

Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems

Supporting Information for

Evidence of rapid phenocryst growth of olivine during ascent in basalts from the Big Pine volcanic field: Application of olivine-melt thermometry and hygrometry at the liquidus

¹S.K. Brehm and ¹R.A. Lange

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, 2534 North University Building, 1100 North University Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1005, USA

Contents of this file

Calculation of minimum ascent rates

Figure S1. Image of BP-11 mantle xenolith

Figure S2. Chondrite-normalized trace-element diagram of BP basalts

Figure S3. Plots of Na₂O vs Mg# and TiO₂ vs Mg# in clinopyroxene for BP-24 and BP-7

Figure S4. Histograms of anorthite content in the four low-MgO BP basalts and application of plagioclase-liquid hygrometer

Table S1. Analyzed trace element concentrations for all ten BP samples

Table S2. Measured FeO wt% from titrations using the Wilson (1960) method

Table S3. Standards employed for electron microprobe analyses of olivine, clinopyroxene, plagioclase, and Fe-Ti oxides

Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

Table S4. Olivine phenocryst analyses for ten BP basalts

Table S5 Clinopyroxene analyses for six high-MgO BP basalts

Table S6. Plagioclase phenocryst analyses for four BP basalts

Table S7. Ilmenite and titanomagnetite analyses for BP-20

Introduction

This file contains a detailed description of estimates of minimum ascent rates using Stoke's settling law. The four supplementary figures and three supplementary tables in this file are those that may be of interest to many readers, but are not essential information for the main text.

Figures S1, S2, S3, S4

The figures include a photograph of the mantle xenolith in sample BP-11 (Fig. S1), a chondritenormalized trace-element plot of the BP basalts (Fig. S2), a plot of clinopyroxene analyses for two additional basalt samples (BP-24 and BP-7) that complement Figure 7 in the main text (Fig. S3), and histograms of plagioclase analyses obtained in each of the four low-MgO BP basalts (Fig. S4). All information about how the microprobe data were collected for Figure S3 and S4 is found in the main text.

Tables S1, S2, S3

The tables include a compilation of all analyzed trace elements for the ten BP basalts (ICP-MS analyses from Actlabs (Canada) in this study (Table S1), the two sets of analyses of wt% FeO in all ten BP basalts and certified standard using the titration method of Wilson (1960) (Table S2), and a list of the microprobe standards used for mineral analyses at the University of Michigan (Table S3).

Tables S4, S5, S6, S7

The four remaining supplementary tables provide all microprobe mineral analyses obtained in this study for olivine (Table S4), clinopyroxene (Table S5), plagioclase (Table S6) and Fe-Ti oxides (Table S7). Because they are each multi-paged, they are uploaded individually. All details about how data were obtained are described in the main text.

Calculation of minimum ascent rates

For a mantle xenolith to be carried to the surface, the ascent velocity of the host basalt must be sufficiently rapid to overcome the settling velocity of the mantle xenolith. For a Newtonian fluid, the minimum ascent velocity for a mantle xenolith can be approximated using Stoke's law:

$$v = \frac{2gr^2(\rho_{xenolith} - \rho_{liquid})}{9\eta}$$
(1)

In the expression above, *g* is the gravitational acceleration (9.8 ms⁻²), ρ is density (g/cm³), and η is the viscosity of the liquid (Pa s). However, Sparks et al. (1977) and Spera (1980, 1984) caution that the Stoke's calculation presented in Equation 1 may be an oversimplification as it assumes the melt is Newtonian. The melt may instead behave like a Bingham fluid, in which case crystal abundance must be accounted for. Therefore, the calculated minimum ascent velocities presented below are between the depth of melt segregation and the onset of phenocryst growth, when phenocrysts are not present.

Equation (1) is used to calculate the minimum ascent velocity of the basalt (BP-11) that hosted the mantle xenolith (2 cm radius) found in this study. Previous work has shown that the Big Pine mantle xenoliths are predominantly spinel lherzolites (e.g., Wilshire et al., 1988; Beard & Glazner, 1995; Lee, 2001), which have an approximate average density of 3.3 g/cm³ (e.g. Spera, 1980). The whole-rock composition of BP-11 (Table 1) is used to calculate the liquid density and viscosity at 1200°C. Previous work on olivine-hosted melt inclusions has shown that BP basalts contain between 1.5 and 3.0 wt% H₂O (Gazel et al., 2012), thus the density and viscosity of BP-11 is calculated using both values. The density of the liquid is calculated according to the model of Lange and Carmichael (1990), Lange (1997), and Ochs and Lange (1999), and the viscosity of the liquid is calculated using the model of Hui and Zhang (2007). The calculations give a minimum ascent velocity of 0.07-0.28 m/s (5.8 and 23.8 km/day), respectively, for BP-11 with 1.5 and 3.0 wt% H₂O.



