anuscr **Nuth**

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1002/fsb2.20953



Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) engages endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor IRE1 α to regulate retinal innate responses in *S. aureus* endophthalmitis

Authors: Ajay Kumar^{1,}, Pawan Kumar Singh¹, Kezhong Zhang^{2, 3}, and Ashok Kumar^{1,3, #}

Affiliations:

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences/ Kresge Eye Institute, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, USA.

²Center for Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, USA.

³Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology, and Immunology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, USA.

⁴Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Running title: IRE1a regulates innate response in bacterial endophthalmitis

Corresponding Author: Ashok Kumar

Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences

Wayne State University School of Medicine

4717 St. Antoine, Detroit, MI 48201

Tel: (313) 577-6213

E-mail: <u>akuma@med.wayne.edu</u>

ABSTRACT

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response has been implicated in a variety of pathophysiological conditions, including infectious and inflammatory diseases. However, its contribution in ocular bacterial infections, such as endophthalmitis, which often cause blindness is not known. Here, using a mouse model of Staphylococcus (S.) aureus endophthalmitis, our study demonstrates the induction of inositol-requiring enzyme 1a (IRE1a) and splicing of X-box binding protein-1 (*Xbp1*) branch of the ER-stress pathway, but not the other classical ER stress sensors. Interestingly, S. aureus induced ER stress response was found to be dependent on Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2), as evident by reduced expression of IRE1α and Xbp1 mRNA splicing in TLR2 knockout mouse retina. Pharmacological inhibition of IRE1a using 4µ8C or experiments utilizing IRE1a^{-/-} macrophages revealed that IRE1 α positively regulates S. aureus induced inflammatory responses. Moreover, IRE1α inhibition attenuated *S. aureus*-triggered NF-κB, p38, and ERK pathways activation and cells treated with these pathway-specific inhibitors reduced Xbp1 splicing, suggesting a positive feedback inhibition. In vivo, inhibition of IRE1a diminished intraocular inflammation and reduced PMN infiltration in mouse eyes, but, increased bacterial burden and caused more retinal tissue damage. These results revealed a critical

role of the IRE1α/XBP1 pathway as a regulator of TLR2 mediated protective innate immune responses in *S. aureus* induced endophthalmitis.

KEY WORDS: *S. aureus*, Endophthalmitis, Retina, Microglia, Reactive oxygen species (ROS), Inflammation, Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2).

ABBREVIATIONS

TLR2, Toll-like receptor 2; ER, Endoplasmic reticulum; IRE1a, Inositol-requiring enzyme 1a; XBP1, X-box binding protein-1; NF- κ B, Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; ROS, Reactive oxygen species; UPR, Unfolded protein response; PERK, protein kinase RNA-like ER kinase; ATF6, activating transcription factor 6; RNA, Ribonucleic acid; WT, Wild type; DLAR, Division of laboratory animal resources; ARVO, Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology; DMEM, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium; FBS, fetal bovine serum; MOI, Multiplicity of infection; BMDM, Bone marrowderived macrophages; mM, millimolar; EDTA, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; M-CSF, Macrophage colony-stimulating factor; PCR, Polymerase Chain reaction; ELISA, Enzymelinked immunosorbent assay; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor-alpha; IL-1β, Interleukin-1beta; IL6, Interleukin-6; MIP2, Macrophage Inflammatory Protein 2; CXCL2, Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 2; KC, Keratinocyte chemoattractant; CXCL1, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1; TUNEL, Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; PMN, Polymorphonuclear cells; BSA, Bovine serum albumin; HRP, Horseradish peroxidase; LPS, Lipopolysaccharides; SA, S. aureus; LTA, Lipoteichoicacid; PGN, Peptidoglycan.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Staphylococcus (S) aureus has long been recognized as an important bacterial pathogen causing various human diseases including infection of the bloodstream, skin, bone and 3 joints as well as pneumonia (1-3). Aside from the aforementioned manifestations, S. aureus 4 5 remains the leading cause of ocular diseases, such as bacterial endophthalmitis, which 6 often results in poor prognosis even after treatment (4). Mostly, ocular trauma or surgical 7 procedures predispose the eye to develop bacterial endophthalmitis (5, 6). Visual prognosis 8 mainly depends on the virulence properties of the causative organism, visual ingenuity, and 9 the efficacy of the antimicrobial treatment regime (7). Eye being an immunoprivileged organ, the retina is highly susceptible to host-induced inflammatory damage along with the 10 injury caused by pathogens virulence factors. Previously, we have shown that retinal cells 11 including Müller glia, microglia, and photoreceptors cells mount a massive innate immune 12 response against S. aureus infection that could contribute to retinal damage in addition to 13 providing protection against pathogen (8-10). Studies from both our laboratory and others 14 have sought to elucidate the role of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) in the initiation of innate 15 defense mechanisms in bacterial endophthalmitis (4, 8, 11-15). Of particular interest is 16 TLR2, which has been found to orchestrate protective retinal innate immune responses in 17 Staphylococcal endophthalmitis via modulating intraocular inflammation, and induction of 18 antimicrobial peptides (4, 8-11, 15). 19

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is an intricate cellular organelle present in eukaryotic cells. The ER is a major site for protein synthesis and maturation and is involved in the processing of secretory and membrane proteins (16, 17). A wide variety of cellular conditions, including glucose deprivation, disruption of calcium homeostasis, and both viral and bacterial

24 infections, have been implicated as the causative agent behind the influx of unfolded or misfolded peptides which results in an ER stress response. To deal with this stress, the ER 25 has evolved a set of signal transduction pathways that are collectively termed as unfolded 26 protein response (UPR). For sensing ER stress, three major transmembrane transducers 27 have been identified: Protein kinase RNA-like ER kinase (PERK), Inositol requiring enzyme 28 (IRE1a), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). Compared to other stress sensors, 29 IRE1 α is the most conserved signaling branch. IRE1 α activation induces unconventional 30 splicing of a 26-nucleotide intron from the RNA encoding X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1) to 31 convert into mature XBP1s which then acts as a transcription factor (18). The IRE1 α -XBP1 32 branch is evolutionary conserved from yeast to human and is essential for mammalian 33 developmental processes (19). The cytoplasmic portion of IRE1 α has both kinase and 34 35 endonuclease activities, whereas the luminal domain can detect unfolded proteins. The IRE1 α induced XBP1s then activates downstream genes, including the ER chaperones 36 ERDj4, GRP78 (BiP), and PDI which are known to play a central role in restoring cellular 37 ER homeostasis and promoting cell survival (20, 21). If ER stress is sustained for too long, 38 it can result in persistent inflammation and eventual cell death (22). 39

Transcriptional induction of the *Xbp1* mRNA precursor after TLR stimulations in human macrophage, as well as mouse lung tissues during *Mycobacterium* and *Klebsiella* infection, have been reported (23, 24). ER stress response has been shown to either support or hamper disease progression by regulating inflammatory host defense pathways depending on the cell types, disease model, and the ER stressor (25). It has been shown that some intracellular pathogens utilize ER stress signaling as a protective mechanism for their intracellular growth and UPR induction is beneficial to the infection (26). Previously, TLR2

and TLR4 ligands mediated activation of ER stress response have been shown, which demonstrates a novel mechanism of IRE1 activation independent of protein misfolding in the ER lumen (27, 28). Nevertheless, the role of ER stress response in ocular infections, especially in bacterial endophthalmitis remains largely unexplored. Recently, we performed a transcriptomic analysis in *S. aureus* infected mouse retina (29) and discovered dysregulation of genes modulating ER stress response including *Xbp1* and *Bip*. Therefore, we intitated this study to investigate the role of ER stress in the bacterial ocular infection.

