Synchrotron Radiation Spectroscopic Studies of Mg<sup>2+</sup> Storage Mechanisms in High-performance Rechargeable Magnesium Batteries with Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> Cathodes

Hao Xu, Yue Li, Dong Zhu, Zhao Li, Fengzhan Sun, Wen Zhu, Yu Chen, Jichao Zhang, Li Ren, Saihang Zhang, Jianxin Zou\* and Richard M. Laine\*\*

H. Xu, Z. Li, F. Z. Sun, W. Zhu, L. Ren, S. H. Zhang, Prof. J. X. Zou

National Engineering Research Center of Light Alloy Net Forming School of Materials Science and Engineering & State Key Laboratory of Metal Matrix Composites

School of Materials Science and Engineering

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Shanghai, 200240, P. R. China

E-mail: zoujx@sjtu.edu.cn

H. Xu, Z. Li, F. Z. Sun, W. Zhu, L. Ren, S. H. Zhang, Prof. J. X. Zou

Center of Hydrogen Science

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Shanghai, 200240, P. R. China

Y. Li

----

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance

School of Physics and Electronic Science

This is the author clanuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the or pyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to difference bet reen this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1002/acs. 02201608.

East China Normal University



#### Abstract

Rechargeable magnesium batteries (RMBs) are one of the more promising future energy storage systems. This work proposes a non-nucleophilic phenolate-based magnesium complex (PMC) electrolyte enabling reversible Mg stripping/plating with a low overpotential of 84.3 mV at 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Subsequently, Co doping is introduced to prepare FeS<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. Multiple characterizations confirm that Co doping can expand crystal lattice and reduce particle sizes, thus benefiting cathode reactions. With Co doping, Fe orbitals can be expected to transform from high spin to low spin states without valence changes while the spin state of Co atoms is little influenced. Then, Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes coated on copper collectors coupling with PMC electrolyte for RMBs show superior electrochemical performance among reported chalcogenide cathodes, displaying a maximum discharge capacity (700 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. Specifically, Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes exhibit the best cycling stability and shortest activation time. Even at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>, a discharge capacity (164 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) is still achieved after 1000 cycles. Mechanistic studies indicate that copper collector participates in the cathode reactions accompanied by Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S generation while Fe and Co species play a synergistic catalytic role, providing effective tactics for rational design of electrolytes, conversion type cathodes and collectors.

Author

# 1. Introduction

Rechargeable magnesium batteries (RMBs) provide great potential for the safe and large-scale energy storage with low cost,<sup>[1]</sup> raising considerable potential utility. Magnesium is the eighth most abundant element in the earth's crust, accounting for about 2 wt. %.<sup>[2]</sup> Mature magnesium smelting technology and wide application of Mg based materials in energy storage,<sup>[3]</sup> medical and other fields make the price of Mg much lower than that of Li.<sup>[4]</sup> Previous work has proven that Mg anodes do not suffer significantly from dendrite formation during RMB cycling due to its low chemical reactivity,<sup>[5]</sup> also providing a high theoretical volumetric capacity (3832 mAh cm<sup>-3</sup>).<sup>[6]</sup> However, a magnesium oxide layer forms easily on Mg anode surfaces impeding Mg<sup>2+</sup> diffusion.<sup>[7]</sup> Given the immense potential offered by RMBs, it is imperative that a resolution to current problems concerning the compatibility between Mg anodes, electrolytes, and appropriate cathode materials should be explored.

Cathode materials can be both intercalation and conversion types. The strong columbic interactions between Mg<sup>2+</sup> and intercalation matrices lead to sluggish solid-state diffusion, rendering cathode materials poor ion conductors.<sup>[8]</sup> The regulation of the Mg<sup>2+</sup>solvation structure in electrolyte and crystal lattice spacing for materials offers two effective avenues to improve reaction kinetics and cycling stability.<sup>[9]</sup> However, this will likely increase costs and time for electrolyte and material syntheses. Conversion type cathodes can be free from the

kinetic inhibition of Mg<sup>2+</sup> insertion/extraction,<sup>[10]</sup> always exhibiting high specific capacity and long-term cycling performance. Such "ideal" conversion materials are non-metallic simple substances belonging to group VIA including O<sub>2</sub>, S, Se and Te with high theoretical volumetric capacities and widespread natural abundance.<sup>[11]</sup>

Magnesium sulfur batteries (MSBs) using S cathodes have been studied widely since HMDSMgCl/AlCl<sub>3</sub> electrolyte was identified as being viable for the MSB prototype.<sup>[12]</sup> Later, a myriad of electrolytes were prepared and reported to improve MSB performance,<sup>[6a,</sup> <sup>13]</sup> focusing on non-nucleophilic properties and the compatibility between Mg anodes, S cathodes and electrolytes. These electrolytes are always prepared using Mg(HMDS)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[14]</sup> Mg(CB<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[15]</sup> Mg(TFSI)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[16]</sup> Mg(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[17]</sup> Mg[B(hfip)<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> salts,<sup>[18]</sup> rendering synthesis costs quite high, which is far from practical application. In addition, MSBs still suffer from shuttling of magnesium polysulfides, low actual specific capacity and short cycle life.<sup>[19]</sup>

Metal sulfides (MS<sub>x</sub>) with lower theoretical specific capacities than sulfur could be suitable conversion type materials,<sup>[6b, 20]</sup> where metal can enhance reaction kinetics during RMB cycling. In a manner, MS<sub>x</sub> can serve as another form of sulfur. The "M" can be hypothetically regarded as zero valent and S in MS<sub>x</sub> could also be also zero valent. As for conversion type cathodes of RMBs, metal (M) can be divided into two types. Type I is a Mg alloy including N like Sn, Sb and Bi.<sup>[21]</sup> In type II systems, Mg does not react with M, e.g. 3d transition metals.<sup>19c, 22]</sup> Even though S has a high theoretical specific capacity of 1675 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>, Table S1 (Supporting Information) shows that M in MS<sub>x</sub> materials reduces generally specific capacities compared with pure sulfur. In theory, VS<sub>4</sub> (S content=71.6 wt. %) has a high theoretical specific capacity based on the assumed conversion of V<sup>0</sup>-S4<sup>0</sup> to V<sup>0</sup>-4MgS. Nevertheless, VS<sub>4</sub> is reported to be an intercalation type material and the conversion of VS<sub>4</sub> to V-MgS occurs rarely,<sup>[23]</sup> making the actual specific capacity relatively low. In contrast,

alloying-type metal sulfides like Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, SnS<sub>2</sub> and Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> could be good choices for RMBs. However, insufficient researches have been devoted to their study and to date they tend to demonstrate unsatisfactory electrochemical performance.<sup>[24]</sup>

The type II metal sulfides have been widely studied. When CuS cathodes with different modified morphologies are used for RMBs,<sup>[25]</sup> they show a maximum capacity of 477 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.05 A g<sup>-1</sup> though cycling life is only 60 cycles. Pyrite FeS<sub>2</sub> with considerable sulfur content (53.4 wt %) offers the advantages of an abundant resource and a simple synthesis providing potential towards high energy densities. FeS<sub>2</sub> has a high theoretical capacity of 896 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> and the corresponding mass energy density is 1200 Wh kg<sup>-1</sup>. Unfortunately, previous work by Mao et al. finds that FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes show extremely poor electrochemical performance and capacities are contributed by Mg<sup>2+</sup> insertion/extraction.<sup>[22c]</sup>

Also, the strategies of using additives like Li<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> salts were proposed to enhance the electrochemical performance.<sup>[26]</sup> Another study reported that FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes delivered a capacity of 600 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 50 cycles at 0.05 A g<sup>-1</sup> when coupled with a copper current collector.<sup>[27]</sup> Even though copper was proven to participate in the cathode reactions during first cycle, the reaction mechanism was not clear as the cell reaches its maximum capacity, implying an activation process. Besides, expensive non-nucleophilic Mg(HMDS)<sub>2</sub>, Mg(TFSI)<sub>2</sub>, Mg[B(hfip)<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> based salts are always used for these RMBs, making the road from laboratory research to application particularly long. Therefore, considerable impetus remains to develop an efficient, in-expensive and non-nucleophilic electrolyte for RMBs using conversion type cathodes.

