FULL PAPER

Myocardial T_1 and T_2 quantification and water-fat separation using cardiac MR fingerprinting with rosette trajectories at 3T and 1.5T

Yuchi Liu^{1,2} | Jesse Hamilton^{1,2} | Brendan Eck^{1,3} | Mark Griswold^{1,4} | Nicole Seiberlich^{1,2,4}

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA

²Department of Radiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

³Department of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Sciences, Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland, OH, USA

⁴Department of Radiology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, USA

Correspondence

Nicole Seiberlich, Department of Radiology, University of Michigan, 1150 West Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA. Email: nse@med.umich.edu

Funding information

National Science Foundation, Grant/ Award Number: CBET 1553441; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Grant/ Award Number: R01HL094557; National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Grant/Award Number: R01DK098503 **Purpose:** This work aims to develop an approach for simultaneous water–fat separation and myocardial T_1 and T_2 quantification based on the cardiac MR fingerprinting (cMRF) framework with rosette trajectories at 3T and 1.5T.

Methods: Two 15-heartbeat cMRF sequences with different rosette trajectories designed for water–fat separation at 3T and 1.5T were implemented. Water T_1 and T_2 maps, water image, and fat image were generated with B_0 inhomogeneity correction using a B_0 map derived from the cMRF data themselves. The proposed water–fat separation rosette cMRF approach was validated in the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/National Institute of Standards and Technology MRI system phantom and water/oil phantoms. It was also applied for myocardial tissue mapping of healthy subjects at both 3T and 1.5T.

Results: Water T_1 and T_2 values measured using rosette cMRF in the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/National Institute of Standards and Technology phantom agreed well with the reference values. In the water/oil phantom, oil was well suppressed in the water images and vice versa. Rosette cMRF yielded comparable T_1 but 2~3 ms higher T_2 values in the myocardium of healthy subjects than the original spiral cMRF method. Epicardial fat deposition was also clearly shown in the fat images.

Conclusion: Rosette cMRF provides fat images along with myocardial T_1 and T_2 maps with significant fat suppression. This technique may improve visualization of the anatomical structure of the heart by separating water and fat and could provide value in diagnosing cardiac diseases associated with fibrofatty infiltration or epicardial fat accumulation. It also paves the way toward comprehensive myocardial tissue characterization in a single scan.

© 2020 International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine

KEYWORDS

cardiac MR fingerprinting, fat imaging, rosette trajectory, T_1 mapping, T_2 mapping, water-fat separation

1 | INTRODUCTION

In non-Cartesian MRI, fat signals are a dominant source of off-resonance artifacts. Fat can cause blurring in images collected along non-Cartesian sampling schemes, such as spiral and radial, instead of simply a spatial shift in the readout direction as in Cartesian MRI. In order to reduce these chemical shift artifacts due to fat, fat suppression techniques can be used to destroy fat signals before acquisition by exploiting the differences in T₁ or chemical shift of water and fat, resulting in dark areas of fat in the image.¹ Water excitation techniques can also be used to select water but not fat protons by means of spectral spatial pulses.² Alternatively, water-fat separation techniques provide fat images in addition to water images by acquiring both water and fat signals and then separating them with dedicated postprocessing algorithms. These techniques typically use multiecho Dixon methods³⁻⁸ or special data collection trajectories such as rosette.9 Water-fat separation provides valuable information in various clinical applications.¹⁰ Especially in the heart, removal of the fat signals can improve visualization of the anatomical structure of the heart and is also important for imaging coronary arteries.¹¹ Fat identification in the myocardium has potential value in diagnosing intramyocardial fat and fibrofatty infiltration and lipomas.^{6,8}

In addition to identifying the presence of fat, relaxation time quantification is another important aspect in myocardial tissue characterization. Compared to anatomical images with T_1 - and/or T_2 -weighted contrast, myocardial T_1 and T_2 maps have been shown to be more sensitive to a variety of pathological tissue changes, including myocardial inflammation, myocarditis, scar, infarcts,¹²⁻¹⁷ edema,¹⁸⁻²⁰ sarcoidosis,²¹ and heart transplant rejection.^{22,23} Therefore, a comprehensive evaluation of the myocardium, including T_1 and T_2 quantification as well as water–fat separation in an efficient way, is desirable in the clinic.

In the clinical routine, T_1 and T_2 mapping and fat imaging in the myocardium are often performed in separate scans in which each scan is focused on a single tissue property. Recently, joint tissue property measurements in the myocardium have been proposed to assess multiple tissue properties in a single scan, such as joint T_1 and T_2 mapping²⁴⁻²⁷; simultaneous T_1 mapping and water–fat separation²⁸; and simultaneous T_1 , T_2 mapping, and fat imaging.²⁹ Among various methods providing the potential for multiple tissue property characterization, the MR fingerprinting (MRF) framework has shown promise for myocardial mapping.³⁰⁻³² Previous studies have also explored simultaneous relaxation time quantification and water–fat separation/fat fraction quantification in the skeletal muscle, liver, brain, breast, and heart³³⁻³⁸ by combining the MRF framework with variable TE or multiecho acquisition.

The purpose of this work is to develop an efficient approach based on the cardiac MRF (cMRF) framework to provide myocardial T₁ and T₂ map as well as water-fat separation simultaneously in a single scan for comprehensive cardiac tissue characterization. Rosette trajectories were employed in this work for k-space data sampling as well as water-fat separation.⁹ The fact that rosette trajectories cross the k-space origin multiple times during each readout enables significant suppression of off-resonance components due to the destructive interference of off-resonance signals. Careful design of the rosette trajectory can result in significant suppression of fat signals when data are reconstructed at the water resonance frequency, and vice versa. By embedding the water-fat separation capability into the data collection trajectory, rosette cMRF requires a minimal modification to the original spiral cMRF pulse sequence^{32,39} while adding waterfat separation ability with no penalty in acquisition time. B_0 inhomogeneity correction can also be performed using a B_0 map derived from the rosette cMRF data themselves. The proposed method was validated in the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/National Institute of Standards and Technology MRI system phantom and water/ oil phantoms. It was also applied for myocardial tissue mapping in healthy subjects at both 3T and 1.5T.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Trajectory and sequence design

The rosette trajectory has been used for water-fat separation, 9,40,41 simultaneous multislice imaging, $^{42,43}T_2^*$ mapping, $^{44.46}$ and spectroscopic imaging 47 due to its spectral selectivity properties since the 1990s. As the trajectory is played out, off-resonance spins accumulate phase, which is determined by the off-resonance frequency and readout timing; on-resonance spins are not associated with a phase term. Note that the rosette trajectory returns to the center of k-space multiple times during the readout; each time the trajectory crosses the center of k-space, signals at some offresonance frequencies can destructively interfere due to their phase differences if the timing is set properly. This signal cancellation can lead to a suppression of the signal from these off-resonance spins. Interested readers are referred to these excellent works^{9,40-45,47,48} for more details on the spectral properties of rosette trajectories.