In the current study, we show that *S. aureus* infection in the eye specifically induces the IRE1 α /XBP1 axis of ER stress. Notably, we found that IRE1 α is essential for the induction of TLR2-mediated innate inflammatory responses and that the abrogation of this pathway is detrimental. In accordance, IRE1 α inhibition resulted in increased bacterial burden and retinal tissue damage in the eye. These results suggest that IRE1 α /XBP1 signaling is a protective host response in ocular infections.

60 MATERIALS AND METHODS

61 Animals

62 C57BL/6 [wild type (WT)] mice (both male and female, 6-8 weeks of age) were purchased 63 from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). TLR2^{-/-} breeders were purchased from 64 Jackson Laboratory, bred in-house, and maintained in a pathogen-free, restricted-access 65 Division of Laboratory Animal Resources (DLAR) facility at Kresge Eye Institute. *IRE1a^{flox/flox}* 66 and myeloid cell-specific IRE1 $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice (28) were provided by Dr. Kezhong Zhang (Center 67 for Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Wayne State University). All animals were maintained on a 12 h light 12 h dark cycle at 22 °C temperature and provided free access to the tap
water and LabDiet rodent chow (PicoLab; LabDiet, St. Louis, MO). All the procedures were
conducted in compliance with the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology
(ARVO) statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research and were
approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Wayne State
University.

74 Cell culture

An immortalized mouse microglia (BV2) cell line was maintained in low-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 5% FBS and a penicillin-streptomycin cocktail (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Before treatment, cells were cultured in antibiotic-free and serum-free DMEM for 18 h (growth factor starvation). Cells were either stimulated with TLR agonist Pam3CysSK4 (10 μ g/ml) or infected with *S. aureus* RN6390 multiplicity of infection (MOI) 10:1 for 8h.

81 Induction of *S. aureus* endophthalmitis

82 Endophthalmitis was induced in mice as described previously (4, 10). Briefly, mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine (ketamine, 100-125 mg/kg: 83 xylazine, 10-12.5 mg/kg). Under an ophthalmoscope, mice left eyes were injected 84 85 intravitreally with S. aureus strain RN6390 (5000CFU/eye) using a 34G needle attached to a 10µl syringe (WPI). Contralateral eyes injected with sterile PBS served as control. At the 86 desired time points post-infection; enucleated eyes or neural retina were subjected to 87 bacterial growth determination, inflammatory cytokines/ chemokines assays, Western 88 89 blotting, and histology as described in the following sections.

90 Isolation of bone marrow-derived macrophage (BMDM)

Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM) were isolated as described previously (30, 91 31). Briefly, bone marrow cells from *IRE1a*^{flox/flox} and myeloid cell-specific IRE1a KO mice 92 were flushed from femurs and tibias using RPMI media containing 10% FBS and 0.2 mM 93 EDTA. RBCs were lysed by adding a hypotonic solution of 0.2% NaCl for 20 s, followed by 94 the addition of 1.6% NaCl. Bone marrow cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 400 x g for 95 5 min followed by a wash with RPMI media. Cells were resuspended, counted, and cultured 96 in RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin, 97 and 10 ng/ml M-CSF at 37 °C in 5% CO2 for macrophage differentiation. Six days post-98 differentiation ~1×10⁶ BMDM/ml were seeded in 6 well tissue-culture plates for *in-vitro* 99 experiments. 100

101 **RNA extraction and Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

Total RNA was extracted from both mouse neural retina and BV2 cells using TRIzol per 102 manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). One microgram of total RNA 103 was reversed transcribed using Maxima first-strand cDNA synthesis kit per manufacturer's 104 instructions (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). cDNA was amplified for a gene of 105 interest by PCR using mouse-specific primers. PCR products along with housekeeping 106 107 internal control GAPDH, were subjected to electrophoresis on 2.5% or 1.2% agarose gel according to the size of the PCR amplicons. For XBP1 splicing detection, both, unspliced 108 and spliced forms of XBP1 mRNA species were resolved using high-percentage (2.5%) 109 110 agarose gel electrophoresis which is often used to detect IRE1 α endonuclease activity.

Images of ethidium bromide-stained gels were captured using a digital camera (EDAS 290
system, Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY).

113

114 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

ELISA was performed to quantify the levels of cytokines/chemokines in mice ocular tissue 115 116 as well as the cells conditioned media. Briefly, mouse eyes were enucleated and homogenized in sterile PBS by stainless steel beads using Tissue lyser (Qiagen, Valencia, 117 CA) followed by centrifugation at 15,000 x g for 15 min. Total protein was estimated using 118 the Micro BCA[™] protein estimation kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) per 119 manufacturer's instructions. ELISA was performed for tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), 120 interleukin-1beta (IL-1^β), interleukin-6 (IL-6) (BD biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) and 121 macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP2/CXCL2) and CXCL1/KC (R&D systems, 122 Minneapolis, MN, USA) per manufacturer's instructions. 123

Histology and Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay

Mice eyes were enucleated at desired time points for histopathological examination and fixed in 10% formalin. Embedding, sectioning and hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining were performed by Excalibur Pathology Inc. (Oklahoma City, OK, USA). All retinal H&E sections were observed with a light microscope (400 X magnification). For TUNEL staining, the eyes were fixed in Tissue-Tek OCT (Sakura, Torrance, CA, USA) and 6-8 µm thick sagittal sections were collected from each eye and mounted onto microscope slides. Retinal

sections were used for the TUNEL staining using ApopTag[®] Fluorescein In situ Apoptosis
 Detection Kit according to the manufacturer's instruction (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

134 Polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs) infiltration

To determine the PMNs infiltration in mice retina, flow cytometry was used as described 135 previously (32). Briefly, following euthanasia, retinas were isolated and digested with 136 Accumax (Millipore, MA, USA) for 10 minutes at 37 °C. A single-cell suspension was 137 prepared by triturating the retina using a 23-G needle/syringe and filtered through a 40µm 138 cell strainer (BD Falcon, San Jose, CA, USA). To reduce the non-specific binding of 139 antibodies, cells were incubated with Fc Block (BD Biosciences) for 30 minutes. After 140 washing with 0.5% BSA, cell suspensions were incubated with conjugated monoclonal 141 antibodies CD45-PECy5, Ly6G-FITC, and respective isotype controls (BD Biosciences) in 142 dark for 30 minutes. After washing, cells were acquired and analyzed using the Accuri C6 143 flow cytometer and software (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) respectively. 144

