Saba Julie D (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4894-919X)

Responsiveness of Sphingosine Phosphate Lyase Insufficiency Syndrome (SPLIS) to Vitamin B6 Cofactor Supplementation

Piming Zhao[1,2], Isaac D. Liu[2,3], Jeffrey B. Hodgin[4], Peter I Benke[5], Jeremy Selva[5], Federico Torta[5], Markus R Wenk[5], James A. Endrizzi[1], Olivia West[1], Weixing Ou[1], Emily Tang[1], Denise Li-Meng Goh[3], Stacey Kiat-Hong Tay[3], Hui-Kim Yap[3], Alwin Loh[3], Nicole Weaver[6], Bonnie Sullivan[6,7], Austin Larson[8], Megan A. Cooper[9], Khalid Alhasan[10], Abdullah A. Alangari[10], Suha Salim[10], Evren Gumus[11], Karin Chen[12], Martin Zenker[13], Friedhelm Hildebrandt[14] and Julie D. Saba[1,15]

1 Department of Pediatrics, Division of Hematology/Oncology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

2 These authors contributed equally on this study

3 Department of Pediatrics, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University Health System, Singapore

4 Department of Pathology, University of Michigan Hospitals & Health Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

5 SLING, Department of Biochemistry, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

6 Department of Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/jimd.12238

7 Current affiliation: Division of Clinical Genetics, Children's Mercy Kansas City, Kansas City, MO, USA; Department of Pediatrics, University of Missouri, Kansas City School of Medicine, Kansas City, MO, USA

8 Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Denver, CO, USA

9 Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

10 Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

11 Department of Medicine, Harran University, Sanliurfa, Turkey

12 Department of Pediatrics, Division of Allergy and Immunology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

13 Institute of Human Genetics, Otto von Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

14 Department of Pediatrics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA

15 To whom correspondence should be addressed; Mailing address: Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute, 5700 Martin Luther King Jr. Way, Oakland, CA 94609; Phone: 510-450-7690; Fax: 510-450-7910; Email: Julie.Saba@ucsf.edu

Abbreviations: Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, DMEM; fetal bovine serum, FBS; focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, FSGS; liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, LC-MS/MS; nephrotic syndrome type 14, NPHS14; pyridoxal, PL; pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, PLP; pyridoxamine, PM; pyridoxine, PN; severe combined immunodeficiency, SCID; sphingosine-1-phosphate, S1P; sphingosine

phosphate lyase, SPL; sphingosine phosphate lyase insufficiency syndrome, SPLIS; T cell receptor excision circles, TREC

Details of the contributions of individual authors

PZ generated and analyzed data, helped write the manuscript, and reviewed the manuscript. PIB, JS, FT, MRW, OW, WO, ET generated and analyzed data and reviewed the manuscript. JAE performed molecular modeling, helped write the manuscript and reviewed the manuscript. DLMG, SKHT conceived of the idea and reviewed the manuscript. AL (Loh) and JBH performed pathological analysis of kidney biopsies and helped write the manuscript. MAC reviewed the immunological data, helped write and review the manuscript. IDL, HKY, EG, KC, MZ, FH, SS, AAA, KA, AL (Larson), EE, NW, BS contributed patient data, performed data analysis, and reviewed the manuscript. JDS (corresponding author) conceived the idea, designed the study, analyzed data, wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

Piming Zhao, Isaac Liu, Jeffrey Hodgin, Peter Benke, Jeremy Selva, Federico Torta, Markus Wenk, James Endrizzi, Olivia West, Weixing Ou, Emily Tang, Denise Goh, Stacey Tay, Hui-Kim Yap, Alwin Loh, Nicole Weaver, Bonnie Sullivan, Austin Larson, Megan Cooper, Khalid Alhasan, Abdullah Alangari, Suha Salim, Evren Gumus, Karin Chen, Martin Zenker, Friedhelm Hildebrandt and Julie Saba declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by R01-DK115669, S10OD018070 and the Swim Across America Foundation (JDS), Jeffrey Modell Diagnostic and Research Center for Primary Immunodeficiencies at St. Louis Children's Hospital (MAC) and R01-DK076683 (FH). We thank Florian Buerger for contributing patient fibroblasts.

Informed Consent: All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (5). Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study. Additional informed consent was obtained from all patients for which identifying information is included in this article.

Key words: sphingolipidosis, sphingosine phosphate lyase, *SGPL1*, sphingosine-1-phosphate, SPL insufficiency syndrome, pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, vitamin B6

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase (SPL) is a vitamin B6-dependent enzyme that degrades sphingosine-1-phosphate in the final step of sphingolipid degradation. In 2017, a new inherited disorder was described caused by mutations in *SGPL1*, which encodes SPL. This condition is referred to as sphingosine phosphate lyase insufficiency syndrome (SPLIS). Patients with SPLIS exhibit lymphopenia, nephrosis, adrenal insufficiency and/or neurological defects. No targeted therapy for SPLIS has been reported. Vitamin B6 supplementation has therapeutic activity in some genetic diseases involving B6-dependent enzymes, a finding ascribed largely to the vitamin's chaperone function. We investigated whether B6 supplementation might have activity in SPLIS patients. **Methods:** We retrospectively monitored responses of SPL biomarkers in patients supplemented with B6 and measured SPL activity and sphingolipids in B6-treated patient-derived fibroblasts. **Results:** In two patients, SPL biomarkers responded to B6 supplementation. SPL abundance and activity levels increased and sphingolipids decreased in response to B6. One responsive patient is homozygous for an SPL R222Q variant present in almost 30% of SPLIS patients. Molecular modeling suggests the variant distorts the dimer interface which could be overcome by cofactor supplementation. **Conclusion:** We demonstrate the first potential targeted therapy for SPLIS and suggest that 30% of SPLIS patients might respond to cofactor supplementation.