In this study, inspired by the efficient solution structure of widely used all phenyl complex [(PhMgCl)<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub>/THF, APC] electrolyte,<sup>[8a, 28]</sup> nucleophilic Ph<sup>-</sup> groups were replaced with R-Ph-O<sup>-</sup> groups to prepare the (R-PhOMgCl)<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub>/THF electrolyte where R is the alkyl group of 2-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol, namely phenolate-based magnesium

complex electrolyte (PMC).<sup>[29]</sup> Here, O<sup>-</sup> is less nucleophilic compared with C<sup>-</sup>. Simultaneously, the 2p orbital electrons of O<sup>-</sup> will conjugate with  $\pi$  electrons of benzene ring, thus lowering the nucleophilicity, for use as described below. Table S2 (Supporting Information) shows that the synthesis cost of this electrolyte is much less than other typical electrolytes. Additionally, we adopt the strategy of using dopants as they are known to improve the performance of materials used in electrocatalysis, photocatalysis and energy storage.<sup>[30]</sup> First, Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes with Co doping ratios of 0, 10, 25 and 50 at. % (denoted as FeS<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, respectively) were prepared via simple hydrothermal syntheses and characterized in detail (see below). Characterization results show that Co replaces Fe in the same crystallographic positions.

RMBs using Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes, PMC electrolyte and copper collectors show good electrochemical performance compared with other conversion type cathodes (Table S3, Supporting Information). FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes now offer a highest discharge capacity of 700 mAh  $g^{-1}$  at 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$  Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes have the shortest activation of 14 cycles and maintain a discharge capacity of 613 mAh  $g^{-1}$  after 150 cycles at 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$ , even displaying a high discharge capacity of 164 mAh  $g^{-1}$  after 1000 cycles at 1 A  $g^{-1}$ . Last but not least, the reaction of Mg<sup>2+</sup> with Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> plays a dominant role in contributing to capacity during initial RMB cycling. Reactions at the copper collector with Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> are part of the activation process, accompanied by generation of Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S. Deservedly, Mg<sup>2+</sup> reactions with Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S play a leading role after sufficient cycling while the Fe and Co species can act as catalysts to improve the reaction kinetics, clarifying the roles of Fe, Co and Cu. Briefly, this work inspired us to consider the actions of copper collector in electrodes for other batteries like rechargeable lithium/sodium/zinc/aluminum ion batteries. Moreover, it should make PMC electrolyte attractive for RMBs, which can be better regulated for further studies.



#### 2. Results and discussion

#### 2.1. The structure of Co-doped FeS2 materials

A simple hydrothermal method was used to prepare Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> materials. **Figure 1**a provides a general overview of the processing steps (see experimental section, Supporting Information). The prepared FeS<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD). Figure 1b shows that characteristic diffraction patterns of as-obtained samples can be indexed to standard pyrite FeS<sub>2</sub> (JCPDs. card 71-0053), with a space group of *Fm*-3*m*, confirming successful Co doping. Moreover, all diffraction peaks shift slightly to a lower angle compared with those of pyrite CoS<sub>2</sub> (JCPDs. card 70-2865). With increasing the Co content, the diffraction peaks become weaker and broader, indicating the decreased crystallinity and reduced particle sizes. The XRD pattern of Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> displays a weak peak at 30.9 °, likely attributable to traces of impurities. At an anticipated doping content of 75 %, the XRD pattern of "Fe<sub>0.25</sub>Co<sub>0.75</sub>S<sub>2</sub>" (Figure S1, Supporting Information) does not match with pyrite FeS<sub>2</sub> or CoS<sub>2</sub>, indicating the unsuccessful preparation. Thus, 50 at. % is the highest content of Co dopant in this study.

Author



**Figure 1.** a) General methods used to prepare  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) samples. b) XRD patterns of these samples. Rietveld refinement results of the as-prepared c) FeS<sub>2</sub>, d)  $Fe_{0.9}Co_{0.1}S_2$ , e)  $Fe_{0.75}Co_{0.25}S_2$  and f)  $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$ .



This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

To further understand the results mentioned above, Rietveld refinement of XRD patterns was performed using Pseudo-Voigt profile fitting function.<sup>[31]</sup> The results are shown in Figure 1c-f and detailed data are provided in Table S4 (Supporting Information). Note that 10 at. % doping causes the formation of a slight smaller unit cell. With increasing Co doping ratios, the unit-cell gradually expand as M-S (M=Fe/Co) bonds lengthen. For typical conversiontype metal sulfides, metal-sulfur bonds should transform to Mg-S bonds during RMB discharge. Specifically, M-S (M=Fe/Co) bonds lengthen as M-S bond strength lessens. This is advantageous as cleavage of M-S bonds to produce Mg-S bonds becomes energetically more favorable. Doping Co in FeS<sub>2</sub> could be an effective strategy to realize reversible reactions during battery cycling as suggested by M-S+Mg $\leftrightarrow$ Mg-S+M.

Materials' morphology and compositional evolution were first characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). As shown in Figure S2a (Supporting Information), FeS<sub>2</sub> without Co exhibits a spherical morphology with a rough surface and diameters of several microns. Figure S2f, k, p show that Co doping reduces average particle sizes (APSs) and causes agglometation. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) microscopy analyses (Figure S2 and Table S5, Supporting Information) reveal a Fe:S molar ratio of 0.33:0.67 for FeS<sub>2</sub>. Similar findings are found for homogeneous distributions of Fe, Co, S for Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0.1, 0.25, 0.5) samples with Fe:Co:S molar ratios close to the feeding ratio. Nevertheless, Figure S3a-e (Supporting Information) show that the Fe:Co:S molar ratio of as-prepared Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> sample deviates greatly, verifying the unsuccessful preparation of objective "Fe<sub>0.25</sub>Co<sub>0.75</sub>S<sub>2</sub>", agreeing well with the XRD analyses. Additionally, the Fe:Co:S molar ratio of as-anticipated "Fe<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.95</sub>S<sub>2</sub>" presented in Figure S3f-j deviates greatly from the feeding ratio, further confirming that excessive Co doping inhibits pyrite phase formation.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) allows clearer assessment of sample morphologies and structures. As Co doping increases from 0 to 50 at. %, low-resolution TEM

images in **Figure 2**a, e, i, m reveal decreases in APSs, consistent with the SEM observations.  $Co^{2+}$  in solution seems to inhibit particle growth during hydrothermal processing. For the FeS<sub>2</sub> sample, the dominant lattice fringes in high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images (Figure 2b, c) have interplanar spacings of 0.2721 nm, corresponding to (200) planes.<sup>[32]</sup> Figure 2f, g, j, k, n, o show interplanar spacings of (200) planes are 0.2718, 0.2724 and 0.2730 nm for Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. Feo<sub>75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The selected area electron diffraction (SAEL) patterns (Figure 2d, h) present clear-cut concentric circles with obvious bright spots, indicative of fairly good crystallinity for FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. However, Figure 2l, p show weakened diffraction spots with strengthened ring-like shape in Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> samples, indicating polycrystalline characters caused by reduced APSs. The labeled diffraction rings are indexed as (111), (200), (210), (211), (220), (221), (311) and (222) planes of these samples, consistent with XRD refinement results. Moreover, HAADF-STEM mapping results (Figure S4, Supporting Information) further confirm the homogenous element distribution of Fe, Co and S in these samples.

Author N



**Figure 2.** TEM images, HRTEM images at different magnifications, SAED patterns of asprepared a-d) FeS<sub>2</sub>, e-h) Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, i-l) Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and m-p) Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> samples. (The scale bars of Figure b, f, j, n are 5 nm; the scale bars of Figure c, g, k, o are 2 nm and the scale bars of Figure d, h, l, p are 5 1/nm.)