In this work, rosette trajectories were designed using the time optimal gradient design software package developed by Vaziri and Lustig^{49,50} with the maximum gradient amplitude of 23 mT/m and maximum slew rate of 145 T/m/s for both 3T and 1.5T MRI scanners. The oscillation frequency w_1 , rotational frequency w_2 , and number of petals in the rosette trajectories were designed according to the following criteria: FOV 300×300 mm², matrix size 192×192 , in-plane resolution $1.56 \times 1.56 \text{ mm}^2$, and effective fat suppression at 3T or 1.5T. Two types of rosette trajectories can be generated using different w_1 and w_2 values ($w_1 > w_2$ for class I; $w_1 < w_2$ for class II), for which w_1 determines the sampling density along the trajectory and w_2 determines the shape of the petal.⁴⁸ The off-resonance suppression behavior of the trajectory is primarily determined by the shape of the petals and readout duration (a longer readout duration corresponds to more narrowly spaced "null bands" in the spectral domain).⁴⁰ In this proof-of-concept work, a fixed value of w_1 with varied w_2 values and readout durations were used to generate multiple class I and class II candidate trajectories. To evaluate their spectral responses, simulation studies were performed on a numerical circular phantom by sweeping the off-resonance

105

frequency from 0 to 500 Hz with a step size of 5 Hz and measuring the corresponding signal intensities in the phantom. At 3T, a class II rosette trajectory (denoted as rosette A) with 4 zero-crossings, $w_1 = 0.676\pi$ rad/s, and $w_2 = 1.01\pi$ rad/s (Figure 1A) was used to suppress fat at ~-440 Hz; at 1.5T, a class II rosette trajectory (denoted as rosette B) with 5 zero-crossings, $w_1 = 0.676\pi$ rad/s, and $w_2 = 1.2\pi$ rad/s (Figure 1B) was used to suppress fat at ~-220 Hz.⁴⁸

The pulse sequence used in this study was the previously reported 15-heartbeat, 5-segment scheme ECG-triggered cMRF sequence^{39,51} based on the fast imaging with steady state precession MRF sequence.³¹ The 5 segments are organized with an inversion pulse in the first heartbeat with an TI of 21 ms and T₂-preparation pulses in the third, fourth, and fifth heartbeats in each segment with TEs of 30, 50, and 80 ms. No magnetization preparation pulse is used in the second heartbeat. This scheme was repeated 3 times, resulting in an acquisition over 15 heartbeats during a breath-hold. In the original 15-heartbeat cMRF sequence using the spiral trajectory,⁵¹ a fat saturation module was also played out before acquisition in every heartbeat. In the current study, in order to achieve water-fat separation in addition to T1 and T2 quantification, the fat saturation module was turned off, and the original spiral readout was replaced by rosette A or rosette B. The acquisition window in each heartbeat was nearly the



FIGURE 1 Designed rosette trajectories and their spectral responses. One interleaf of rosette A (A) and rosette B (B) is shown, with each petal in a different color and petal order indicated by numbers. Their spectral responses were evaluated on a numerical phantom in simulation studies by sweeping the off-resonance frequency from 0 to 500 Hz with a step size of 5 Hz (C). Rosette A was designed for fat suppression at -440 Hz at 3T, as indicated by the blue arrow; rosette B was designed for fat suppression at -220 Hz at 1.5T, as indicated by the red arrow

-Magnetic Resonance in Medicine

same length (~250 ms) at end-diastole. The pulse sequence parameters, including a constant TR and TE, and number of excitations per heartbeat for rosette A and rosette B, are summarized in Table 1. The rosette interleaf was rotated by the golden angle (111°) between TRs. Ramped flip angles between 4° to 25°, a slice thickness of 8 mm, and in-plane resolution of 1.56×1.56 mm² were employed in all phantom and in vivo experiments at both 3T and 1.5T. All data were acquired at the resonance frequency of water.

2.2 | Image reconstruction

A schematic showing the overall reconstruction pipeline is shown in Figure 2. Similar to the original cMRF method,³² data acquired in each TR were reconstructed to generate a highly undersampled image using the nonuniform fast Fourier transform,⁵² leading to a series of 510 or 390 aliased images over 15 heartbeats for the rosette A and B sequences, respectively. To further reduce aliasing artifacts originating from structures outside the FOV, all in vivo image reconstructions also employed an adaptive coil combination approach with the coil covariance calculated from regions outside the fully sampled FOV.^{53,54} Because data were collected at the resonance frequency of water, no additional phase term was added to the k-space data to produce the initial water images in step 1 (Figure 2). To generate the fat images, the acquired data were shifted to the fat resonance frequency (in other words, demodulated at the resonance frequency of fat) by multiplication of a phase term incorporating the readout duration and fat resonance frequency, as shown in Equation (1):

$$I_f = F\left(k_w \cdot * \operatorname{conj}\left(\exp\left(i2\pi tf\right)\right)\right). \tag{1}$$

Here I_f is the fat image; *F* denotes the operator transforming non-Cartesian k-space data to the image domain; k_w is acquired k-space data with water on-resonance; *t* is an array of sampling time corresponding to each point of the readout in k_w ; and *f* is the resonance frequency of fat. A single lipid peak was assumed for this work. For different steps of the reconstruction as shown in Figure 2, the resonance frequency of fat used for Equation (1) was either the theoretical frequency (-440 Hz at 3T and -220 Hz at 1.5T) or that obtained from the shimming procedure by identifying the peak in the frequency spectrum closest to the theoretical frequency. Details are explained in the following sections.

2.3 | Dictionary generation and pattern matching

Two separate signal dictionaries, 1 for water and 1 for fat, including slice profile and preparation pulse efficiency corrections, were generated as reported previously.⁵⁵ Subjectspecific sequence timing due to variations in heart rate was also taken into account in the dictionary.³² For the water image series, the dictionary resolution, denoted by min:step:max, was [10:10:2000, 2020:20:3000] ms for T₁ in the heart, [10:10:90, 100:20:1000, 1040:40:2000, 2050:100:3000] ms for T₁ in phantoms, [2:2:100, 105:5:200, 210:10:300, 320:20:500] ms for T₂ in the heart, and [2:2:8, 10:5:100, 110:10:300, 350:50:1000] ms for T_2 in phantoms. For the fat image series both in the heart and in phantoms, fat signals are assumed to be on-resonance, and the dictionary was reduced to [200:10:500] ms for T₁ and [40:2:100] ms for T₂, considering that fat is known to have a T_1 of 360~450 ms and T_2 of 48~53 ms at 3T, and T_1 of 300~370 and T_2 of ~53 at 1.5T.^{56,57} Direct pattern matching was used in this work by calculating the inner products between the acquired signal time course at each pixel and the dictionary entries. Both were compressed using the singular value decomposition along the time dimension.⁵⁸ The first 6 singular values that were greater than 2% of the first (maximum) singular value were retained and used for pattern matching. The T1 and T2 values associated with the dictionary entry that yielded largest inner product were assigned to the pixel to generate the T_1 and T_2 maps, and the scaling factor between the acquired signal time course and the dictionary entry was assigned to the pixel to form the proton density image whose signal intensities were normalized and displayed to the range of [0 1]. In step 1 (Figure 2), an initial fat image series was reconstructed using the fat frequency obtained from the shimming procedure for Equation (1), and an initial fat proton density image was produced by matching the fat image series to the fat dictionary.

 TABLE 1
 Summary of acquisition parameters of the rosette cMRF sequences and spiral cMRF sequences with fat saturation module on and off at 3T and 1.5T

Trajectory	Fat sat module	Field strength	TR (ms)	TE (ms)	Excitations/ Heartbeat	Acquisition window/ heartbeat (ms)	Acquisition time
Rosette A	Off	3T	7.36	1.39	34	250.2	15 heartbeats
Rosette B	Off	1.5T	9.7	1.39	26	252.2	
Spiral	On	3T and 1.5T	5.1	1.39	50	255	
Spiral	Off						

Abbreviations: cMRF, cardiac MR fingerprinting; Sat, saturation.

