

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Adopting otitis media practice guidelines increases adherence within a large primary care network

Mikaela Bradley <sup>(D)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Ali Bacharouch, <sup>2</sup> Tamera Hart-Johnson, <sup>3</sup> Heather L Burrows<sup>4</sup> and R Alexander Blackwood<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Michigan College of Literature, Science, and the Arts, <sup>2</sup>University of Michigan Medical School, <sup>3</sup>Office for Health Equity and Inclusion, and <sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States

**Aim:** Unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions to treat otitis media (OM) contribute to adverse drug reactions, increased cost and antibiotic resistance. Clinical care guidelines can help promote consistent treatment of conditions such as OM. This study evaluates adherence before and after implementation of an institutional guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of paediatric OM.

**Methods:** A retrospective chart review was performed to collect encounter information for paediatric patients seen within a primary care clinic network and diagnosed with OM before and after full implementation of a clinical care guideline. Patient cohorts from 2013 and 2016 were compared to determine which factors, including age, symptoms and diagnosis, were associated with treatment guideline adherence.

**Results:** Comparison of encounters from 2013 (n = 418) to 2016 (n = 635) revealed a significant difference in adherence to the 2013 Michigan Medicine Otitis Media Guideline. Overall adherence increased from 61.2% in 2013 to 70.6% in 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 9.85$ , P < 0.0017). Antibiotic use for acute OM decreased from 99.7% in 2013 to 96.7% in 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 10.04$ , P = 0.0015). Antibiotic prescriptions for OM with effusion decreased significantly from 42.9% in 2013 to 17.4% in 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 11.93$ , P < 0.0006).

**Conclusion:** Implementation of an institutional OM clinical practice guideline contributed to a significant increase in overall treatment adherence of OM for paediatric patients between the 2013 and 2016 cohorts. The number of antibiotic prescriptions for paediatric patients diagnosed with acute OM or OM with effusion significantly decreased from 2013 to 2016.

Key words: adherence; clinical care guideline; otitis media.

#### What is already known on this topic

- 1 Otitis media (OM) is one of the leading paediatric diagnoses resulting in the prescription of antibiotics.
- 2 The over-prescription of antibiotics resulting from incorrect diagnoses contributes to the growing antibiotic resistance epidemic.

#### What this paper adds

- 1 This study shows that institutional guidelines for OM are effective at promoting consistent treatment across outpatient paediatric clinics in a large primary care network.
- 2 This study demonstrates that institutional guidelines for OM contribute to improved treatment over time.
- 3 This study describes factors that serve as predictors for adherence to the diagnosis and treatment of OM.

Otitis media (OM) is a common diagnosis in paediatric patients, with over 5 million annual cases of acute OM (AOM) and 2.2 million annual cases of OM with effusion (OME) in the USA.<sup>1,2</sup> The estimated cost of paediatric AOM in the USA is approximately \$4.4 billion per year.<sup>1</sup> AOM is characterised by the bulging of the tympanic membrane and/or the presence of sudden-onset otorrhoea, otalgia or erythema. OME is characterised as a middle ear effusion without acute symptoms, often involving the persistence of fluid.<sup>2,3</sup> OM is one of the primary diagnoses resulting in antibiotic over-prescription,

**Correspondence:** Dr. Heather L Burrows, D3237 Medical Professional Building, 1500 E Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5718. Fax: +1 734 763 4208; email: armadill@med.umich.ed

1054

Accepted for publication 30 January 2021.

contributing to antibiotic resistance.<sup>4</sup> Antibiotic over-use contributes to adverse drug events, including diarrhoea and rash.<sup>5,6</sup>

Due to variation in presentation and provider thresholds, consistent diagnosis and management of OM across health-care systems is challenging. To promote uniform management, clinical practice guidelines have been established at varying levels. Past studies have shown that implementation of clinical practice guidelines contributes to improved patient outcomes.<sup>7–9</sup> Using clinical decision support for OM has been associated with increased adherence.<sup>10,11</sup>

Michigan Medicine is a large tertiary health system based in southeast Michigan with a network of nine paediatric primary care clinics with over 60 faculty and 70 paediatric residents caring for patients aged 0–21 years. In 2013, Michigan Medicine initiated the development of an institutional clinical practice guide-line for the diagnosis and treatment of paediatric OM (patients aged 0–18 years). The guideline was reviewed by stakeholders

Conflict of interest: None declared.