Figure S1. Mantle xenolith found in sample BP-11.



Figure S2. Chondrite-normalized (McDonough & Sun, 1995) trace-element diagram of the BP basalts compared to average Cascade arc basalt (Reiners et al., 2000) and an average Hawiian tholeiite (Hofmann & Jochum, 1996).







Figure S4. Histograms of anorthite content (An mol% = $(X_{CaO}/(X_{CaO} + X_{Na2O} + X_{K2O}) \times 100)$ for analyzed plagioclase in four BP basalts. The composition of the most An-rich plagioclase in each sample is reported in Table S6. The most An-rich plagioclase composition, whole-rock composition, and T_{Ni} °C in each sample are used to calculate the minimum H₂O wt% (see main text) using the plagioclase-liquid hygrometer (Waters & Lange, 2015). The most calcic plagioclase composition (An mol%), liquid An number, T_{Ni} °C, minimum H₂O wt%, and number of analyses (=n) are given for each sample.

(ppm)	BP-23	BP-31	BP-11	BP-19	BP-24	BP-7	BP-16	BP-30	BP-8	BP-20
Sc	28	32	26	28	25	25	28	28	25	24
Be	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
V	201	231	211	243	208	196	223	223	186	190
Cr	740	700	350	330	330	280	80	70	190	200
Со	75	90	108	75	76	70	94	67	58	77
Ni	343	265	212	168	208	198	88	88	107	114
Zn	54	54	59	56	56	91	67	68	58	58
Cd	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
S	0.006	0.009	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.017	0.003	0.003
Cu	52	56	50	48	48	125	54	66	34	42
Ag	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
Pb	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
Ga	15	15	17	17	17	17	19	19	18	18
Ge	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
As	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
Rb	14	18	27	27	29	20	15	14	25	27
Sr	1293	1181	1382	1612	1329	1067	899	891	824	795
Y	19	19	22	21	20	26	23	22	22	21
Zr	136	155	206	182	192	246	171	176	194	193
Nb	7	9	11	10	11	15	7	7	9	9
Мо	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
In	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
Sn	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
Sb	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
Cs	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d	b.d
Ва	1031	1159	1338	1627	1301	820	788	773	827	817
La	40.1	39.1	49.2	53.9	49.2	37	29	28.6	30	25.8
Ce	87.2	86.4	105	117	102	83.4	64.2	62.3	65.3	56.4
Pr	10.4	10.6	12.4	13.9	12.1	10.2	7.9	7.65	7.72	6.93
Nd	42.3	43	48.8	55.5	47.4	42	33.2	32.1	31.3	28.9
Sm	7.2	7.5	7.9	9.1	7.8	7.8	6.6	6.4	5.8	5.8
Eu	2.03	2.05	2.22	2.42	2.16	2.34	1.94	1.91	1.8	1.68
Ga	5.6	5.6	6	6.5	6	6.5	5.7	5.4	5.5	5
	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Dy	4	3.9	4.5	4.4	4.3	5.2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.2
HO E=	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	27	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8
Er	1.9	2 0.07	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.1
	0.20	0.27	0.32	0.29	0.3	0.30	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.3
	0.25	0.20	2.1 0.22	2 0.21	ے 0.21	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.3	1.9
	2.0	0.20	0.00	2.0	1 1	0.37	2.6	27	0.55	0.29
	2.9	0.5	4.5	0.5	4.1	4.9	0.4	0.4	4.5	4
i a W	0.5	380	0.0 572	324	200	1 101	0.4 442	0.4 371	221	0.0 376
TI	270 hd	500 h d	51Z hd	524 h d	230 hd	hd	442 hd	571 hd	221 hd	570 hd
Ri	b.u h.d	b.u h.d	b.u h.d	b.u h.d	b.u h.d	b.u h.d	b.u b.d	b.u h.d	b.u h.d	b.u h.d
Th	27	25	35	35	3.8	23	22	22	24	19
U	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5