145 Immunoblotting

For immunoblotting, cells were lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) lysis buffer containing a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). Mice neural retinas were lysed by sonication in PBS containing a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. Total protein concentration was determined, and 30-40 μg protein was used for blotting. Denatured proteins were resolved in a 12% SDSpolyacrylamide gel and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (0.44μm) (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Following blocking, the blots were incubated with anti-phospho (Ser-51)- 153 IRE1 α , anti-total IRE1 α (1:1000) (Cell signaling Technology, Boston, MA) and anti- β -actin (1:5000) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) antibodies overnight at 4°C. Following washing, 154 blots were incubated with goat anti-rabbit/mice-lgG-HRP conjugate (BioRad, Hercules, CA). 155 Protein bands were developed using SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent Substrate 156 and visualized using iBright FL1500 Imaging Systems (ThermoFisher Scientific, Rockford, 157 IL). β-actin was used as a control for protein loading. Quantification of the intensity of bands 158 was performed using ImageJ software (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U.S. National Institutes of 159 Health, Bethesda, Maryland, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-2009). 160

161 Immunostaining

Immunostaining was performed as described earlier (33, 34). Briefly, cells were cultured on 162 four well glass chamber slides (Fisher Scientific, Rochester, NY) and pre-treated with 4µ8C 163 (IRE1a inhibitor) 1h before S. aureus challenge. Following stimulation, cells were washed 164 three time with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. Cells were permeabilized 165 with an ethanol: acetic acid mixture (2:1) at -20 °C for 10 min. and washed. The fixed cells 166 were blocked in 1% (w/v) BSA for 1h at room temperature followed by incubation with 167 168 primary antibodies (1:100 dilution) overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed with PBS and incubated with specific fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated secondary antibodies 169 170 (1:200 dilutions) for 1h at room temperature. Following incubation cells were washed with 171 PBS and mounted in Vectashield anti-fade mounting medium with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Slides were visualized using an Eclipse 90i fluorescence microscope (Nikon, 172 Melville, NY) 173

174 Statistical analysis

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

11

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism V8 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). All data has been expressed as means \pm SD unless indicated otherwise. Unpaired student t-test or One-way ANOVA was used for comparisons followed by Dunnett's' posthoc test wherever applicable. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All experiments were performed at least three time unless indicated otherwise.

180

181 RESULTS

182 ER stress is induced during *S. aureus* endophthalmitis

Bacterial infections have been shown to trigger an unfolded protein response (UPR) (35, 183 36) resulting in the activation of the ER-transmembrane protein IRE1 α . Although multiple 184 targets for the IRE1 α endonuclease have been identified, the splicing of Xbp1 mRNA is 185 the main under infectious and inflammatory conditions (37). To assess whether IRE1 α -186 XBP1 or other ER stress sensors are induced in bacterial endophthalmitis, we analyzed 187 transcriptomic data that had been previously published (29). Indeed, our data showed a 188 189 time-dependent induction of XBP1 (Fig 1A, upper panel) and BiP (Fig 1A, lower panel) 190 mRNA transcripts upon *S. aureus* infection by qRT-PCR. To further confirm our microarray data, we performed independent experiments and found S. aureus infection induced the 191 expression of IRE1α and the splicing of *XBP1* mRNA in mice retina, indicating the onset of 192 193 ER stress response (Fig 1B). However, the expression of CHOP, WSF, ER-localized DnaJ 194 homologue 4 (ERDj4), and the disulfide isomerase PDI did not change noticeably in infected retinal tissue as compared to control (Fig 1B), indicating that ATF6 and PERK pathways are 195

unlikely involved in *S. aureus* induced ER stress response in the retina (38, 39). These observations led us to focus on the IRE1 α mediated ER stress pathway in *S. aureus* endophthalmitis.

To evaluate the specificity of the bacterial-induced IRE1 α -XBP1 axis of ER stress we used a potent pharmacological inhibitor of IRE1 α , 4 μ 8C, which inhibits substrate access to the active site of IRE1 leading to the inactivation of *Xbp1* splicing and IRE1-mediated mRNA degradation (40). To investigate this, first, we performed a dose-response study using 4 μ 8C on mouse BV2 microglia cells (10) challenged with *S. aureus* (**Fig S1A**). Because all the dosages of 4 μ 8C tested showed a reduction of *XBP1* mRNA splicing (XBP1s), we decided to use 100 nM of this inhibitor for the remainder of the study.

206 *S. aureus* induces IRE1α mediated ER stress response via TLR2

In the eye, S. aureus has been shown to invoke retinal innate responses through TLR2 207 signaling (4, 10, 11) and TLRs have been implicated in triggering ER stress (26, 27), we 208 sought to decipher the link between TLR2 and IRE1a activation in our disease model, which 209 is currently unknown. To establish the role of TLR2 signaling in regulating IRE1a mediated 210 ER stress response, S. aureus endophthalmitis was induced in wild type (WT) C57BL/6, 211 TLR2^{-/-}, and MyD88^{-/-} mice with or without 4µ8C pretreatment. Our data shows *S. aureus* 212 infection-induced activation of IRE1 α and prominent splicing of Xbp1 transcripts in WT and 213 MyD88^{-/-} mice and the response was attenuated by 4µ8C treatment. In contrast, infected 214 TLR2^{-/-} mouse retina did not show the splicing of XBP1 (Fig 2A). We further confirmed the 215 IRE1α activation at protein levels in retinal tissue of *S. aureus* infected WT, TLR2^{-/-} and 216 MyD88^{-/-} mice by western blotting. Our results show that *S. aureus* significantly induced the 217

218 phosphorylation of IRE1 α in WT and MyD88^{-/-} mice whereas, its levels were significantly 219 lower in TLR2^{-/-} mice (**Fig 2B**). These results indicate that TLR2 mediates IRE1 α -mediated-220 ER stress response in *S. aureus* endophthalmitis.

For *in vitro* studies, we used mouse BV2 microglia which have been shown to respond to S. 221 aureus challenge analogous to that of primary retinal microglia (10). To examine the role of 222 TLRs in IRE1a activation in our model, BV2 microglia were challenged with the TLR2 223 agonist, Pam3CSK4, and the TLR4 agonist, LPS, in the presence and absence of IRE1a 224 inhibitor 4µ8C; live S. aureus (SA) was used as a positive control. Our results show all 225 agents (Pam3CSK4, LPS, and SA) induced the expression of IRE1a as well as the splicing 226 of XBP1 and that this response was reduced by 4µ8C treatment (Fig 2C). We also examined 227 the activation of classical ER-stress response and found no marked induction of CHOP. 228 ERDj4, and PDI expression in response to the Pam3CSK or S. aureus challenge (Fig 2C). 229 230 Induction of IRE1a and its inhibition by 4µ8C was confirmed at the protein level by western blotting (Fig 2D) and immunostaining (Fig S1B), which displayed reduced phosphorylation 231 of IRE1α upon 4µ8C treatment in BV2 microglial cells. 232

In addition to specific TLR ligands, we assessed the effect of *S. aureus* virulence factors (α toxin, LTA, PGN) on ER stress response and observed that they also modestly induced the expression of IRE1 α and XBP1 splicing (**Fig S2A**).