	2013 AAP guideline	2013 Michigan Medicine guideline
Diagnosis criteria	Diagnose AOM in children who present with moderate to severe bulging of the TM or new onset of otorrhoea not due to acute otitis externa or mild bulging of the TM and recent (less than 48 h) onset of ear pain or intense erythema of the TM. Clinicians should not diagnose AOM in children who do not have middle ear effusion	An AOM diagnosis requires evidence of acute inflammation – opaque, white, yellow or erythematous tympanic membrane or purulent effusion, middle ear effusion and symptoms of otalgia, irritability or fever
Severe AOM	Antibiotic therapy for AOM (bilateral or unilateral) in children $\geq$ 6 months of age with severe signs or symptoms (i.e. moderate or severe otalgia or otalgia for at least 48 h, or temperature 39°C (102.2°F) or higher)	Children ≥6 months of age with AOM (unilateral or bilateral) with moderate symptoms (fever, significant pain and otalgi present >48 h): antibiotic therapy
Non-severe BAOM in young children	Antibiotic therapy for bilateral AOM in children 6-23 months without severe signs or symptoms	Children <24 months of age with bilateral AOM with minor symptoms: antibiotic therapy
Non-severe UAOM in young children	Antibiotic therapy or observation with for UAOM in children 6–23 months of age without severe signs or symptoms	Children <24 months of age with unilateral AOM with minor symptoms: observation option/antibiotic deferral
Non-severe AOM in older children	Antibiotic therapy or observation with (bilateral or unilateral) in children $\geq$ 24 months of age without severe signs or symptoms	Children ≥24 months of age with minor symptoms (unilateral or bilateral): observation option/antibiotic deferral
Antibiotic treatment	When deciding to treat AOM with antibiotics, use amoxicillin if the child has not received amoxicillin in the past 30 days, or the child does not have concurrent purulent conjunctivitis or the child is not allergic to penicillin.	High-dose amoxicillin is the first choice of antibiotic therapy for all cases of AOM. In the event of allergy to amoxicillin, azithromycin is the appropriate first-line therapy

 Table 1
 Comparison of the 2013 American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and 2013 Michigan Medicine otitis media guidelines

AOM, acute otitis media; BAOM, bilateral acute otitis media; TM, tympanic membrane; UAOM, unilateral acute otitis media.

Table 2	Treatment criteria for acute otitis media according to
Michigan	Medicine guideline

Age, months	Symptoms/presentation	Treatment	
6+	Severe, all laterality	Antibiotics	
6-23	Not severe, bilateral	Antibiotics	
6-23	Not severe, unilateral	Observation or antibiotics	
≥24	Not severe, all laterality	Observation or antibiotics	

and fully implemented in March 2014. The guideline was disseminated to all faculty and physicians who see primary care patients at faculty meetings, via emails, and was published on the network's internal website. This guideline is directly based on recommendations from the American Academy of Pediatrics.<sup>12</sup> A published summary of AOM guidelines highlights the similarities of the two guidelines.<sup>13</sup> Table 1 lists the congruent recommendations of both guidelines.<sup>12,14</sup>

The criteria for treatment of AOM as per the Michigan Medicine guideline is outlined in Table 2 based on age, symptom severity and laterality.<sup>14</sup> Watchful waiting (WW) is an alternative to providing immediate antibiotic therapy that encourages families to observe for 48–72 h before initiating antibiotics. This can be achieved by providing a prescription that is only to be filled if symptoms persist.<sup>3,12,15</sup> This form of judicious antibiotic use attempts to combat resistance by decreasing unnecessary antibiotic use. Observation is recommended for children aged  $\geq 2$  years with AOM without severe symptoms when possible.<sup>12,14,16</sup>

Antibiotics are not recommended for OME because they do not hasten the clearance of middle ear fluid.<sup>2,3,14</sup> Instead, clinical evaluation is recommended at 3 months.<sup>12</sup> In this study, OME cases were evaluated in order to understand how often antibiotics were prescribed unnecessarily and against guideline recommendations.

