International Controlled Study of Revascularization and Outcomes Following COVID-Positive Mechanical Thrombectomy

Running Title: Thrombectomy in COVID-19 Patients: Controlled Study

Adam A. Dmytriw MD MPH MSc^{1,2}, Sherief Ghozy MD², Ahmad Sweid MD³, Michel
Piotin MD⁴, Kimon Bekelis MD⁵, Nader Sourour MD⁶, Eytan Raz MD⁷, Daniel Vela-Duarte
MD MSCR⁸, Italo Linfante MD⁸, Guilherme Dabus MD⁸, Max Kole MD⁹, Mario Martínez-Galdámez MD¹⁰, Shahid M. Nimjee MD¹¹, Demetrius K. Lopes MD¹², Ameer E. Hassan DO¹³, Peter Kan MD¹⁴, Mohammad Ghorbani MD¹⁵, Michael R. Levitt MD¹⁶, Simon Escalard MD⁴, Symeon Missios MD⁵, Maksim Shapiro MD⁷, Fréderic Clarençon MD⁶, Mahmoud Elhorany MD⁶, Rizwan A. Tahir MD⁹, Patrick P. Youssef MD¹¹, Aditya S. Pandey MD¹⁷, Robert M. Starke MD¹⁸, Kareem El Naamani MD³, Rawad Abbas MD³,

Ossama Y. Mansour MD¹⁹, Jorge Galvan MD¹⁰, Joshua T. Billingsley MD¹²,

Abolghasem Mortazavi MD13, Melanie Walker MD16, Mahmoud Dibas MD2, Fabio

Settecase MD MSc²⁰, Manraj K.S. Heran MD²⁰, Anna L. Kuhn MD PhD²¹, Ajit S. Puri

MD²¹, Bijoy K. Menon MD²², Sanjeev Sivakumar MD²³, Ashkan Mowla MD²⁴, Salvatore

D'Amato MD¹, Alicia M. Zha MD²⁵, Daniel Cooke MD²⁶, Justin E. Vranic MD¹, Robert W.

Regenhardt MD PhD1, James D. Rabinov MD1, Christopher J. Stapleton MD1, Mayank

Goyal MD²², Hannah Wu MD^{27,28,29}, Jake Cohen MD^{27,28,29}, David Turkel-Parella MD^{27,28,29},

Andrew Xavier MD^{30,31}, Muhammad Waqas MBBS³², Vincent Tutino PhD³², Adnan

Siddiqui MD³², Gaurav Gupta MD³⁴, Anil Nanda MD³⁴, Priyank Khandelwal MD³⁴, Cristina

Tiu MD³⁵, Pere C. Portela MD³⁶, Natalia Perez de la Ossa MD³⁷, Xabier Urra MD³⁸,

Mercedes de Lera MD³⁹, Juan F. Arenillas MD, PhD³⁹, Marc Ribo MD⁴⁰, Manuel Requena

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/ene.15493

MD⁴⁰, Mariangela Piano MD⁴¹, Guglielmo Pero MD⁴¹, Keith De Sousa⁴², Fawaz Al-Muffi MD⁴³, Zafar Hashim MBBS⁴⁴, Sanjeev Nayak MBBS⁴⁴, Leonardo Renieri MD⁴⁵, Rose Du MD PhD², Mohamed A. Aziz-Sultan MD², David Liebeskind MD⁴⁶, Raul G. Nogueira MD⁴⁷, Mohamad Abdalkader MD⁴⁸, Thanh N. Nguyen MD⁴⁸, Nicholas Vigilante BS⁴⁹, James E. Siegler MD⁴⁹, Jonathan A. Grossberg MD,⁵⁰ Hassan Saad MD⁵⁰, Michael R. Gooch MD³, Nabeel A. Herial MD MPH³, Robert H. Rosenwasser MD³, Stavropoula Tjoumakaris MD³,

Aman B. Patel MD¹, Ambooj Tiwari MD MPH^{27,28,29}, Pascal Jabbour MD ^{3,*}

on behalf of the North American Neurovascular COVID-19 (NAN-C) Consortium & Society of Vascular and Interventional Neurology (SVIN) Investigators

Affiliations

 Neuroendovascular Program, Mass General Brigham Partners, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA (<u>adam.dmytriw@gmail.com</u>, <u>jvranic@mgh.harvard.edu</u>, <u>Robert.Regenhardt@mgh.harvard.edu</u>, <u>jrabinov@mgh.harvard.edu</u>, <u>cstapleton@mgh.harvard.edu</u>, <u>ABPATEL@mgh.harvard.edu</u>)
 Neuroradiology & Neurosurgery Services, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Harvard

Medical School, Boston, MA, USA (salvatore.a.damato@uth.tmc.edu,

rdu@bwh.harvard.edu, asultan@bwh.harvard.edu)

3. Department of Neurological Surgery, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania USA (<u>Ahmad.sweid@jefferson.edu</u>, <u>Kareem.ElNaamani@jefferson.edu</u>, <u>Rawad.Abbas@jefferson.edu</u>, <u>Michael.Gooch@jefferson.edu</u>, <u>Nabeel.Herial@jefferson.edu</u>, <u>Robert.Rosenwasser@jefferson.edu</u>, <u>Stavropoula.tjoumakaris@jefferson.edu</u>, , pascal.jabbour@jefferson.edu)

4. Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Rothschild Foundation Hospital, Paris, France (<u>mpiotin@for.paris</u>, <u>sescalard@for.paris</u>) 5. Department of Neurosurgery, Good Samaritan Hospital Medical Center, West Islip, New York, USA. (kbekelis@gmail.com, smissios@gmail.com)

6. Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France. (nsourour@gmail.com, frederic.clarencon@aphp.fr, mahmoudelhorany86@gmail.com)

7. Department of Radiology, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York,

New York, USA. (eytan.raz@gmail.com, Maksim.Shapiro@nyumc.org)

8. Department of Interventional Neuroradiology & Neuroendovascular Surgery, Miami Cardiac and Vascular Institute, Baptist Hospital of Miami, Florida, USA

(DanielVDu@baptisthealth.net, linfante.italo@gmail.com, GuilhermeD@baptisthealth.net)

9. Department of Neurosurgery, Henry Ford Hospital, Michigan, USA (<u>MKOLE1@hfhs.org</u>, rizwan.tahir5054@gmail.com)

10. Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Hospital Clinico Universitario de Valladolid, Spain (mariomgaldamez@hotmail.com, jgfgalvan@gmail.com)

11. Department of Neurosurgery, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center,

Columbus, Ohio, USA (Shahid.Nimjee@osumc.edu, Patrick.Youssef@osumc.edu)

12. Department of Neurosurgery, Advocate Aurora Health, Chicago, Illinois, USA

(Joshua.billingsley@advocatehealth.com, brainaneurysm@mac.com)

13. Department of Neuroscience, Valley Baptist Medical Center/University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Harlingen, Texas, USA (<u>ameerehassan@gmail.com</u>, <u>sgmortazavi@gmail.com</u>)

14. Department of Neurosurgery, UTMB, Houston, Texas, USA. (ptkan@utmb.edu)

15. Department of Neurosurgery, Firoozgar Hospital, Iran (ghorbani.m@iums.ac.ir)

16. Departments of Neurological Surgery, Radiology, Mechanical Engineering, and Stroke & Applied Neuroscience Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

(mlevitt@neurosurgery.washington.edu, walkerm@uw.edu)

17. Department of Neurosurgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA (adityap@med.umich.edu)

18. Department of Neurosurgery & Neuroradiology, University of Miami & Jackson Memorial Hospital, Miami, Florida, USA. (<u>RStarke@med.miami.edu</u>)

19. Department of Neurology, Alexandria University Hospital, Egypt

(yassinossama@yahoo.com)