The specific surface areas (SSAs) and pore size distributions for  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) were characterized by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption as these properties play a crucial role in the battery performance.<sup>[33]</sup> As seen in Figure S5 and Table S6 (Supporting Information), the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) SSAs of FeS<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub>

and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> are estimated to be 2, 5, 9 and 23 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, as anticipated by the changes in particle sizes. Using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method, FeS<sub>2</sub> shows pore size distribution (<20 nm) while pore size distributions (<12 nm) are seen for the Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> samples. The thermal stability of Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) samples were then investigated by thermal gravimetric (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) analyses (Figure S6, Supporting Information). TGA curves show a continuous mass loss with the increasing temperature. Apart from this, the DSC curves indicate that the decomposition temperature of FeS<sub>2</sub> under Ar (597 °C) is lower than those for Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> offers a somewhat higher thermal stability up to 631 °C. Thus, Co doping improves slightly the thermal stability of FeS<sub>2</sub>.

Previous measurements confirm that Co doping weakens M-S bonds and reduces APSs coincidentally with increasing SSAs. Raman spectra show that  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) samples have similar bond structures (**Figure 3**a). Weak peaks at  $\approx$ 340 cm<sup>-1</sup> most likely arise from the E-vibration mode of  $S_2^{2-}$  dimer. Strong peaks at  $\approx$ 380 cm<sup>-1</sup> are related to the in-phase stretching vibration (Ag) of  $S_2^{2-}$  dimer.<sup>[27]</sup> X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) was used to investigate the chemical compositions and valence states of  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) samples. The low-resolution survey spectra (Figure S7a, Supporting Information) show that typical element signatures consist primarily of Fe, Co and S. The Auger peaks of Co and Fe LMM will interfere with the peaks of Fe and Co 2p. In the high-resolution Fe 2p core level XPS spectra, depicted in Figure S7b (Supporting Information), the peaks of Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Fe 2p<sub>1/2</sub> co-exist while the more intensive Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> peak will be further analyzed for better accuracy. With the augment of Co doping ratio, the peak intensities of Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> attenuate by degrees, suggestive of diminishing in Fe contents. However, the peak of Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> at 707.1 eV for Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> shows a small blue shift compared with that of FeS<sub>2</sub> (707.0 eV).



**Figure 3.** a) Raman spectra and sXAS spectra of as-prepared  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) samples: b) Fe TEY, c) Co TEY modes. d) XANES spectra, e) FT-EXAFS, f) WT contour plots of Fe K-edge for (I) FeS<sub>2</sub>, (II) Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, (III) Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and (IV) Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> samples. g) XANES spectra, h) FT-EXAFS, i) WT contour plots of Fe K-edge for (I) Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, (III) Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and (IV) CoS<sub>2</sub> samples. j, k) EPR spectra

and locally enlarged area of as-prepared Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) samples. 1) Schematic diagram of *d*-electron regulations for Fe and Co atoms via the tuning effect caused by Co doping.

As Co doping ratio increases, the locations of Fe  $2p_{3/2}$  peaks remain at 707.1 eV for Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> samples, indicating that Co doping has little influence on the surface oxidation states of samples. Figure S7c (Supporting Information) displays the high-resolution Co 2p core level XPS spectra, with increasing peak intensities as Co doping ratio increases. The Co  $2p_{3/2}$  peaks are centered at 779.2, 779.0 and 778.8 eV for Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> samples, respectively, suggesting a decreasing oxidation state of sample surface, which is probably ascribed to that Co<sup>2+</sup> (3d<sup>7</sup>) holds a little higher *d*-electron charge density than Fe<sup>2+</sup>(3d<sup>6</sup>). In addition, the peaks of S  $2p_{3/2}$  spectra (Figure S7d, Supporting Information) show slight blue shifts with Co doping. The S 2p peaks at 168.6 eV are pointed to S-O bonds in SO<sub>x</sub> species.<sup>[11b, 34]</sup> All results imply that doping has little effect on the valence state of Fe, Co and S, and Co may draw a little more electrons form S.

The electronic structures of samples were further probed using soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy (sXAS). A solid evolution of surface and bulk electronic structure could be explored by measuring in sXAS TEY and TFY modes with surface (< 10 nm) and bulk (> 100 nm) sensitivity, respectively.<sup>[8a]</sup> As shown in Figure 3b, c, the Fe L-edge is divided into L2 (720 to 730 eV) and L3-edges (707 to 717 eV) and the Co L-edge is divided into L2 (792 eV to 802 eV) and L3-edges (778 eV to 788 eV), which originate from the  $2p_{1/2}$  and  $2p_{3/2}$  states due to the core-hole spin-orbital coupling.

The intensities for Fe-TEY and TFY (Figure S8a, Supporting Information) decrease gradually while those for Co-TEY and TFY (Figure S8b, Supporting Information) increase little by little, exhibiting an analogous trend. It implies that Fe and Co elements occupy evenly the Fe atom sites in the FeS<sub>2</sub> lattice. In addition, the peaks of Fe and Co L-edge in

both TEY and TFY modes show no obvious shifts with increasing Co doping ratios. Thus, Co doping has little effect on the oxidation state of Fe and Co, consistent with XPS results.

Element specific synchrotron radiation X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements at the Fe and Co K-edge were also performed.<sup>[35]</sup> Figure S9a and S10a (Supporting Information) show that Co K-edge XAFS spectra overlap partly the Fe K-edge XAFS spectra, further indicating successful Co doping. The Fe K-edge X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra (Figure 3d) and the first derivative plots (Figure S9b, Supporting Information) of  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) are close to each other, indicating Co doping has little influence in the oxidation states of Fe. Moreover, Co K-edge XANES spectra (Figure 3g) of Co K-edge XANES for  $Fe_{0.9}Co_{0.1}S_2$ ,  $Fe_{0.75}Co_{0.25}S_2$ ,  $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$  and  $CoS_2$  also show little changes when the Co doping ratio increases. However, the second peak in the first derivative plot (Figure S10b, Supporting Information) of  $CoS_2$  is located at 7714.5 eV, lower than that of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> (7715.7 eV), which is ascribed to the different interaction force between Fe-Co and Co-Co.

Figure S9c and S10c (Supporting Information) display the k<sup>2</sup>-weighted Fourier transformed (FT) plots of the extending X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) shown in kspace for Fe and Co K-edges. The corresponding plots shown in R-space are displayed in Figure 3e, h. The prominent peaks at 1.78 Å in Fe K-edge spectra and 1.81 Å in Co K-edge spectra are attributed to Fe-S and Co-S coordination paths, respectively. Furthermore, Fe FT-EXAFS spectra (Figure 3e) show peaks centered at 3.43 Å, indicating the existence of Fe-Fe or Fe-Co coordination paths. Specifically, FT-EXAFS plots in R-space of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> are similar without redundant peaks, inheriting the FeS<sub>2</sub> structure, which is also identified by fitting the FT-EXAFS curves of FeS<sub>2</sub> as displayed in Figure S11 and Table S7 (Supporting Information). The wavelet transformed (WT) contour plots (Figure 3f) for Fe K-edge of Fe<sub>1</sub>.  $_xCo_xS_2$  (x=0,0.1, 0.25, 0.5) show two maximum intensity at 1.8 and 3.4 Å,<sup>[36]</sup> which are

assigned to the Fe-S and Fe-Fe/Fe-Co coordination paths. Besides, the intensities at 3.4 Å become a little weaker with increasing Co doping ratio. No other signals could be detected, demonstrating that Co doping does not change the surrounding bond structures of Fe atoms.

Co K-edge FT-EXAFS spectra in Figure 3h show peaks centered at 3.34 Å, indicating the Co-Fe or Co-Co coordination paths. Note that the peak for CoS<sub>2</sub> locates at the right side of peaks for Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. The FT-EXAFS curves of CoS<sub>2</sub> shown in R-space were further fitted and the results (Figure S12 and Table S8, Supporting Information) matched well with experimental results, showing a similar crystal structure to FeS<sub>2</sub>. However, the obvious differences indicate that Co can occupy the Fe sites in the FeS<sub>2</sub> crystal lattice. The WT contour plots (Figure 3i) of Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub> show two maximum intensities at 1.8 and 3.3 Å, which is attributed to the Co-S and Co-Fe/Co-Co coordination paths. Simultaneously, the intensities at 3.3 Å get much weaker with increasing Co doping ratio. Nevertheless, the intensity of CoS<sub>2</sub> recovers to be strong. These results indicate that M-M (M=Fe/Co) interaction force weakens with increasing Co doping, which could be put down to the fact that adequate Co doping would make the FeS<sub>2</sub> lattice expand.

