionately strong in the smallest size classes of a tropical forest. Proceedings of theNational Academy of Sciences USA 111:18649-18654.
- Hair, J. F., W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, and R. E. Anderson. 2014. Multivariate Data Analysis,
  Seventh Edition. Pearson Education Limited, Harlow, UK.
- 628 HilleRisLambers, J., P. B. Adler, W. S. Harpole, J. M. Levine, and M. M. Mayfield. 2012.
- Rethinking community assembly through the lens of coexistence theory. *Annual Review* of
  Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 43:227-248.
- Hoffmann, W. A., and H. Poorter. 2002. Avoiding bias in calculations of relative growth rate.
  Annals of Botany 80:37-42.
- Holste, E. K., R. K. Kobe, and C. F. Vriesendorp. 2011. Seedling growth responses to soil
  resources in the understory of a wet tropical forest. Ecology 92:1828-1838.
- 635 Hubbell, S. P., R. B. Foster, S. T. O'Brien, K. E. Harms, R. Condit, B. Wechsler, S. J. Wright,
- and S. Loo de Lao. Light-gap disturbances, recruitment limitation, and tree diversity in a
  Neotropical forest. Science 283:554-557.
- Itoh, A., T. Yamakura, T. Ohkubo, M. Kanzaki, P. A. Palmiotto, J. V. LaFrankie, P. S. Ashton,
  and H. S. Lee. 2003. Importance of topography and soil texture in the spatial distribution of
- 640 two sympatric dipterocarp trees in a Bornean rainforest. Ecological Research 18:307-320.
- Jager, M. M., S. J. Richardson, P. J. Bellingham, M. J. Clearwater, and D. C. Laughlin. 2015.
- 642 Soil fertility induces coordinated responses of multiple independent functional traits. Journal643 of Ecology 103:374-385.
- 644 John, R., J. W. Dalling, K. E. Harms, J. B. Yavitt, R. F. Stallard, M. Mirabello, S. P. Hubbell, R.
- 645 Valencia, H. Navarrete, M. Vallejo, and R. B. Foster. 2007. Soil nutrients influence spatial
- distributions of tropical tree species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA
- 647 104:864-869.

- Keddy, P. A. 1992. Assembly and response rules: two goals for predictive community ecology.
  Journal of Vegetation Science 3:157-164.
- 650 Kéry, M. 2010. Introduction to WinBUGS for ecologists. Academic Press, Burlington, USA.
- 651 Kraft, N. J. B., O. Godoy, and J. M. Levine. 2015. Plant functional traits and the
- 652 multidimensional nature of species coexistence. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 653 Sciences USA 112:797-802.
- Kraft, N. J. B., M. R. Metz, R. S. Condit, and J. Chave. 2010. The relationship between wood
   density and mortality in a global tropical forest data set. New Phytologist 188:1124-1136.
- 656 Laughlin, D. C. 2018. Rugged fitness landscapes and Darwinian demons in trait-based ecology.
- 657 New Phytologist 217:501-503.
- Laughlin, D. C., and J. Messier. 2015. Fitness of multidimensional phenotypes in dynamic
   adaptive landscapes. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 30:487-496.
- Laughlin, D. C., R. T. Strahan, P. B. Adler, and M. M. Moore. 2018. Survival rates indicate that
- 661 correlations between community-weighted mean traits and environments can be unreliable
  662 estimates of the adaptive value of traits. Ecology Letters 21:411-421.
- Lesaffre, E., and A. B. Lawson. 2012. Bayesian biostatistics. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex,
  UK.
- Liu, X., N. G. Swenson, D. Lin, X. Mi, M. N. Umaña, B. Schmid, and K. Ma. 2016. Linking
  individual-level functional traits to tree growth in a subtropical forest. Ecology 97:23962405.
- Lusk, C. H., P. B. Reich, R. A. Montgomery, D. D. Ackerly, and J. Cavender-Bares. 2008. Why
   are evergreen leaves so contrary about shade? Trends in Ecology and Evolution 23:299-303.
- 670 Marks, C. O., and M. J. Lechowicz. 2006. Alternative designs and the evolution of functional
- 671 diversity. American Naturalist 167:55-66.
- McGill, B. J., B. J. Enquist, E. Weiher, and M. Westoby. 2006. Rebuilding community ecology
  from functional traits. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 21:178-185.
- Metz, M. R., W. P. Sousa, and R. Valencia. 2010. Widespread density-dependent seedling
  mortality promotes species coexistence in a highly diverse Amazonian rain forest. Ecology
  91:3675-3685.
- 677 Muscarella, R., and M. Uriarte. 2016. Do community-weighted mean functional traits reflect
- optimal strategies? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283:20152434.