20. Division of Neuroradiology, Vancouver General Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (<u>fsettecase@gmail.com</u>,

Raju.Heran@vch.ca)

21. Division of Neurointerventional Radiology, Department of Radiology, UMass Memorial Medical Center, Worcester Massachusetts, USA (<u>Anna.Kuhn@umassmemorial.org</u>, ajit.puri@umassmemorial.org, <u>Anna.Kuhn@umassmemorial.org</u>)

22. Calgary Stroke Program, Cumming School of Medicine, Calgary, Alberta, Canada (docbijoymenon@gmail.com, mgoyal@ucalgary.ca)

23. Department of Medicine (Neurology), Prisma Health Upstate, USC, Greenville, South Carolina, USA (<u>Sanjeev.Sivakumar@prismahealth.org</u>)

24. Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA (<u>mowla@usc.edu</u>)

25. Department of Neurology, UT Health Science Center, Houston, Texas, USA

(Alicia.M.Zha@uth.tmc.edu)

26. Department of Neurointerventional Radiology, San Francisco General Hospital, San Francisco, California, USA (daniel.cooke@ucsf.edu)

27. Department of Neurology, Brookdale University Hospital, Brooklyn, New York, USA (<u>h.wu1996@gmail.com</u>, <u>jakelynchcohen@gmail.com</u>, <u>davetp@gmail.com</u>, amboojtiwari@gmail.com)

28. Department of Neurology, Jamaica Medical Center, Richmond Hill, New York, USA (<u>h.wu1996@gmail.com</u>, jakelynchcohen@gmail.com, <u>davetp@gmail.com</u>, amboojtiwari@gmail.com)

29. Department of Neurology, NYU Lutheran Hospital, Brooklyn, New York, USA (<u>h.wu1996@gmail.com</u>, jakelynchcohen@gmail.com, <u>davetp@gmail.com</u>, amboojtiwari@gmail.com)

30. Department of Neurology, Sinai Grace Hospital, Detroit, Michigan, USA (xavier.ar@gmail.com)

31. Department of Neurology, St. Joseph Mercy Health, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA (xavier.ar@gmail.com)

32. Department of Neurosurgery, University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA (<u>MWaqas@ubns.com</u>, <u>vincentt@buffalo.edu</u>, <u>asiddiqui@ubns.com</u>)

34. Department of Neurology, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA (guptaga@rwjms.rutgers.edu, an651@rwjms.rutgers.edu, priyank081@gmail.com)

35. Department of Neurology, University Emergency Hospital Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania; "Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania (cristina.tiu@yahoo.com)

36. Department of Neurology, Hospital Universitari, Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain (pcardonap@bellvitgehospital.cat)

37. Stroke Unit, Neuroscience Department, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol,
Carretera Canyet s/n, 08916 Badalona, Barcelona, Spain (<u>natperezossa@gmail.com</u>)
38. Department of Neurology, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain (<u>xurra@clinic.cat</u>)
39. Department of Neurology, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Valladolid, Spain
(mercele23@gmail.com, juanfarenillas@gmail.com)

40. Stroke Unit, Department of Neurology, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain; Departament de Medicina, Universitat Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain (m.requenaruiz@gmail.com, marcriboj@hotmail.com)

41. Department of Neuroradiology, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy. (mariangela.piano@ospedaleniguarda.it, guglielmo.pero@ospedaleniguarda.it)

42. Department of Neurology, Eastern Region, Northwell Health, Long Island, New York, New York, USA. (Kdbraindoc@gmail.com)

43. Department of Neurology, Radiology, and Neurosurgery, Westchester Medical Center at NY Medical College, Valhalla, New York, USA. (<u>fawaz.al-mufti@wmchealth.org</u>)

44. Department of Radiology, University Hospital of North Midlands, Stoke-on-Trent, United Kingdom. (zafar.hashim@uhnm.nhs.uk, Sanjeev.Nayak@uhnm.nhs.uk)

45. Department of Radiology, Neurovascular Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy (leonardo.renieri85@gmail.com)

46. Department of Neurology, Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, USA (dliebeskind@mednet.ucla.edu)

47. Department of Neurology, Marcus Stroke and Neuroscience Center, Grady Memorial Hospital, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

(raul.g.nogueira@emory.edu)

48. Departments of Neurology and Radiology, Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Massachusetts, USA (<u>Thanh.Nguyen@bmc.org</u>,

md.abdalkader@gmail.com)

49. Cooper Neurological Institute, Cooper University Hospital, Camden, New Jersey, USA (vigila82@rowan.edu, siegler.james@gmail.com)

50. Department of Neurosurgery, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

(jonathan.a.grossberg@emory.edu, hassan.saad@emory.edu)

Corresponding Author:

Adam A. Dmytriw MD MPH MSc

Neuroendovascular Program

Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School

55 Fruit St, Boston, MA 02114 USA

Tel: +1 (617) 732-5500

E-mail: admytriw@mgh.harvard.edu

Abstract

Background: Previous studies suggest that the mechanisms and outcomes in COVID-19associated stroke differ from those with non-COVID-19 strokes, but there is limited comparative evidence focusing on these populations. Therefore, we aimed to determine if a significant association exists between COVID-19 status with revascularization and functional outcomes following thrombectomy for large vessel occlusion (LVO), after adjustment for potential confounding factors.

Methods: A cross-sectional, international multicenter retrospective study of consecutively admitted COVID-19 patients with concomitant acute LVO, compared to a control group without COVID-19. Data collected included age, gender, comorbidities, clinical characteristics, details of the involved vessels, procedural technique, and various outcomes. A multivariable adjusted analysis was conducted.

Results: In this cohort of 697 patients with acute LVO, 302 had COVID-19 while 395 patients did not. There was a significant difference (p<0.001) in the mean age (in years) and gender of patients, with younger patients and more males in the COVID-19 group. In terms of favorable revascularization (mTICI 3), COVID-19 was associated with lower odds of complete revascularization [OR=0.33; 95% CI=0.23-0.48; p<0.001], which persisted on multivariable modelling with adjustment for other predictors [aOR=0.30; 95% CI=0.12-0.77; p=0.012]. Moreover, endovascular complications, in-hospital mortality, and length of hospital stay were significantly higher among COVID-19 patients (p<0.001). **Conclusion:** COVID-19 was an independent predictor of incomplete revascularization and poor functional outcome in patients with stroke due to LVO. Furthermore, COVID-19 patients with LVO were more often younger and suffered higher morbidity/mortality rates. **Keywords:** COVID-19; Stroke; large vessel occlusion; mortality; morbidity

Introduction

Since the first reported case in Wuhan, China, Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been prevalent globally, with ~45 million cases and ~730 thousand deaths in the United States, up to October 8, 2021.[1] According to the World Health Organization (WHO), there have been over 241 million cases and almost 5 million deaths worldwide.[2] Patients with COVID-19 are at higher risk of thrombotic events, with a prevalence rate estimated to be 22 %, and can further increase up to 43 % after admission to the intensive care unit.[3] The hypercoagulability in COVID-19 patients may be caused by disease-associated stasis, cytokine storm, dysfunctional endothelium, and platelet activation.[3-5]

One form of thrombosis is acute ischemic stroke (AIS). The risk of AIS in COVID-19 patients may be elevated up to ~3-8-fold in the first three days of respiratory symptoms.[6, 7] The reported prevalence of stroke among COVID-19 patients varies from 1.3% up to 4.9% and the rate of SARS CoV-2 infection among stroke admissions is estimated 3.3%.[8-16] While there have been a number of reports indicating an excess number of large vessel occlusion (LVO) strokes in patients with COVID-19,[8, 17, 18] there are limited data on the safety and outcomes of acute revascularization of LVO in COVID-19 patients.[19, 20] To address current limitations, an international multicenter study was conducted to identify differences in demographics and stroke characteristics of LVO patients with COVID-19 compared to without COVID-19. Furthermore, we sought to further evaluate whether COVID-19 has an independent association with revascularization and functional outcomes following the endovascular treatment.