236 IRE1α regulates *S. aureus* and TLR2 ligand-induced inflammatory response

TLR mediated ER stress response has been linked to the elicitation of innate responses in
 various pathological conditions (27, 41, 42). Hyperglycemia-induced ER stress has also

239 been implicated in retinal Müller glia-derived inflammatory response in diabetic retinopathy (43). To assess the functional role of IRE1 α activation in *Staphylococcal* endophthalmitis, 240 we evaluated inflammatory mediators in mice eyes and cultured BV2 microglial cells. As 241 expected, S. aureus induced the production of key inflammatory cytokines, IL-1 β , TNF- α , 242 and the chemokine MIP2 in retinal tissue, whereas their levels were significantly reduced in 243 mouse eyes pre-treated with the IRE1 α inhibitor 4 μ 8C (**Fig 3A**). Similarly, pretreatment of 244 BV2 microglia with $4\mu 8C$ significantly attenuated inflammatory mediators induced by S. 245 aureus and Pam3CSK4 (Fig 3B). 246

Next, to further solidify the role of IRE1 α in an *S. aureus* induced inflammatory response, we used bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM) from IRE1 $\alpha^{flox/flox}$ and myeloid cellspecific IRE1 α^{-1} mice. *S. aureus* challenge of IRE1 $\alpha^{flox/flox}$ BMDM resulted in robust production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IL-6) but this was not the case in IRE1 α^{-1-} BMDM (**Fig 3C**). Collectively, these results support the hypothesis that IRE1 α mediates an innate inflammatory response in both *Staphylococcal* endophthalmitis and cultured immune cells (microglia and macrophages).

Inhibition of IRE1α attenuates *S. aureus* induced NF-κB and MAPK signaling

Because NF- κ B and other MAP kinases, such as ERK and p38 are known to regulate the inflammatory response in *S. aureus* endophthalmitis (10, 30, 44), we decided to assess their link with IRE1 α activation (45). *Staphylococcal* endophthalmitis was induced in WT mouse eyes pretreated with 4 μ 8C, and western blot was performed to detect I κ B and MAPKs in retinal tissue lysates. Our results show that *S. aureus* induced phosphorylation of I κ B, ERK1/2, and p38 proteins, whereas, IRE1 α inhibition reduced the activation of these

signaling molecules (**Fig 4A**). Similarly, the pathway-specific inhibitors for IκB, ERK1/2, and p38 reduced *S. aureus*-induced *Xbp1* splicing (**Fig 4B**), indicating the existence of a feedback inhibition system among these pathways. The activity of these pathway inhibitors was validated by assessing *S. aureus* induced mRNA expression of the inflammatory cytokine *II-1β* (**Fig S2B**). Together, these results suggest that IRE1α is an upstream regulator of *S. aureus*-induced NF-κB and MAPKs signaling.

267 *S. aureus* induced ROS activates IRE1α-XBP-mediated ER stress response

A correlation between ROS generation and the induction of ER stress has been shown in 268 several pathological conditions (46-48). Previously, we have shown that *S. aureus* induces 269 ROS generation in retinal cells (9). Therefore, we sought to investigate whether S. aureus 270 induced ROS production plays any role in the IRE1 α mediated ER stress response. To 271 investigate this, we used Diphenyleneiodonium (DPI), an NAD(P)H oxidase inhibitor, and a 272 potent ROS blocker. Our data showed DPI pretreatment in BV2 microglia, reduced S. 273 aureus-triggered IRE1a expression as well as Xbp1 splicing (Fig 5A). Moreover, the 274 conditioned media from DPI treated cells showed reduced accumulation of inflammatory 275 mediators (Fig 5B). This observation indicates that *S. aureus*-induced ROS generation 276 contributes to the induction of ER stress, and blocking ROS production could prevent S. 277 aureus-induced ER stress. 278

$IRE1\alpha$ inhibition results in increased bacterial burden and tissue damage in the eye

280 ER stress can either promote or impede disease progression depending on the disease 281 model, cell types, and the ER stress sensors involved (49-51). Studies have shown that

282 some intracellular pathogens use ER stress signaling as a protective mechanism for their intracellular growth, making IRE1 α induced UPR beneficial for the spread of infection (25, 283 52, 53). Since found that *S. aureus* induced IRE1α activation and *Xbp1* splicing both *in vivo* 284 and *in vitro*, and IRE1 α inhibition diminished pro-inflammatory cytokine production, we 285 sought to determine the effect of IRE1 α inhibition (4 μ 8C treatment) on disease progression. 286 First, we assessed the intraocular bacterial burden, and unexpectedly, we found that IRE1a 287 inhibition resulted in higher bacterial load in the eyes as compared to S. aureus alone 288 injected eyes. (Fig 6A). To assess S. aureus-induced disease pathology, we performed 289 histological analysis and our data showed increased retinal damage (retinal folding and 290 disintegration of retinal layers), and fibrin formation in 4µ8C-treated eyes compared to eyes 291 only infected with S. aureus (Fig 6B). This observation was confirmed by TUNEL staining 292 of retinal sections which showed more TUNEL positive cells in eyes treated with the IRE1a 293 inhibitor, 4µ8C (Fig 5C), indicating increased retinal cell death. We also performed flow 294 cytometry to assess PMN infiltration and found significantly reduced PMN infiltration in the 295 retina upon IRE1α inhibition (Fig 6D). Altogether, these results indicate that IRE1α-mediated 296 ER stress is essential in controlling disease pathology in bacterial endophthalmitis. 297

298

299 DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that IRE1α/XBP1 axis of ER stress pathways plays a pivotal role in the eye during bacterial (*S. aureus*) endophthalmitis through regulation of innate inflammatory responses. The pharmacological inhibition of this pathway markedly increased disease severity by increasing bacterial proliferation and more retinal tissue damage in the

eye. Most importantly, we found that bacterial-induced IRE1α/XBP1 signaling was TLR2
dependent. Since the attenuation of ER stress has been mostly shown beneficial in eye
diseases (54-56), our study reveals what we believe an unconventional role for the
IRE1α/XBP1 pathway as a crucial regulator of ocular innate immunity (**Fig 7**).

Our rationale of the current study emerged from an earlier transcriptomics analysis (29), 308 showing induced expression of Xbp1 and Bip transcripts in S. aureus infected mouse retina, 309 310 indicating their role in the pathobiology of bacterial endophthalmitis. Although several ER stress pathways can be triggered in mammalian cells under infectious and inflammatory 311 conditions, we did not observe the activation of ATF6 or the PERK pathway. We therefore, 312 313 hypothesized that the primary pathway involved in our disease model is the IRE1a-XBP1 pathway. This is supported by multiple pieces of evidence, including, splicing of IRE1a 314 downstream target XBP1 and the attenuation of an inflammatory response and severe 315 disease pathology by pharmacological inhibition of IRE1 α . These observations corroborate 316 with studies where activation of IRE1-XBP1 mediated ER stress has also been reported via 317 various intracellular pathogens such as *Chlamydia trachomatis* (57), *Brucella abortus* (58) 318 and *Francisella tularensis* (27). However, some other bacterial species and their toxins such 319 320 as subtilase toxin produced by Escherichia coli (59), and listeriolysin O, produced by Listeria monocytogenes (60) have been shown to activate other arms of the UPR as well. In addition 321 to live S. aureus infection, we found that TLR2 ligand, Pam3CSK4, and other S. aureus 322 virulence factors (PGN, LTA, α-toxin) also activate the IRE1-XBP1 pathway, indicating the 323 potential involvement of TLR2 signaling in regulating an IRE1 mediated ER stress response. 324 Moreover, LPS induced IRE1a activation through TRAF6 has also been reported by Zhang 325 and co-workers in collaboration with our laboratory (61). Consistent with our data, other 326

studies have suggested that TLR2 can activate the IRE1α pathway, but not the ATF6 or
PERK pathways (27).