Table S1. Analyzed trace element concentrations for 10 BPVF samples

Trace-element compositions were determined using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) at Activation Laboratories, Ontario, Canada

b.d. below detection limit

	Titration 1	Titration 2	Average FeO			
Sample	wt% FeO	wt% FeO	wt%	±1σ	±2σ	
BP-7	6.81	6.57	6.69	0.17	0.34	
BP-8	5.71	5.66	5.69	0.04	0.07	
¹ BP-11	5.28	4.97	5.13	0.22	0.44	
BP-16	6.36	5.64	6.00	0.51	1.02	
BP-19	6.09	6.23	6.16	0.10	0.20	
BP-20	6.18	5.84	6.01	0.24	0.48	
BP-23	6.22	6.43	6.33	0.15	0.30	
BP-24	5.73	5.74	5.74	0.01	0.01	
BP-30	5.92	6.02	5.97	0.07	0.14	
BP-31	6.20	6.18	6.19	0.01	0.03	
					Average FeO wt% ±	
	Titration 1	Titration 2	Average FeO		1 σ for all W-2a	
	wt% FeO	wt% FeO	wt% ± 1 σ		measurements	
² W-2a (10/05/19) 8.27		8.22	8.25 ± 0.04		8 44 + 0 22	
² W-2a (10/10/19)	8.61	8.64	8.63 ± 0.02		0.44 ± 0.22	
¹ BP-11 contains alteration products in its vesicles						

Table S2. Measured FeO wt% from titrations using the Wilson (1960) method

'BP-11 contains alteration products in its vesicles

 2 Two set of titrations were performed one week apart. Replications on USGS standard W-2a during a given session are presented with associated average ± 1 σ

Standards employed for electron microprobe analyses of olivine						
Element (olivine)	Standard name (standard block)	Mineral name of the standard				
Mg,Si	FOBO	Bolten forsterite				
Fe	FESI	Ferrosilite (synthetic)				
Ni	NiOl	Ni-olivine				
AI	JADE	JD-1 Jadeite				
Mn	BHRH	Rhodonite (Broken Hill)				
Cr	Cr2O3	Cr ₂ O ₃ (Synthetic)				
Ca	WOLL	Wollastonite (ANU)				
Standards employed for electron microprobe analyses of clinopyroxene						
Element (clinopyroxene)	Standard name (standard block)	Mineral name of the standard				
Mg	ENST	Enstatite (Harvard, H131709)				
Na	JADE	JD-1 Jadeite				
Si	GKFS	Adularia (St. Gothard)				
AI	JADE	JD-1 Jadeite				
Fe	FESI	Ferrosilite (synthetic)				
Ni	NiOl	Ni-olivine				
Cr	Cr2O3	Cr ₂ O ₃ (Synthetic)				
Mn	BHRH	Rhodonite (Broken Hill)				
Ti	GEIK	Geikelite (Synthetic)				
Ca	WOLL	Wollastonite (ANU)				
		()				
Standar	ds employed for electron micropr	obe analyses of plagioclase				
Element (plagioclase)	Standard name (standard block)	Mineral name of the standard				
Mg	GEIK	Geikelite (Synthetic)				
Na	TAB	Albite (Tiburion)				
Si	GKFS	Adularia (St. Gothard)				
AI	TANZ	Tanzanite				
Ti	GEIK	Geikelite (Synthetic)				
Fe	FESI	Ferrosilite (synthetic)				
Mn	BHRH	Rhodonite (Broken Hill)				
Са	WOLL	Wollastonite (ANU)				
K	GKFS	Adularia (St. Gothard)				
Standards employed for electron microprobe analyses of Fe-Ti oxides						
Element (Fe-Ti oxides)	Standard name (standard block)	Mineral name of the standard				
Μα	GEIK	Geikelite (Svnthetic)				
Ca.Si	WOLL	Wollastonite (ANU)				
Al	TANZ	Tanzanite				
Cr	CR203	Cr_2O_2 (Synthetic)				
V.	V205	V_{2} (Synthetic)				
v Eo	Mag LISNM	Magnetita (Minea Corsia, NMNH 114997)				
ГU Ма		Phodopito (Prokon Lill)				
الالار ۲:						
11		intente (intentitiountains, Nivine 96189)				

Table S3. Standards employed for electron microprobe analyses of olivine, clinopyroxene,plagioclase, and Fe-Ti oxides