Materials and methods

An international multicenter retrospective study of consecutively admitted COVID-19 patients was performed with concomitant acute LVOs between February 25 and December 30, 2020, across 50 international comprehensive stroke centers, from North America, Europe and the Middle East.

The institutional review boards of participating institutions reviewed and approved the study, and patient consent was waived based on the de-identified retrospective protocol with minimal risk. Diagnosis of COVID-19 was established using reverse-transcriptase– polymerase-chain-reaction assays of nasopharyngeal samples for identification of SARS-CoV-2. Data will be made available to a qualified investigator upon reasonable request with the corresponding author.

Data Collection

Data collected included age, gender, comorbidities, clinical characteristics of the included COVID-19 patients, details of the involved vessels, procedural technique, and selected outcome measures (e.g., symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage). Onset to admission time was defined as the time from stroke onset to hospital arrival. Procedure duration was calculated as the time difference between arterial access and sheath removal. COVID-19 severity was determined based on the score and classification provided by the World Health Organization (WHO) [21]. In addition, the classification of acute ischemic stroke subtype was performed according to the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) classification [22]. For the involved vessels, we used the same classification provided by our participating centers based on what was reported as first, second, third, and forth.

Study End Points

Co-primary outcomes were: 1) optimal revascularization defined as modified Thrombolysis In Cerebral Infarction (mTICI) grade 3; 2) unfavorable functional outcome at discharge and 90 days defined as modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score 3-6; 3) mortality at 90 days. Recanalization scoring was estimated without central imaging adjudication. Secondary outcomes were: 1) symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage (sICH) defined as reduction in the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) by four points in association with any hemorrhage, at the judgment of the treating clinician; 2) NIHSS 24 hours following MT.

Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using R software version 4.1.1 using the packages "Remdr" and "glm2"[23]. Continuous variables are shown as means and standard deviation, with skewness and kurtosis tests used to evaluate the normal distribution, with comparisons made according to COVID-19 status (COVID-19 vs. non-COVID-19) using independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate. Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and percentages, with the chi-square test or Fischer exact test used for comparisons, as appropriate. Finally, univariable logistic regression was used to test covariates predictive of revascularization (TICI 2b or 3), and unfavorable outcome (mRS 3-6). Interaction and confounding were assessed through stratification and relevant expansion covariates. Whenever possible, factors predictive on univariable analysis (p<0.05) were entered into a backward multivariable logistic regression analysis, and the effect of COVID-19 was assessed as clinically relevant in all models. Regression results were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). A p value < 0.05 was considered significant for all statistical tests. The results presented here are reported in accordance with

Strengthening the Observational Reporting of Observational Studies Guidelines. Missing data were not imputed.

Data availability

The relevant anonymized patient-level data are available on reasonable request from the authors.

Results

The total cohort composite was 697 patients with LVO, 302 of whom had concomitant COVID-19 (43.3%). Patients with COVID-19 had a younger mean age than those without COVID-19 (61.1 ± 15.7 years vs. 71.0 ± 15.8 years; p<0.001), with a lower proportion of female patients in the COVID-19 group (41.1% vs. 80.5%; p<0.001). The functional status prior to stroke onset was significantly different, with a lower proportion of functional independence in the COVID-19 group (mRS 0-2: 65.6% vs. 96.2%; p<0.001) (Table 1).

Comorbidities

Chronic heart disease (18.9% vs. 34.4%; p<0.001) and atrial fibrillation (21.2% vs. 38.2%; p<0.001) were less common in patients with COVID-19, while chronic liver disease (6.0% vs. 2.5%; p=0.022) and diabetes mellitus type II (29.5% vs. 22.3%; p= 0.014) were more common. Hypertension, chronic lung disease, and chronic kidney disease frequency were not different between groups (**Table 1**).

COVID-19 Characteristics

The severity of COVID-19 at stroke onset was moderate in 73.3% of cases, severe in 14.8% and critical in 11.9%. Cough was the most frequent presenting symptom (48.7%), followed by fever (45.9%), pneumonia (14.4%), and acute respiratory distress syndrome (20.0%). COVID-19 diagnosis was established in 66.1% of the patients prior to stroke onset. The mean duration between COVID-19 symptoms and stroke onset was 8.8 days \pm 11.4; and 33.9% of the COVID-19 group had stroke as the initial manifestation of the COVID-19 disease.

Stroke Characteristics

Cardioembolic etiology represented a lower proportion in the COVID-19 group (12.9% vs. 49.6%), while large vessel atherosclerosis (37.6% vs. 16.5%) and cryptogenic stroke (16.8% vs. 0.0%) were observed at a higher proportion in the COVID-19 group (p<0.001). The mean Albert Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score (ASPECTS) score at admission was lower in the COVID-19 group (7.8 ± 2.4 vs. 8.9 ± 1.5 ; p<0.001). The mean number of involved vessels was comparable between COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups (1.4 ± 0.9 vs. 1.3 ± 0.5 ; p=0.236). A detailed distribution of affected vessels is shown in **Table 2**.

Stroke Treatment

The mean duration (in minutes) of last known normal to access was shorter in the COVID-19 group $(357.4 \pm 513.3 \text{ vs. } 474.4 \pm 365.1; \text{ p}=0.009)$, while the mean door to arterial access duration (in minutes) was longer among the COVID-19 group $(87.5 \pm 63.5 \text{ vs. } 71.6 \pm 80.0; \text{ p}=0.043)$. Intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) administration was less common in the COVID-19 group (23.5% vs. 33.4%; p<0.001). A higher proportion of mechanical thrombectomy procedures were performed under general anesthesia in the COVID-19 group (31.5% vs. 19.1%; p<0.001), while mean thrombectomy pass number ($1.8 \pm 1.5 \text{ vs. } 1.9 \pm 1.2; \text{ p}=0.520$), first pass effect (53.3% vs. 49.1%; p=0.395), and stenting rates (7.3% vs.

+--

8.6%; p=0.584) were comparable among the two groups (**Table 3**). The mean procedure duration to achieve revascularization was prolonged in the COVID-19 group (62.2 ± 47.3 vs. 51.9 ± 31.9 ; p=0.002). Moreover, there was a higher proportion of mTICI 3 outcomes in the control group (66.6% vs. 25.5%; p<0.001) (**Table 3**).

Complications, Functional Outcomes, and Mortality

The procedure-related complication rate was higher among COVID-19 patients (26.6% vs. 10.0%; p<0.001), with 19.7% of the complications in the COVID-19 group being symptomatic. There was neither a significant difference in sICH (6.6% vs. 5.6%; p=0.683) nor in NIHSS score at 24 hours post thrombectomy (11.9 ± 10.8 vs. 12.2 ± 8.2 ; p=0.807) between COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups.

The length of hospital stay was longer in the COVID-19 group $(15.5 \pm 17.6 \text{ days vs. } 8.4 \pm 8.5 \text{ days}; p<0.001)$. Poor functional outcome (mRS 3-6) at discharge was observed in a significantly higher proportion in the COVID-19 group (37.1% vs. 9.6%; p<0.001), and favorable functional outcome (mRS 0-2) at 90-day follow-up was observed in a lower proportion of COVID-19 vs. non-COVID-19 (10.6% vs 59.0%; p< 0.001) patients. Similarly, the mortality rate was more than two-fold higher in the COVID-19 group (42.0% vs. 19.1; $p \le 0.001$) (Table 3).