INTRODUCTION

Sphingolipidoses are lysosomal storage disorders caused by inactivating mutations in genes involved in the degradation of complex sphingolipids (Arenz 2017). Sphingolipids are metabolized through a common degradative pathway, which results in the production of bioactive intermediates such as ceramide, sphingosine and sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P). These intermediates play important roles in cell signaling, programmed cell death, vascular biology and immune cell trafficking (Hannun 2015). Recently, inborn errors of metabolism affecting enzymes involved in degradation of ceramides and sphingoid bases have been discovered (Edvardson et al 2016; Yu et al 2018). These conditions represent non-lysosomal, atypical sphingolipidoses that exhibit distinct pathophysiology and require unique therapeutic strategies (Dunn et al 2019).

Sphingosine phosphate lyase (SPL) is a pyridoxal-5'-phosphate (PLP)-dependent enzyme that catalyzes the irreversible catabolism of S1P and other phosphorylated sphingoid in bases in the final metabolic step of sphingolipid degradation (Van Veldhoven 2000; Saba 2019). In 2017, a novel syndrome was described, caused by inactivating bi-allelic pathogenic variants in *SGPL1*, which encodes SPL (Atkinson et al 2017; Janecke et al 2017; Linhares et al 2017; Lovric et al 2017; Prasad et al 2017). This condition, which is referred to as nephrotic syndrome type 14 (NPHS14) or SPL insufficiency syndrome (SPLIS), is associated with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome, adrenal insufficiency, ichthyosis, lymphopenia, and neurological defects (Choi and Saba 2019). The number of reported cases is now over forty (Bamborschke et al 2018; Settas et al 2018; Saygili et al 2019). The age range and

clinical presentations of SPLIS are broad, and the natural history remains poorly understood. Many SPLIS patients are diagnosed after irreversible damage to kidneys, adrenal gland or nervous system have already occurred. Clinical management has largely focused on treating complications.

Some *SGPL1* missense variants affect SPL residues not directly involved in the enzyme's catalytic activity. As in many other inborn errors of metabolism, there is evidence that these non-catalytic site variants may lead to structural variations that can induce SPL protein misfolding, aggregation and/or proteasomal clearance (Lovric et al 2017). Reducing SPL misfolding with pharmacological chaperones could represent a potential therapeutic approach for SPLIS (Choi and Saba 2019). Interestingly, the SPL cofactor PLP—the active form of vitamin B6—has been shown to function as a chaperone in inborn errors of metabolism involving PLP-dependent enzymes (Clayton 2006; Cellini et al 2014).

We now provide biochemical and immunological evidence that two SPLIS patients responded to B6 supplementation, supported by findings in patient-derived fibroblasts.

METHODS

Please, refer to Supplemental Material (**Methods**).

RESULTS

Identification of eight novel SGPL1 variants in seven patients with SPLIS.

Seven unrelated cases from diverse geographic locations in the Middle East, Asia and the United States were diagnosed with SPLIS based on the identification of bi-allelic pathogenic *SGPL1* variants (**Table S1**). An eighth case can be inferred from the history of a sibling of patient 7 who died of a similar condition. Three of the patients were homozygous for one pathogenic variant, and four patients were compound heterozygotes. Patients 1 and 4 harbored *SGPL1* amino acid substitutions that have been previously reported (Lovric et al 2017; Prasad et al 2017; Settas et al 2018). The remaining five patients harbored novel *SGPL1* pathogenic variants resulting in amino acid substitutions (patient 2, 3, 5, 6, 7), truncation (patient 3), splicing defect (patient 5) and frameshift (patient 7) of the SPL open reading frame. Pathogenic variants affecting the highly conserved PLP cofactor binding domain (exons 10-12) appear most frequently. One novel pathogenic variant resulted in a substitution at residue 353, replacing the cofactor binding lysine with an arginine, a change that is known to destroy enzyme activity (Reiss et al 2004). SPLIS-associated pathogenic variants identified to date including those in the present cohort are represented schematically in **Figure S1**. All patients in the current cohort presented with at least one feature of SPLIS within the first five years of life (**Table S2**). Similar to other reports, glomerular kidney disease and/or proteinuria was a prominent feature in six of the seven SPLIS patients in our cohort. In one case, renal pathology was consistent with collapsing variant focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, an entity that is associated with rapid progression to end stage renal disease (**Figure**

S2 and **Table S3**) (Morales et al 2019). In a retrospective analysis, we found that two additional patients with SPLIS exhibited collapsing variant focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (**Figure S2** and **Table S3**). Retinopathy and other novel phenotypes observed in our cohort that have not previously been reported in SPLIS patients are described in **Supplementary Material** and shown in **Figure S3**. Three out of four SPLIS patients exhibited high circulating levels of the SPL substrate S1P in comparison to healthy age-matched controls (data not shown).

SPLIS patients uniformly exhibit T cell lymphopenia.