107



FIGURE 2 The reconstruction pipeline. Step 1: B_0 map generation. Every 2 petals of the rosette trajectory were grouped as 1 echo (petals 1 and 2 for echo 1; petals 3 and 4 for echo 2. Rosette A is shown here for demonstration) to generate 2 images at the water frequency or the fat frequency. The first coefficient images ($I_{W1} I_{W2} I_{F1} I_{F2}$) from SVD of the water/fat image series at 2 TEs are used for B_0 map calculation. Then, a limited bandwidth B_0 map centered at water or fat frequency was calculated from the 2 images. A mask from the initial fat image was used to form a final composite B_0 map. Step 2: Water and fat image series with 1 image from each TR were reconstructed with B_0 correction. Step 3: Final tissue property maps and proton density images were generated by matching the water or fat image series to the corresponding dictionary. D_F , fat dictionary; D_W , water dictionary; f_1 , the theoretical fat frequency (ie, -440 Hz at 3T; -220 Hz at 1.5T); f_2 , the fat frequency obtained from the shimming procedure before the scan started; SVD, singular value decomposition

2.4 | B_0 map calculation

A B_0 map was also derived from the rosette cMRF data. Every 2 petals of the rosette trajectory were grouped together to form 1 echo (petals 1 and 2 for echo 1; petals 3 and 4 for echo 2; petal 5 in Rosette B was not used). Each echo consists of approximately the same number of readout points, and the spacing between the 2 echoes is equal to the readout duration of 2 petals ($\Delta TE = 2.81$ and 3.18 ms for rosette A and rosette B, for which the time to acquire the first zero-crossing point in the petals is defined as the TE). These 2 echoes yield 2 images, with different TEs for each TR, and thus 2 image series over the entire 15-heartbeat scan. To reduce aliasing artifacts arising from the highly undersampled images, singular value decomposition was performed along the time dimension of these 2 image series^{58,59} and the first coefficient images from each echo was used to calculate the phase difference, and therefore the B_0 map according to Equation (2).⁶⁰

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \text{angle} \left(I_{\text{echo1}}^* I_{\text{echo2}} \right) / 2\pi \Delta \text{TE.}$$
(2)

Here, I_{echo1}^* is the complex conjugate of I_{echo1} , I_{echo1} and I_{echo2} are the images resulting from 2 echoes, respectively, and ΔTE is the spacing between the 2 echoes. Because the bandwidth of the B₀ map for rosette A and B is $1/\Delta TE = 356$ and 314 Hz, respectively, which is not sufficient to cover both water and fat (especially at 3T), the process described above was performed on water images and fat images separately to generate 2 B₀ maps with water and fat on-resonance, respectively. Doubled bandwidth could be achieved with 1 petal as 1 echo; however, the images would suffer from severe aliasing artifacts due to limited number of sampling points in 1 petal. Therefore, 2 petals were used for each echo in this work to achieve the balance between image quality and B₀ map bandwidth. The theoretical fat resonance frequency was used for Equation (1) in this step



FIGURE 3 B_0 map in the water/oil phantom generated from the rosette cMRF dataset compared with GRE B_0 map at 3T. (A-D) The first coefficient images from SVD of the water/oil image series at 2 TEs. (E,F) B_0 maps generated by calculating the phase difference between the images at 2 TEs for water (A, B) and oil (C, D), respectively. The bandwidth of each rosette B_0 map here is 356 Hz. An initial oil image (G) was used as a mask to combine the water and oil B_0 maps and generate the rosette composite B_0 map (H). The GRE B_0 map (I) and the residual map between GRE and rosette composite B_0 map (J) are also shown. Averaged B_0 difference in all compartments is 9.8 Hz, as shown in the residual map (J). (K) Scatter plot of rosette B_0 values of 454 pixels in the phantom compared to those resulting from the GRE B_0 map. cMRF, cardiac MR fingerprinting; GRE, gradient echo; SVD, singular value decomposition

to generate the fat images and fat B_0 map. This approach is based on the assumption that each voxel is either water- or fat-dominant such that the phase difference between the 2 echoes is only due to B_0 inhomogeneity. A mask obtained from the initial fat proton density image was used to select fat-dominant pixels and combine the 2 B_0 maps to form a final composite B_0 map. Figure 3A-H shows a schematic of the B_0 mapping process.

2.5 | B_0 correction

Following the B_0 map calculation, a frequency-segmented approach was employed for B_0 correction (step 2 in Figure 2). According to the B_0 inhomogeneity range obtained from the rosette-based B_0 map, a range of demodulating frequencies with a step size of 5 Hz was determined for each scan (-200~200 Hz was found to be sufficient for in vivo scans). To generate B_0 -corrected water images, the acquired data were demodulated at each frequency within the B_0 map range, resulting in a set of images $I_{f(i)}$ with each set demodulated at a frequency *f*. In each image set I_f , the pixels with true resonance frequency close to the demodulating frequency *f* were assigned to form the final B₀-corrected images. Similarly, to generate B₀-corrected fat images, the acquired data were demodulated at the frequencies that are the sum of the theoretical fat resonance frequency and the B₀ values. Then, the same process as described above for water signals was performed. In step 3 (Figure 2), the B₀-corrected water and fat image series and the water and fat dictionaries were used to generate T₁ maps, T₂ maps, proton density images for both water and fat using pattern matching, as described in the previous section. Note that B₀ correction was only implemented for rosette data in both phantom and in vivo experiments but not spiral acquisitions in this work.

2.6 | Phantom experiments

To validate the B_0 mapping approach proposed in this work, the B_0 map in a water/oil phantom generated from the rosette cMRF dataset were compared with the gradient

echo (GRE) B₀ map on a 3T scanner (Siemens Magnetom Skyra, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). The water/oil phantom contained 10 vials of water doped with gadolinium (MultiHance, Bracco Inc, Monroe Township, NJ) and 1 vial of peanut oil (Kraft Heinz, Chicago, IL). The GRE sequence was used to acquire images at 2 TEs with the following parameters: FOV $300 \times 300 \text{ mm}^2$, matrix size 192×192 , slice thickness 8 mm, TR 500 ms, TE 7.1, and 14.2 ms. With an TE difference of 7.1 ms, both water and fat are in-phase on the MRI scanner (with a true field strength of ~2.89T), and thus a B_0 map could be generated for both water and fat compartments without a confounding fat chemical shift. The rosette cMRF scan was performed with the same FOV, matrix size, and slice thickness. Note that this rosette cMRF dataset were demodulated at the true fat frequency (~ -420 Hz on this scanner) to generate the fat B_0 map to enable a comparison with the GRE B_0 map. The maximum, minimum, and averaged differences in B_0 values in the vials were calculated. Differences in B₀ values in the vials were also compared using a linear regression test.

The accuracy of rosette cMRF for water, T_1 and T_2 quantification were validated in the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/National Institute of Standards and Technology MRI system phantom.^{61,62} The mean and SD of the T₁ and T₂ values in the T₂ layer within physiological range were measured using rosette cMRF and compared with manufacturer- provided reference values using a linear regression test. Moreover, to evaluate the difference between B₀ correction using rosette-based and GRE B_0 maps, an external B_0 map was acquired using the 2 echo GRE sequence as described above at 3T. T₁ and T₂ values before B₀ correction and after B₀ correction using rosette B₀ map and GRE B₀ map were compared with manufacturerprovided reference values using a linear regression test. T₁ and T₂ measurements after B₀ correction using the rosette B₀ map and GRE B₀ map were also compared using paired t test.

The efficacy of rosette cMRF in water–fat separation was demonstrated in a 2-compartment water/oil phantom with 1 vial of oil and 1 vial of water taken from the 11 vials water/oil phantom described above. Rosette cMRF was compared with 15-heartbeat spiral cMRF without and with fat saturation by calculating the residual oil (water) signal intensity in the water (oil) proton density image. This phantom and the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/National Institute of Standards and Technology phantom were both scanned on a 3T MRI scanner (Siemens Magnetom Skyra, Siemens Healthineers) and a 1.5T scanner (Siemens Magnetom Aera, Siemens Healthineers). All phantoms were scanned in an axial orientation with an 18-channel head coil with simulated ECG signals at 60 bpm.