Predictors of Revascularization mTICI 3

In the univariable model, absence of COVID-19 infection (OR=0.33; 95% CI=0.23-0.48; p<0.001), female gender (OR=2.56; 95% CI=1.78-3.69; p<0.001), and higher ASPECTS score (OR=1.15; 95% CI=1.04-1.27; p=0.007) were predictors of better revascularization (mTICI 3). Accounting for other possible cofounders, only COVID-19 status (OR=0.30; 95%

CI= 0.12-0.77; p=0.012) and female sex (OR=2.83; 95% CI=1.31-6.15; p=0.008) were independent predictors (Table 4).

Predictors of Unfavorable Outcomes (mRS 3-6)

Unfavorable outcomes were higher with increasing age (OR=1.04; 95% CI=1.02-1.06; p<0.001) and NIHSS score at admission (OR=1.10; 95% CI=1.06-1.15; p<0.001). Accounting for possible confounders (including pre-admission mRS), age (OR=1.04; 95% CI= 1.02-1.06; p<0.001) and NIHSS score (OR= 1.10; 95% CI= 1.06-1.15; p<0.001) persisted as independent risk factors for unfavorable outcomes (Table 5). A sensitivity analysis including only patients with a pre-admission mRS of 0-2, found higher rates of unfavorable outcome among COVID-19 patients at three months (OR= 2.10; 95% CI= 1.08-3.99; p=0.025); however, this association was not significant after controlling for other variables (OR=0.81; 95% CI= 0.22-2.59; p=0.731) (Supplementary Table 1). A summary of predictors of mTICI 3 and mRS 3-6 are presented in Supplementary Figure 1.

Discussion

Our global collaborative effort to pool data on patients with large vessel occlusion during the COVID-19 pandemic provided us the opportunity to explore the impact of COVID-19 on interventional outcomes in this population. From analyses of compiled cases from 50 institutions worldwide, our data support that COVID-19 patients with concomitant LVO have poorer functional outcome and rates of revascularization compared to non-COVID-19 patients, with a mortality rate reaching up to 42%. The likelihood of achieving complete revascularization was reduced by 70% compared to patients without COVID-19, in our collaboration. Our data are supported by contemporary reports of poorer outcomes in patients

with AIS in the setting of COVID-19, albeit without controls, which is a key advantage of our current report.[24-30] Similar to the present study, other analyses also support that COVID-19 is an independent predictor of LVO, less favorable functional outcome at follow-up, and increased mortality.[19, 30-33]

The underlying pathophysiology that drives poorer outcomes for AIS in COVID-19 patients is subject to ongoing investigation. However, it is likely that COVID-19 influences patient conditioning for recovery post-stroke. Factors known to impede recovery post-stroke include patient demographic factors, baseline functional status, and comorbidities[34]. In addition, stroke characteristics including severity and extent of stroke, location of ischemic tissue, time lag to treatment, concomitant pathologies, and other complications all affect post-stroke recovery.[34] The physiological state secondary to COVID-19 appears to further exacerbate damage caused by ischemia.[35] In particular, the heightened prothrombotic and pro-inflammatory state of COVID-19 may manifest in several ways, including AIS, vasculopathy, myocarditis, arrhythmias, thrombotic microangiopathy, coagulopathy and thrombocytopenia, tropism to endothelial cells via ACE-2 receptor, and inhibition of angiotensin (1-7) production.[36-61] It has been proposed that downregulation of ACE-2 leading to both arteriopathy and thrombosis may play a central role in the development of stroke during COVID-19.[62-64]

Understanding the characteristics of patients who develop LVO in the setting of COVID-19 is important for prognosis and immediate care. To achieve this goal, we performed one of the first comparative studies of COVID-19 and control patients with LVO. We found that the mean age of the COVID-19 cohort was younger than that of controls by approximately 10 years, which corroborates prior reported findings from other non-controlled studies.[27, 30,

31, 65-70] The latter figures are almost four-fold higher than the general population. We also found a significantly higher representation of males in the COVID-19 group, which is consistent with reported prior non-controlled studies.[28, 30]

Elucidating the impact of COVID-19 on the onset and severity of AIS is also an important consideration in the clinical care of this population. This is pertinent given that 73.3% of the patients who developed LVO with COVID-19 had moderate disease severity according to the WHO classification[21]. Their immune dysregulation may result in a cytokine storm, which is of pathophysiological significance in the development of stroke in COVID-19 disease.[71-73] The time from initial COVID symptomatology to stroke onset was on average 9 days in our study.[74] The Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry reported a similar latency of approximately 7 days between symptom onset and stroke.[30] The interval from symptom onset to hospital presentation was lower in the COVID-19 group, which may be consistent with previous studies that did not show a delay in endovascular thrombectomy time metrics during the COVID-19 era.[75, 76] Factors that can increase the risk of stroke include infection, which can also concurrently worsen the severity of the stroke.[77]

There was a significant difference between stroke classification according to TOAST criteria, with a higher proportion of cryptogenic stroke in the COVID-19 group[22]. In our international experience, we found that stroke severity was generally worse in the COVID-19 cohort compared to controls, based on ASPECTS score, NIHSS score at presentation, and the number of involved vessels. The etiology of strokes for patients with and without COVID-19 were also significantly different. Confirming the preliminary conclusions of smaller non-controlled studies, we found that COVID-19 LVO was associated with higher rates of strokes due to large vessel atherosclerosis or cryptogenic etiology, whereas non-COVID-19 LVO

was more likely to be cardioembolic in etiology[18, 78, 79]. This further supports the suggestion that COVID-19 is a prothrombotic and pro-inflammatory systemic state which may induce LVO. Additionally, the cerebral distribution of strokes was also considerably different. Non-COVID-19 strokes were most frequently observed in the MCA-M1 distribution. However, COVID-19 LVO appeared more likely to occur in the ACA, for reasons that are yet to be elucidated. It should be noted that a non-negligible portion of the occlusion location data was not available in the current study.

When comparing our experience with those of other large analyses, we found that the Get With The Guidelines-Stroke (GWTG-Stroke) registry analysis reported comparable results in patients diagnosed with COVID-19. The authors reported a worse NIHSS score at presentation, and considerably greater proportions of LVO stroke.[31] In our study, the rate of tPA administration was higher amongst non-COVID-19 patients. This may be related to several factors, but one contributor could have been barriers to stroke care for COVID-19 patients. Consistent with other clinical cerebrovascular studies, there was a relative global decline in IV thrombolysis, mechanical thrombectomy, ruptured aneurysm treatment, and aneurysmal SAH admissions during the initial wave of the COVID-19 pandemic [15, 80].

The complexity of intervention and technical approach are other factors to be considered for mechanical thrombectomy for LVO. We assessed relative complexity between COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups by comparing indirect measures based on the duration of the procedure, number of vessels involved, number of passes, rate of complete and favorable revascularization, and technical complications. Our analysis suggested that having LVO with COVID-19 was associated with more involved vessels, longer procedure duration, and a lower proportion of complete revascularization at the end of the procedure, albeit with a

similar number of passes per occlusion. Furthermore, COVID-19 patients had a 70% lower likelihood of achieving mTICI 3. Our results are in line with those reported in prior noncontrolled COVID-19 series and are consistent with historic MT data[68-70]. A possible explanation is the hypercoagulable state in COVID-19 patients, which may cause reocclusion. In addition, among the COVID-19 patients undergoing thrombectomy, the intravascular clots were prone to fragment and migrate into both new vascular territories and into distal downstream vasculature, in higher rates than is otherwise typical. However, neither of these possible causes emerged as a pattern or in a frequency high enough to be generalized. A prospective, large-scale trial could help to answer such a question. Although we found the initial admission ASPECTS score to be highly associated with successful revascularization, the association was not significant in the multivariate model. In the same context, time to groin puncture and whether or not tPA was given were also non-influential factors for revascularization in multivariable analysis. Of note, patients with diabetes had 2.78 higher odds of achieving mTICI 3 compared to controls, even after adjustment. The reason for the association with diabetes is unknown but possible hypotheses surround differences in etiology of stroke. Diabetic patients may have received more strict glycemic control, whereas paradoxically non-diabetic patients can encounter iatrogenic hyperglycemia with common COVID treatments such as dexamethasone. As a known inhibitor of fibrinolysis, hyperglycemia on admission was the only independent predictor of failed recanalization after tPA treatment[82, 83].