Furthermore, we established the role of TLR2 signaling in S. aureus induced ER stress using 329 TLR2 and its downstream adaptor, MyD88 deficient mice. We found that S. aureus induced 330 IRE1a-mediated ER stress is TLR2 dependent but only partially dependent on MyD88 331 pathways. Prior reports have shown impaired XBP1 splicing in TLR2-deficient macrophages 332 following TLR2 agonists Pam3CSK4, FSL1, F. tularensis challenge and MRSA infection (27, 333 47). Similarly, Xbp1 splicing has been reported in macrophages by both MyD88 dependent 334 and independent mechanisms(27). Both TLR-signaling and IRE1-mediated splicing of Xbp1 335 have been shown to invoke innate immune responses and the production of inflammatory 336 cytokines (27, 36, 47, 62). Our data demonstrated that inhibiting IRE1a attenuates S. aureus 337 induced cytokine production in vivo (mouse model of endophthalmitis) as well as in cultured 338 339 microglia, implicating its role in regulating the inflammatory innate immune response. The experiments using BMDM from myeloid cell-specific IRE1^{-/-} mice further validates these 340 findings by showing inhibition of *S. aureus* induced inflammatory mediators. Thus, we 341 conclude that TLR2 triggers IRE1 α activation to initiate an innate immune response in S. 342 aureus endophthalmitis. 343

Previous studies from our laboratory and other investigators have shown that in ocular infections, NF- κ B and MAPK activation are key players in orchestrating inflammatory responses by various retinal cell types (10, 30, 44). In this study, we demonstrated that in response to *S. aureus* infection, IRE1 α mediated ER stress controls the activation of NF- κ B, and MAPK such ERK, and p38 signaling pathways. Similarly, the inhibition of these pro-

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

19

inflammatory signaling pathways by using their pharmacological inhibitors, resulted in impaired splicing of *Xbp1*, suggesting positive feedback inhibition of ER stress. These results indicate that diminished pro-inflammatory cytokines production upon IRE1 inhibition could be due to the downregulation of *S. aureus* induced NF- κ B and MAPK activation.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and ER stress induction are a part of a positive 353 354 feedback loop(47, 63). Innate immune cells also utilize ROS to kill pathogens, including S. aureus (9, 47). We previously showed S. aureus infection lead to ROS production in retinal 355 cells (9). Our experiment utilizing NADPH oxidase inhibitor, DPI, resulted in reduced Xbp1 356 splicing and diminished production of inflammatory cytokine, establishes a link between 357 ROS generation and IRE1α activation (47). Our data showed an increased bacterial burden 358 in the eyes upon IRE1a inhibition, indicating that IRE1a-mediated ER stress contributes 359 towards antibacterial activity. Since we observed reduced PMN infiltration in eyes treated 360 with IRE1 α inhibitor, it remains to be elucidated whether the increased bacterial burden is 361 due to reduced ROS generation within PMNs, less PMN recruitment, or a combination of 362 both and warrants further investigation. IRE1a deficiency has been shown to reduce 363 364 bacterial killing both in *in-vivo* as well as *in-vitro* models (47) resulting in extensive liver damage in F. tularensis infection (27). These observations coincide with our findings of 365 366 elevated bacterial burden with increased retinal tissue damage due to IRE1 α inhibition.

In summary, our study demonstrates an essential role of IRE1 α -mediated ER stress response in orchestrating the retinal innate immune responses in bacterial endophthalmitis. We also found that an IRE1 α -mediated innate immune response is regulated by TLR2, which has been shown to exert a protective effect in *S. aureus* endophthalmitis. These

20

- 371 findings uncover an important mechanism that can modulate ocular inflammation and could,
- therefore, provide new opportunities for the development of anti-inflammatory therapies and
- 373 treatments for ocular infections.
- 374

375 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by NIH grants R01EY026964, R01EY02738, R21AI140033, and 376 R21AI135583 (to AK). Our research is also supported in part by an unrestricted grant to the 377 Kresge Eye Institute/Department of Ophthalmology, Visual, and Anatomical Sciences from 378 Research to Prevent Blindness Inc. The study was partially supported by NIH grant 379 DK090313 (to KZ). The immunology resource core is supported by an NIH center grant 380 P30EY004068. The authors would like to thank Robert Wright for critical editing of the final 381 manuscript. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and interpretation, or 382 the decision to submit the work for publication. The authors declare no conflict of interest. 383

384 AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

A.K., P.K.S, and A.K. conceived the project and designed the experiments; A.K. and P.K.S. performed the experiments and analyzed the data; K.Z. and A.K. contributed reagents/ materials/ analysis tools; A.K., P.K.S., and A.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

- 389
- 390

391 **REFERENCES**

- Lowy, F. D. (1998) Staphylococcus aureus infections. *N Engl J Med* 339, 520-532
 Musher, D. M., Lamm, N., Darouiche, R. O., Young, E. J., Hamill, R. J., and Landon, G. C. (1994) The current spectrum of Staphylococcus aureus infection in a tertiary care hospital. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 73, 186-208
- 3963.Boucher, H., Miller, L. G., and Razonable, R. R. (2010) Serious infections caused by methicillin-397resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Clin Infect Dis **51 Suppl 2**, S183-197
- Talreja, D., Singh, P. K., and Kumar, A. (2015) In Vivo Role of TLR2 and MyD88 Signaling in Eliciting
 Innate Immune Responses in Staphylococcal Endophthalmitis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 56, 1719 1732
- Miller, F. C., Coburn, P. S., Huzzatul, M. M., LaGrow, A. L., Livingston, E., and Callegan, M. C. (2019)
 Targets of immunomodulation in bacterial endophthalmitis. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 73, 100763
- Miller, J. J., Scott, I. U., Flynn, H. W., Jr., Smiddy, W. E., Newton, J., and Miller, D. (2005) Acute-onset
 endophthalmitis after cataract surgery (2000-2004): incidence, clinical settings, and visual acuity
 outcomes after treatment. *Am J Ophthalmol* **139**, 983-987
- 4067.Hanscom, T. (1996) The Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study. Arch Ophthalmol 114, 1029-1030; author407reply 1028-1029
- 4088.Singh, P. K., and Kumar, A. (2015) Retinal photoreceptor expresses toll-like receptors (TLRs) and409elicits innate responses following TLR ligand and bacterial challenge. *PLoS One* **10**, e0119541
- Singh, P. K., Shiha, M. J., and Kumar, A. (2014) Antibacterial responses of retinal Muller glia:
 production of antimicrobial peptides, oxidative burst and phagocytosis. *J Neuroinflammation* **11**, 33
- 412 10. Kochan, T., Singla, A., Tosi, J., and Kumar, A. (2012) Toll-like receptor 2 ligand pretreatment
 413 attenuates retinal microglial inflammatory response but enhances phagocytic activity toward
 414 Staphylococcus aureus. *Infect Immun* 80, 2076-2088
- Kumar, A., Singh, C. N., Glybina, I. V., Mahmoud, T. H., and Yu, F. S. (2010) Toll-like receptor 2 ligandinduced protection against bacterial endophthalmitis. *J Infect Dis* 201, 255-263
- Coburn, P. S., Miller, F. C., LaGrow, A. L., Parkunan, S. M., Blake Randall, C., Staats, R. L., and Callegan,
 M. C. (2018) TLR4 modulates inflammatory gene targets in the retina during Bacillus cereus
 endophthalmitis. *BMC Ophthalmol* 18, 96
- Parkunan, S. M., Randall, C. B., Coburn, P. S., Astley, R. A., Staats, R. L., and Callegan, M. C. (2015)
 Unexpected Roles for Toll-Like Receptor 4 and TRIF in Intraocular Infection with Gram-Positive
 Bacteria. *Infect Immun* 83, 3926-3936
- 42314.Chang, J. H., McCluskey, P. J., and Wakefield, D. (2006) Toll-like receptors in ocular immunity and the424immunopathogenesis of inflammatory eye disease. Br J Ophthalmol 90, 103-108
- 42515.Pandey, R. K., Yu, F. S., and Kumar, A. (2013) Targeting toll-like receptor signaling as a novel approach426to prevent ocular infectious diseases. Indian J Med Res 138, 609-619
- 42716.Lin, J. H., Walter, P., and Yen, T. S. (2008) Endoplasmic reticulum stress in disease pathogenesis. Annu428Rev Pathol 3, 399-425
- 429 17. Xu, C., Bailly-Maitre, B., and Reed, J. C. (2005) Endoplasmic reticulum stress: cell life and death
 430 decisions. *J Clin Invest* 115, 2656-2664
- 431 18. Yoshida, H., Matsui, T., Yamamoto, A., Okada, T., and Mori, K. (2001) XBP1 mRNA is induced by ATF6
 432 and spliced by IRE1 in response to ER stress to produce a highly active transcription factor. *Cell* 107,
 433 881-891
- 43419.Newton, P. M., and Ron, D. (2007) Protein kinase C and alcohol addiction. *Pharmacol Res* 55, 570-435577