679	Ohlemüller, R., S. Walker, and J. B. Wilson. 2006. Local vs regional factors as determinants of
680	the invasibility of indigenous forest fragments by alien plant species. Oikos 112:493-501.
681	Onoda, Y., et al. 2011. Global patterns of leaf mechanical properties. Ecology Letters 14:301-
682	312.
683	Paine, C. E. T., M. Stenflo, C. D. Philipson, P. Saner, R. Bagchi, R. C. Ong, and A. Hector.
684	2012. Differential growth responses in seedlings of ten species of Dipterocarpaceae to
685	experimental shading and defoliation. Journal of Tropical Ecology 28:377-384.
686	Paine, C. E. T., et al. 2015. Globally, functional traits are weak predictors of juvenile tree
687	growth, and we do not know why. Journal of Ecology 103:978-989.
688	Palmiotto, P. A., S. J. Davies, K. A. Vogt, M. S. Ashton, D. J. Vogt, and P. S. Ashton. 2004.
689	Soil-related habitat specialization in dipterocarp rain forest tree species in Borneo. Journal of
690	Ecology 92:609-623.
691	Plummer, M. 2003. JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs
692	sampling. In: Proceedings of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> International workshop on distributed statistical
693	computing.
694	Plummer, M. 2016. rjags: Bayesian graphical models using MCMC. R package version 4-6.
695	https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rjags.
696	Poorter, H., K. J. Niklas, P. B. Reich, J. Oleksyn, P. Poot, and L. Mommer. 2012. Biomass
697	allocation to leaves, stems and roots: meta-analyses of interspecific variation and
698	environmental control. New Phytologist 193:30-50.
699	Poorter, L., et al. 2008. Are functional traits good predictors of demographic rates? Evidence
700	from five neotropical forests. Ecology 89:1908-1920.
701	R Development Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
702	Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.
703	Reich, P. B. 2014. The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto.
704	Journal of Ecology 102:275-031.
705	Reich, P. B., M. B. Walters, and D. S. Ellsworth, 1997. From tropics to tundra: Global
706	convergence in plant functioning. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA
707	94:13730-13734.
708	Russo, S. E., S. J. Davies, D. A. King, and S. Tan. 2005. Soil-related performance variation and
709	distributions of tree species in a Bornean rain forest. Journal of Ecology 93:879-889.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

710	Russo, S. E., P. Brown, S. Tan, and S. J. Davies. 2008. Interspecific demographic trade-offs and
711	soil-related habitat associations of tree species along resource gradients. Journal of Ecology
712	96:192-203.

- Simpson, G. G. 1944. Tempo and Mode in Evolution. Columbia University Press, New York,
  USA.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., N. G. Best, B. P. Carlin, and A. Van Der Linde. 2002. Bayesian measures of
  model complexity and fit. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical
  Methodology. 64:583-616.
- Swenson, N. G., et al. 2012. The biogeography and filtering of woody plant functional diversity
  in North and South America. Global Ecology and Biogeography 21:798-808.

720 Swenson, N. G., S. J. Worthy, D. Eubanks, Y. Iida, L. Monks, K. Petprakob, V. E. Rubio, K.

Staiger, and J. Zambrano. 2020. A reframing of trait-demographic rate analyses for ecology
and evolutionary biology. International Journal of Plant Sciences 181.

- Terry, N., and A. Ulrich. 1974. Effects of magnesium deficiency on the photosynthesis and
   respiration of leaves of sugar beet. Plant Physiology 54:379-381.
- Umaña, M. N., C. Zhang, M. Cao, L. Lin, and N. G. Swenson. 2015. Commonness, rarity, and
   intraspecific variation in traits and performance in tropical tree seedlings. Ecology Letters
   18:1329-1337.

Umaña, M. N., J. Forero-Montaña, R. Muscarella, C. J. Nytch, J. Thompson, M. Uriarte, J.

729 Zimmerman, and N. G. Swenson. 2016. Interspecific functional convergence and divergence

- and intraspecific negative density dependence underlie the seed-seedling transition in tropical
- trees. The American Naturalist 187:99-109.
- 732 Umaña, M. N., E. F. Zipkin, C. Zhang, M. Cao, L. Lin, and N. G. Swenson. 2018 Individual-
- level trait variation and negative density dependence affect growth in tropical tree seedlings.
  Journal of Ecology 106:2446-2455.
- Westerband, A. C., and C. C. Horvitz. 2017. Early life conditions and precipitation influence the
  performance of widespread understorey herbs in variable light environments. Journal of
  Ecology 105:1298-1308.
- Wissuwa, M. 2003. How do plants achieve tolerance to phosphorus deficiency? Small causes
  with big effects. Plant Physiology 133:1947-1958.

- 740 Wright, I. J., and M. Westoby. 1999. Differences in seedling growth behavior among species:
- trait correlations across species, and trait shifts along nutrient compared to rainfall gradients.Journal of Ecology 87:85-97.
- 743 Wright, I. J., et al. 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428:821-827.
- 744 Wright, S. 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics 16:97-159.
- 745 Wright, S. 1932. The roles of mutation, inbreeding, crossbreeding, and selection in evolution.
- 746 Proceedings of the Sixth International Congress of Genetics 1:356-366.
- 747 Wright, S. 1945. Tempo and mode in evolution: a critical review. Ecology 26:415-419.
- 748 Yang, J., M. Cao, and N. G. Swenson. 2018. Why functional traits do not predict trait
- demographic rates. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 33:326-336.
- 750 Data Availability
- All code and data associated with this study are available from
- 752 http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3382271
- 753

# 754 Figure Legends

755 Figure 1. Conceptual model of a theoretical performance landscape of an individual along two

trait axes at (a) the low end of an environmental gradient and (b) at the high end of an

romental gradient. Note the multiple performance peaks within an environment and the

shift in location of these performance peaks across the environmental gradient.

759 Figure 2. Models including two-way interactions between leaf mass per area (LMA) and light (a,

b) and between root mass fraction (RMF) and light (c, d). a,c) Standardized regression

761 coefficients where circles indicate posterior mean values, lines indicate 95% credible intervals,

and filled circles represent significant effects. b) Simple slopes and intercepts visualizing the

partial effects of LMA on RGR when light is held constant at its minimum (0.66) and maximum

values (10.10). d) Simple slopes and intercepts visualizing the partial effects of RMF on RGR

when light is held constant at its minimum (0.66) and maximum (10.10) values. All variables

766 were natural log-transformed and scaled to unit variance. Values on the axes have been back

- 767 transformed.
- 768 **Figure 3.** Model including three-way interaction between leaf mass per area (LMA), root mass
- fraction (RMF), and light. a) Standardized regression coefficients where circles indicate posterior
- mean values, lines indicate 95% credible intervals, and filled circles represent significant effects.



779





This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Leaf Mass per Area