-Magnetic Resonance in Medicine-

109

2.7 | In vivo experiments

Eleven and 5 healthy subjects were scanned after written informed consent in this institutional review board-approved study on a 3T scanner (Siemens Magnetom Skyra, Siemens Healthineers) and a 1.5T scanner (Siemens Magnetom Aera, Siemens Healthineers), respectively. Midventricular level short axis slices in the heart were acquired using the proposed rosette cMRF sequence and the original spiral 15-heartbeat cMRF sequence with fat saturation. Conventional T1 and T2 mapping methods (MOLLI and T2-prepared FLASH on 3T; MOLLI and T₂-prepared balanced SSFP on 1.5T) were also applied with the same FOV and matrix size as cMRF scans for comparison. The conventional scans are part of the Siemens MyoMaps product and used the following parameters: GRAPPA R = 2 and 6/8 partial Fourier acquisition. The 5(3)3 version of MOLLI was used with an acquisition window of 277 ms. The conventional T_2 mapping scan used a 1(3)1(3)1 acquisition scheme with T_2 preparation times of 0, 25, 55 ms, and an acquisition window of 228 ms. Shimming was performed over the volume of the heart. In 1 subject at 3T, the conventional T_1 mapping results were not available due to poor image quality for the MOLLI acquisition, and the conventional T₂ mapping scan was not performed due to limited scan time. Regions of interest over the entire myocardial wall were drawn manually, and the mean and SD in T_1 and T_2 values were calculated. A Student *t* test was used to compare T₁ and T₂ measurements using rosette cMRF, spiral cMRF, and conventional T_1/T_2 mapping sequences. Significant difference was considered with P < .05.

3 | **RESULTS**

3.1 | Simulation data

The spectral responses of the 2 trajectories used in this study, namely rosette A and rosette B, obtained from simulation studies are shown in Figure 1C. The residual signal intensity as compared to the on-resonance signal intensity in the numerical phantom is 4.9% at -440 Hz for rosette A and 13.5% at -220 Hz for rosette B.

3.2 | Phantom data

Figure 3 shows images at various steps in the rosette-based B_0 map calculation; the maps generated using the rosette data and GRE acquisition are shown in Figure 3H,I, respectively. The residual difference map between these B_0 maps is shown in Figure 3J. The average B_0 difference in all vials between the rosette composite B_0 map and GRE B_0 map was 9.8 Hz, and the maximum and minimum differences were 23 and -14 Hz. Figure 3K shows the scatter plot of rosette B_0

└─Magnetic Resonance in Medicine

LIU ET AL.

values of 454 pixels in the phantom compared to those resulting from the GRE B_0 map. The slope of best-fit line was 0.94 with 10 Hz difference between the 2 B_0 maps.

Quantitative results of the T_1 and T_2 measurements in the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/ National Institute of Standards and Technology system phantom are shown in Figure 4. T_1 and T_2 measurements using rosette cMRF were in excellent agreement with the reference values for both rosette A at 3T (slope of best-fit line 0.97/0.96 for T_1/T_2 ; $R^2 > 0.99$) and rosette B at 1.5T (slope of best-fit line 0.98/0.99 for T_1/T_2 ; $R^2 > 0.99$). At 3T, T_1 , and T_2 measurements before B_0 correction, and after B_0 correction using either the rosette B_0 map or the GRE B_0 map, all agree well with reference values. No significant difference was observed between the B_0 correction results using rosette B_0 map and GRE B_0 map (P = .07 for T_1 ; P = .28 for T_2), with an average of 11.7Hz difference in the B_0 maps (Supporting Information Figure S1).

Figure 5 shows T₁ maps, T₂ maps, and water and oil proton density images in the water/oil phantom. As can be seen in the water images (third column), rosette images at both 1.5 and 3T showed excellent fat suppression at the resonance frequency of water and vice versa. In the proton density images with signal intensities normalized to the range of [0 1], residual oil signals in the water image were 0.05 and 0.04 at 3T and 1.5T, respectively; residual water signals in the oil image were 0.04 and 0.09 at 3T and 1.5T, respectively. In contrast, the residual oil signal in the water image acquired by spiral cMRF with fat saturation were 0.26 and 0.4 at 3T and 1.5T, respectively. The oil signal in the water image acquired by spiral cMRF without fat saturation were 0.69 and 0.8 at 3T and 1.5T, respectively. In addition to suppressing fat signal effectively, the rosette images also reduce the blurring artifacts seen around the fat vial in the spiral images due to the phase accumulation along the spiral readout. Finally, the T_1 and T_2 values of water at both 1.5T and 3T were



FIGURE 4 Results in the ISMRM/NIST MRI system phantom. The mean and SD of the T_1 and T_2 measurements using rosette cMRF were compared with reference values at 3T (A) and 1.5T (B). The maximum SD of T_1 and T_2 measurements at 3T were 35 and 4.4 ms, and at 1.5T were 48 and 7.2 ms, respectively. For T_1 over all vials, the SD was less than 7% of the mean value at both 3T and 1.5T. For T_2 over all vials within the physiological range, the SD was less than 5% of the mean value at both 3T and 1.5T. ISMRM/NIST, International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/The National Institute of Standards and Technology

111



FIGURE 5 Results in the water/oil phantom. Water T_1 maps, T_2 maps, and proton density images generated by spiral cMRF without and with fat saturation; and water T_1 maps, T_2 maps, and water and fat proton density images generated using rosette cMRF at 3T (top three rows) and 1.5T (bottom three rows) are shown. A cropped FOV of $100 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2$ is displayed. Signal intensities of the water and oil compartment are labeled in the proton density images. All spiral maps and images are without B_0 correction, and all rosette maps and images are with B_0 correction using the rosette-derived B_0 map

similar between rosette and spiral acquisitions (Supporting Information Table S1). Note that the values for T_1 and T_2 in the oil areas are inaccurate in all of these approaches because they are meant to be water maps; any values in the oil vials can be disregarded.

3.3 | Healthy subjects

Figure 6 shows representative myocardial T_1 and T_2 maps, water images, and fat images of a midventricular slice in the heart acquired using rosette cMRF before and after B_0

correction compared with those acquired using spiral cMRF with fat saturation and conventional methods at 3T. The B_0 map derived from the rosette cMRF dataset is also shown. Note that signal loss in anterior myocardial wall in the water image that was generated without B_0 correction was recovered after B_0 correction (see arrow). In contrast, spiral images without B_0 correction exhibit blurring in the same area (Supporting Information Figure S2 shows zoomed images around the heart). Representative maps and fat/water images collected in a midventricular slice at 1.5T are shown in Figure 7, and the corresponding zoomed maps and images around the heart are shown in Supporting Information

¹¹² Magnetic Resonance in Medicine



FIGURE 6 Results in a healthy subject at 3T. Representative T_1 and T_2 maps and water and fat images of a midventricular slice in the heart acquired using rosette cMRF, spiral cMRF with fat saturation, and conventional methods are shown. The B_0 map derived from rosette cMRF dataset and the results before and after B_0 correction are also shown for comparison. Signal loss in the anterior myocardial wall observed in the water image was recovered after B_0 correction as pointed by the arrow. Epicardial fat and subcutaneous fat was clearly visualized in the fat image and suppressed in all of the water maps and images. Zoomed images around the heart are available in Supporting Information Figure S2



FIGURE 7 Results in a healthy subject at 1.5T. Representative T_1 and T_2 maps and water and fat images of a midventricular slice in the heart acquired using rosette cMRF, spiral cMRF with fat saturation, and conventional methods are shown. The B_0 map derived from rosette cMRF dataset and the results before and after B_0 correction are also shown for comparison. Epicardial fat and subcutaneous fat was clearly visualized in the fat image, and suppressed in all of the water maps and images. Residual water signals in the myocardium and blood pool in the fat image (indicated by the arrow) was further reduced by B_0 correction from 0.11 to 0.04. Zoomed images around the heart are available in Supporting Information Figure S3

Figure S3. Similar to the results at 3T, both epicardial fat and subcutaneous fat were clearly visualized in the fat image and suppressed in the water images. Residual water signals in the myocardium and blood pool in the fat proton density image were further reduced after B_0 correction from 0.11 to 0.04 (better visualization in Supporting Information Figure S3).