436	20.	Zhang, K., Wang, S., Malhotra, J., Hassler, J. R., Back, S. H., Wang, G., Chang, L., Xu, W., Miao, H.,
437		Leonardi, R., Chen, Y. E., Jackowski, S., and Kaufman, R. J. (2011) The unfolded protein response
438		transducer IRE1alpha prevents ER stress-induced hepatic steatosis. EMBO J 30, 1357-1375
439	21.	Kanemoto, S., Kondo, S., Ogata, M., Murakami, T., Urano, F., and Imaizumi, K. (2005) XBP1 activates
440		the transcription of its target genes via an ACGT core sequence under ER stress. Biochem Biophys Res
441		<i>Commun</i> 331 , 1146-1153
442	22.	Schroder, M., and Kaufman, R. J. (2005) The mammalian unfolded protein response. Annu Rev
443		Biochem 74 , 739-789
444	23.	Nau, G. J., Richmond, J. F., Schlesinger, A., Jennings, E. G., Lander, E. S., and Young, R. A. (2002)
445		Human macrophage activation programs induced by bacterial pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
446		99 , 1 503-1508
447	24.	Blumenthal, A., Lauber, J., Hoffmann, R., Ernst, M., Keller, C., Buer, J., Ehlers, S., and Reiling, N. (2005)
448		Common and unique gene expression signatures of human macrophages in response to four strains
449		of Mycobacterium avium that differ in their growth and persistence characteristics. Infect Immun 73,
450		3330-3341
451	25.	Celli, J., and Tsolis, R. M. (2015) Bacteria, the endoplasmic reticulum and the unfolded protein
452		response: friends or foes? Nat Rev Microbiol 13, 71-82
453	26.	Choi, J. A., and Song, C. H. (2019) Insights Into the Role of Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in Infectious
454		Diseases. Front Immunol 10, 3147
455	27.	Martinon, F., Chen, X., Lee, A. H., and Glimcher, L. H. (2010) TLR activation of the transcription factor
456		XBP1 regulates innate immune responses in macrophages. Nat Immunol 11, 411-418
457	28.	Qiu, Q., Zheng, Z., Chang, L., Zhao, Y. S., Tan, C., Dandekar, A., Zhang, Z., Lin, Z., Gui, M., Li, X., Zhang,
458		T., Kong, Q., Li, H., Chen, S., Chen, A., Kaufman, R. J., Yang, W. L., Lin, H. K., Zhang, D., Perlman, H.,
459		Thorp, E., Zhang, K., and Fang, D. (2013) Toll-like receptor-mediated IRE1alpha activation as a
460		therapeutic target for inflammatory arthritis. EMBO J 32 , 2477-2490
461	29.	Rajamani, D., Singh, P. K., Rottmann, B. G., Singh, N., Bhasin, M. K., and Kumar, A. (2016) Temporal
462		retinal transcriptome and systems biology analysis identifies key pathways and hub genes in
463		Staphylococcus aureus endophthalmitis. Sci Rep 6, 21502
464	30.	Kumar, A., Giri, S., and Kumar, A. (2016) 5-Aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside-mediated
465		adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase activation induces protective innate responses
466		in bacterial endophthalmitis. Cell Microbiol 18, 1815-1830
467	31.	Swamydas, M., and Lionakis, M. S. (2013) Isolation, purification and labeling of mouse bone marrow
468		neutrophils for functional studies and adoptive transfer experiments. J Vis Exp, e50586
469	32.	Singh, P. K., Donovan, D. M., and Kumar, A. (2014) Intravitreal injection of the chimeric phage
470		endolysin Ply187 protects mice from Staphylococcus aureus endophthalmitis. Antimicrob Agents
471		Chemother 58 , 4621-4629
472	33.	Singh, S., Singh, P. K., Suhail, H., Arumugaswami, V., Pellett, P. E., Giri, S., and Kumar, A. (2020) AMP-
473		Activated Protein Kinase Restricts Zika Virus Replication in Endothelial Cells by Potentiating Innate
474		Antiviral Responses and Inhibiting Glycolysis. The Journal of Immunology 204, 1810-1824
475	34.	Singh, P. K., Guest, JM., Kanwar, M., Boss, J., Gao, N., Juzych, M. S., Abrams, G. W., Yu, FS., and
476		Kumar, A. (2017) Zika virus infects cells lining the blood-retinal barrier and causes chorioretinal
477		atrophy in mouse eyes. JCI Insight 2
478	35.	Roybal, C. N., Yang, S., Sun, C. W., Hurtado, D., Vander Jagt, D. L., Townes, T. M., and Abcouwer, S. F.
479		(2004) Homocysteine increases the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor by a mechanism
480		involving endoplasmic reticulum stress and transcription factor ATF4. J Biol Chem 279, 14844-14852
481	36.	Pillich, H., Loose, M., Zimmer, K. P., and Chakraborty, T. (2016) Diverse roles of endoplasmic
482		reticulum stress sensors in bacterial infection. Mol Cell Pediatr 3, 9