To investigate the electron distribution structures of atomic orbitals for Fe and Co, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) analysis was applied. Figure 3j, k show that FeS<sub>2</sub> exhibits a significant signal with a *g* value of 2.76. Peak intensities varying with magnetic field decrease dramatically with enhanced Co contents. Interestingly, FeS<sub>2</sub> exhibits the strongest paramagnetism among all samples, probably ascribed to the high spin (HS) states of local Fe atoms with the 3d electronic configuration of  $t^{4}_{2g}e^{2}_{g}$ .<sup>[30a]</sup> There can be two unpaired electrons in  $t_{2g}$  orbital and two unpaired electrons in  $e_{g}$  orbital (Figure 31). Thus, 10 at. % Co doping can make HS states of Fe atoms to low spin (LS) states, resulting in an electronic configuration of  $t^{6}_{2g}e^{0}_{g}$  without unpaired electrons. This inference is further confirmed when

Co doping increases. Moreover, Co atoms in Co-doped  $FeS_2$  should be in LS states with an electronic configuration of  $t^{6}{}_{2g}e^{1}{}_{g}$ , leaving one unpaired electron. Consequently, the local spin state modification by Co doping leads to a less  $e_{g}$  orbital electron filling for Fe atom while the spin state of Co atoms is little influenced.

#### 2.2. The Mg<sup>2+</sup> storage features of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes for RMBs

The cheminal structure of PMC electrolyte was first characterized by Fourier transform infrared reflection (FTIR) and Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), shown in Figure S13, Supporting Information. The active species in solution can be suggested to consist of cations of  $[Mg_2(\mu-Cl)_3\cdot 6THF]^+$  and anions of  $[Al(R-PhO)_{4-n}Cl_n]^-$  (n=1-4).<sup>[37]</sup> The electrochemical Mg stripping/plating behavior with prepared PMC electrolyte was investigated using cyclic voltammetry (CV) at 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> between -1 and 2 V. Figure S14a (Supporting Information) shows that the first reduction step begins at -0.6 V, which is ascribed to Mg plating on SS foils. In the subsequent oxidation step, the onset potential for Mg stripping from SS foils is 0 V. Then, the current density of cell increases sharply with an oxidation peak at 0.6 V. During subsequent cycles, the corresponding peak currents increase during Mg stripping/plating. The CV data illustrate clearly that PMC electrolyte enables reversible Mg stripping/plating. In addition, Figure S14b (Supporting Information) indicates that the oxidative stability of the electrolyte was calculated to be 3.25 V vs. Mg<sup>2+</sup>/Mg by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) at 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup> which is suitable for our RMBs.

Figure S15 shows the coulombic efficiency (CE) of Mg stripping/plating for the first 100 cycles in PMC electrolyte. The CE value is only 83.3 % in the initial cycle, which is ascribed to some irreversible processes.<sup>[7b]</sup> Then, CE gradually increases to 99.5 % after 20 cycles and remains stable thereafter. The average CE for 100 cycles is 99.0 %, which seems

considerable for RMBs. Symmetric Mg cells were constructed to evaluate the performance in galvanostatic Mg stripping/plating measurements. **Figure 4**a and S16a (Supporting Information) show that the polarization potential vs. Mg<sup>2+</sup>/Mg decreases gradually during initial cycles, suggestive of an activation process. Figure 4b indicates that the polarization potentials vs. Mg<sup>2+</sup>/Mg increase slightly with increasing current densities. The initial polarization potential is 78.5 mV (0.05 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>), then increases to 105.5 mV (1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>), and recovers to 7.5 mV (0.05 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>), indicating good rate performance for this electrolyte. The rapid decreasing over-potential is attributed to the deposition of a large amount of magnesium or electrode surface. Besides, Figure S16b (Supporting Information) shows that the polarization potential re-stabilizes at 32.9 mV after 240 cycles. Figure S17 (Supporting Information) demonstrates that PMC electrolyte endows symmetric cells with quite a low voltage hysteresis of 84.3 mV after cycling for 500 h, exhibiting considerable Mg stripping/plating kinetics and cycling stability.

Author Ma



**Figure 4.** Cycling performance of symmetrical cells using PMC electrolyte at different current densities (0.05-1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>): a) 0 to 120h and b) 40 to 60 h. The working, counter and reference electrodes of symmetrical cells are all Mg foils. CV at 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for the first three cycles of c) pristine FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes and d) cathodes having cycled 50 times at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> coupling with PMC electrolyte and copper collectors for RMBs. Cycling performance at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> of e) FeS<sub>2</sub>, f) Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, g) Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and h) Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes for RMBs. The corresponding discharge/charge profiles during 1<sup>st</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> cycles for i) FeS<sub>2</sub>, j) Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, k) Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and l) Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes. m) Cycling performance at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup> of Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes for RMBs after 50 cycles at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. n) EIS of Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub>

(x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) cathodes for RMBs, and inset is enlarged image of low impedance. o) Electrochemical performance comparison of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes with other typical cathode materials for RMBs reported in the literatures.

The electrochemical performance of  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) cathodes was investigated in CR2032 coin cells coupled with Mg anodes, PMC electrolyte and copper collectors. Figure 4c depicts the CV curves at 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for initial three cycles of FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes. During 1<sup>st</sup> discharge, a clear small peak at 0.9 V is ascribed to Mg<sup>2+</sup> reaction with the FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode. However, similar peaks for Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> shift to lower voltages while the single peak of Fe0.5Co0.5S2 splits into two peaks, indicating multi-step reactions. Then, the reduction peaks for all cathodes stabilize at 1.0 V during discharge. In the charging process, the anodic peaks show distinct characteristics where peaks (b, c, d) strengthen during cycling, indicating an apparent activation process and multistep oxidation. Particularly, the anodic peaks (a) turn to be more and more prominent with Co doping during later cycles. To fully clarify the electrochemical reaction after activation, CV curves (Figure 4d and S18, Supporting Information) at 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> were obtained for the first three cycles when RMBs had cycled 50 times. Strong cathodic peaks (a') at 1.0 V are observed in  $Fe_{1-x}Co_xS_2$  (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) cathodes. Moreover, the anodic peaks are significantly different. FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes exhibit a broad polarization peak while Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes show three distinct peaks (b', c', d'), pointed to the multiple anodic reactions when  $Mg^{2+}$  is extracted from cathodes. Moreover, the overlap of CV curves proves the good reversibility of all cathode reactions.

As depicted in Figure 4e-h, Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) cathodes show improved capacities during initial cycles with a CE of > 100 %, based on the mass of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub>. On further cycling, the FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes maintain a maximum discharge capacity of 700 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 100 cycles. However, capacities decay gradually to 39 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 200 cycles, which

is probably ascribed to the FeS<sub>2</sub> electrode degradation caused by great volume change and irreversible reactions occurring in electrodes during discharge/charge.<sup>[2, 6b, 38]</sup> The nanocrystallization of materials is an effective solution to this problem. The Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes show greatly improved performance, maintaining a highest discharge capacity of 661 mAh g<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> at 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$  after 106 cycles. Then, capacities show a downward trend after 170 cycles. Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes display a highest discharge capacity of 656 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 91 cycles, maintaining a discharge capacity of 545 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 200 cycles. These results indicate good capacity retention and enhanced electrode stability of the Co doping FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes.  $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$  cathodes display a maximum capacity of 626 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 62 cycles, showing the shortest activation process of 14 cycles among these four-type cathodes and a reversible capacity of 613 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> is obtained after 150 cycles. As a contrast, a previous study reported that a pyrite FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode coupled with Mg[B(hfip)<sub>4</sub>]/DME electrolyte for RMB worked in a voltage range of 0.01–2.4 V (vs. Mg) but experienced serious overcharge during the 50<sup>th</sup> cycle,<sup>[27]</sup> resulting in a short cycle life. These results indicate that Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes coupled with a PMC electrolyte running in a narrower voltage window can retard the overcharge of batteries without reducing specific capacities, which is beneficial for improving the cycle life and stability of RMBs.