The averaged and individual myocardial T₁ and T₂ values measured by rosette cMRF after B₀ correction, spiral cMRF, and conventional mapping methods are shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. At 3T, rosette cMRF yielded comparable myocardial T₁ compared with spiral cMRF (spiral T₁ 1341.7 \pm 41.6 ms vs. rosette T₁ 1329.4 \pm 35 ms) but significantly higher T₂ values (spiral T₂ 30.9 \pm 2.3 ms vs. rosette T₂ 33.3 \pm 1.5 ms, P < .01). Both spiral and rosette cMRF measurements were 125~135 ms higher than the conventional MOLLI T₁ values (1204.5 \pm 30.5 ms, P < .01) and 5~7 ms lower than the T_2 values measured by T_2 -prepared FLASH (38.5 \pm 1.4 ms, P < .01). At 1.5T, rosette cMRF and spiral cMRF had comparable T₁ measurements (spiral $T_1 1030.8 \pm 39.2$ ms vs. rosette $T_1 1044 \pm 29.7$ ms), but the rosette trajectory yielded significantly higher T₂ values (spiral T₂ 35.4 \pm 1.2 ms vs. rosette T₂ 39 \pm 0.8 ms, P < .01). Compared with MOLLI, rosette cMRF gave rise to significantly higher T₁ values (MOLLI T₁ 995.7.2 \pm 18.1 ms, P < .05). Spiral cMRF also had higher T₁ values than MOLLI, but the difference was not statistically significant (P = .1). Both rosette and spiral cMRF yielded significantly lower T₂ values than the conventional T₂ mapping method (T₂-prepared balanced SSFP T₂ 46.6 \pm 1.1 ms, P < .01).

4 | DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that water-fat separation can be achieved along with T_1 and T_2 mapping of the water 113

compartment in the heart in a single scan using rosette cMRF. Off-resonance artifacts due to fat signals are significantly reduced in the water T1 and T2 maps and images as shown in the water/oil phantom, and a fat image can be obtained from the same scan with no penalty in acquisition time. The intrinsic property of rosette trajectories that they cross the k-space center multiple times in 1 readout also enables B₀ mapping using the rosette cMRF data themselves; thus, B₀ inhomogeneity correction can be performed with no need for an extra scan. Moreover, rosette cMRF closely resembles the original 2D spiral cMRF sequence structure, where only the k-space sampling trajectory has been altered. This minor change in readout trajectory can be easily adopted by other cMRF approaches, such as simultaneous multislice cMRF,⁵⁹ 3D cMRF,⁶³ cineMRF,⁶⁴ and motionresolved cMRF,⁶⁵ easily adding water-fat separation capabilities to their tool kits.

4.1 | Rosette trajectory

The rosette trajectories used in this work are only 2 examples of possible trajectories that could be designed for water–fat separation. Although not optimized for B_0 mapping or complete suppression of fat (or water) signals, these rosette trajectories are a proof of principle that this approach can be used for water–fat separation along with relaxation time quantification in the MRF framework. These 2 trajectories provided robust fat suppression and accurate water T_1 and T_2 measurements across our datasets; however, they have not been specifically optimized in terms of sampling efficiency, and they are likely not the only ones that can achieve effective water– fat separation. Because there are multiple "null bands" in the spectral response curve, placing any "null band" around the fat frequency would enable effective water–fat separation, resulting in flexible trajectory design to accommodate either



FIGURE 8 Averaged T_1 and T_2 measurements over the entire myocardial wall among 11 healthy subjects at 3T and 5 healthy subjects at 1.5T. Statistical significance was considered with P < .05



FIGURE 9 Individual T₁ and T₂ measurements over the entire myocardial wall among 11 healthy subjects at 3T and 5 healthy subjects at 1.5T

long or short TR values with tunable FOVs and spatial resolution for MRF sequences and beyond. Moreover, the waterfat separation property is only determined by the trajectory itself, providing flexibility in acquisition parameters such as the choice of TE and TR. One challenge when using rosette trajectories is achieving satisfactory image quality within relatively short scan times due to the oversampling property of the trajectory in both the central and peripheral areas of k-space compared to spiral trajectories. MRF is known to be able to tolerate high acceleration factors in each time frame by rejecting noise-like artifacts in the pattern-matching step. Due to the already long computing time for B_0 correction, the current study only used direct pattern matching to generate tissue property maps and images. A variety of studies have shown that advanced reconstruction approaches such as multiscale⁶⁶ and low rank reconstruction^{59,67-69} can significantly improve the image quality of MRF data. The quality of the maps generated with rosette cMRF may also be further improved by incorporating these techniques.

4.2 | T_1 and T_2 measurements of the water compartment

A previous study has reported that including confounding factors correction (ie, slice profile and preparation pulse efficiency) in the dictionary leads to an increase in T_1 and a decrease in T_2 measurements.⁵⁵ In the current study, myocardial T_1 and T_2 measurements at 3T using spiral and rosette cMRF are comparable with those previously reported spiral cMRF measurements with confounding factors correction.55 The trend that cMRF yields higher T₁ values than MOLLI and lower T₂ values than conventional T₂ mapping methods is also consistent with previous findings.^{55,59} T₁ and T₂ measurements using rosette cMRF and spiral cMRF are expected to be comparable in healthy subjects whose myocardial fat is minimal, because the general sequence structure, including flip angle patterns and magnetization preparation pulse scheme, remains the same for both sequences, except for the fat suppression property of rosette cMRF. However, spiral and rosette trajectories react differently to some physiological confounding factors such as flow, and it may result in the slight difference in relaxation time quantification observed in this study (10~15 ms difference in T_1 and $2 \sim 3$ ms difference in T₂). However, except for T₂, these differences were not statistically significant. Moreover, inaccurate T₁ quantification due to fat signal contamination has been reported in the myocardium for different sequences such as MOLLI and saturation recovery singleshot acquisition.⁷⁰ Compared with spiral cMRF, which employs a fat saturation module before acquisition, rosette cMRF achieves more effective fat suppression, as shown in the phantom experiments (Figure 5), and eliminates the need for the fat saturation module, making the sequence design more flexible. It is particularly useful when continuous acquisition is desired for the applications such as free-running cMRF.⁶⁴ The ~250 ms acquisition window is relatively long and may result in motion artifacts due to cardiac motion. Reducing the acquisition window is an active research area in cMRF,⁷¹ and the rosette approach could potentially benefit from the application of advanced reconstruction schemes, such as low rank model-based approaches.^{59,67-69}

4.3 | Water-fat separation

In addition to T_1 and T_2 quantification, a fat image can be generated from the same dataset, showing clear epicardial fat deposition (Figures 6 and 7). The availability of fat images may provide valuable diagnostic information in cardiac diseases such as myocardial fatty infiltration and lipomas⁶; thus, rosette cMRF may prove clinically useful for the visualization of epicardial/myocardial fat deposition. Moreover, intrinsically coregistered T_1 and T_2 maps, along with the fat image generated from the same dataset, enable a comprehensive evaluation of the myocardial tissue for disease diagnosis.

4.4 | B_0 correction

Inhomogeneous B₀ field could be problematic for rosette cMRF because the separation of water and fat using rosette trajectories is based on the distinct resonance frequencies of the 2 species. One important feature of the proposed approach is that a B_0 map is generated from the rosette cMRF data themselves by taking advantage of the multiple zero-crossings of the rosette trajectory. Therefore, B₀ correction can be performed without the need for an external B₀ mapping scan. Improvements in water-fat separation in vivo by performing B₀ correction are shown in Figures 6 and 7. Note that a few voxels in the chest wall (Figure 6) exhibited signal loss after B_0 correction in this case because shimming was performed only in the heart region, and B₀ inhomogeneity in the chest wall area exceeded the bandwidth of the B₀ map. Note that the choice of demodulating frequency for fat f_{fat} (either the theoretical frequency or the true frequency, which depends on the true field strength of the scanner) would not affect the B₀ correction results for fat-dominant pixels using the proposed method. For these pixels, the sum of B_0 and f_{fat} was used to generate the final B_0 -corrected fat images. Because the B₀ values are obtained from demodulated fat images, changes in f_{fat} will lead to compensated changes in the resulting B_0 map, thereby producing the same results.