Finally, we compared follow-up functional outcomes after EVT in patients with COVID-19 versus those without. We found in our combined cohort, that good functional outcome at discharge and follow-up were significantly lower in the COVID-19 group. The mortality rate, when compared to prior published data, was significantly higher. Similarly, the GWTG-

Stroke consortium and the Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry demonstrated that COVID-19 was an independent predictor of poor outcome and death.[30, 31] Other factors such as diabetes and chronic liver disease history, admission ASPECTS score, and tPA use were not associated with poor functional outcome on follow-up. In our cohort, we found that the 24-hour sICH and NIHSS scores were not significantly different with or without COVID-19. However, the former had a prolonged hospital stay, which suggests that other factors prolong the perioperative and subsequent recovery process.

The strengths of this study include that it is the first global multicenter controlled study for LVO in COVID-19. It highlights the value of institutional collaboration in addressing clinical questions in a timely and robust manner. This study is constrained by several limitations. The retrospective design of the study means there is an element of selection bias particularly when patients are chosen for mechanical thrombectomy. Due to the stress on the resources created by the COVID-19 pandemic, some patients may not have received optimal advanced imaging and clinical follow-up, and as such there were missing data for several outcomes. Nevertheless, all missing data are within subsidiary variables. Occasionally, control for some cofounders was not possible due to insufficient data in patient records. Future prospective studies are needed to obtain a higher level of evidence.

Conclusion

COVID-19 is an independent predictor of incomplete revascularization in patients with stroke due to LVO in this controlled study. Patients are more often younger, males, and suffer from higher morbidity/mortality rates. **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: None.

Funding: This research received no grant from any funding agency in public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: None relevant.

Contributorship Statement

- Conception or design of the work: AAD, AS, AA, PJ, MP, KB, TNN, JS
- Performing the procedures: NS, ER, MK, MMG, SMN, DKL, AEH, PK, MG, MRL, SE, SM, MS, FC, ME, PPY, ASP, RMS, AM, MW, OSY, DVD, GCD, IL, TNN, MA
- Data acquisition and analysis: AAD, SG, AS, ER, RAT, BH, BH, DV, NV, JS
- Interpretation of data: SG, AAD, AS, KP, ER, JG, JTB, KEN, RA
- Drafting the work: SG, AAD, KP, PJ, AS, NAH
- Revising the work for valuable intellectual content: SG, PH, AAD, RWR, ST, MRG, RHR
- Final approval of the version: All Authors.

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in the studies involving human

participants were per the Institutional Review Board (IRB) ethical standards and national research committee and the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent: The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the University Institutional Review Board. Following our institutional guidelines, all protected health information was removed, and individual patient consent was not required in the

analysis of this case series.

Supplementary Figure 1. (A) Predictors of mTICI 3 following multivariable adjusted analyses. (B) Predictors of mRS 3-6 following multivariable adjusted analyses. Asterisk on the right indicates significance with P<0.05. CI, confidence interval; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; ASPECTS, Alberta stroke program early CT score; tPA, Tissue Plasminogen Activator.

References

[1]. Worldometer - COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic - United States.

https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/country/us/ (accessed 10/08/2021.

[2]. WHO. <u>https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-</u>

<u>2019?adgroupsurvey</u>={adgroupsurvey}&gclid=CjwKCAjwn8SLBhAyEiwAHNTJbVoUSPz5QopG JSxtwL2Uev_02TsV3icgoc9umdyGMLkJ6u0xoq4t6hoCXZcQAvD_BwE (accessed 8 November 2021).

[3]. Xiong X, Chi J, Gao Q. Prevalence and risk factors of thrombotic events on patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thrombosis Journal*. 2021 **19:** 32.

[4]. Voicu S, Ketfi C, Stépanian A, *et al.* Pathophysiological Processes Underlying the High Prevalence of Deep Vein Thrombosis in Critically III COVID-19 Patients. *Front Physiol*. 2020 **11:** 608788.

[5]. Pons S, Fodil S, Azoulay E, Zafrani L. The vascular endothelium: the cornerstone of organ dysfunction in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Critical Care*. 2020 **24:** 353.

[6]. Smeeth L, Thomas SL, Hall AJ, Hubbard R, Farrington P, Vallance P. Risk of myocardial infarction and stroke after acute infection or vaccination. *N Engl J Med*. 2004 **351**: 2611-2618.

[7]. Warren-Gash C, Blackburn R, Whitaker H, McMenamin J, Hayward AC. Laboratoryconfirmed respiratory infections as triggers for acute myocardial infarction and stroke: a self-controlled case series analysis of national linked datasets from Scotland. *Eur Respir J*. 2018 **51**.

[8]. Khandelwal P, Al-Mufti F, Tiwari A, *et al.* Incidence, Characteristics and Outcomes of Large Vessel Stroke in COVID-19 Cohort: An International Multicenter Study. *Neurosurgery*. 2021 89: E35-e41.

[9]. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, *et al.* Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of COVID-19 Patients With a History of Stroke in Wuhan, China. *Stroke*. 2020 **51**: 2219-2223.

[10]. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, *et al.* Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol*. 2020 **77**: 683-690.

[11]. Lodigiani C, Iapichino G, Carenzo L, *et al*. Venous and arterial thromboembolic complications in COVID-19 patients admitted to an academic hospital in Milan, Italy. *Thromb Res*. 2020 **191:** 9-14.

[12]. Klok FA, Kruip M, van der Meer NJM, *et al.* Confirmation of the high cumulative incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19: An updated analysis. *Thromb Res.* 2020 **191:** 148-150.

[13]. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, *et al.* High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020 **46**: 1089-1098.

[14]. Jain R, Young M, Dogra S, *et al.* COVID-19 related neuroimaging findings: A signal of thromboembolic complications and a strong prognostic marker of poor patient outcome. *J Neurol Sci.* 2020 **414:** 116923.

[15]. Nogueira RG, Qureshi MM, Abdalkader M, *et al.* Global Impact of COVID-19 on Stroke Care and IV Thrombolysis. *Neurology*. 2021 **96**: e2824.

[16]. Nogueira RG, Abdalkader M, Qureshi MM, *et al.* Global impact of COVID-19 on stroke care. *Int J Stroke*. 2021 **16**: 573-584.

[17]. Kihira S, Schefflein J, Mahmoudi K, *et al.* Association of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) With Large Vessel Occlusion Strokes: A Case-Control Study. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2021 **216**: 150-156.

[18]. Siegler JE, Cardona P, Arenillas JF, *et al.* Cerebrovascular events and outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: The SVIN COVID-19 Multinational Registry. *Int J Stroke*. 2021 **16**: 437-447.

[19]. Cagnazzo F, Piotin M, Escalard S, *et al.* European Multicenter Study of ET-COVID-19. *Stroke*. 2021 **52**: 31-39.

[20]. Sasanejad P, Afshar Hezarkhani L, Arsang-Jang S, *et al.* Safety and Outcomes of Intravenous Thrombolytic Therapy in Ischemic Stroke Patients with COVID-19: CASCADE Initiative. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2021 **30:** 106121.

[21]. Son K-B, Lee T-J, Hwang S-S. Disease severity classification and COVID-19 outcomes, Republic of Korea. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2021 **99:** 62-66.

[22]. Adams HP, Jr., Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, *et al.* Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. *Stroke*. 1993 **24:** 35-41.