This study compared encounters from before and after implementation of the 2013 Michigan Medicine OM guideline to assess whether promulgation of guidelines was followed by more accurate diagnosis and treatment of paediatric OM.

## Methods

## Participants

Data were extracted using retrospective chart review. As the data were part of standard medical care, this study was determined to be Not-Regulated by the Institutional Review Board. Charts were identified from 5 months during 2016 ('after implementation') (January–February, October–December, n = 686). Criteria for inclusion required that patients were  $\leq 18$  years of age at the time of encounter, seen in-person at a primary care clinic in the system and diagnosed with OM. Encounters which did not meet inclusion criteria and cases of OM with ruptured tympanic membrane or otitis externa were excluded, resulting in 635 encounters for analysis. A comparative sample (n = 485) was generated from encounters between October 2013 and February 2014 ('before

M Bradley et al.

implementation'), of which 418 charts fit the inclusion criteria. Charts from the 2013 cohort were selected beginning in October as the medical system switched to a new electronic medical records system and prior records were inaccessible.

#### Demographics

Demographic factors including age, gender and race/ethnicity were recorded. Age was split into three groups consistent with the guideline (<6, 6–23 and  $\geq$ 24 months). Gender was also coded (female = 1, male = 0). An under-represented minority (URM) variable was created for race/ethnicity (URM (Hispanic, black and other) = 1, non-URM (white and Asian) = 0).

#### Illness

Information collected included symptom duration, presence and severity of otalgia, presence of fever at visit, ear examination findings (erythema, bulging, fluid and lack of movement), laterality, diagnosis, medication prescribed, medication allergies, OM risk factors (undervaccination, tobacco exposure and craniofacial abnormalities) and prior OM. Being undervaccinated was defined as being past due on vaccines at the time of the visit as denoted in the chart or a specific physician note stating the patient was undervaccinated. Day-care attendance was not included as a risk factor as it was not consistently documented in the medical records. A label of 'not recorded' was used to denote missing information.

Duration of symptoms was coded based on days with OM-related symptoms (1 day = 0, 2 days = 1 and >2 days = 2). Otalgia and fever were similarly coded (none = 0, mild = 1 and severe = 2). Severe symptoms included instances of moderate or severe otalgia, >48 h of pain and/or a fever of  $\geq$ 39°C, whereas mild symptoms included fevers below 39°C and low to medium pain.<sup>12,14</sup> Ear pain was considered severe when words such as 'severe, searing' were used and if young children were grabbing ears and/or crying due to pain. Charts that listed pain without specifying severity were considered mild. If applicable, physician documentation pertinent to the antibiotic treatment was recorded. These notes included information about coexisting illnesses or other factors affecting treatment decisions (e.g. amoxicillin/clavulanate for concurrent conjunctivitis or ceftriaxone due to oral intolerance).

#### Adherence

Adherence was coded in a systematic way to look at major aspects of guideline adherence, with an emphasis on age, symptoms, diagnosis and treatment (adhered = 1 and did not adhere = 0). The guideline states that AOM should not be diagnosed without a middle ear effusion.<sup>12,14</sup> If a physician listed a diagnosis of OM, we did not second guess that diagnosis and used that as the basis for the analysis. AOM encounters in which antibiotics other than amoxicillin were prescribed were classified as non-adherent unless there was a documented reason for which the physician prescribed a different antibiotic. Reasons for which different antibiotics were prescribed included amoxicillin/penicillin allergies, past negative reactions and coexisting infections requiring alternate treatment. Encounters with documented reasons for a different antibiotic prescription were classified as adherent.

Encounters that adhered to antibiotic treatment and selection recommendations were analysed based on symptoms and age. If the patient was <6 months and correctly prescribed antibiotics, the encounter was determined to be adherent, regardless of symptom severity. For patients aged 6-23 months with bilateral AOM, encounters were deemed adherent if they were prescribed an appropriate antibiotic, regardless of symptom severity. For patients aged 6-23 months with unilateral AOM with mild symptoms and patients  $\geq$ 24 months with unilateral or bilateral AOM, observation or deferred antibiotic treatment were recommended.<sup>14</sup> Cases in this category that received a 'WW' prescription of the appropriate antibiotic were deemed adherent. Cases were also labelled adherent if physicians discussed WW and parents elected antibiotic treatment.<sup>15</sup> Common reasons for non-adherence included insufficient documentation of symptoms and antibiotic selection deviation.