The cycling performance of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes for RMBs was also investigated using the APC electrolyte. Figure S19 (Supporting Information) shows that FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes coupled with APC electrolyte show much lower discharge capacities and longer activation time than those using PMC electrolyte, likely a result of the greater nucleophilicity of APC electrolyte. Additionally, commercial CoS<sub>2</sub> was characterized by XRD and SEM (Figure S20a, b, Supporting Information), then used for RMBs. Figure S20c, d (Supporting Information) indicate that CoS<sub>2</sub> cathodes show increasing capacities during cycling with long activation time.

The results indicate that Co doping improves significantly electrochemical performance of FeS<sub>2</sub>. On the one side, APSs decrease sharply with enhanced SSAs for Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub>, benefiting electrolyte infiltration into the electrode materials. Co doping likely weakens M-S (M=Fe, Co) bond strengths, conductive to the transformation from M-S (M=Fe, Co) to Mg-S and the whole reversible reactions during RMB cycling. Moreover, the local spin state of FeS<sub>2</sub> could be modified by Co doping, resulting in a less eg orbital electron filling in Codoped FeSr. These adjustable and stable states could be one potential reason for reversible and stable RMBs. Moreover, the synergistic catalytic effect of Fe and Co can also improve the performance. Figure 4i-l exhibit discharge/charge curves of Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) cathodes at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>, showing a clear activation process. Besides, all cathodes exhibit long discharge plateaus at 1.15 V after battery activation, implying similar Mg<sup>2+</sup> storage process during battery discharging.

Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) cathodes were also cycled from 0.1 to 1 A g<sup>-1</sup> as seen in Figure S21 (Supporting Information), which displays rate performance of RMBs and corresponding discharge/charge curves. All cathodes display decreasing capacities with increasing current densities. It should be noted that the capacities present a descending trend at every current density without stabilizing. Therefore, all cathodes were cycled at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup> where cathodes were pre-activated 50 cycles at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. Figure S22 (Supporting Information) shows that capacities of all cathodes decrease sharply starting at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>, followed by slow rising. FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes show unstable capacities in cycles 340-500 where a maximum capacity of 374 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> is obtained, probably due to the huge volume expansion and contraction of cathode materials.<sup>[6b, 27]</sup> In contrast, Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes show steadier capacities with a discharge capacity of 157 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 340 cycles. Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes show a capacity of 161 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 450 cycles, suggesting that Co doping can improve the RMB stability at high current densities. Even better, Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes (also Figure 4m)

show a maximum capacity of 250 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 440 cycles and maintain a capacity of 164 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 1000 cycles, displaying the best cycling stability among these four samples.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement was performed. Figure 4n compares the Nyquist plots of Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) cathodes for RMBs. As depicted, Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathode displays a reduced charge transfer resistance (R<sub>ct</sub>) of  $\approx$ 890 Ω, lower than those of FeS<sub>2</sub> ( $\approx$ 4600 Ω), Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> ( $\approx$ 3800 Ω) and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> ( $\approx$ 2000 Ω) cathodes. The resistance of whole cell components (denoted as R<sub>s</sub>) is a combination of the electrolyte accessible area, electrical conductivity of the electrode and solid electrolyte interface. Moreover, R<sub>s</sub> of Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (10 Ω) is lower than those of FeS<sub>2</sub> (20 Ω), Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (15 Ω) and Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (13 Ω).

Overall, the electrochemical performance of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes for RMBs is comparable with other RMBs reported before (Figure 4o and Table S3, Supporting Information).<sup>5a, 90</sup> <sup>21, 22d, 25, 27, 39</sup> In addition, in this study, inexpensive PMC electrolyte and Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes are applied for high-performance RMBs, showing great potential of applying such RMBs in energy storage fields.

#### 2.3. Mg<sup>2+</sup> storage mechanism of RMBs

Mg<sup>2+</sup> storage behavior was investigated via various measurements. Operando synchrotron X-ray diffraction (SXRD) shed light on the structural evolution of FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes for RMBs during initial cycles at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. For these tests, the pre-drilled positive/negative shells were covered with Kapton films to facilitate the transmission of synchrotron radiation light as shown in **Figure 5**a. The voltage-time curves for the FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes during initial cycles and corresponding operando SXRD patterns are shown in Figure **\$23** (Supporting Information). The as-fabricated electrode unveils the typical

diffraction peaks corresponding to crystalline planes of FeS<sub>2</sub> (JCPDs no. 71-0053). The intense diffraction peaks of the copper collector are also presented. The diffraction peaks for FeS<sub>2</sub> appear during later discharge-charge-discharge, indicating inadequate  $Mg^{2+}$  reaction with FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode.

However, operando SXRD patterns of  $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$  cathode during initial cycles, represented in Figure S24 (Supporting Information), show some different phenomena from those of FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode. Diffraction peaks matching well with those of FeS<sub>2</sub> are also found. In the meanwhile, the diffraction peaks for Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S and S were detected during cycling, suggesting that Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> reacts partially with copper collector. The preferred reaction of Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> than FeS<sub>2</sub> with Cu can arise owing to the smaller particle sizes and longer M-S (M=Fe and Co) bond lengths, reducing the energetic requirements of the reaction path and resulting in a shorter-term activation time for RMBs.

Author Ma



**Figure 5.** a) Schematic diagram of operando SXRD tests for RMBs. b) The voltage-time curve of FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes coupling with PMC electrolyte and copper collectors during 50<sup>th</sup> cycle and corresponding contour plots of operando SXRD patterns. c) Counter plots of partial operando SXRD. d) Mg 2p and e) S 2p spectra of FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes in different states (I to VI). f, g) TEM image, h) HRTEM images, i) HAADF, DF images and corresponding STEM

mapping results of FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode in fully discharged state (cycle 50). j) Fe K-edge XANES spectra of FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes in different states. k) Fe K-edge XANES spectra and l) the first derivative plots for FeS<sub>2</sub>, FeS, Fe foil and FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes (state I).

To investigate the reaction behavior of FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode during the 50<sup>th</sup> cycle at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup>, operando SXRD was further performed to disclose the concrete reaction processes after cell activation. First, CR2032 cells using FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes were disassembled. Then, the cathodes and separators were transferred to new cell cases. Ample electrolyte and polished Mg foils were repletished. The cells for operando SXRD experiments were then assembled. Figure 5b shows that new peaks for MgS exist during 50<sup>th</sup> discharge/charge, indicating that partially irreversible MgS conversion causes deviations between theoretical and actual specific capacity for FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode. Furthermore, Figure 5c presents a closer look at the variation of MgS (200) peak, showing gradual increasing intensities during discharge accompanied by a declining trend during charge. The diffraction peaks of (211) planes for Fe are also detected. It is salient that the dominant cathode reactions after cell activation differentiate from those during initial cycling.

Concurrently, ex-situ XPS was employed to identify the chemical compositions and valence states during the 50<sup>th</sup> cycle at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> for FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes from dismantled RMBs, emphasizing Fe 2p, Co 2p, Cu 2p, S 2p and Mg 2p spectra. For FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes, six states (state I-VI) were chosen as presented in Figure S25a (Supporting Information). Figure 5d shows that the Mg 2p peaks shift to lower binding energy during discharge (state I to IV) with increasing intensities and move back after charge (state V, VI), suggesting the reversible reaction of Mg<sup>2+</sup> with cathodes.<sup>[8a, 11b, 39h]</sup> The Cu 2p spectra (Figure S25b, Supporting Information) show obvious changes during cycling, where Cu<sup>0</sup> is found in the fully discharged state (state IV) and Cu<sup>+</sup> is detected in fully charged state (state I, VI).<sup>[10, 10, 10]</sup>

22a, 25]

In a striking contrast, the Fe 2p spectra (Figure S25c, Supporting Information) do not change conspicuously during discharge/charge, demonstrating that most Fe species may not participate in the conversion reactions during cycling when the cell is fully activated. Moreover, the S 2p peaks (Figure 5e) also shift to a lower energy region during discharge and shift to a higher energy region during charge. The peaks of Mg-S strengthen greatly while the peaks of Cu-S weaken apparently during discharge. Then, the peaks of Mg-S weaken gradually while the peaks of Cu-S strengthen by degrees during charge, which is probably assigned to the mutual transformation between Cu-S and Mg-S species. XPS of the cathode surface also eliminates the influence of the copper collector. These results further confirm that the conversion reaction of  $Mg^{2+}$  with Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S to produce Cu and MgS should contribute to the capacities of our cells after activation, rather than the conversion reactions from Fe-S species to MgS.