[23]. Ho D, Imai K, King G, Stuart EA. Matchlt: Nonparametric Preprocessing for Parametric Causal Inference. *Journal of Statistical Software; Vol 1, Issue 8 (2011)*. 2011.
[24]. Oxley TJ, Mocco J, Majidi S, *et al.* Large-Vessel Stroke as a Presenting Feature of Covid-19 in the Young. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020 **382**: e60.

[25]. Sweid A, Hammoud B, Bekelis K, et al. Cerebral ischemic and hemorrhagic complications of coronavirus disease 2019. Int J Stroke. 2020: 1747493020937189.
[26]. Sweid A, Hammoud B, Weinberg JH, et al. Letter: Thrombotic Neurovascular Disease in COVID-19 Patients. Neurosurgery. 2020.

[27]. Wang A, Mandigo GK, Yim PD, Meyers PM, Lavine SD. Stroke and mechanical thrombectomy in patients with COVID-19: technical observations and patient characteristics. *Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery*. 2020: neurintsurg-2020-016220.

[28]. Escalard S, Maïer B, Redjem H, et al. Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke due to Large Vessel Occlusion With COVID-19: Experience From Paris. Stroke. 2020 51: 2540-2543.
[29]. de Havenon A, Ney JP, Callaghan B, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on Outcomes in Ischemic Stroke Patients in the United States. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2021 30: 105535.
[30]. Ntaios G, Michel P, Georgiopoulos G, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes in Patients With COVID-19 and Acute Ischemic Stroke: The Global COVID-19 Stroke Registry. Stroke. 2020 51: e254-e258.

[31]. Srivastava PK, Zhang S, Xian Y, *et al.* Acute Ischemic Stroke in Patients With COVID-19: An Analysis From Get With The Guidelines-Stroke. *Stroke*. 2021 **52:** 1826-1829.

[32]. Belani P, Schefflein J, Kihira S, *et al.* COVID-19 is an independent risk factor for acute ischemic stroke. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. 2020 **41**: 1361-1364.

[33]. Kihira S, Schefflein J, Mahmoudi K, *et al.* Association of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) with large vessel occlusion strokes: a case-control study. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2020: 1-6.

[34]. Alawieh A, Zhao J, Feng W. Factors affecting post-stroke motor recovery:
Implications on neurotherapy after brain injury. *Behav Brain Res.* 2018 **340**: 94-101.
[35]. Petrovic V, Radenkovic D, Radenkovic G, Djordjevic V, Banach M. Pathophysiology of Cardiovascular Complications in COVID-19. *Frontiers in physiology*. 2020 **11**: 575600-575600.

[36]. Mecca AP, Regenhardt RW, O'Connor TE, et al. Cerebroprotection by angiotensin-(1–7) in endothelin-1-induced ischaemic stroke. *Experimental Physiology*. 2011 96: 1084-1096.
[37] Alauriah AM, Griatta AM, Lattern May, Coolan Handa Branchi During a Dandamic.

[37]. Alawieh AM, Spiotta AM. Letter: May Cooler Heads Prevail During a Pandemic: Stroke in COVID-19 Patients or COVID-19 in Stroke Patients? *Neurosurgery*. 2020.

[38]. Mishra AK, Sahu KK, Lal A, Sargent J. Mechanisms of stroke and the role of anticoagulants in COVID-19. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*. 2020.

[39]. Giraudon P, Bernard A. Inflammation in neuroviral diseases. *Journal of Neural Transmission*. 2010 **117:** 899-906.

[40]. Varga Z, Flammer AJ, Steiger P, *et al.* Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. *The Lancet*. 2020 **395:** 1417-1418.

[41]. Barnes BJ, Adrover JM, Baxter-Stoltzfus A, *et al.* Targeting potential drivers of COVID-19: Neutrophil extracellular traps. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2020 **217**.

[42]. Lorenzo C, Francesca B, Francesco P, Elena C, Luca S, Paolo S. Acute pulmonary embolism in COVID-19 related hypercoagulability. *Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis*. 2020.

[43]. Han H, Yang L, Liu R, *et al.* Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. 2020: 20200188.

[44]. Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, Gong J, Li D, Sun Z. Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2020 **18**: 1094-1099.

[45]. Campbell CM, Kahwash R. Will Complement Inhibition Be the New Target in Treating COVID-19–Related Systemic Thrombosis? *Circulation*. 2020 **141**: 1739-1741.

[46]. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2020 **18**: 844-847.

[47]. Zhang Y, Xiao M, Zhang S, *et al.* Coagulopathy and antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020 **382**: e38.

[48]. Wang J, Hajizadeh N, Moore EE, *et al.* Tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) treatment for COVID-19 associated acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS): a case series. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2020.

[49]. Feldstein LR, Rose EB, Horwitz SM, *et al.* Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in U.S. Children and Adolescents. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020.

[50]. Imai Y, Kuba K, Rao S, *et al.* Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 protects from severe acute lung failure. *Nature*. 2005 **436**: 112-116.

[51]. Kuba K, Imai Y, Rao S, *et al.* A crucial role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS coronavirus-induced lung injury. *Nat Med.* 2005 **11:** 875-879.

[52]. Lopez Verrilli MA, Pirola CJ, Pascual MM, Dominici FP, Turyn D, Gironacci MM. Angiotensin-(1–7) through AT2 receptors mediates tyrosine hydroxylase degradation via the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway. *Journal of Neurochemistry*. 2009 **109**: 326-335.

[53]. Turner AJ, Hiscox JA, Hooper NM. ACE2: from vasopeptidase to SARS virus receptor. *Trends in pharmacological sciences*. 2004 **25**: 291-294.

[54]. Sampaio WO, Nascimento AAS, Santos RAS. Systemic and regional hemodynamic effects of angiotensin-(1–7) in rats. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*. 2003 **284:** H1985-H1994.

[55]. Campagnole-Santos MJ, Diz DI, Santos RA, Khosla MC, Brosnihan KB, Ferrario CM. Cardiovascular effects of angiotensin-(1-7) injected into the dorsal medulla of rats. *Am J Physiol*. 1989 **257**: H324-329.

[56]. Xu P, Sriramula S, Lazartigues E. ACE2/ANG-(1–7)/Mas pathway in the brain: the axis of good. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*. 2011 **300**: R804-R817.

[57]. Chen J, Xiao X, Chen S, *et al.* Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 priming enhances the function of endothelial progenitor cells and their therapeutic efficacy. *Hypertension*. 2013 **61:** 681-689.

[58]. Chen J, Zhao Y, Chen S, *et al.* Neuronal over-expression of ACE2 protects brain from ischemia-induced damage. *Neuropharmacology*. 2014 **79:** 550-558.

[59]. Bahouth MN, Venkatesan A. Acute Viral Illnesses and Ischemic Stroke: Pathophysiological Considerations in the Era of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Stroke*. 2021 **52**: 1885-1894.

[60]. Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis M, Lely A, Navis Gv, van Goor H. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. *The Journal of Pathology: A Journal of the Pathological Society of Great Britain and Ireland*. 2004 **203**: 631-637.

[61]. To K, Lo AW. Exploring the pathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): the tissue distribution of the coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and its putative receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). *The Journal of Pathology: A Journal of the Pathological Society of Great Britain and Ireland*. 2004 **203**: 740-743.

[62]. Divani AA, Andalib S, Di Napoli M, *et al.* Coronavirus disease 2019 and stroke: clinical manifestations and pathophysiological insights. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2020: 104941.

[63]. Labò N, Ohnuki H, Tosato G. Vasculopathy and coagulopathy associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Cells*. 2020 **9:** 1583.

[64]. Kaushik P, Kaushik M, Parveen S, Tabassum H, Parvez S. Cross-Talk Between Key Players in Patients with COVID-19 and Ischemic Stroke: A Review on Neurobiological Insight of the Pandemic. *Molecular neurobiology*. 2020 **57:** 4921-4928.