OME encounters were determined to be non-adherent if antibiotics were provided as the guideline stipulates that antibiotics are not recommended.<sup>14</sup> If the patient presented with coexisting infections requiring antibiotics, the OME encounter was considered adherent if the rest of the encounter was compliant.

As the guideline stipulates that the presence of ear pain and/or fever is necessary to make a diagnosis, charts that did not address these criteria were classified as non-adherent due to insufficient diagnostic information.

## Analysis

Data were analysed in Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 24.0).<sup>17</sup> Bivariate logistic regressions were completed for 2013 and 2016 to identify associations. A variable, 'cohort', was designed to represent the difference in years. A five-block multivariate logistic regression of predictors was run to discern whether the guideline impacted adherence between 2013 and 2016. A significance level of 0.05 was used for all analyses.

## Results

A comparison of the encounters reviewed in 2013 (n = 418) and 2016 (n = 635) revealed a significant difference in the overall adherence rate to the 2013 Michigan Medicine OM guideline. Treatment adherence significantly increased from 61.2% in 2013 to 70.6% in 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 9.85$ , P < 0.0017).

#### Demographics

Table 3 breaks down the demographics of each cohort. The 2013 cohort had a significantly larger proportion of children aged <6 months than the 2016 cohort ( $\chi^2 = 4.07$ , P = 0.044). There were significantly more patients who were identified as non-Hispanic Asian in 2013 compared to 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 4.07$ , P = 0.044). There were significantly more patients who were identified as 'other' in 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 9.40$ , P = 0.002) No other significant demographic differences between cohorts were found.

Table 3         Comparison of demographics between cohorts					
	2013 (n = 418)	2016 ( <i>n</i> = 635)	$\chi^2$	Р	
Age, months					
<6	5.3% (n = 22)	2.8% (n = 18)	4.07	0.044**	
6–23	36.8% ( <i>n</i> = 154)	37.5% (n = 238)	0.04	0.834	
≥24	57.9% (n = 242)	59.7% (n = 379)	0.33	0.563	
Gender					
Female	48.1% ( <i>n</i> = 201)	44.6% (n = 283)	1.26	0.262	
Male	51.9% (n = 217)	55.4% (n = 352)	1.26	0.262	
Race/ethnicity					
Non-Hispanic white	71.5% (n = 299)	71.8% (n = 456)	0.01	0.921	
Non-Hispanic black	6.5% ( <i>n</i> = 27)	7.9% (n = 50)	0.74	0.388	
Hispanic	5.3% (n = 22)	3.1% (n = 20)	2.94	0.086	
Non-Hispanic Asian	5.3% (n = 22)	2.8% (n = 18)	4.07	0.044**	
Other	2.6% (n = 11)	6.9% (n = 44)	9.40	0.002*	
Multiracial	1.9% (n = 8)	2.4% (n = 15)	0.24	0.626	
Unknown	6.9% ( <i>n</i> = 29)	5.0% (n = 32)	1.66	0.197	
-					

 $\Re < 0.5$  (significantly larger population in 2016); \*\*P < 0.5 (significantly larger population in 2013).