Author Mai



**Figure 6.** Schematic diagrams of RMBs working during initial cycles and after activation using a)  $FeS_2$  cathodes and b)  $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$  cathodes. c) Cycling performance at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> and d) corresponding discharge/charge profiles during 10<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 100<sup>th</sup> and 200<sup>th</sup> cycles of sulfur cathodes for RMBs using PMC electrolyte and copper collectors.

As for Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes during 50<sup>th</sup> cycle, six states (Figure S26a, Supporting Information) were chosen and the ex-situ XPS analyses give similar conclusions. The peaks of Fe and Co 2p spectra (Figure S26b, c, Supporting Information) show no obvious shifts during discharge/charge, also indicating that Fe and Co species may not participate in the

conversion reactions. However, the peaks of Cu 2p spectra (Figure S26d, Supporting Information) for cathodes in fully charged state (state I, VI) are at lower binding energies than those for cathodes in fully discharged state (state IV), showing that the reversible reaction "Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S+Mg<sup>2+</sup> $\leftrightarrow$ Cu+MgS" also contributes to the capacities of Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes after activation.

In addition, the peak intensities of Mg 2p spectra (Figure S26e, Supporting Information) increase after discharge, indicating successful Mg<sup>2+</sup> storage in the cathodes. Simultaneously, peaks of S 2p spectra (Figure S26f, Supporting Information) verify the mutual transformation between Cu-S and Mg-S species. Overall, after long term cycling, the discharge capacities of cells derive mainly from the generation of Cu and MgS. No obvious change in the valence states of Fe and Co species indicate that they may take catalytic effects for facilitating the reversible conversion for Cu-S species.

Figure S27a, b (Supporting Information) present SEM images of pristine FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode. The corresponding EDX results (Figure S27c-h and Table S9, Supporting Information) show that a low Cu content of 1.8 wt. % was detected. Moreover, Figure S28, 29 and Table S9 (Supporting Information) show that Mg/S mass ratio changes considerably from 81.3 to 30.9 % during the 50<sup>th</sup> discharge/charge. However, considerable amounts of Cu are detected on the cathode surface while the Fe content is as low as 0.6 wt. % after 50 cycles. For the pristine Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathode, Figure S30 and Table S10 (Supporting Information) show a comparatively high Cu content of 13.5 wt. %, indicating that Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> tends to react easily with the copper collector as proved in operando SXRD. Figure S31, 32 and Table S10 (Supporting Information) exhibit the low contents of Fe and Co in the cathode after 50 cycles while the **content** of Cu is high. Simultaneously, the Mg/S mass ratio also shows an obvious decrease during the 50<sup>th</sup> discharge/charge. These results demonstrate that the Cu species



participate eventually in the process of  $Mg^{2+}$  storage for FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes after battery activation.

TEM analyses were also performed to determine the cathode product phases in FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode after 50 cycles. After full discharge, TEM images in Figure 5f, g show the products consists of aggregated particles. The dominant lattice fringes in HRTEM image (Figure 5h) have interplanar spacings of 0.26 and 0.208 nm, corresponding to the (200) planes of MgS and (111) planes of Cu, respectively. Figure 5i show the HAADF, DF images of the product, and STEM mapping results demonstrates a focused homogeneous element distribution. In addition, Figure S33a, b (Supporting Information) show the morphologies of products after charge. HRTEM (Figure S33c, d, Supporting Information) images indicate that  $Cu_{1.8}S$  and Cu co-exist coincident with Fe and FeS.

To understand the role of Fe and Co more accurately during cycling, the valence states of the Fe, Co species in FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes were also deciphered via XANES spectra. It is found that Fe K-edge spectra (Figure 5j) show little changes during discharge/charge. Figure 5k, 1 show that Fe K-edge XANES spectrum for FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode during 50<sup>th</sup> cycle at state I is situated between those for Fe foil and FeS, not close to that for FeS<sub>2</sub>. Moreover, there are two obvious peaks at 7112 and 7120 eV in the first derivative plots (Figure S34, Supporting Information), which can be ascribed to Fe<sup>0</sup> and iron sulfides like FeS, indicating a mixture of Fe and Fe-S species in the cathode during cycling.

For Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes, Fe and Co (Figure S35, 36, Supporting Information) K-edge XANES spectra also show little change during discharge/charge, which further confirm the catalytic effect of Fe and Co species. Overall, the valence state of Fe, Co elements in cathodes show little change during cycling, demonstrating a catalytic effect rather than acting as  $Mg^{2+}$  carriers.

The Mg<sup>2+</sup> storage mechanisms for FeS<sub>2</sub> and Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes can be schematically summarized in **Figure 6**. Primarily, Co doping can reduce APSs and enhance particle SSAs, favoring the generation of Cu-S species like Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S and accelerating battery activation. After full activation, Figure 6a shows that reversible conversion of Cu-S species to MgS dominates FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode reactions where Fe species can improve the reaction kinetics. Figure 6b shows that Fe and Co species enhance synergistically kinetics for the reversible conversion of Cu-S species to MgS. Besides, the Cu species like Cu and Cu<sub>1.8</sub>S can interpenetrate in the cathodes, forming continuous and advantageous electronic pathways.

Figure S18b, d, f, h (Supporting Information) show the electrochemical reactions for all cathodes after activation. Strong cathodic peaks (a') are observed in all cathodes for RMBs, ascribed to the conversion of Cu-S species to Cu. The anodic peaks are pointed to the multiple anodic reactions. Furthermore, Figure S37 (Supporting Information) shows that the redox reaction over-potential for Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> is smaller than FeS<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>0.9</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, and Fe<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>S<sub>2</sub> at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> after 30 cycles. After 50 cycles, analogic voltage differentiation is observed in all electrodes. This implies that Co doping is beneficial to the reversible conversion reactions of electrode materials during initial cycles, suggestive of a more effective catalytic effect for cathode conversion reactions.

The electrochemical kinetics of FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes for RMBs using PMC electrolyte were studied via EIS measurements after 10, 30, 50 and 150 cycles at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S38, Supporting Information). R<sub>ct</sub> decreases gradually for both FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes and the slope of straight line increases gradually during the first 50 cycles, which is ascribed to the improved reaction kinetics at the electrodes and enhanced Mg<sup>2+</sup> diffusion rates during battery activation.<sup>[40]</sup> R<sub>ct</sub> for FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes increases to  $\approx$ 5000  $\Omega$  while R<sub>ct</sub> for Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes remains at  $\approx$ 100  $\Omega$  after 150 cycles. Moreover, the electronic resistance (R<sub>s</sub>) of FeS<sub>2</sub> eathodes increases from 16  $\Omega$  (50<sup>th</sup> cycle) to 58  $\Omega$  (150<sup>th</sup> cycles) while R<sub>s</sub> of

 $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$  cathodes is stable at 31  $\Omega$  after 150 cycles. These results show that  $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$  cathodes have better electrochemical kinetic performance than  $FeS_2$  cathodes after long-term cycling, demonstrating the advantages of Co doping strategy.

Inspired by the success in the realization of high-performance RMBs using Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes and copper collector, this strategy was extended to typical sulfur cathodes. Figure 6c, d indicate that sulfur cathodes show increasing capacities up to 583 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> after 138 cycles. A discharge plateaus at 1.66 V is observed, probably ascribed to the conversion of S to magnesium polysulfide (MgS<sub>x</sub>).<sup>[12]</sup> Particularly, the second discharge plateau at 1.15 V resembles those of Co-doped cathodes for RMBs, possibly assigned to the conversion of Cu-S species to MgS. Besides, at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>, sulfur cathodes show a reversible discharge capacity of 236 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 800 cycles, as presented in Figure S39 (Supporting Information). It is obvious that sulfur cathodes for RMBs have longer activation time than Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes, further confirming the catalytic effect of Fe and Co in accelerating battery activation.