All patients in our cohort exhibited lymphopenia, consistent with the known role of SPL in regulating S1P chemotactic gradients needed for lymphocyte egress (**Table S4** and **Supplemental Material)** (Matloubian et al 2004; Schwab et al 2005). For the four patients in which T, B, and NK cell populations were tested (patient 3, 4, 5, and 7), three had pan-lymphopenia (patient 3, 4 and 5), while one had isolated T cell deficiency. Antibody responses to vaccination were tested in four patients and found to be protective (patient 2, 3, 4 and 5), although two of those had low total IgG levels. Newborn screening for severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) detects the presence of naïve T cells in the peripheral blood using a quantitative PCR assay to detect T cell receptor excision circles (TRECs), DNA excised during T cell receptor recombination (Thakar et al 2017). Two of the three patients born in the U.S. at the time of SCID newborn screening had abnormal TRECs detected (**Table S4**).

SPL biomarkers respond to vitamin B6 supplementation in some SPLIS patients.

Given that vitamin B6 is a known cofactor for SPL and that other metabolic disorders with B6 dependent enzyme deficiencies can be B6 responsive, several patients were placed on B6 supplementation by the treating physician. All four of these patients were already in kidney failure at the time of B6 treatment. There was no uniformity in the method of administration, dosage or form of B6 supplementation. We then retrospectively collected lab values from before and after B6 was instituted in these patients. Considering the variable clinical features in our cohort as well as the unlikely event that end organ damage would be reversed by B6 therapy, we gathered information on the absolute lymphocyte count and percent lymphocytes before and after the intervention as a biomarker of SPL activity and B6-responsiveness in the cohort. In patient 1 (homozygous R222Q), oral pyridoxine was initiated at 5 mg/kg/d. As shown in **Figure 1A** and **Table S4**, the patient's absolute lymphocyte counts increased significantly in response to pyridoxine. Similarly, the percentage of lymphocytes increased in response to therapy with B6 (**Figure 1B**). Neither patient 2 (homozygous G360V) nor patient 3 (heterozygous for F290L and Y331*) responded to vitamin B6 supplementation (**Table S4**), and both died within months of starting supplementation (**Figures S4-S5**). In patient 4 (heterozygous S202L and Y416C), oral PLP was initiated at 30 mg/kg/day. At baseline, the patient was persistently lymphopenic (**Figures 1C-D**) and exhibited low CD3, CD4, and CD8 counts with a decreased CD4/CD8 ratio (**Figures 1E-F** and **Table S4**). There was also low mitogen response to phytohemagglutinin and concanavalin A (data not shown). Serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) levels ranged from 1.77 to 3.89 g/L, and monthly intravenous immunoglobulin was instituted for a period of 2 years. PLP therapy was initiated, following which IgG increased to 7.5 g/L in 4 months at which time the intravenous

immunoglobulin was discontinued. Beginning 4 months after therapy, CD3, CD4, CD8, and CD4/CD8 ratio improved (**Figures 1E-F,** and **Table S4**). At that time, pyridoxine given at 8 mg/kg/d substituted for PLP due to persistently elevated liver enzymes. Lymphocyte counts remained in the normal range, and the liver enzymes improved. Clinically, there was marked improvement in the patient's hair growth and resolution of bald patches (data not shown). Prior to instituting therapy, sphingoid base phosphate SPL substrates were measured by LC-MS/MS in patient 4 plasma on five occasions in comparison to healthy age-matched controls. Baseline plasma levels of the major SPL substrate S1P were higher than age-matched controls, whereas dihydro-S1P levels were lower (**Figure 1G**). Retrospective analysis revealed that the high plasma S1P levels in patient 4 declined subsequent to the initiation of vitamin B6 supplementation (**Figure 1H**). Both patient 1 and 4 remain alive and are awaiting kidney transplantation two years after starting vitamin B6 supplementation.

SPL insufficiency is confirmed using patient-derived fibroblasts.

To confirm the biochemical impact of *SGPL1* variants identified in our cohort, primary fibroblast cell lines were established from skin biopsy samples of patients 1-4. Cell lines were immortalized by stable expression of hTERT. A previously reported healthy control primary fibroblast line was also immortalized with hTERT (Lovric et al 2017). Both primary and transformed lines were used for analysis of SPL expression and activity levels and yielded similar results. As shown in **Figure 2A** and **Figure S6**, SPL protein levels in primary SPLIS fibroblasts were variable, with cells from patients 1, 2 and 3 exhibiting slightly lower SPL expression levels than control, whereas patient 4 fibroblasts showed

a profound reduction in SPL expression. Despite variability in SPL protein levels, all primary SPLIS fibroblast lines exhibited significantly lower SPL enzyme activity than controls (data not shown). Similarly, transformed SPLIS fibroblast lines exhibited SPL activity levels less than 10% of control levels (**Figure 2B**). When sphingolipid profiling was performed, significantly higher S1P levels were observed in all SPLIS fibroblast lines compared to controls (**Figure 3A**). Three out of the four SPLIS fibroblast lines also exhibited higher sphingosine levels and lower dihydro-S1P levels than controls (**Figure 3B-C**). Only patient 4 fibroblasts exhibited higher dihydrosphingosine levels compared to control fibroblasts (**Figure 3D**).

SPLIS patient-derived fibroblasts demonstrate responsiveness to treatment with exogenous vitamin B6.