4.5 | Comparison with other water–fat MRF approaches

Some pioneer studies have explored extending the MRF framework for fat saturation and/or measurement and applied

115

these techniques in skeletal muscle, liver, brain, breast, and heart.³³⁻³⁸ Fat fraction quantification/water–fat separation was achieved along with T_1 ,^{33,37} or both T_1 and T_2 mapping^{34-36,38} using variable TE^{33,36} or multiecho acquisition^{34,35,37,38} within the MRF framework. However, due to the motion of the heart, cMRF data can only be collected in a limited diastolic acquisition window in each heartbeat (~250 ms in this work). Moreover, data collection are restricted to the duration of a breath-hold to avoid respiratory motion. Therefore, the MRF methods developed for static organs would require a significantly longer acquisition time if directly applied to the heart; thus, different approaches must be used for cardiac applications.

Some of these MRF-based fat suppression and quantitative approaches^{33,34,37} incorporate not only B₀ generation/ correction but also include B₁⁺ correction. Because cMRF requires calculation of a new dictionary for each scan due to heart rate variations, the current study does not take B₁⁺ correction into account to avoid long computing time and large storage memory by employing relatively low flip angles that have been shown to reduce sensitivity to B₁⁺ inhomogeneity.⁵⁵ However, B₁⁺ can be easily added to the dictionary for correction if needed.⁵⁵

The rosette trajectory can be viewed essentially as a multiecho acquisition. Other multiecho approaches^{34,37,38} acquire only 1 echo in each TR to achieve a short ΔTE for optimized phase difference between water and fat and relatively long readout such as spiral for efficient k-space coverage. In these approaches, the acquisition time increases proportionally with the number of echoes collected. Although multiple echoes can be collected in 1 TR,³⁵ only radial or Cartesian data collection are rapid enough to accommodate these echoes along with the desired short ΔTE . In contrast, the rosette trajectory can be designed to accommodate multiple echoes (either 1 single petal or multiple petals can be regarded as 1 echo) in 1 TR. Moreover, by tuning the shape and sampling density of the petals, the rosette trajectory offers the flexible choice of effective TEs as well as k-space sampling density for each echo.

A water–fat Dixon cMRF approach has also been reported for simultaneous quantification of T_1 , T_2 , proton density, and fat fraction in the heart at 1.5T.³⁵ Dixon cMRF and rosette cMRF employ similar sequence structures and magnetization preparation schemes (fast imaging with steady state precessionbased, 5-segment, 15-heartbeat). These 2 approaches also yielded comparable myocardial T_1 and T_2 values in healthy subjects at 1.5T (Dixon cMRF T_1/T_2 1032/42 ms vs. rosette cMRF T_1/T_2 1044/39 ms). Moreover, both methods found T_1 measurements higher than MOLLI and T_2 measurements lower than conventional T_2 mapping sequences, which is consistent with previous cMRF reports.^{55,72} Proton density fat fraction quantification was also shown to be possible using the Dixon cMRF, possibly due to the use of a 6-peak fat model in the water–fat separation step.

4.6 | Limitations and future directions

Although the current study is focused on water-fat separation, fat quantification would be an appealing future direction. Fat T₁ and T₂ measurements (especially T₂ at 3T) and further proton density fat fraction quantification were found to be inaccurate using the proposed approach. As shown in Supporting Information Figure S4, T₂ values in the oil compartment were comparable with literature values at 1.5T^{56,57} but underestimated at 3T. Proton density fat fraction in water/oil emulsion phantoms constructed according to the method described in Hines et al⁷³ were overestimated for low proton density fat fraction values (Supporting Information Figures S5 and S6). One possible explanation for this effect is that off-resonance fat protons may not be prepared by the inversion and T₂-preparation pulses, as modeled in the dictionary, due to limited bandwidth or imperfect spectral profile of the adiabatic preparation pulses (Supporting Information Figure S7). The inaccuracy is more pronounced at 3T because of the larger chemical shift difference between water and fat compared with 1.5T. This issue could be addressed by optimizing the preparation pulses or taking this effect into account in the signal model for fat in future studies. Other possible reasons include the use of a single peak fat model; a multipeak model has been shown to lead to more accurate fat fraction measurements.⁷⁴ The single peak model may also limit the accuracy in T_1 and T_2 measurements, as well as B_0 mapping, in the water/oil phantom given that peanut oil has multiple peaks. However, a multipeak fat model can be incorporated in rosette cMRF framework in future studies by demodulating the k-space data at different fat frequencies.

Another limitation of this work is the assumption that a voxel is either water-dominant or fat-dominant. Given that unbalanced SSFP (or fast imaging with steady state precession) framework is relatively insensitive to off-resonance,³¹ and off-resonance signals are significantly suppressed by the rosette trajectory, all spins are considered on-resonance for dictionary generation, including slice profile and preparation pulse efficiency corrections. However, in the cases where a voxel contains a similar amount of both water and fat such as in diabetic patients, this assumption must be further validated, and a more advanced model taking into account of both on- and off-resonance spins might be more desirable. For the dual echo B_0 mapping method proposed in this work, it is challenging to separate chemical shift information of multiple species from true main field inhomogeneity, even though the major source of the chemical shift from fat was taken into account. More accurate B₀ mapping may be enabled using more echoes in future studies.

The current study demonstrates the proposed method in the heart of healthy subjects; however, it also has the potential for imaging other organs such as liver and knee. Further modifications to the sequence, such as removing the waiting time between heartbeats and changing the magnetization preparation scheme, need to be investigated to adapt it to other applications.

5 | CONCLUSION

The proposed rosette cMRF approach achieves effective water-fat separation, as well as water T_1 and T_2 quantification in the heart in a single scan. This approach may facilitate cardiac disease diagnosis by providing multiple biomarkers (ie, fat deposition, water T_1 and T_2) and paves the way toward comprehensive myocardial tissue characterization in a single scan. The acquisition and reconstruction framework may also be easily incorporated into other myocardial mapping methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by R01HL094557, R01DK098503, CBET 1553441, and Siemens Healthineers (Erlangen, Germany). The authors would like to thank Dr. Sanjay Rajagopalan for the insightful discussions.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Our group receives research support from Siemens Healthineers (Erlangen, Germany).

ORCID

Yuchi Liu D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3533-1697

TWITTER

Nicole Seiberlich **2** @MRInicole

REFERENCES

- Bley TA, Wieben O, François CJ, Brittain JH, Reeder SB. Fat and water magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2010;31:4-18.
- Meyer CH, Pauly JM, Macovskiand A, Nishimura DG. Simultaneous spatial and spectral selective excitation. *Magn Reson Med.* 1990;15:287-304.
- 3. Dixon TW. Simple proton spectroscopic imaging. *Radiology*. 1984;153:189-194.
- Reeder SB, Markl M, Yu H, Hellinger JC, Herfkens RJ, Pelc NJ. Cardiac CINE imaging with IDEAL water-fat separation and steadystate free precession. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2005;22:44-52.
- Hernando D, Kellman P, Haldar JP, Liang ZP. Robust water/fat separation in the presence of large field inhomogeneities using a graph cut algorithm. *Magn Reson Med.* 2010;63:79-90.
- Kellman P, Hernando D, Shah S, et al. Multiecho Dixon fat and water separation method for detecting fibrofatty infiltration in the myocardium. *Magn Reson Med.* 2008;61:215-221.
- Hernando D, Haldar JP, Sutton BP, Ma J, Kellman P, Liang Z-P. Joint estimation of water/fat images and field inhomogeneity map. *Magn Reson Med.* 2008;59:571-580.