Overall, the rate of reaction between cathode and copper collector to form Cu-S species like Cu sS determines the overall activation time for these types of RMBs. Co doping can be an effective strategy to accelerate the activation. In light of the fact that FeS<sub>2</sub> is easily decomposed to Fe and S in inert atmosphere at high temperatures, a pre-activation method uses charging at constant voltage providing electric energy to replace thermal energy. Herein, Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes were selected for RMBs, then charged directly to 2 V and kept for different time. Figure S40 (Supporting Information) shows that the pre-activation strategy further shortens the activation time. Moreover, the Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes after pre-charging for 2 h show the activation time of 9 cycles with a CE of 108 % after 120 cycles. Nevertheless, the CE is higher than 100 %, increasing as pre-charging time increases. Generally, the possibly formed elemental S can not only react easily with Cu but also

participate in the cathode reactions, further accelerating the battery activation. The shuttle effect caused by generated  $MgS_x$  may account for the serious over-charging of these RMBs. However, the reaction mechanism is worthy of further exploration, although not within the scope of this study.

# 3. Conclusions

A simple hydrothermal method was successfully utilized to synthesize Fe<sub>1-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (x=0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5) materials. XRD Rietveld refinement results with assistance of HRTEM observations confirm that Co doping can make the M-S bond (M=Fe/Co) lengthen. Simultaneously, the particle size is greatly dwindling and the specific surface area is gradually increasing with the increase in Co doping ratio. Thus, Co doping can be highly advantageous for improving the electrochemical performance of RMBs. Intensive spectroscopic experiments like XPS, XAS, XAFS and EPR were further employed to comprehend deeply the electron structures. In detail, the tuning effect by Co doping can make the *d*-electron distribution of Fe from  $t^{4}{}_{2g}e^{2}{}_{g}$  (HS state) to  $t^{6}{}_{2g}e^{0}{}_{g}$  (LS state), reducing the paramagnetism of samples. The electronic configuration of Co is not influenced, indicative of the mutual interaction between Fe and Co. Later experimental results confirm the improved synergetic catalytic effect induced by Fe and Co species for RMBs.

A non-nucleophilic PMC electrolyte was rationally coupled with Co-doped cathodes and copper collector for RMBs, displaying good electrochemical performance. Even though Co doping can reduce slightly the capacity, the activation time of Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes is obviously shortened compared with FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes. Particularly, Fe<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> cathodes show a high capacity of 613 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> over 150 cycles at 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> and even maintain 164 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> over 1000 cycles at 1 A g<sup>-1</sup>. Operando SXRD results show that FeS<sub>2</sub> cathode is stable during

initial cycling. Besides, the more inclination to form Cu-S species like  $Cu_{1.8}S$  can account for the shorter activation time of  $Fe_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$  cathodes. Importantly, the results of operando SXRD, XPS, XANES, TEM, SEM and EDX indicate that the capacity is mainly contributed by the Mg reaction with  $Cu_{1.8}S$  after battery activation while Fe and Co species take actions with synergistic catalytic effect. Thus, this work may remind us that we should pay adequate attention to the electrochemical behaviors of Cu when chalcogenide materials coated on copper collector are used for kinds of batteries. Moreover, this study demonstrates that the usage of PMC electrolyte and doping strategy could be well applied for high-performance RMBs.

#### **Supporting Information**

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.



We thank the staffs at Beamline (BL02B02, BL11B, BL14B1 and BL15U) of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility. Hao Xu thanks the supporting from "Zhiyuan Honor Program" for doctoral students, Shanghai Jiao Tong University. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.52171186), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (CN) under No.19511108100, Shanghai Education Commission "Shuguang" scholar project (CN, 16SG08) and the funding from Center of Hydrogen Science, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China. The authors also appreciate the support from the Instrumental Analysis Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong

University.

 $\bigcirc$ anuscri 0 ITU

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Revised: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Published online: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

#### References

- [1] a) R. Mohtadi, O. Tutusaus, T. S. Arthur, Z. Zhao-Karger, M. Fichtner, *Joule* 2021, *5*, 581; b) I. D. Johnson, B. J. Ingram, J. Cabana, *ACS Energy Lett.* 2021, *6*, 1892.
- [2] M. Mao, T. Gao, S. Hou, C. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 8804.
- [3] a) B.L. Mordike, T. Ebert, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 2001, *A302*, 37-45; b) W. Zhu, L. Ren, C. Lu, H. Xu, F. Sun, Z. Ma, J. Zou, *ACS Nano* 2021, *15*, 18494.
- [4] Y. Wang, H. Huang, G. Jia, H. Zeng, G. Yuan, Acta Biomater. 2021, 135, 705.
- [5] a) D. Aurbach, Z. Lu, A. Schechter, Y. Gofer, H. Gizbar, R. Turgeman, Y. Cohen, M. Moshkovich, E. Levi, *Nature* 2000, 407, 724; b) J. Muldoon, C. B. Bucur, T. Gregory, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 11683; c) J. Song, E. Sahadeo, M. Noked, S. B. Lee, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2016, 7, 1736-1749.
- [6] a) H. Shuai, J. Xu, K. Huang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2020, 422, 213478; b) M. D. Regulacio,
  D. T. Nguyen, R. Horia, Z. W. Seh, *Small* 2021, 17, 2007683.
- [7] a) M. Matsui, J. Power Sources 2011, 196, 7048; b) Y. Li, S. Guan, H. Huo, Y. Ma, Y. Gao, P. Zuo, G. Yin, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2021, 31, 2100650; c) S. G. McArthur, L. Geng, J. Guo, V. Lavallo, Inorg. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1101.
- [8] a) H. Xu, X. Zhang, T. Xie, Z. Li, F. Sun, N. Zhang, H. Chen, Y. Zhu, X. Zou, C. Lu, J. Zou, R. M. Laine, *Energy Stor. Mater.* 2022, 46, 583; b) K. Shimokawa, T. Atsumi, N. L. Okamoto, T. Kawaguchi, S. Imashuku, K. Wagatsuma, M. Nakayama, K. Kanamura, T. Ichitsubo, *Adv. Mater.* 2021, 33, 2007539.
- [9] a) L. F. Wan, D. Prendergast, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14456; b) S. Hou, X. Ji, K. Gaskell, P. Wang, L. Wang, J. Xu, R. Sun, O. Borodin, C. Wang, Science 2021, 374, 172;

c) H. D. Yoo, Y. Liang, H. Dong, J. Lin, H. Wang, Y. Liu, L. Ma, T. Wu, Y. Li, Q. Ru,

Y. Jing, Q. An, W. Zhou, J. Guo, J. Lu, S. T. Pantelides, X. Qian, Y. Yao, *Nat. Commun.*2017, 8, 339.

- [10] C. Pei, F. Xiong, Y. Yin, Z. Liu, H. Tang, R. Sun, Q. An, L. Mai, Small 2021, 17, 2004108.
- [11] a) L. Li, H. Chen, E. He, L. Wang, T. Ye, J. Lu, Y. Jiao, J. Wang, R. Gao, H. Peng, Y. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, 60, 15317; b) H. Xu, D. Zhu, W. Zhu, F. Sun, J. Zou, R. M. Laine, W. Ding, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, 428, 131031; c) Z. Zhang, B. Chen, H. Xu, Z. Cui, S. Dong, A. Du, J. Ma, Q. Wang, X. Zhou, G. Cui, *Adv.Funct. Mater.* 2018, 28, 1701718; d) T. Lu, Z. Zhang, B. Chen, S. Dong, C. Wang, A. Du, L. Wang, J. Ma, G. Cui, *Mater. Today Energy* 2020, 17, 100450.
- [12] H. S. Kim, T. S. Arthur, G. D. Allred, J. Zajicek, J. G. Newman, A. E. Rodnyansky, A. G. Oliver, W. C. Boggess, J. Muldoon, *Nat. Commun.* 2011, *2*, 427.
- [13] a) J. Muldoon, C. B. Bucur, A. G. Oliver, T. Sugimoto, M. Matsui, H. S. Kim, G. D. Alfred, J. Zajicek, Y. Kotani, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, *5*, 5941; b) Z. Zhirong, F. Maximilian, *MRS Commun.* 2017, *7*, 770.
- [14] R. K. Bhardwaj, A. J. Bhattacharyya, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2021, 4, 14121.
- [15] O. Tutusaus, R. Mohtadi, T. S. Arthur, F. Mizuno, E. G. Nelson, Y. V. Sevryugina, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7900.
- [16] R. Jay, A. W. Tomich, J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, A. De Gorostiza, V. Lavallo, J. Guo, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 11414.