Absolute lymphocyte counts and plasma S1P serve as useful biomarkers of tissue SPL activity. We investigated the impact of exogenous B6 on SPL abundance, activity and S1P levels in patient 4 fibroblasts, as these cells had the lowest SPL abundance and corresponded to a patient who exhibited a clinical response to B6. Patient 4 fibroblasts were propagated for one week in medium lacking all B6 vitamers, followed by an additional week in B6-deficient medium (control) or medium supplemented with B6 vitamers at 50 µM concentration, a dose chosen based on previous literature describing B6 chaperone effects (Oppici et al 2015). Comparison of SPL expression in fibroblasts receiving vehicle, PLP, pyridoxal (PL) or pyridoxamine (PM) revealed an increase in SPL abundance after treatment with PLP and PM, as shown by western blotting in **Figures 4A** and **S7**. Sphingolipid profiling in fibroblasts treated with different B6 vitamers showed that the S1P and sphingosine levels were significantly

reduced after treatment with PLP, PL, PM or PN—with PN and PM showing greatest effect (**Figures 4B-C**). In contrast, no significant changes were observed in dihydrosphingosine or dihydro-S1P levels regardless of treatment (data not shown). Patient 4 fibroblasts were then propagated for 1 week in medium containing a range of PN concentrations. A dose response to PN, as shown by a reduction in cellular S1P, was detectable starting at 0.3 µM, with greatest effect at 50 µM (**Figure 4D**). SPL activity was augmented in patient 4 fibroblasts by treatment with 50 µM PN (**Figure 4E**).

Response of SPLIS patient-derived fibroblasts to PN treatment correlates with in vivo response.

Finally, all four SPLIS patient-derived fibroblast lines were compared for their responsiveness to 50 µM PN based on reduction in cellular sphingolipids. Fibroblasts derived from patient 1 and 4 demonstrated PN responsiveness, as shown by the reduction in total cellular S1P levels (**Figure 5A**) and sphingosine levels (**Figure 5B**). In contrast, fibroblasts from pateints 2 and 3 were unresponsive to PN based on lack of significant changes in cellular S1P and sphingosine (**Figure 5A-B**). No consistent differences in cellular dihydrosphingosine or dihydroS1P levels were observed between treated and untreated fibroblasts from any of the four cases (**Figure 5C-D**).

Molecular modeling of the B6 responsive R222Q variant of SPL.

Using the crystal structure of human SPL with an inhibitor molecule in the active site as a guide (Weiler et al 2014), we interrogated the predicted impact of the SPL^{R222Q} substitution. Within SPL AB dimers, the arginine 222 side chain of monomer A donated by helix 210-227, stabilizes the C-terminus of helix 243-252 of monomer B and maintains the register of the helices through direct interactions with

both helices in a symmetrical arrangement, as shown in **Figure 6A**. Substituting glutamine for arginine would abrogate charge-dipole interactions at the C-terminus of helix 243-252, compromising helix stability due to electrostatic repulsion, represented in **Figure 6B**. Helix misalignment would likely perturb PLP binding by repositioning the N-terminus of helix 243-252, a key determinant for PLP recognition. A reduction in binding affinity for PLP could be compensated for by increasing the concentration of PLP through vitamin B6 supplementation. Using Boltzmann's equation (Caro et al 2017), we calculated that increasing the concentration of PLP by 100 to 200-fold (equivalent to pharmacological PN dosing) should compensate for the reduction in dimer stability and rescue the minor energetic defects introduced via the glutamine substitution. Destabilization of the intact, active, dimeric SPL structure due to the glutamine substitution could be compensated for by PLP binding through multiple direct hydrogen bonds with residues of both monomers and a water molecule and extensive van Der Waals interactions. PLP could additionally act as a chaperone if covalently bound to SPL, regardless of the K_m for PLP in solution.

DISCUSSION

In this report, we describe seven new SPLIS cases with one additional presumed case. Thus, we contribute 18% of the world's diagnosed cases of SPLIS, bringing the total known patients close to fifty. SPL insufficiency causes accumulation of the enzyme's main substrate S1P, consistent with our finding of high S1P levels in patient plasma and skin fibroblast lines. The low plasma dihydro-S1P levels observed in patient 4 could be explained by the fact that dihydro-S1P is generated via the *de novo* pathway, which may be inhibited by ORM proteins in the presence of high sphingolipid concentrations (Davis et al 2018). This differential effect also suggests that the plasma S1P/dihydroS1P ratio could be helpful in confirming the diagnosis in patients with typical disease features and missense *SGPL1* variants of unknown significance. We observed several unusual features, including two cases of retinopathy and three cases of collapsing variant focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (one in our series and two in previously reported cases). Further analysis will be required to establish the frequency of these conditions in the context of SPLIS. The wide range in severity and heterogeneity of SPLIS presentations could be explained by the degree of SPL inactivation. However, long-term follow up will be required to characterize the natural history of SPLIS and confirm genotype to phenotype correlations.

All patients in our cohort exhibited lymphopenia, which highlights the importance of the known role of SPL in generating S1P gradients that control lymphocyte migration (Matloubian et al 2004; Schwab et al 2005). Two patients exhibited pan lymphopenia and two exhibited T cell-specific lymphopenia. S1P receptors are present on all major lymphocyte populations, and S1P gradients are

thought to be important signals for lymphocyte migration (Matloubian et al 2004; Schwab et al 2005). It is uncertain why there is variability in B and NK cell lymphopenia, but it could be related to the presence of other receptors that promote migration of these lymphocytes. The positive response of SPLIS patients to vaccine challenge suggests that while circulating lymphocytes are low, functional responses may be intact. However, some patients with SPLIS develop recurrent infections, suggesting that SPLIS could be considered a monogenic cause of immune deficiency.

