

**LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

## Intraoperative risk factors of acute kidney injury following liver transplantation

To the editor,

We thank Drs. Okumura, Dhand, Misawa, and Nishida for their thoughtful response to our recent article.<sup>[1,2]</sup> In their response, they highlight critical points regarding variable selection, interaction between variables, and additional subanalyses that might provide additional insight into the mechanism of posttransplant acute kidney injury (AKI).

As the letter writers suggest, understanding the confounding effect of the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score was something our study authors discussed extensively. Because patients developing AKI had lower MELD scores (18.0 [standard deviation, SD, 7.1] compared with 19.7 [SD, 8.8];  $p < 0.001$ ) on univariate analysis, which conflicted with previous studies showing higher MELD predicting AKI in some<sup>[3–5]</sup> but not others,<sup>[6,7]</sup> we ultimately felt the impact of the MELD score was worth investigating in our multivariable regressions. We felt justified with this decision because the variance inflation factor was less than two for all covariates, including the risk factors noted by the responders: MELD score, blood urea nitrogen, and estimated glomerular filtration rate. Although the suggestion to exclude patients with high MELD scores from the study is certainly reasonable, we feel that because MELD was not ultimately selected in the multivariable models, this is unlikely to significantly change the study results. We also appreciate the excellent insight regarding patients with lower MELD scores (often with hepatocellular carcinoma)—who might have a higher probability of receiving a liver transplant from a donation after circulatory death (DCD). In response to this suggestion, we note that only 7% of patients received a DCD and that neither covariate (DCD donor or MELD score) ultimately appeared in any of the multivariable regressions (Model 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6). Future studies on a larger multicenter population of transplant recipients might quantify the interaction term between DCD and MELD score.

We agree that resolving specific risk factors associated with a dialysis requirement would be very interesting. As only 19 patients (3%) required new postoperative dialysis, we are, unfortunately, underpowered to assess risk factors in a multivariable

analysis. This limitation of our single-center study highlights the necessity of integrating multicenter data to study low-frequency outcomes, a future goal of our combined approach.

In addition, the impact of intraoperative factors alone becomes very difficult to analyze when evaluating AKI for up to 7 days after liver transplantation. In fact, one of the major conclusions of our study was that the overall improvement in discrimination by adding intraoperative data is minimal. We hypothesized that this may be because renal injury has already occurred by the time intraoperative data are collected. As the letter writers point out, such an analysis may be further complicated because these defined risk factors are not chronologically one-off events, are often overlapping, and may exert a cumulative effect.

Finally, expansion of the variables collected will undoubtedly improve model quality. A strength of our methodology is the standardization of reportable variables and outcomes: Multicenter Perioperative Outcomes Group and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN). Our hope is that this methodology can be easily scaled to capture a larger cohort for future studies. Inclusion of additional variables include prior episodes of systemic infection impacting end-organ perfusion, pulmonary hypertension, recent intravenous contrast use, types of antihypertensive medications, nutritional status, and albumin supplementation may ultimately require institution-specific data query and manual review, which exceeded the scope of this preliminary study. Furthermore, covariates not currently available in OPTN data could be collected to help refine our understanding of donor quality and its important influence on perioperative renal injury.

In conclusion, we thank Drs. Okumura, Dhand, Misawa, and Nishida for their valuable feedback on our recent article. Although the addition of certain variables may provide additional insight into the pathophysiology of posttransplant AKI, the risk factors demonstrated in our single-center pilot study remained remarkably consistent across multiple models (censored to variable class and phase of transplant). Furthermore, the impact of the MELD score and the necessity of accounting for

the interaction between MELD score and variables such as DCD remain interesting areas for continued research that were not explored in more depth because the MELD score was not selected for in the final regressions. In addition, to appropriately power some interesting subanalyses, such as patients requiring postoperative dialysis, we must dramatically expand patient numbers through multicenter integration, which remains a focus of ongoing work from our team. Finally, even if adequately powered, the overall improvement in discrimination by adding intraoperative data likely remains modest in comparison with donor and recipient factors.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nothing to report.

Rachel J. Berkowitz<sup>1</sup>  
 Milo C. Engoren<sup>2</sup>  
 Graciela Mentz<sup>2</sup>  
 Pratima Sharma<sup>3</sup>  
 Sathish S. Kumar<sup>2</sup>  
 Ryan Davis<sup>2</sup>  
 Sachin Kheterpal<sup>2</sup>  
 Christopher J. Sonnenday<sup>4,5,6</sup>  
 Nicholas J. Douville<sup>2,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Surgical Analytics and Population Health, Data Analytics and Reporting, Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Anesthesiology, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Section of Transplantation, Department of Surgery, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Health Management and Policy, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Institute of Healthcare Policy & Innovation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA*

## Correspondence

Christopher J. Sonnenday, Section of Transplantation, Department of Surgery, Michigan Medicine, UH South Rm 6689, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-5296, USA.  
 Email: [csonnend@med.umich.edu](mailto:csonnend@med.umich.edu)

Nicholas J. Douville, Department of Anesthesiology, Michigan Medicine, 1H247 UH, SPC 5048, 1500 East Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-5048, USA.  
 Email: [ndouville@med.umich.edu](mailto:ndouville@med.umich.edu)

## ORCID

Pratima Sharma  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1182-0579>

Christopher J. Sonnenday  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1632-6529>

Nicholas J. Douville  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0997-6272>

## REFERENCES

1. Okumura K, Dhand A, Misawa R, Nishida S. Acute kidney injury following liver transplantation: pitfalls in the interpretation of risk factors. *Liver Transpl.* 2022;28:1397–8.
2. Berkowitz RJ, Engoren MC, Mentz G, Sharma P, Kumar SS, Davis R, et al. Intraoperative risk factors of acute kidney injury following liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2022;28:1207–23.
3. Romano TG, Schmidbauer I, de Silva FMQ, Pompilio CE, LAC D'A, Macedo E. Role of MELD score and serum creatinine as prognostic tools for the development of acute kidney injury after liver transplantation. *PLoS ONE.* 2013;8:e64089.
4. Leithead JA, Rajoriya N, Gunson BK, Muiesan P, Ferguson JW. The evolving use of higher risk grafts is associated with an increased incidence of acute kidney injury after liver transplantation. *J Hepatol.* 2014;60:1180–6.
5. Klaus F, Keitel da Silva C, Meinerz G, Carvalho LM, Goldani JC, Cantisani G, et al. Acute kidney injury after liver transplantation: incidence and mortality. *Transplant Proc.* 2014;46:1819–21.
6. Hilmi IA, Damian D, Al-Khafaji A, Planinsic R, Boucek C, Sakai T, et al. Acute kidney injury following orthotopic liver transplantation: incidence, risk factors, and effects on patient and graft outcomes. *Br J Anaesth.* 2015;114:919–26.
7. Cabezuelo JB, Ramírez P, Ríos A, Acosta F, Torres D, Sansano T, et al. Risk factors of acute renal failure after liver transplantation. *Kidney Int.* 2006;69:1073–80.