- [17] K. Itaoka, I.-T. Kim, K. Yamabuki, N. Yoshimoto, H. Tsutsumi, J. Power Sources 2015, 297, 323.
- [18] Z. Zhao-Karger, R. Liu, W. Dai, Z. Li, T. Diemant, B. P. Vinayan, C. Bonatto Minella, X. Yu, A. Manthiram, R. J. Behm, M. Ruben, M. Fichtner, ACS Energy Lett. 2018, 3, 2005
- [19] a) Y. M, X. Liu- Théato, Y. Xiu, S. Indris, C. Njel, J. Maibach, H. Ehrenberg, M. Fichtner, Z. Zhao- Karger, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2021, 31, 2100868; b) Y. Xu, W. Li, G. Zhou, Z. Pan, Y. Zhang, Energy Stor. Mater. 2018, 14, 253; c) W. Wang, H. Yuan, Y. NuLi, J. Zhou, J. Yang, J. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C 2018, 122, 26764.
- [20] F. Liu, T. Wang, X. Liu, L. Z. Fan, Adv. Energy Mater. 2020, 2000787.
- [21] a) X. Xu, D. Chao, B. Chen, P. Liang, H. Li, F. Xie, K. Davey, S. Z. Qiao, Angew.
   *Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, 59, 21728; b) D. T. Nguyen, S. W. Song, J. Power Sources 2017, 368, 11.
- [22] a) Y. Cao, Y. Zhu, C. Du, X. Yang, T. Xia, X. Ma, C. Cao, ACS Nano 2022, 16, 1578; b)
  X. Yu, G. Zhao, C. Liu, C. Wu, H. Huang, J. He, N. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2021, 2103214; c) M. Mao, Y. Tong, Q. Zhang, Y. S. Hu, H. Li, X. Huang, L. Chen, L. Gu, L. Suo, Nano Lett. 2020, 20, 6852; d) Y. Wang, Z. Liu, C. Wang, X. Yi, R. Chen, L. Ma, Y. Hu, G. Zhu, T. Chen, Z. Tie, J. Ma, J. Liu, Z. Jin, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1802563.
- [23] S. Dey, J. Lee, S. Britto, J. M. Stratford, E. N. Keyzer, M. T. Dunstan, G. Cibin, S. J. Cassidy, M. Elgaml, C. P. Grey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 19588.
- [24] X. Xu, C. Ye, D. Chao, B. Chen, H. Li, C. Tang, X. Zhong, S. Z. Qiao, Adv. Mater. 2022, 34, 2108688.



- [25] Y. Shen, Y. Wang, Y. Miao, M. Yang, X. Zhao, X. Shen, *Adv. Mater.* 2020, *32*, 1905524.
- [26] a) Y. Zhang, J. Xie, Y. Han, C. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2015, *25*, 7300; b) M. Walter, K. V. Kravchyk, M. Ibáñez, M. V. Kovalenko, *Chem. Mater.* 2015, *27*, 7452.
- [27] Y. Shen, Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Gu, X. Zhao, X. Shen, Adv. Mater. 2021, 33, 2103881.
- [28] N. Pour, Y. Gofer, D. T. Major, D. Aurbach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6270.
- [29] F. F. Wang, Y. S. Guo, J. Yang, Y. Nuli, S. Hirano, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10763.
- [30] a) J. Zhang, Y. Yan, B. Mei, R. Qi, T. He, Z. Wang, W. Fang, S. Zaman, Y. Su, S. Ding, B. Y. Xia, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2021, *14*, 365; b) Q. Han, C. Wu, H. Jiao, R. Xu, Y. Wang, J. Xie, Q. Guo, J. Tang, *Adv. Mater.* 2021, *33*, 2008180; c) Y. Liu, S. Ma, L. Liu, J. Koch, M. Rosebrock, T. Li, F. Bettels, T. He, H. Pfnür, N. C. Bigall, A. Feldhoff, F. Ding, L. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2020, *30*, 2002462.
- [31] Juan Rodríguez-Carvajal, Physica B Condens. Matter 1993, 192, 55.
- [32] Y. Zhu, X. Fan, L. Suo, C. Luo, T. Gao, C. Wang, ACS Nano 2016, 10, 1529.
- [33] H. Xu, W. Zhu, F. Sun, H. Qi, J. Zou, R. Laine, W. Ding, Adv. Mater. Technol. 2021, 2000882.
- [34] H. Chen, H. Xu, B. Li, Z. Li, K. Zhang, J. Zou, Z. Hu, R. M. Laine, App. Surf. Sci. 2022, 598, 153768.
- [35] B. Ravel, M. Newville, J. Synchrotron. Radiat. 2005, 12, 537.

DOI:10.1107/S0909049505012719.

[36] M. MUÑOZ, P. Argoul, F. Farges, Am. Mineral. 2003, 88, 694.

- [37] a) E. G. Nelson, J. W. Kampf, B. M. Bartlett, *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 5193; b) C.
  Liao, B. Guo, D. Jiang, R. Custelcean, S. M. Mahurin, X. Sun, S. Dai, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, *2*, 581; c) B. Pan, J. Zhang, J. Huang, J. T. Vaughey, L. Zhang, S. D. Han, A. K.
  Burrell, Z. Zhang, C. Liao, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 6214; d) B. Pan, J. Huang, N. Sa,
  S. M. Brombosz, J. T. Vaughey, L. Zhang, A. K. Burrell, Z. Zhang, C. Liao, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2016, *163*, A1672; e) O. Mizrahi, N. Amir, E. Pollak, O. Chusid, V. Marks, H. Gottlieb, L. Larush, E. Zinigrad, D. Aurbach, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2008, *155*, A103.
- [38] D. T. Nguyen, R. Horia, A. Y. S. Eng, S. W. Song, Z. W. Seh, *Mater. Horizons* 2021, *8*, 830.
- [39] a) B. I.Au, T, Luo, G. Mu, X. Wang, D. Chen, G. Shen, *Acs Nano* 2013, *7*, 8051; b) D.
  He, D. Wu, J. Gao, X. Wu, X. Zeng, W. Ding, *J. Power Sources* 2015, *294*, 643; c) L.
  Zhou, F. Xiong, S. Tan, Q. An, Z. Wang, W. Yang, Z. Tao, Y. Yao, J. Chen, L. Mai, *Nano Energy* 2018, *54*, 360; d) Z. Chen, Z. Zhang, A. Du, Y. Zhang, M. Men, G. Li, G.
  Cui, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, *55*, 4431; e) M. Mao, Z. Lin, Y. Tong, J. Yue, C. Zhao, J.
  Lu, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, L. Suo, Y. S. Hu, H. Li, X. Huang, L. Chen, *ACS Nano* 2020, *14*, 1102; f) D. Chen, J. Shen, X. Li, S. Cao, T. Li, W. Luo, F. Xu, *J. Energy Chem.* 2020, *48*, 226; g) X. Lin, J. Liu, H. Zhang, Y. Zhong, M. Zhu, T. Zhou, X. Qiao, H. Zhang, T.
  Han, A. Li, *Adv. Sci.* 2021, *8*, 2002298; h) Y. Zhang, Y. Zhu, Z. Wang, H. Peng, X.
  Yang, Y. Cao, C. Du, X. Ma, C. Cao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2021, *31*, 2104730.
- [40] H. Liu, H. Guo, B. Liu, M. Liang, Z. Lv, K. R. Adair, X. Sun, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1707480.



# Table of Contents

Co doping is proven to expand crystal lattice and reduce particle sizes for FeS<sub>2</sub> while the valence states are little influenced. Coupling with a non-nucleophilic (R-PhOMgCl)<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub>/THF electrolyte, Co-doped FeS<sub>2</sub> cathodes using Cu collectors show considerbale electrochemical performance for magnesium batteries. Multiple charcterizations via operando SXRD, ex-situ XPS, XANES, TEM, SEM and EDX further reveal magnesium storage mechanisms.