The greatest significance of our study lies in the responsiveness of two SPLIS patients to cofactor supplementation as measured by its impact on two biomarkers of SPL activity, namely plasma S1P levels and lymphocyte counts. The rise in lymphocyte counts in response to B6 was mirrored by increased SPL protein and activity and reduced sphingolipids in patient-derived fibroblasts. PLP serves as a cofactor for more than 140 different enzyme reactions (Phillips 2015). In addition to its catalytic functions, PLP can serve as a chaperone, facilitating the folding of nascent polypeptides of some PLP-dependent enzymes to attain their optimally active three-dimensional structures (Cellini et al 2014). This feature of PLP has been leveraged therapeutically in primary hyperoxaluria and other metabolic diseases (Hu et al 1993; Lorenz et al 2014).

No targeted therapy is currently available for patients with SPLIS. Our results suggest that some manifestations of SPLIS may be preventable by cofactor supplementation in patients with B6-responsive *SGPL1* alleles. We speculate that other chaperone-type drugs could also potentially be useful in the treatment of SPLIS. Studies comparing murine "SPL knockout" mice which die at weaning to "humanized SPL knock-in" mice which have 10-20% of normal SPL activity and are healthy

demonstrate that a small amount of SPL activity may suffice to prevent serious sequelae of SPL insufficiency (Vogel et al 2009). Thus, modest increases in SPL activity afforded by B6 supplementation may be clinically consequential. Lymphocyte trafficking is highly sensitive to disturbances of S1P gradients, as shown by the persistent lymphopenia in otherwise healthy humanized SPL knock-in mice. Thus, the rise in lymphocyte counts we observed may be a harbinger of a more global responsiveness of SPLIS pathology to cofactor supplementation. Our results demonstrating correlation between *in vivo* and *in vitro* responsiveness to B6 suggest that skin fibroblasts may be useful for theratyping, i.e., establishing genotype-specific responsiveness to B6 and/or other therapies.

Limitations of our study include the retrospective design, lack of uniformity in the treatment regimen and timing of intervention, lack of information on baseline vitamin B6 status, and differences in patient genotypes, age, medications and medical history. Like many other micronutrients, B6 contributes to optimal immune function with particular impact on lymphocytes, and supplementation can alleviate neutropenia and lymphopenia especially in individuals with B6 deficiency (Gombart et al 2020). In our study, we used the lymphocyte count as a biomarker of SPL function rather than as an indicator of overall immune status, infection risk or chance of survival. Although the two B6 responsive patients survived and the two B6 non-responsive patients did not, the differences in outcome could have been due to many factors that differed between patients including concurrent infections in patients 2 and 3, medications (particularly anticonvulsants which may affect B6 bioavailability) and *SGPL1* pathogenic variant. Testing a standardized vitamin B6 regimen for efficacy in well-designed prospective

longitudinal studies — ideally coupled with cell-based testing— will be required before firm conclusions can be drawn regarding the benefit of vitamin B6 in the context of SPLIS.

The two B6-responsive SPLIS patients harbor previously reported *SGPL1* pathogenic variants. Patient 1 is homozygous for R222Q. Substitution of glutamine for arginine disrupts the alignment of helices, distorting the active site and reducing cofactor binding affinity**.** Importantly, the R222 residue is substituted in nearly 30% of reported SPLIS cases. Thus, a significant proportion of SPLIS patients might benefit from cofactor supplementation. Patient 4 is compound heterozygous for S202L and Y416C. It is not possible to distinguish which allele is responsive to B6 without further analysis. *In vitro* studies measuring wild type and mutant SPL interactions and mutant SPL protein folding in the presence of PLP will be important next steps.

The greatest potential of cofactor supplementation would be to prevent the devastating neurological sequelae and end organ damage to kidneys and endocrine glands associated with SPLIS. The development of methods for early diagnosis could provide a window of opportunity for intervention with vitamin B6 supplementation or other targeted therapies as they become available.

REFERENCES

Arenz C (2017) Recent advances and novel treatments for sphingolipidoses. *Future Med Chem* 9: 1687-1700.

Atkinson D, Nikodinovic Glumac J, Asselbergh B, et al (2017) Sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase deficiency causes Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy. *Neurology* 88: 533-542.

Bamborschke D, Pergande M, Becker K, et al (2018) A novel mutation in sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase causing congenital brain malformation. *Brain Dev* 40: 480-483.

Caro JA, Harpole KW, Kasinath V, et al (2017) Entropy in molecular recognition by proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114: 6563-6568.

Cellini B, Montioli R, Oppici E, Astegno A, Voltattorni CB (2014) The chaperone role of the pyridoxal 5'-phosphate and its implications for rare diseases involving B6-dependent enzymes. *Clin Biochem* 47: 158-165.

Choi YJ, Saba JD (2019) Sphingosine phosphate lyase insufficiency syndrome (SPLIS): A novel inborn error of sphingolipid metabolism. *Adv Biol Regul* 71: 128-140.

Clayton PT (2006) B6-responsive disorders: a model of vitamin dependency. *J Inherit Metab Dis* 29: 317-326.