[65]. Majidi S, Fifi JT, Ladner TR, *et al.* Emergent Large Vessel Occlusion Stroke During New York City's COVID-19 Outbreak. *Stroke*. 2020 **51:** 2656-2663.

[66]. Escalard S, Maïer B, Redjem H, *et al.* Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke due to Large Vessel Occlusion With COVID-19. *Stroke*. **0:** STROKEAHA.120.030574.

[67]. Yaghi S, Ishida K, Torres J, *et al.* SARS2-CoV-2 and stroke in a New York healthcare system. *Stroke*. 2020: STROKEAHA. 120.030335.

[68]. Khandelwal P, Al-Mufti F, Tiwari A, *et al.* Incidence, Characteristics and Outcomes of Large Vessel Stroke in COVID-19 Cohort: An International Multicenter Study. *Neurosurgery*. 2021.

[69]. Altersberger VL, Stolze LJ, Heldner MR, *et al.* Maintenance of Acute Stroke Care Service During the COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown. *Stroke*. 2021 **52**: 1693-1701.

[70]. Rudilosso S, Laredo C, Vera V, *et al.* Acute Stroke Care Is at Risk in the Era of COVID-19: Experience at a Comprehensive Stroke Center in Barcelona. *Stroke*. 2020 **51**: 1991-1995.
[71]. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to

COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. *Intensive care medicine*. 2020 **46:** 846-848.

[72]. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, *et al.* Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet*. 2020 **395:** 497-506.

[73]. Tanaka T, Narazaki M, Kishimoto T. IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*. 2014 **6:** a016295.

[74]. Avula A, Nalleballe K, Narula N, *et al.* COVID-19 presenting as stroke. *Brain, behavior, and immunity.* 2020 **87:** 115-119.

[75]. Czap AL, Zha AM, Sebaugh J, *et al.* Endovascular thrombectomy time metrics in the era of COVID-19: observations from the Society of Vascular and Interventional Neurology Multicenter Collaboration. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2021.

[76]. Siegler JE, Zha AM, Czap AL, *et al.* Influence of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Treatment Times for Acute Ischemic Stroke: The Society of Vascular and Interventional Neurology Multicenter Collaboration. *Stroke*. 2021 **52:** 40-47.

[77]. Elkind MSV, Boehme AK, Smith CJ, Meisel A, Buckwalter MS. Infection as a Stroke Risk Factor and Determinant of Outcome After Stroke. *Stroke*. 2020 **51**: 3156-3168.

[78]. Ramos-Araque ME, Siegler JE, Ribo M, *et al.* Stroke etiologies in patients with COVID-19: the SVIN COVID-19 multinational registry. *BMC Neurol*. 2021 **21**: 43.

[79]. Yaghi S, Ishida K, Torres J, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 and Stroke in a New York Healthcare System. *Stroke*. 2020 **51**: 2002-2011.

[80]. Nguyen TN, Haussen DC, Qureshi MM, *et al.* Decline in subarachnoid haemorrhage volumes associated with the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Stroke Vasc Neurol*. 2021.

[81]. Kiers L, Davis SM, Larkins R, *et al.* Stroke topography and outcome in relation to hyperglycaemia and diabetes. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1992 **55**: 263-270.

[82]. Ribo M, Molina C, Montaner J, *et al.* Acute hyperglycemia state is associated with lower tPA-induced recanalization rates in stroke patients. *Stroke*. 2005 **36**: 1705-1709.

[83]. Pandolfi A, Giaccari A, Cilli C, *et al.* Acute hyperglycemia and acute hyperinsulinemia decrease plasma fibrinolytic activity and increase plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 in the rat. *Acta Diabetol.* 2001 **38:** 71-76.

Variables		Non-COVID-19	COVID-19	Total	
		(N=395)	(N=302)	(N=697)	
Age (years)	Mean (SD)	71.0 (15.8)	61.1 (15.7)	67.1 (16.5)	
Gender	Female	318 (80.5)	124 (41.1)	442 (63.4)	
Pre-admission mRS score	0-2	380 (96.2)	198 (65.6)	578 (82.9)	
NIHSS at Admission Mean (SD)		14.3 (7.5)	17.2 (8.5)	15.4 (8.0)	
Hypertension		271 (68.8)	174 (57.6)	445 (63.8)	
Chronic Heart Disease		136 (34.4)	57 (18.9)	193 (27.7)	
Chronic Lung	Disease	76 (19.2)	60 (19.9)	136 (19.5)	
Chronic Kidney Disease		43 (10.9)	28 (9.3)	71 (10.2)	
Chronic Liver Disease		10 (2.5)	18 (6.0)	28 (4.0)	
Diabetes Mellitus (type II)		88 (22.3)	89 (29.5)	177 (25.4)	

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients with large vessel occlusion with and without COVID-19

Atrial Fibrillation	151 (38.2)	64 (21.2)	215 (30.8)	
New Onset Atrial Fibrillation	0 (0.0)	21 (7.0)	21 (3.0)	

mRS: Modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; SD: standard deviation; * Statistically significant; Missing data count per variable: Age= 53, Gender= 17, Pre-admission mRS score= 70, NIHSS at Admission= 72, Hypertension= 40, Chronic Heart Disease= 24, Chronic Lung Disease= 22, Chronic Kidney Disease= 24, Chronic Liver Disease= 22, Diabetes Mellitus (type II)= 31, Atrial Fibrillation= 31, New Onset Atrial Fibrillation= 417

Variables		Non- COVID- 19 (N=395)	COVID- 19 (N=302)
	Large Vessel Atherosclerosis (50% or	(11 070)	(11 302)
	more narrowing in an artery)	64 (16.5)	76 (37.6)
Stroke Classification	Cardioembolic	192 (49.6)	26 (12.9)
Stroke Childshifeation	Other (Dissection, Hypercoagulable)	131 (33.8)	66 (32 7)
	Cryptogenic	0(0.0)	34(16.8)
ASPECTS Score on Admission	Mean (SD)	8.9 (1.5)	7.8 (2.4)
Number of Vessels Involved	Mean (SD)	1.3(0.5)	1.4 (0.9)
	ICA	35 (9.0)	9 (25.7)
	MCA (M1 segment)	156 (40.2)	3 (8.6)
Vessel Involved	MCA (M2 segment)	89 (22.9)	3 (8.6)
	ACA (A1 segment)	4 (1.0)	3 (8.6)
	ACA (A2 segment)	4 (1.0)	15 (42.9)
	Extracranial carotid	62 (16.0)	0 (0.0)
	Basilar	24 (6.2)	2 (5.7)
	MCA (M3/4 segments)	10 (2.6)	0 (0.0)
	Vertebral	4 (1.0)	0 (0.0)
	ICA	7 (8.5)	4 (7.4)
	MCA (M1 segment)	39 (47.6)	10 (18.5)
	MCA (M2 segment)	18 (22.0)	8 (14.8)
	ACA (A1 segment)	5 (6.1)	13 (24.1)
Second Vessel Involved (If more	ACA (A2 segment)	3 (3.7)	4 (7.4)
than one)	Extracranial carotid	0 (0.0)	3 (5.6)
	Basilar	1 (1.2)	1 (1.9)
	РСА	2 (2.4)	6 (11.1)
	MCA (M3/4 segments)	6 (7.3)	4 (7.4)
	Vertebral	1 (1.2)	1 (1.9)
	MCA (M1 segment)	6 (31.6)	2 (50.0)