 Table 4
 Adherence rates by type of otitis media (OM) and age

	2013 Adherence rate ( $n = 418$ )	2016 Adherence rate ( $n = 635$ )	$\chi^2$	Р
Type of OM				
Unilateral AOM	54.3% (n = 278)	70.1% ( <i>n</i> = 344)	16.35	0.0001*
Bilateral AOM	76.5% (n = 98)	64.1% ( <i>n</i> = 142)	4.21	0.040**
Overall AOM	60.1% ( <i>n</i> = 226)	68.3% ( <i>n</i> = 332)	6.25	0.012*
Unilateral OME	63.3% ( <i>n</i> = 30)	75.3% (n = 73)	1.52	0.218
Bilateral OME	91.7% (n = 12)	80.3% ( <i>n</i> = 76)	0.91	0.341
Overall OME	71.4% (n = 30)	77.8% ( <i>n</i> = 116)	0.75	0.386
Age of patient, months				
<6	86.4% (n = 19)	61.1% ( <i>n</i> = 11)	3.37	0.066
6–23	67.5% ( <i>n</i> = 104)	72.7% (n = 173)	1.19	0.273
≥24	55.0% ( <i>n</i> = 133)	69.7% ( <i>n</i> = 264)	13.84	0.0002*
Overall	61.2% ( <i>n</i> = 256)	70.6% ( <i>n</i> = 448)	9.85	0.0017*

 $^*P < 0.5$  (adherence was significantly higher in 2016); \*\*P < 0.5 (adherence was significantly higher in 2013).

AOM, acute OM; OME, OM with effusion.

### **Primary analysis**

When analysed together, OM risk factors were more prevalent in 2016 (23.3%, n = 148) than 2013 (18.9%, n = 79), but this difference did not reach statistical significance ( $\chi^2 = 2.89$ , P = 0.089). Patients were more likely to be undervaccinated in 2016 (7.9%, n = 50) compared to 2013 (2.2%, n = 9) ( $\chi^2 = 15.59$ , P < 0.0001).

Table 4 shows bivariate within-group comparisons for the adherence rate for each type of OM and age group in 2013 and 2016. The n-values in this table reflect the number of adherent cases, not the total number of cases in the category. Overall, adherence for all cases of AOM increased from 2013 to 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 6.25$ , P = 0.012). Adherence for unilateral AOM significantly increased from 2013 (n = 278) to 2016 (n = 344) ( $\chi^2 = 16.35$ , P < 0.0001). Adherence for bilateral AOM significantly decreased from 2013 (n = 98) to 2016 (n = 142) ( $\chi^2 = 4.21$ , P = 0.040). In both cohorts, adherence for bilateral OME was the

highest, but there was no statistical difference in adherence rates for OME between 2013 ( $n_{unilateral} = 30$ ,  $n_{bilateral} = 12$ ) and 2016 ( $n_{unilateral} = 73$ ,  $n_{bilateral} = 76$ ) months significantly increased from 2013 to 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 13.84$ , P < 0.0002). There were no other differences in adherence related to age.

A bivariate cross-tabulation was conducted to assess if the quantity of missing diagnostic data changed between 2013 and 2016. In 2013, 37.3% of charts (n = 156) contained at least one missing piece of diagnostic information, defined as not recording fever, otalgia and/or duration of symptoms. In 2016, 31.5% of charts (n = 200) contained at least one missing piece of diagnostic information ( $\chi^2 = 3.82$ , P = 0.051).

#### Logistic regression

Table 5 shows the multivariate logistic regression that was conducted to identify significant predictors of adherence. For this

Variable	$\chi^2$	Significance (P)	Odds ratio	95% CI
Block 1	13.50	0.009*		
URM	0.59	0.439	1.20	0.76-1.90
Older	10.86	0.001*	0.50	0.33–0.76
Younger	1.98	0.159	0.24	0.03-1.76
Gender	0.053	0.819	0.96	0.69–1.35
Block 2	2.95	0.086		
Cohort	2.96	0.085	1.11	0.99-1.24
Block 3	0.049	0.824		
Risk factors	0.05	0.824	0.96	0.63-1.44
Block 4	65.48	0.0001*		
Fever	17.68	0.0001*	2.29	1.56–3.38
Ear pain	34.14	0.0001*	3.56	2.33-5.45
Longer	23.82	0.0001*	1.73	1.39–2.16
Final model	5.315	0.070		
URM	0.45	0.501	1.18	0.73–1.93
Older	7.83	0.005*	0.53	0.34–0.83
Younger	2.22	0.136	0.20	0.03-1.65
Gender	0.15	0.696	0.93	0.65-1.34
Cohort	0.61	0.435	1.05	0.93-1.19
Risk factors	0.09	0.761	0.94	0.61-1.44
Fever	19.56	0.0001*	2.42	1.64–3.57
Ear pain	35.42	0.0001*	3.70	2.40-5.69
Longer	22.83	0.0001*	1.73	1.38–2.17
Bilateral	0.36	0.550	0.88	0.58-1.34
Acute	4.93	0.026*	0.55	0.32-0.93
Constant	0.61	0.435	0.00	

 Table 5
 Hierarchical logistic regression predicting guideline adherence

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05.