Davis D, Kannan M, Wattenberg B (2018) Orm/ORMDL proteins: Gate guardians and master regulators. *Adv Biol Regul* 70: 3-18.

Dunn TM, Tifft CJ, Proia RL (2019) A perilous path: the inborn errors of sphingolipid metabolism. *J Lipid Res* 60: 475-483.

Edvardson S, Yi JK, Jalas C, et al (2016) Deficiency of the alkaline ceramidase ACER3 manifests in early childhood by progressive leukodystrophy. *J Med Genet* 53: 389-396.

Gombart AF, Pierre A, Maggini S (2020) A Review of Micronutrients and the Immune System-Working in Harmony to Reduce the Risk of Infection. *Nutrients* 12.

Hannun Y (2015) *Bioactive sphingolipids in cancer biology and therapy*, Cham, Switzerland: Springer International.

Hu FL, Gu Z, Kozich V, Kraus JP, Ramesh V, Shih VE (1993) Molecular basis of cystathionine beta-synthase deficiency in pyridoxine responsive and nonresponsive homocystinuria. *Hum Mol Genet* 2: 1857-1860.

Janecke AR, Xu R, Steichen-Gersdorf E, et al (2017) Deficiency of the sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase SGPL1 is associated with congenital nephrotic syndrome and congenital adrenal calcifications. *Hum Mutat* 38: 365-372.

Linhares N, Arantes R, Araujo S, Pena S (2017) Nephrotic syndrome and adrenal insufficiency caused by a variant in SGPL1. *Clinical Kidney Journal* 1-6.

Lorenz EC, Lieske JC, Seide BM, et al (2014) Sustained pyridoxine response in primary hyperoxaluria type 1 recipients of kidney alone transplant. *Am J Transplant* 14: 1433-1438.

Lovric S, Goncalves S, Gee HY, et al (2017) Mutations in sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase cause nephrosis with ichthyosis and adrenal insufficiency. *J Clin Invest* 127: 912-928.

Matloubian M, Lo CG, Cinamon G, et al (2004) Lymphocyte egress from thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs is dependent on S1P receptor 1. *Nature* 427: 355-360.

Morales E, Alonso M, Gutierrez E (2019) Collapsing glomerulopathy: update. *Med Clin (Barc)* 152: 361-367.

Oppici E, Fargue S, Reid ES, et al (2015) Pyridoxamine and pyridoxal are more effective than pyridoxine in rescuing folding-defective variants of human alanine:glyoxylate aminotransferase causing primary hyperoxaluria type I. *Hum Mol Genet* 24: 5500-5511.

Phillips RS (2015) Chemistry and diversity of pyridoxal-5'-phosphate dependent enzymes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1854: 1167-1174.

Prasad R, Hadjidemetriou I, Maharaj A, et al (2017) Sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase mutations cause primary adrenal insufficiency and steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. *J Clin Invest* 127: 942-953.

Reiss U, Oskouian B, Zhou J, et al (2004) Sphingosine-phosphate lyase enhances stress-induced ceramide generation and apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* 279: 1281-1290.

Saba JD (2019) Fifty years of lyase and a moment of truth: sphingosine phosphate lyase from discovery to disease. *J Lipid Res* 60: 456-463.

Saygili S, Canpolat N, Sever L, Caliskan S, Atayar E, Ozaltin F (2019) Persistent hypoglycemic attacks during hemodialysis sessions in an infant with congenital nephrotic syndrome: Answers. *Pediatr Nephrol* 34: 77-79.

Schwab S, Pereira J, Matloubian M, Xu Y, Huang Y, Cyster J (2005) Lymphocyte sequestration through S1P lyase inhibition an disruption of S1P gradients. *Science* 309: 1735-1739.

Settas N, Persky R, Faucz FR, et al (2018) SGPL1 Deficiency: A Rare Cause of Primary Adrenal Insufficiency. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*.

Thakar MS, Hintermeyer MK, Gries MG, Routes JM, Verbsky JW (2017) A practical approach to newborn screening for severe combined immunodeficiency using the T cell receptor excision circle assay. *Front Immunol* 8: 1470.

Van Veldhoven PP (2000) Sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase. *Methods Enzymol* 311: 244-254.

Vogel P, Donoviel MS, Read R, et al (2009) Incomplete inhibition of sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase modulates immune system function yet prevents early lethality and non-lymphoid lesions. *PLoS One* 4: e4112.

Weiler S, Braendlin N, Beerli C, et al (2014) Orally active 7-substituted (4-benzylphthalazin-1-yl)-2-methylpiperazin-1-yl]nicotinonitriles as active-site inhibitors of sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 57: 5074-5084.