- 483 37. Calfon, M., Zeng, H., Urano, F., Till, J. H., Hubbard, S. R., Harding, H. P., Clark, S. G., and Ron, D. (2002)
 484 IRE1 couples endoplasmic reticulum load to secretory capacity by processing the XBP-1 mRNA.
 485 Nature 415, 92-96
- 486 38. Harding, H. P., Novoa, I., Zhang, Y., Zeng, H., Wek, R., Schapira, M., and Ron, D. (2000) Regulated
 487 translation initiation controls stress-induced gene expression in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell* 6, 1099488 1108
- 489 39. Lee, A. H., Iwakoshi, N. N., and Glimcher, L. H. (2003) XBP-1 regulates a subset of endoplasmic 490 reticulum resident chaperone genes in the unfolded protein response. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**, 7448-7459
- 40. Cross, B. C. S., Bond, P. J., Sadowski, P. G., Jha, B. K., Zak, J., Goodman, J. M., Silverman, R. H., Neubert,
 492 T. A., Baxendale, I. R., Ron, D., and Harding, H. P. (2012) The molecular basis for selective inhibition
 493 of unconventional mRNA splicing by an IRE1-binding small molecule. *Proceedings of the National*494 *Academy of Sciences* 109, E869-E878
- 41. Liao, K., Guo, M., Niu, F., Yang, L., Callen, S. E., and Buch, S. (2016) Cocaine-mediated induction of
 496 microglial activation involves the ER stress-TLR2 axis. *J Neuroinflammation* 13, 33
- 497 42. Coope, A., Milanski, M., Arruda, A. P., Ignacio-Souza, L. M., Saad, M. J., Anhe, G. F., and Velloso, L. A.
 498 (2012) Chaperone insufficiency links TLR4 protein signaling to endoplasmic reticulum stress. *J Biol*499 *Chem* 287, 15580-15589
- Zhong, Y., Li, J., Chen, Y., Wang, J. J., Ratan, R., and Zhang, S. X. (2012) Activation of endoplasmic
 reticulum stress by hyperglycemia is essential for Muller cell-derived inflammatory cytokine
 production in diabetes. *Diabetes* 61, 492-504
- 50344.Kumar, A., Yin, J., Zhang, J., and Yu, F. S. (2007) Modulation of corneal epithelial innate immune504response to pseudomonas infection by flagellin pretreatment. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 48, 4664-5054670
- Kim, H. T., Qiang, W., Liu, N., Scofield, V. L., Wong, P. K., and Stoica, G. (2005) Up-regulation of
 astrocyte cyclooxygenase-2, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein-homology protein, glucose-related
 protein 78, eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha, and c-Jun N-terminal kinase by a neurovirulent
 murine retrovirus. *J Neurovirol* **11**, 166-179
- 510 46. Cao, S. S., and Kaufman, R. J. (2014) Endoplasmic reticulum stress and oxidative stress in cell fate 511 decision and human disease. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **21**, 396-413
- Abuaita, B. H., Burkholder, K. M., Boles, B. R., and O'Riordan, M. X. (2015) The Endoplasmic Reticulum
 Stress Sensor Inositol-Requiring Enzyme 1alpha Augments Bacterial Killing through Sustained
 Oxidant Production. *mBio* 6, e00705
- 51548.Ozgur, R., Uzilday, B., Iwata, Y., Koizumi, N., and Turkan, I. (2018) Interplay between the unfolded516protein response and reactive oxygen species: a dynamic duo. J Exp Bot 69, 3333-3345
- 51749.Senft, D., and Ronai, Z. A. (2015) UPR, autophagy, and mitochondria crosstalk underlies the ER stress518response. Trends Biochem Sci 40, 141-148
- 51950.Chen, S., and Zhang, D. (2015) Friend or foe: Endoplasmic reticulum protein 29 (ERp29) in epithelial520cancer. FEBS Open Bio 5, 91-98
- 52151.Cunard, R. (2015) Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in the Diabetic Kidney, the Good, the Bad and the522Ugly. J Clin Med 4, 715-740
- 523 52. Smith, J. A., Khan, M., Magnani, D. D., Harms, J. S., Durward, M., Radhakrishnan, G. K., Liu, Y. P., and 524 Splitter, G. A. (2013) Brucella induces an unfolded protein response via TcpB that supports 525 intracellular replication in macrophages. *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003785
- 52653.Qin, Q. M., Pei, J., Ancona, V., Shaw, B. D., Ficht, T. A., and de Figueiredo, P. (2008) RNAi screen of527endoplasmic reticulum-associated host factors reveals a role for IRE1alpha in supporting Brucella528replication. *PLoS Pathog* **4**, e1000110
- 52954.Kroeger, H., Chiang, W. C., Felden, J., Nguyen, A., and Lin, J. H. (2019) ER stress and unfolded protein530response in ocular health and disease. *FEBS J* **286**, 399-412

- 531 55. Zode, G. S., Sharma, A. B., Lin, X., Searby, C. C., Bugge, K., Kim, G. H., Clark, A. F., and Sheffield, V. C. 532 (2014) Ocular-specific ER stress reduction rescues glaucoma in murine glucocorticoid-induced 533 glaucoma. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 124, 1956-1965
- 534 56. Bhatta, M., Chatpar, K., Hu, Z., Wang, J. J., and Zhang, S. X. (2018) Reduction of Endoplasmic 535 Reticulum Stress Improves Angiogenic Progenitor Cell function in a Mouse Model of Type 1 Diabetes. 536 *Cell Death Dis* **9**, 467-467
- 537 57. Webster, S. J., Ellis, L., O'Brien, L. M., Tyrrell, B., Fitzmaurice, T. J., Elder, M. J., Clare, S., Chee, R., 538 Gaston, J. S., and Goodall, J. C. (2016) IRE1alpha mediates PKR activation in response to Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Microbes Infect 18, 472-483 539
- 540 58. de Jong, M. F., Starr, T., Winter, M. G., den Hartigh, A. B., Child, R., Knodler, L. A., van Dijl, J. M., Celli, 541 J., and Tsolis, R. M. (2013) Sensing of bacterial type IV secretion via the unfolded protein response. 542 *mBio* **4**, e00418-00412
- 543 59. Morinaga, N., Yahiro, K., Matsuura, G., Moss, J., and Noda, M. (2008) Subtilase cytotoxin, produced 544 by Shiga-toxigenic Escherichia coli, transiently inhibits protein synthesis of Vero cells via degradation 545 of BiP and induces cell cycle arrest at G1 by downregulation of cyclin D1. Cell Microbiol 10, 921-929
- 546 60. Pillich, H., Loose, M., Zimmer, K. P., and Chakraborty, T. (2012) Activation of the unfolded protein 547 response by Listeria monocytogenes. Cell Microbiol 14, 949-964
- 548 61. Dandekar, A., Qiu, Y., Kim, H., Wang, J., Hou, X., Zhang, X., Zheng, Z., Mendez, R., Yu, F. S., Kumar, A., 549 Fang, D., Sun, F., and Zhang, K. (2016) Toll-like Receptor (TLR) Signaling Interacts with CREBH to 550 Modulate High-density Lipoprotein (HDL) in Response to Bacterial Endotoxin. J Biol Chem 291, 23149-23158 551
- Oslowski, C. M., Hara, T., O'Sullivan-Murphy, B., Kanekura, K., Lu, S., Hara, M., Ishigaki, S., Zhu, L. J., 552 62. 553 Hayashi, E., Hui, S. T., Greiner, D., Kaufman, R. J., Bortell, R., and Urano, F. (2012) Thioredoxin-554 interacting protein mediates ER stress-induced beta cell death through initiation of the 555 inflammasome. Cell Metab 16, 265-273
- 556 63. Chong, W. C., Shastri, M. D., and Eri, R. (2017) Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Oxidative Stress: A 557 Vicious Nexus Implicated in Bowel Disease Pathophysiology. Int J Mol Sci 18
- 558