CI, confidence interval; URM, under-represented minority.

chart, *P* values  $\leq 0.05$  indicate that the factor led to increased (odds ratio (OR) > 1) or decreased (OR < 1) adherence. Demographics were added in block 1 (URM, older, younger and gender). Age was found to be a significant predictor of decreased adherence for patients  $\geq 24$  months (OR = 0.50, P = 0.001). No other demographics were found to be significant predictors. The cohort variable (block 2) did not indicate a statistically significant difference in adherence between 2013 and 2016 due to difference in years (OR = 1.11, P = 0.085). OM risk factors (block 3) were not found to be significant. Fever, pain and symptom duration (block 4) were all found to be predictors of increased adherence (OR<sub>fever</sub> = 2.29,  $OR_{pain} = 3.56$ ,  $OR_{longer} = 1.73$ ; P < 0.0001). In the final model, a bilateral diagnosis was not found to be significant, but an acute diagnosis was a predictor of decreased adherence (OR = 0.55, P = 0.026). The final model indicated that having fever, pain and a longer duration of symptoms were significant predictors of increased adherence (OR<sub>fever</sub> = 2.42, OR<sub>pain</sub> = 3.70, OR<sub>longer</sub> = 1.73; P < 0.0001) and being older (≥24 months) was a significant predictor of decreased adherence (OR = 0.53, P = 0.005).

#### Antibiotic analysis

Antibiotic prescription for AOM significantly decreased from 2013 to 2016. 99.7% of AOM encounters in 2013 (n = 375) and 96.7% of AOM encounters in 2016 (n = 470) resulted in antibiotic

treatment ( $\chi^2 = 10.04$ , P = 0.0015). In 2013, 70.9% (n = 266) of all AOM-related prescriptions were amoxicillin compared to 74.0% (n = 348) in 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 1.02$ , P = 0.314). Of AOM encounters that resulted in an antibiotic prescription, 60.0% (n = 225) adhered to treatment guidelines in 2013 compared to 67.7% (n = 318) in 2016 ( $\chi^2 = 5.33$ , P = 0.021). Antibiotic prescription for cases of OME decreased significantly between 2013 (42.9%, n = 18) and 2016 (17.4%, n = 26) ( $\chi^2 = 11.93$ , P < 0.0006).

## Discussion

This cohort study suggests that the presence of fever, otalgia and longer symptom duration are the strongest predictors of paediatric OM diagnosis and treatment.

For the treatment of children aged  $\geq$ 24 months without severe symptoms, there is some ambiguity in the guideline as there is an option between observation and antibiotics. In these cases, physician perception and judgement play a role in determining the proper course of treatment. This decision could vary based on physician level of training, clinical experience and parental preferences. Future guideline recommendations could increase emphasis on WW as an option to promote judicious antibiotic use.

The overall antibiotic prescription rate for AOM decreased between 2013 and 2016 cohorts, which could indicate more judicious use of antibiotics. Lower antibiotic use could indicate a higher incidence of observation, which was addressed in the 2013 guideline. In addition, AOM cases that resulted in prescriptions adhered significantly more frequently in 2016, further indicating a change in prescribing patterns. Antibiotics were prescribed significantly less often for OME after guideline implementation. This decrease could suggest that physicians were adhering more closely to the guideline by withholding antibiotics for OME.

In this study, missing data was associated with non-adherence. The percentage of encounters with missing diagnostic data decreased from 37.3% to 31.5% between 2013 and 2016, indicating that the guideline may have encouraged more thorough documentation, but only at trend level. Additional factors could explain this improvement, such as increased use of electronic medical records documentation templates.