–Magnetic Resonance in Medicine

- Farrelly C, Shah S, Davarpanah A, Keeling AN, Carr JC. ECGgated multiecho Dixon fat-water separation in cardiac MRI: advantages over conventional fat-saturated imaging. *Am J Roentgenol.*
- 2012;199:W74-W83.
 Noll DC. Multishot rosette trajectories for spectrally selective MR imaging. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*, 1997;16:372-377.
- Hu HH, Börnert P, Hernando D, et al. ISMRM workshop on fat-water separation: insights, applications and progress in MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* 2012;68:378-388.
- Manning WJ, Li W, Boyle NG, Edelman RR. Fat-suppressed breathhold magnetic resonance coronary angiography. *Circulation*. 1993;87:94-104.
- Bohnen SRadunski UK, Lund GK, et al. Performance of T1 and T2 mapping cardiovascular magnetic resonance to detect active myocarditis in patients with recent-onset heart failure. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;8:e003073. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCIMAGING.114.003073
- Puntmann VO, Nagel E. T1 and T2 mapping in nonischemic cardiomyopathies and agreement with endomyocardial biopsy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:1923-1924.
- Taylor AJ, Salerno M, Dharmakumar R, Jerosch-Herold M. T1 mapping basic techniques and clinical applications. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;9:67-81.
- Patel AR, Kramer CM. Role of cardiac magnetic resonance in the diagnosis and prognosis of nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:1180-1193.
- Burt JR, Zimmerman SL, Kamel IR, Halushka M, Bluemke DA. Myocardial T1 mapping: techniques and potential applications. *Radiographics*. 2014;34:377-395.
- Hamlin SA, Henry TS, Little BP, Lerakis S, Stillman AE. Mapping the future of cardiac MR imaging: case-based review of T1 and T2 mapping techniques. *Radiographics*. 2014;34:1594-1611.
- Verhaert D, Thavendiranathan P, Giri S, et al. Direct T2 quantification of myocardial edema in acute ischemic injury. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2011;4:269-278.
- Giri S, Chung Y-C, Merchant A, et al. T2 quantification for improved detection of myocardial edema. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2009;11:56.
- Park CH, Choi E-Y, Kwon HM, et al. Quantitative T2 mapping for detecting myocardial edema after reperfusion of myocardial infarction: Validation and comparison with T2-weighted images. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;29:65-72.
- Crouser ED, Ono C, Tran T, He X, Raman SV. Improved detection of cardiac sarcoidosis using magnetic resonance with myocardial T2 mapping. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2014;189:109-112.
- Butler CR, Thompson R, Haykowsky M, Toma M, Paterson I. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in the diagnosis of acute heart transplant rejection: a review. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2009;11:7.
- Butler CR, Savu AM, Bakal JA, et al. Correlation of cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging findings and endomyocardial biopsy results in patients undergoing screening for heart transplant rejection. *J Hear Lung Transplant*. 2015;34:643-650.
- Blume U, Lockie T, Stehning C, et al. Interleaved T1 and T2 relaxation time mapping for cardiac applications. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2009;29:480-487.
- Akçakaya M, Weingärtner S, Basha TA, Roujol S, Bellm S, Nezafat R. Joint myocardial T1 and T2 mapping using a combination of saturation recovery and T2-preparation. *Magn Reson Med*. 2016;76:888-896.

- Kvernby S, Warntjes MJB, Haraldsson H, Carlhäll CJ, Engvall J, Ebbers T. Simultaneous three-dimensional myocardial T1 and T2 mapping in one breath hold with 3D-QALAS. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson.* 2014;16:102.
- Santini F, Kawel-Boehm N, Greiser A, Bremerich J, Bieri O. Simultaneous T1 and T2 quantification of the myocardium using cardiac balanced-SSFP inversion recovery with interleaved sampling acquisition (CABIRIA). *Magn Reson Med.* 2015;74:365-371.
- Nezafat M, Nakamori S, Basha TA, Fahmy AS, Hauser T, Botnar RM. Imaging sequence for joint myocardial T1 mapping and fat/ water separation. *Magn Reson Med.* 2018;81:486-494.
- Milotta G, Ginami G, Bustin A, Neji R, Prieto C, Botnar R. 3D whole-heart high-resolution motion compensated joint T1/T2 mapping. In Proceedings of the 27th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Montréal, Québec, Canada, 2019. p. 2003.
- Ma D, Gulani V, Seiberlich N, et al. Magnetic resonance fingerprinting. *Nature*. 2013;495:187-192.
- Jiang Y, Ma D, Seiberlich N, Gulani V, Griswold M. MR fingerprinting using fast imaging with steady state precession (FISP) with spiral readout. *Magn Reson Med.* 2015;74:1621-1631.
- Hamilton JI, Jiang Y, Chen Y, et al. MR fingerprinting for rapid quantification of myocardial T1, T2, and proton spin density. *Magn Reson Med.* 2017;77:1446-1458.
- Cencini M, Biagi L, Kaggie JD, Schulte RF, Tosetti M, Buonincontri G. Magnetic resonance fingerprinting with dictionary—based fat and water separation (DBFW MRF): a multi—component approach. *Magn Reson Med.* 2019;81:3032-3045.
- Koolstra K, Webb A, Koken P, Nehrke K, Börnert P. Water-fat separation in spiral magnetic resonance fingerprinting using conjugate phase reconstruction. In Proceedings of the 26th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Paris, France, 2018. p. 681.
- 35. Jaubert O, Cruz G, Bustin A, et al. Water–fat Dixon cardiac magnetic resonance fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Med*. 2020;83:2107-2123.
- 36. Ostenson J, Damon BM, Welch EB. MR fingerprinting with simultaneous T1, T2, and fat signal fraction estimation with integrated B0 correction reduces bias in water T1 and T2 estimates. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2019;60:7-19.
- Marty B, Carlier PG. MR fingerprinting for water T1 and fat fraction quantification in fat infiltrated skeletal muscles. *Magn Reson Med*. 2020;83:621-634.
- Nolte T, Gross-Weege N, Doneva M, et al. Spiral blurring correction with water–fat separation for magnetic resonance fingerprinting in the breast. *Magn Reson Med.* 2020;83:1192-1207.
- Liu Y, Hamilton J, Rajagopalan S, Seiberlich N. Cardiac magnetic resonance fingerprinting: technical overview and initial results. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018;11:1837-1853.
- Schirda CV, Tanase C, Boada FE. Rosette spectroscopic imaging: optimal parameters for alias-free, high sensitivity spectroscopic imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2009;29:1375-1385.
- Bucholz EK, Song J, Johnson GA, Hancu I. Multispectral imaging with three-dimensional rosette trajectories. *Magn Reson Med.* 2008;59:581-589.
- Peltier SJ, Noll DC. Systematic noise compensation for simultaneous multislice acquisition using rosette trajectories (SMART). *Magn Reson Med.* 1999;41:1073-1076.
- Noll DC, Peltier SJ, Boada FE. Simultaneous multislice acquisition using rosette trajectories (SMART): a new imaging method for functional MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* 1998;39:709-716.