- Author 561 562 563
- 564
- 565
- 566
- 567

568

569

1anuscri Nuthor N

570 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. S. aureus infection induces ER stress in the mouse retina. C57BL/6 mouse 571 (n=6) eyes were intravitreally injected with PBS (control, C) or 5000 CFU of S. aureus (SA), 572 strain RN6390. At indicated time point post-infection, eyes were enucleated, and retinal 573 tissue was subjected to temporal transcriptomic analysis using microarray (reported 574 previously (29). The microarray data showed induced expression of Xbp1 and Bip genes. 575 576 The data are expressed as relative fold change by normalizing the expression of genes with respect to control (A). In another set of experiments, RNA was extracted from SA-infected 577 retinal tissue at 24h and subjected to RT-PCR to detect mRNA expression of indicated ER 578 579 stress markers (B). Results are representative of at least two independent (n=6 each) experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA *, P < 0.05; **, P < 580 0.001. Data are shown as the mean ± SD 581

Figure 2. S. aureus induces IRE1a mediated ER stress via TLR2. C57BL/6 (WT), TLR2-582 ¹, and MyD88^{-/-} mouse (n=6 each) (all on B6 background) eyes were intravitreally injected 583 with 4µ8C (0.1µg/eye), 12h post drug injection, SA endophthalmitis was induced, and retinal 584 585 tissue (24h post-SA infection) was harvested and subjected to RT-PCR to detect IRE1a expression and XBP1 splicing, using GAPDH as housekeeping gene (A). The protein levels 586 of pIRE1α and IRE1α were assessed by western blot (**B**, left panel), and band intensities 587 588 were quantified using ImageJ, normalized with β -actin, and represented as a bar graph (**B**, **Right panel).** Mouse microglial cells (BV2 cell line) were left untreated or pre-treated with 589 590 IRE1α inhibitor, 4µ8C (100 nM), followed by challenge with S. aureus (SA), TLR2 agonist 591 (Pam3CSK4, 10 µg/ml), and, TLR4 agonist (LPS, 10 µg/ml) for 8h. The mRNA expression

of indicated ER stress markers was assessed by RT-PCR (**C**). The protein levels of pIRE1 α and IRE1 α were assessed by western blot (**D**, left panel), and band intensities were quantified using ImageJ, normalized with β -actin, and represented as a bar graph (**D**, **Right panel**) Results are representative of at least three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA*, *P* <0.05; **, *P* <0.01; ****, *P* <0.0001; ns, not significant.

Figure 3. IRE1α regulates *S. aureus* and TLR2 ligand-induced inflammatory response. 598 C57BL/6 (WT) and TLR2^{-/-} mouse (n = 6 per group) eves were injected with IRE1 α inhibitor, 599 4µ8C (0.1 µg/eye), 12h prior to induction of S. aureus endophthalmitis and at 24h post-600 infection eye lysates were subjected to ELISA for measurements of indicated 601 cytokines/chemokines (A). BV2 microglial cells were pre-treated with 4µ8C (100 nM, for 1h) 602 followed by challenge with SA (MOI 10:1), and Pam3CSK4 (10 µg/ml) for 8h. The 603 conditioned media was used for ELISA for the guantification of indicated 604 cytokines/chemokines (B). The production of inflammatory mediators was assessed in 605 IRE1 $\alpha^{\text{flox/flox}}$, and myeloid cell-specific IRE1 $\alpha^{-/-}$ BMDM (M Φ) challenged with SA (MOI 10:1) 606 for 8h using ELISA (C). Results are cumulative of at least two independent (n=6 each) 607 608 experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001; ns, not significant. Data are shown as the mean ± SD. 609

Figure 4. IRE1 α inhibition attenuates *S. aureus* induced NF- κ B and MAPK signaling. C57BL/6 mouse (n = 6 per group) eyes were injected with IRE1 α inhibitor, 4 μ 8C (0.1 μ g/eye), 12h prior to the induction of *S. aureus* (SA) endophthalmitis. At 24h post-infection, retinal tissue lysates were subjected to western blot analysis for pl κ B, pERK, and pp38 signaling pathways (**A**, Left panel). Band intensities were quantified using ImageJ, normalized with β -actin, and represented as fold change in a bar graph (**A**, **Right panel**). BV2 cells were pre-treated for 1h with NF- κ B, p38, and ERK inhibitors (10 μ M each) followed by SA (MOI 10:1) challenge for 8h. Total RNA was extracted, reverse transcribed, and subjected to RT-PCR for XBP1 spliced (XBP1s) and unspliced (XBP1u) forms. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA. Data represent mean ± SD. from two independent (n=6 each) experiments *, *P* <0.05; **, *P* <0.01; ***, *P*< 0.001.

Figure 5. ROS inhibition reduces S. aureus induced XBP1 splicing and inflammatory 621 mediators. BV2 microglial cells were left untreated or pre-treated with NADPH oxidase 622 inhibitor, DPI (1 µM) for 1h followed by S. aureus (SA) challenge for 8 h. Total RNA was 623 extracted, reverse transcribed, and subjected to RT-PCR for detection of IRE1α and XBP1 624 (unspliced: XBPu, spliced: XBPs) (A). ELISA was performed from conditioned media for 625 indicated cytokines/chemokines quantification (B). Results are cumulative of at least three 626 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, ****, 627 P<0.0001. Data are shown as the mean ± SD. 628

Figure 6. IRE1 α inhibition aggravates disease pathology in mouse eyes. Eyes of C57BL/6 WT mouse (n = 6) were pre-treated by intravitreal injection with 4µ8C (0.1 µg/eye), followed by induction of *S. aureus* (SA) endophthalmitis. Twenty-four hours post-SA infection, eyes were enucleated, lysate was prepared in sterile PBS, and the bacterial count was measured by serial plate dilution and represented as CFU per eye (**A**). Histological analysis was were performed at 24h post-infection by paraffin embedding and H&E staining. 4µ8C alone injected eyes were used as control (**B**). Retinal cell death was visualized by 636 TUNEL staining on cryosections showing TUNEL-positive cells (green) and DAPI stained nuclei (blue) (C). Flow cytometry was used to assess PMN infiltration by pooling retina from 637 two eyes and staining single-cell suspensions with anti-CD45-PECv5 and anti-Lv6G-FITC 638 monoclonal antibodies (D). Representative dot plots show the percentage of dually positive 639 PMNs (upper right guadrants in **upper panel**). The bar graph shows cumulative guantitative 640 data from three independent experiments (lower panel). (ONH: optic nerve head, RPE: 641 retinal pigmented epithelium layer, ONL: outer nuclear layer, INL: inner nuclear layer, GCL: 642 ganglion cell layer, VC: vitreous chamber, L: lens) Statistical analysis was performed using 643 Student's t-test. Data represent mean ± SD from two independent (n=6 each) experiments 644 **, P < 0.01. 645

Figure 7. A schematic illustration of IRE1 α in regulating the innate inflammatory 646 response in bacterial endophthalmitis. TLR2 recognizes S. aureus and induces IRE1a 647 activation through ROS generation. This causes splicing of IRE1a downstream target, 648 XBP1, which activates NF- κ B and MAPK signaling resulting in the production of pro-649 inflammatory cytokines/chemokines. S. aureus infection primarily induces, IRE1a-XBP1 650 axis of the ER stress response. The schematic diagram was created using BioRender 651 652 software. Aut 653 654

655