Table 2. Summary of the included large vessel occlusions

	MCA (M2 segment)	5 (26.3)	1 (25.0)	ĺ
Third Vessel Involved (If more	ACA (A1 segment)	7 (36.8)	0 (0.0)	ĺ
than two) ¶	ACA (A2 segment)	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)	
	РСА	0 (0.0)	1 (25.0)	
	MCA (M1 segment)	0 (0.0)	8 (42.1)	
Fourth Vessel Involved (If more	MCA (M2 segment)	3 (100.0)	7 (36.8)	ſ
than three)	ACA (A1 segment)	0 (0.0)	3 (15.8)	
Γ	PCA	0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)	Γ

COVID-19= Coronavirus Disease 2019; ASPECTS: Alberta stroke programme early CT score; ICA: Internal carotid artery; MCA: Middle Cerebral Artery; ACA: Anterior cerebral artery; PCA: Posterior cerebral artery; SD: standard deviation; * Statistically significant; Missing data count per variable: Stroke Classification= 108, ASPECTS= 152, Vessel Involved= 273

Table 3. Summary of the procedures perf

Variables		COVID-19	
		(N=302)	
Mean (SD)	71.6 (80.0)	87.5 (63.5)	7
LVN 42 Cursin (minutes) Mean (SD)		357.4	
Mean(SD)	(365.1)	(513.3)	
tPA given		71 (23.5)	2
Number of passes during thrombectomy Mean (SD)		1.8 (1.5)	
First Pass Effect		161 (53.3)	2
	34 (8.6)	22 (7.3)	
Mean (SD)	51.9 (31.9)	62.2 (47.3)	5
Favorable revascularization (mTICI 2b - 3)		154 (50.9)	4
Favorable revascularization (mTICI 3)		77 (25.5)	3
None	352 (90.0)	168 (73.4)	5
	Mean (SD) Mean (SD) Mean (SD) Mean (SD) 2b - 3) CI 3) None	Non- COVID-19 (N=395) Mean (SD) 71.6 (80.0) 474.4 (365.1) 132 (33.4) 132 (33.4) Mean (SD) 1.9 (1.2) 194 (49.1) 34 (8.6) Mean (SD) 51.9 (31.9) 2b - 3) 337 (85.3) CI 3) 263 (66.6) None 352 (90.0)	Non- COVID-19COVID-19(N=395)(N=302)Mean (SD)71.6 (80.0)87.5 (63.5)Mean (SD)474.4357.4(365.1)(513.3)132 (33.4)71 (23.5)Mean (SD)1.9 (1.2)1.8 (1.5)194 (49.1)161 (53.3)34 (8.6)22 (7.3)Mean (SD)51.9 (31.9)62.2 (47.3)2b - 3)337 (85.3)154 (50.9)CI 3)263 (66.6)77 (25.5)None352 (90.0)168 (73.4)

		Asymptomatic	39 (10.0)		
		Symptomatic	0 (0.0)		
	sICH		22 (5.6)		
	NIHSS 24 hours post Thrombectomy	Mean (SD)	12.2 (8.2)	1	
		0-2	131 (33.2)		
	mRS at discharge	3-6	38 (9.6)		
		NA	226 (57.2)		
_		0-2	233 (59.0)		
\bigcirc	mRS at 3 months Follow up	3-6	73 (18.5)		
		NA	89 (22.5)		
-	Length of Hospital Stay (Days)	Mean (SD)	8.4 (8.5)	1	
		Home	81 (20.5)		
1	Discharge	Rehabilitation	173 (43.8)		
\bigcirc		Hospice	23 (5.8)		
()	Discharge	Nursing Facility	57 (14.4)		
0		Not reported/deceased	61 (15.4)		
	In Hospital Mortality	74 (19.1)			
Man	LKN: Last known normal; tPA: Tissue plasminogen activator; mTICI: Modified treatment in cerebral infarction; sICH : symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage; mRS: Modified Rankin Scale; SD: standard deviation; NA: not available; * Statistically significant; Missing data count per variable: Door to Groin= 271, LKN to groin= 264, tPA given= 80, Number of passes= 112, First pass effect= 144, Stenting= 65, Procedure duration= 102, Favorable revascularization= 127, Complications= 75, sICH= 373, 24 hours NIHSS= 161, mRS at discharge= 267, mRS at 3 months= 341, Length of Hospital Stay= 92, Discharge= 191				

39 (10.0)

16 (6.9)

45 (19.7)

20 (6.6)

11.9 (10.8)

149 (49.3)

112 (37.1)

41 (13.6)

32 (10.6)

18 (6.0)

252 (83.4)

15.5 (17.6)

41 (13.6)

56 (18.5)

5 (1.7)

17 (5.6)

183 (60.6)

111 (42.0)

2 1

2

2

3

1 1

2

2

1

Duo di oto un		Univariable	N
Predictors		OR (95% CI)	0
COVID Status	Non- COVID-19	Refer	rence
COVID Status	COVID-19	0.33 (0.23-0.48, p< 0.001*)	0.30 (0.
Age (years)	Mean (SD)	1.01 (0.99-1.02, p=0.306)	0.99 (0
Condon	C l Male		rence
Genuer	Female	2.56 (1.78-3.69, p< 0.001*)	2.83 (1.
Due admission mDS	0-2	Refer	rence
Fre-aumission miks	3-6	1.48 (0.71-3.32, p=0.313)	1.69 (0.
NIHSS at Admission	Mean (SD)	0.98 (0.96-1.00, p=0.064)	1.00 (0
Chronic Liver Disease	No	Refer	rence
NIHSS at Admission Chronic Liver Disease	Yes	1.06 (0.41-2.93, p=0.900)	1.39 (0.
Diabatas	No	Reference	
Diabetes	Yes	1.19 (0.81-1.77, p=0.370)	2.78 (1.
ASPECTS Score on Admission	Mean (SD)	1.15 (1.04-1.27, p=0.007*)	1.14 (0
Door to Groin (minutes)	Mean (SD)	1.00 (0.99-1.00, p=0.065)	1.00 (0
LKN to Groin (minutes)	Mean (SD)	1.00 (1.00-1.00, p=0.053)	1.00 (1
tDA given	No	Reference	
tr A given	Yes	0.92 (0.64-1.31, p=0.634)	0.77 (0

Table 4. Logistic regression for possible predictors of complete revascularization in patients with large vessel occlusion during the COVID-19 pandemic (mTICI 3)

mRS: Modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; ASPECTS: Alberta stroke programme early CT score; LKN: Last known normal; tPA: Tissue plasminogen activator; SD: standard deviation; * Statistically significant

Table 5. Logis	tic regression fo	r nossible nredi	ictors of unfavorable	outcomes (mRS 3-6)
Table 5. Logis	at regression to	possible pred	ictors of unavorable	outcomes (mixes 5-0)

Predictors		Univariable	
		OR (95% CI)	
COVID Status	Non-COVID	Refe	renc
	COVID	1.80 (0.94-3.36, p=0.071)	
Age (years)	Mean (SD)	1.04 (1.02-1.06, p< 0.001*)	
	Male	Refe	renc
Gender	Female	0.96 (0.56-1.69, p=0.887)	
Due admission mDS	0-2	Refe	renc
Fre-admission miks	3-6	2.58 (0.81-7.98, p=0.096)	
NIHSS at Admission	Mean (SD)	1.10 (1.06-1.13, p< 0.001*)	
Chronic Liver Disease	No	Refe	

	Yes	1.69 (0.43-5.73, p=0.413)	
Diabetes	No	Refer	enc
	Yes	1.14 (0.64-1.98, p=0.653)	
ASPECTS Score on Admission	Mean (SD)	0.92 (0.81-1.05, p=0.206)	
tPA given	No	Refer	enc
	Yes	0.87 (0.51-1.45, p=0.588)	

COVID-19: Coronavirus Disease 2019; mRS: Modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; ASPECTS: Alberta stroke programme early CT score; tPA: Tissue plasminogen activator; SD: standard deviation; * Statistically significant