¹¹⁸ Magnetic Resonance in Medicine-

- Twieg DB. Parsing local signal evolution directly from a single-shot MRI signal: a new approach for fMRI. *Magn Reson Med.* 2003;50:1043-1052.
- Hu C, Reeves S, Peters DC, Twieg D. An efficient reconstruction algorithm based on the alternating direction method of multipliers for joint estimation of R2* and off-resonance in fMRI. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2017;36:1326-1336.
- Lee S, Noll D, Fessler JA. EXTended Rosette ACquisition Technique (EXTRACT): a dynamic R2* mapping method using extended rosette trajectory. In Proceedings of the 12th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Kyoto, Japan, 2004. p. 2128.
- Schirda CV, Zhao T, Andronesi OC, et al. In vivo brain rosette spectroscopic imaging (RSI) with LASER excitation, constant gradient strength readout, and automated LCModel quantification for all voxels. *Magn Reson Med*. 2016;76:380-390.
- Li Y, Yang R, Zhang C, Zhang J, Jia S, Zhou Z. Analysis of generalized rosette trajectory for compressed sensing MRI. *Am Assoc Phys Med.* 2015;42:5530-5544.
- Lustig M, Kim SJ, Pauly JM. A fast method for designing timeoptimal gradient waveforms for arbitrary k-space trajectories. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2008;27:866-873.
- Vaziri S, Lustig M. The fastest arbitrary k-space trajectories. In Proceedings of the 20th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Melbourne, Australia, 2012. p. 2284.
- 51. Hamilton JI, Jiang Y, Ma D, et al. A comparison of 5-heartbeat vs. 15-heartbeat cardiac MR fingerprinting sequences in normal volunteers. Presented at the ISMRM Workshop on Magnetic Resonance Fingerprinting (MRF), Cleveland, OH, 2017.
- Fessler JA, Sutton BP. Nonuniform fast Fourier transforms using min-max interpolation. *IEEE Trans Signal Process*. 2003;51:560-574.
- Walsh DO, Gmitro AF, Marcellin MW. Adaptive reconstruction of phased array MR imagery. *Magn Reson Med.* 2000;43:682-690.
- Mandava S, Keerthivasan MB, Martin DR, Altbach MI, Bilgin A. Radial streak artifact reduction using phased array beamforming. *Magn Reson Med.* 2019;81:3915-3923.
- 55. Hamilton JI, Jiang Y, Ma D, et al. Investigating and reducing the effects of confounding factors for robust T1 and T2 mapping with cardiac MR fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2018;53:40-51.
- Jordan CD, Saranathan M, Bangerter NK, Hargreaves BA, Gold GE. Musculoskeletal MRI at 3.0 T and 7.0 T: a comparison of relaxation times and image contrast. *Eur J Radiol*. 2013;82:734-739.
- Rakow-Penner R, Daniel B, Yu H, Sawyer-Glover A, Glover GH. Relaxation times of breast tissue at 1.5T and 3T measured using IDEAL. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2006;23:87-91.
- McGivney DF, Pierre E, Ma D, et al. SVD compression for magnetic resonance fingerprinting in the time domain. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2014;33:2311-2322.
- Hamilton JI, Jiang Y, Ma D, et al. Simultaneous multislice cardiac magnetic resonance fingerprinting using low rank reconstruction. *NMR Biomed*. 2018;32:e4041.
- Irarrazabal P, Meyer CH, Nishimura DG, Macovski A. Inhomogeneity correction using an estimated linear field map. *Magn Reson Med.* 1996;35:278-282.
- Keenan K, Stupic K, Russek S, et al. Multi-site, multi-vendor comparison of T1 measurement using ISMRM/NIST system phantom. In Proceedings of the 24th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Singapore, 2016. p. 3290.

- Russek S, Boss M, Jackson E, et al. Characterization of NIST/ ISMRM MRI system phantom. In Proceedings of the 20th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Melbourne, Australia, 2012. p. 2456.
- Jaubert O, Cruz G, Bustin A, Schneider T, Botnar RM, Prieto C. Toward 3D free-breathing cardiac magnetic resonance fingerprinting. In Proceedings of the 27th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Montréal, Québec, Canada, 2019. p. 4385.
- 64. Hamilton JI, Griswold M, Seiberlich N. Combined cardiac CINE and T1, T2, and M0 mapping with MR fingerprinting. In Proceedings of the 27th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Montréal, Québec, Canada, 2019. p. 405.
- 65. Jaubert O, Cruz G, Bustin A, et al. Cardiac motion resolved Magnetic resonance fingerprinting with joint reconstruction: jMORE-MRF. In Proceedings of the 27th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Montréal, Québec, Canada, 2019. p. 808.
- Pierre EY, Ma D, Chen Y, Badve C, Griswold MA. Multiscale reconstruction for MR fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Med.* 2016;75:2481-2492.
- Zhao B, Setsompop K, Adalsteinsson E, et al. Improved magnetic resonance fingerprinting reconstruction with low-rank and subspace modeling. *Magn Reson Med*. 2018;79:933-942.
- Assländer J, Cloos MA, Knoll F, Sodickson DK, Hennig J, Lattanzi R. Low rank alternating direction method of multipliers reconstruction for MR fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Med.* 2018;79:83-96.
- Lima da Cruz G, Bustin A, Jaubert O, Schneider T, Botnar RM, Prieto C. Sparsity and locally low rank regularization for MR fingerprinting. *Magn Reson Med.* 2019;81:3530-3543.
- Larmour S, Chow K, Kellman P, Thompson RB. Characterization of T1 bias in skeletal muscle from fat in MOLLI and SASHA pulse sequences: quantitative fat-fraction imaging with T1 mapping. *Magn Reson Med.* 2017;77:237-249.
- Eck B, Liu Y, Seiberlich N, Hamilton J. Influence of scan window duration on parameter maps from cardiac magnetic resonance fingerprinting. In Proceedings of the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) 22nd Annual Scientific Sessions Meeting, Bellevue, Washington, 2019. p. 325.
- Hamilton JI, Pahwa S, Adedigba J, et al. Simultaneous mapping of T1 and T2 using cardiac magnetic resonance fingerprinting in a cohort of healthy subjects at 1.5T. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2020:e27187. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.27155
- Hines CDG, Yu H, Shimakawa A, McKenzie CA, Brittain JH, Reeder SB. T1 independent, T2* corrected MRI with accurate spectral modeling for quantification of fat: validation in a fat-water-SPIO phantom. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2009;30:1215-1222.
- Hernando D, Liang ZP, Kellman P. Chemical shift-based water/ fat separation: a comparison of signal models. *Magn Reson Med*. 2010;64:811-822.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

FIGURE S1 T₁ and T₂ measurements using rosette cMRF in the NIST/ISMRM phantom at 3T. Rosette cMRF measurements before B₀ correction, after B₀ correction using the rosette-based B₀ map, and after correction using the GRE-based B₀ map all agree well with reference values ($R^2 > 0.99$). The differences in B₀ values in the vials between rosette and GRE

119

 B_0 maps range from 5 to 20 Hz, with an average difference of 11.7 Hz. No significant difference (P = .07 for T_1 ; P = .28 for T_2) was found between the B_0 correction results using rosette and GRE B_0 maps

FIGURE S2 Zoomed maps and images around the heart in a healthy subject at 3T. Without B_0 correction, off-resonance artifacts in the anterior myocardial wall appear as signal loss in rosette images but blurring in spiral images as indicated by the arrows. The signal loss in rosette images was recovered by B_0 correction

FIGURE S3 Zoomed maps and images around the heart in a healthy subject at 1.5T. The color scale of the fat images is set to $[0 \ 0.5]$ instead of $[0 \ 1]$ to enable visualization of the improvement in water-fat separation after B₀ correction

FIGURE S4 T₁, T₂ maps and proton density images of both water and oil acquired using rosette cMRF in the water/oil phantom at 3T and 1.5T. The water specific maps and images are the same as those shown in Figure 5. A cropped FOV of $100 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2$ is displayed. At 3T: oil T₁ 252.09 ± 7.98 ms; T₂ 40 ± 0 ms. At 1.5T: oil T₁ 202.43 ± 4.32 ms; T₂ 55.51 ± 3.15 ms **FIGURE S5** T₁ and T₂ maps, and proton density images of water and fat in an agar-based water/fat mixture phantom at 3T and 1.5T. PDFF maps calculated from the water and fat

proton density images are also shown. Designed PDFF of each vial is shown at bottom right

FIGURE S6 PDFF values calculated from water and fat proton density images in an agar-based water/fat mixture phantom acquired using rosette cMRF compared with ground truth at 3T and 1.5T

FIGURE S7 Bloch simulations of the adiabatic inversion pulse (A) and the 90° adiabatic excitation pulse in the T₂preparation module (B). Longitudinal magnetization (Mz) after the pulses was evaluated for $T_1 = 1000 \text{ ms}$, $T_2 = 100 \text{ ms}$ over a range of off-resonance frequencies from -500 to 500 Hz with a step size of 5 Hz

TABLE S1 T_1 and T_2 values in the water compartment in the water/oil phantom at 3T and 1.5T acquired using rosette cMRF and spiral cMRF

How to cite this article: Liu Y, Hamilton J, Eck B, Griswold M, Seiberlich N. Myocardial T_1 and T_2 quantification and water–fat separation using cardiac MR fingerprinting with rosette trajectories at 3T and 1.5T. *Magn Reson Med.* 2021;85:103–119. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28404