Yu FPS, Amintas S, Levade T, Medin JA (2018) Acid ceramidase deficiency: Farber disease and SMA-PME. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 13: 121.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Immunological and biochemical responses to vitamin B6 treatment in patients 1 and 4. Repeated blood counts in patient 1 show **(A)** the absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) and **(B)** percent lymphocytes increased in response to pyridoxine treatment. Blood counts before treatment, N = 14. Blood counts after initiation of treatment, N=17. * p-value for absolute lymphocyte count before and after treatment = 0.0025; p-value for percent lymphocytes before and after treatment = 0.0013. Repeated blood counts in patient 4 show **(C)** the absolute lymphocyte counts and **(D)** percent lymphocytes increased in response to PLP and pyridoxine treatments. Blood counts before treatment, N = 4. Blood counts after initiation of treatment, N = 13. * p-value for absolute lymphocyte counts = $7.2x10^{-5}$; p-value for percent lymphocytes = 0.0002. In patient 4, T cell CD3, CD4 and CD8 subsets were evaluated over time. Absolute subsets (**E**) and percentage of each subset (**F**) increased after B6 was initiated. The p-value for percent CD3, CD4 and CD8 before and after treatment = 0.011, 0.017 and 0.007 respectively; p-value for absolute CD3, CD4 and CD8 levels before and after treatment = 1.5×10^{-4}, $2x10^{-4}$ and 2×10^{-4} respectively. (**G**) Concentrations of S1P and dihydro-S1P measured in plasma of five controls matched by age, gender and ethnicity to patient 4. Data for patient 4 represent four different time points collected before any treatment was started. For each group, mean and interquartile values are represented. (**H**) Concentration of patient 4 plasma S1P (d18:1), measured by targeted mass spectrometry before (blue) and after (black) starting treatment with pyridoxal 5'-phosphate. Time is indicated in months after the first plasma sample was taken.

Figure 2. SPL protein expression and enzyme activity in SPLIS fibroblasts. Fibroblasts from SPLIS patients 1, 2, 3 and 4 and a healthy control were grown to confluence in B6 replete medium plus 10% FBS, harvested by cell scraping, pelleted, and whole cell extracts were used for both immunoblotting and SPL activity assays. (**A**) SPL protein expression in primary fibroblasts from patients 1, 2, 3 and 4; N = 3 per group. For patient 1, 2 and 3 fibroblasts vs. control, $p < 0.05$; For patient 4 fibroblasts vs. control, $p < 0.01$. Significance was calculated based on image quantification results shown in Supplementary Figure 6. (**B**) SPL activity in primary fibroblasts from patient 1, 2, 3 and 4. N = 4 per group. For each of the four patient-derived fibroblasts vs. control, $p < 0.0003$. These results are representative of three separate experiments, with similar results obtained using either primary or transformed fibroblasts.

Figure 3. Sphingolipid profiles in SPLIS fibroblasts. Primary and transformed skin fibroblasts from SPLIS patients 1, 2, 3 and 4 and a healthy control were grown to confluence in B6 replete medium plus 10% FBS, harvested by cell scraping, pelleted, and whole cell extracts were used for analysis of sphingoid bases and sphingoid base phosphates. Results are shown for primary fibroblasts: **(A)** Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P); **(B)** Dihydrosphingosine-1-phosphate (Dihydro-S1P); **(C)** Sphingosine; **(D)** Dihydrosphingosine (DHS). Each sample was run in triplicate (N = 3); * For S1P levels: patient 1 vs. control, $p = 0.03$; patient 2 vs. control, $p < 0.0006$; patient 3 vs. control, $p = 0.001$; patient 4 vs.

control, $p < 5.7 \times 10^{-6}$. For DihydroS1P levels: patient 1 vs. control, p = 0.07; patient 2 vs. control, p = 0.04; patient 3 vs. control, p = 0.02; patient 4 vs. control, p < 0.0003. For all other results, * p < 0.05. These results are representative of three separate experiments, with similar results obtained using either primary or transformed fibroblasts.

Figure 4. A SPLIS patient-derived fibroblast line responds to exogenous PLP and B6 vitamers. Patient 4 fibroblasts were maintained for one week in B6-deficient medium and 10% FBS, then switched to B6-deficient medium plus B6 vitamer. Cells were harvested by cell scraping, pelleted, and whole cell extracts were used for immunoblotting, sphingolipid quantitation or SPL assays. (**A**) SPL protein levels determined by immunoblotting. N= 3 per condition. For pyridoxal (PL) vs. vehicle, no significant difference was observed. For PLP vs. vehicle control (Ctrl) and pyridoxamine (PM) vs. control, p < 0.05. Significance was calculated based on image quantification results shown in Supplementary Figure 7. (**B**) S1P and **(C)** Sphingosine in cells treated with the indicated B6 vitamer; N = 4 per group. * For S1P: p < 0.0003 for all vitamers vs. control. + For Sphingosine: p ≤ 0.01 for all vitamers vs. control. These results are representative of three separate experiments. **(D)** Patient 4 transformed fibroblasts were maintained for one week in B6-deficient medium and 10% FBS, then switched to B6-deficient medium plus vehicle control (Ctrl) or a range of PN doses from 0.3-50 µM. Cells were harvested by cell scraping, pelleted, and whole cell extracts were used for S1P quantitation after one week of incubation in the stated conditions. N = 3 per condition. * For 0.3, 1.0 and 10 µM vs. vehicle, p < 0.005. + For 50 µM vs. vehicle, p < 0.002. **(E)** Patient 4 transformed fibroblasts were maintained for one week in B6-deficient medium and 10% FBS, then switched to B6-deficient medium plus vehicle control (Ctrl) or

plus 50 μM PN. After one week of incubation in the stated conditions, whole cell extracts were harvested and SPL enzyme activity measured. N = 3 per condition; * p = 0.035 for PN vs. control.