Our study had limitations relating to sample composition and methodology. The sample sizes for the young age group (<6 months) were small, resulting in difficulty conducting powerful between-group analyses. Future studies should gather a larger cohort of younger patients to further explore treatment differences. The retrospective chart review methodology makes it difficult to fully operationalise variables and only shows association.<sup>18</sup> Additional factors, including the updates to the American Academy of Pediatrics guidelines, may have contributed to changes in the treatment of paediatric OM.

## Conclusion

In this study, implementation of an institutional OM clinical practice guideline contributed to a significant increase in the overall treatment adherence of OM for paediatric patients between the 2013 and 2016 cohorts. The number of antibiotic prescriptions for both paediatric AOM and OME significantly decreased between 2013 and 2016. The decrease for OME was especially notable as antibiotic treatment is not recommended. Future research could consider utilising prospective study design or assessing physicians' awareness of the guideline and additional studies could evaluate differences in guideline compliance based on physician characteristics such as level of training or years of experience.

## References

 Suaya JA, Gessner BD, Fung S et al. Acute otitis media, antimicrobial prescriptions, and medical expenses among children in the United States during 2011–2016. Vaccine 2018; 36: 7479–86.

- 2 Rosenfeld RM, Shin JJ, Schwartz SR et al. Clinical practice guideline: Otitis media with effusion (update). Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 2016; 154: S1–S41.
- 3 Harmes KM, Blackwood RA, Burrows HL, Cooke JM, Van Harrison R, Passamani PP. Otitis media: Diagnosis and treatment. Am. Fam. Physician 2013; 88: 435–40.
- 4 Célind J, Södermark L, Hjalmarson O. Adherence to treatment guidelines for acute otitis media in children. The necessity of an effective strategy of guideline implementation. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 2014; **78**: 1128–32.
- 5 Hum SW, Shaikh KJ, Musa SS, Shaikh N. Adverse events of antibiotics used to treat acute otitis media in children: A systematic meta-analysis. J. Pediatr. 2019; 215: 139–43.
- 6 Venekamp RP, Sanders SL, Glasziou PP, Del Mar CB, Rovers MM. Antibiotics for acute otitis media in children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2015; CD000219.
- 7 Wöckel A, Kurzeder C, Geyer V *et al*. Effects of guideline adherence in primary breast cancer – A 5-year multi-centre cohort study of 3976 patients. *Breast* 2010; **19**: 120–7.
- 8 Murad MH. Clinical practice guidelines: A primer on development and dissemination. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2017; 92: 423–33.
- 9 Kredo T, Bernhardsson S, Machingaidze S et al. Guide to clinical practice guidelines: The current state of play. Int. J. Qual. Health Care. 2016; 28: 122–8.
- 10 Fiks AG, Zhang P, Localio AR *et al*. Adoption of electronic medical record-based decision support for otitis media in children. *Health Serv. Res.* 2015; **50**: 489–513.
- 11 Forrest CB, Fiks AG, Bailey LC *et al.* Improving adherence to otitis media guidelines with clinical decision support and physician feedback. *Pediatrics* 2013; **131**: 1071–81.
- 12 Lieberthal AS, Carroll AE, Chonmaitree T *et al*. The diagnosis and management of acute otitis media. *Pediatrics* 2013; **131**: e964–99.
- 13 Donaldson JD. Acute Otitis Media Guidelines: Guidelines Summary. Medscape; 2020. Available from: https://emedicine.medscape.com/ article/859316-guidelines [accessed 3 December 2020].
- 14 Burrows HL, Blackwood RA, Cooke JM, Van Harrison R, Harmes KM, Passamani, PP. Clinical Practice Guideline on Otitis Media. University of Michigan Health System (UMHS); 2014.
- 15 MacGeorge EL, Smith RA, Caldes EP, Hackman NM. Watchful waiting for cases of pediatric otitis media: Modeling parental response to physician advice. *J. Health Commun.* 2016; **21**: 919–26.
- 16 Damoiseaux RAMJ. Antibiotic treatment for acute otitis media: Time to think again. *CMAJ.* 2005; **172**: 657–8.
- 17 IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.; 2016.
- 18 Vassar M, Matthew H. The retrospective chart review: Important methodological considerations. J. Educ. Eval. Health Prof. 2013; 10: 12.