Figure 5. Response of SPLIS patient fibroblasts to PN treatment correlates with *in vivo* response. Fibroblasts from patients 1, 2, 3 and 4 were maintained for one week in B6-deficient medium and 10% FBS, then switched to B6-deficient medium plus 50 μM PN. After one week of incubation in the stated conditions, whole cell extracts were harvested and sphingolipid quantitation performed. **(A)** S1P; **(B)** Sphingosine; **(C)** DihydroS1P (DHS1P); **(D)** Dihydrosphingosine (DHS). N = 3 per group; * $p < 0.05$ or greater significance. For patient 1, PN vs. vehicle: S1P, $p < 0.0004$ and Sphingosine, $p = 0.03$. For patient 2, PN vs. vehicle and patient 3, PN vs. vehicle, no significant differences in S1P or Sphingosine were observed. For patient 4, PN vs. vehicle: S1P, $p < 0.002$ and Sphingosine, $p < 0.0007$. These results are representative of three separate experiments.

Figure 6. Potential mechanism of B6 interaction with SPL R222Q mutant protein. (A) PyMol-generated illustration of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP; black arrows) bound to wild type SPL monomers at the dimer interface. Monomers within the dimer are designated by green or yellow carbon atoms, while the PLP moiety contains cyan carbon atoms. Helix 243-252 (which would be distorted by substitution of glutamine for arginine) is shown in orange. R222 side chains are shown in deep blue. **(B)** Electrostatic PyMol surface representations for wild type and R222Q SPL homodimers illustrating electrostatic repulsion (red) at the dimer interface of the R222Q mutant enzyme. The white box

represents the dimer interface and the arrows point to the locations of R222 substituted by Q222 in each mutant monomer.

JIMD – Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease

Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflict of Interest

Mandatory Submission Form for Manuscript No. BOLI-D-20-00053

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. All authors are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The corresponding author is requested to sign and submit the scanned form together with the revised manuscript through Editorial Manager.

1. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

This includes:

- Grants
- Consulting fee or honorarium
- Support for travel to meetings the study or other purposes
- Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like
- Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript
- Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support.

☐ **No, financial relationships that present a potential conflict of interest**

☒ **Yes, the following financial relationships are present (explain on separate sheet).**

2. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work

Does any of the submitting author have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. This includes:

- Board Membership
- Consultancy
- Employment
- Expert testimony
- Grants/grants pending
- Payment for lectures including service on speaker bureaus
- Payment for manuscript preparation
- Patents (planned, pending of issued)
- Roaylties
- Payment for development of educational presentations
- Stock/stock options
- Travel/accomodatios/meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed

☒ **No, financial relationships that present a potential conflict of interest**

☐ **Yes, the following financial relationships are present (explain below)**

3. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

☒ **No other relationships that present a potential conflict of interest**

☐ **Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below)**

4. Include this Conflict of Interest on the publication

If the author would like to disclose information but do not want to publish it, they need to put those information in the cover letter.

☐ No, we don't want this COI on the publication.

☒ Yes, we want this COI on the publication.

I hereby grant permission for any such information to be included with publication of the manuscript in the *Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease*.

Author Signature, Date

Julie Saba, for all authors March 16, 2020

Please scan the completed and signed form and then submit it as an electronic file along with your revised manuscript. No manuscripts will be accepted for publication without this form.

Please, see attached doc.

Springer

http://www.springer.com/10545

March 16, 2020

Re: manuscript #BOLI-D-20-00053 "Responsiveness of Sphingosine Phosphate Lyase Insufficiency Syndrome (SPLIS) to Vitamin B6 Cofactor Supplementation"

Dear JIMD,

Please, note that due to the COVID19 pandemic many of the 27 authors contributing to this manuscript are unable to reach their work offices due to shelter in place, lock down and other restrictions. I (corresponding author) have confirmed with all the authors that the only funding received by them for work under consideration for publication include the following:

1) National Institutes of Health grants R01-DK115669 and S10OD018070 to Julie Saba
2) Swim Across America (nonprofit) Foundation grant to Julie Saba
3) Jeffrey Modell Diagnostic and Research Center for Primary Immunodeficiencies at St. Louis Children's Hospital to Megan Cooper
4) National Institutes of Health grant R01-DK076683 to Friedhelm Hildebrandt

These have been listed in the acknowledgements section of the manuscript.

All of the authors state that they do not have any competing interests, financial relationships or other relationships that present a potential conflict of interest to declare.

On behalf of all authors (Piming Zhao, Isaac D. Liu, Jeffrey B. Hodgin, Peter I Benke, Jeremy Selva, Federico Torta, Markus R Wenk, James A. Endrizzi, Olivia West, Weixing Ou, Emily Tang, Denise Li-Meng Goh, Stacey Kiat-Hong Tay, Hui-Kim Yap, Alwin Loh, Nicole Weaver, Bonnie Sullivan, Austin Larson, Megan A. Cooper, Khalid Alhasan, Abdullah A. Alangari10, Suha Salim, Evren Gumus, Karin Chen, Martin Zenker, Friedhelm Hildebrandt and Julie D. Saba), I declare this to be true.

Sincerely,

Julie Saba

Julie Saba MD, PhD
Professor of Pediatrics, UCSF

Springer

http://www.springer.com/journal/10545

Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease
Official Journal of the Society for the Study of Inborn Errors of Metabolism
Editor-in-Chief: Morava-Kozicz, E.
ISSN: 0141-8955 (print version)
ISSN: 1573-2665 (electronic version)
Journal no. 10545



JIMD_12238_F1R.jpg



JIMD_12238_F2R.jpg



JIMD_12238_F3R.jpg



JIMD_12238_F4R.jpg



JIMD_12238_F5R.jpg



JIMD_12238_F6R.jpg