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## 1. General Information

NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on Varian MR400 (400.53 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ), Varian Vnmrs 500 ( 500.09 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 470.56 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ ), Bruker Avance Neo 500 (500.27 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 125.81 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ), Varian Vnmrs 600 ( 599.81 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 564.34 \mathrm{MHz}$ for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ ) or Varian Vnmrs 700 ( 699.76 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$; 175.95 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm, $\delta$ ) relative to the residual solvent peak $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: \delta=1.94 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: \delta=118.26,1.32 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ as the internal reference. Multiplicities are reported as follows: apparent (app), s (singlet), broad singlet (br s), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), p (pentet), dd (doublet of doublets), ddd (doublet of doublet of doublets), dt (doublet of triplets), td (triplet of doublets), and $m$ (multiplet). Coupling constants ( $J$ ) are reported in Hz . The yields reported in the manuscript were determined by isolation, and the ratios of products were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis of the crude reaction mixtures (with independently synthesized standards as references where necessary). Thin-layer chromatography was carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) with visualization via ultraviolet light. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on an Agilent Technologies 6230 TOF HPLC-MS or an Agilent Technologies 6520 Accurate Mass Q-TOF LC/MS using electrospray ionization (ESI+). Flash chromatography was conducted on a Biotage Isolera One chromatography system using preloaded high-performance silica gel columns (Biotage Sfär Silica HC D-5 g). UV-Vis spectroscopic analysis was performed on a Varian Cary-50 spectrophotometer. Infared Spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha FTIR Spectrometer. Melting points were determined using a MelTemp 3.0 (Laboratory Devices, Inc) and are uncorrected. Pyridinium product standards were synthesized using literature procedures. ${ }^{[1]}$

## 2. Materials

All reagents were obtained from a commercial vendor at the highest commercial purity and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated (vendors include Sigma-Aldrich, Acros, Oakwood, TCI, Matrix Scientific, Alfa Aesar, eMolecules, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, and Chem-Impex International). Acetonitrile (99.9\%, Extra Dry, AcroSeal ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ) was purchased from Acros Organics and used as received. Pyridine (99+\%, extra pure) was purchased from Acros Organics. Tetrafluoroboric acid diethyl ether complex ( $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was purchased from SigmaAldrich. Oxygen (Ultra High Purity 4.4 Grad Size 300 Cylinder, CGA540) was purchased from Airgas. Latex balloons were purchased from Staples. 20 mL scintillation vials were purchased from Fisher Chemical. Open hole screw thread caps (GPI 22-400, Black, Open Hole, PP) were purchased from Chemglass. Septa for these caps (GPI 22-400 PTFE/SLN 22MMX0.060IN) were purchased from Chemglass. Septa stoppers, Suba-Seal septa 19/22 red (CG302403) were purchased from Fisher Chemical. Black phenolic 13-425 caps with TEF/SIL septa (C401566A) were purchased from Thermo Scientific. DCM, acetonitrile, and methanol utilized for purification were purchased from Fisher Chemical. 4 mL and 20 mL scintillation vials were purchased from Fisher Chemical. Stir bars for the 4 mL vials were purchased from Chemglass (CG-2003-16, Length x Dia. in mm: $10 \times 3$ ) and stir bars for the 20 mL vials were purchased from Fisher Chemical (Fisherbrand stir bar, octagon - Cat No: 14-513-62). Stir bars for the quartz test tubes were purchased from Fisher Chemical (Fisherbrand stir bar, octagon - Cat No: 14-513-58).

## 3. Photoreactor Instrumentation



Figure S1. The photochemical setup used in this study shown with the door closed (left) and the lights on/door open (right). These photographs were taken in the Sanford Laboratory by Matthew R. Lasky.

The photoreactor pictured in Figure S1 was used for all photolysis reactions reported herein. The LZC-ORG photoreactor was purchased from Luzchem. Four stir plates were placed inside the photoreactor (Fisherbrand RT Basic Magnetic Stirrers). UVA compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs) (315-400 nm, 8W, F8T5BLB) were purchased from Luzchem (LZC-UVA) and are centered at $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ (black light). UVB CFLs (280-315 nm, 8W, G8T5E) were also purchased from Luzchem (LZC-UVB) and are centered at $\sim 300 \mathrm{~nm}$, with a peak of 313 nm . For reactions performed with UVB CFLs, quartz test tubes were utilized as the reaction vessel (Figure S2). These quartz test tubes (max capacity 14 mL ) were made in-house at the University of Michigan with the following dimensions: $18 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.25 \mathrm{in}$. All reaction vials were placed 5 mm away from the light source and were secured to the stir-plates using double-sided tape. The photoreactor is fan-cooled, and the temperature inside was consistently measured to be $31-33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during irradiation.


Figure S2. Quartz test tubes utilized when irradiating with UVB CFLs. The photochemical setup employed for the quartz tubes is depicted on the far right. These photographs were taken in the Sanford Laboratory by Matthew R. Lasky.

| Reaction Vessel | Septum | Stir Bar (Cat. No.) | Light <br> Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 mL vial | Thermo Scientific: C4015- <br> $66 A$ | Chemglass: CG-2003- <br> 16 | UVA |
| 20 mL |  |  |  |
| scintillation vial | Chemglass: GPI 22-400 | Fisher: $14-513-62$ | UVA |
| Quartz test tube | Fisher: CG302403 | Fisher: 14-513-58 | UVB |

Table S1. List of materials utilized under specific reaction conditions.

## 4. Reaction Optimization $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$-H Pyridination



Optimization Procedure (Table 1, entry 1). Naphthalene ( $30.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was weighed into either a 4 or 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with the appropriate stir bar (see Materials section or Table S1). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 2.4 mL ) was added, followed by pyridine ( $19.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum cap, the cap was wrapped with electrical tape (Figure S 1 ), and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under blacklight (UVA) irradiation (~350 nm ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. Pentamethylbenzene ( $35.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was then added as an internal standard, and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

| Entry | Pyridine (eq) | Arene $\mathbf{X}$ (eq) | Solvent | [HBF4•Et2O] | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | naphthalene, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.1 M | $<1 \%$ |
| 2 | 1.05 | naphthalene, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.1 M | $5 \%$ |
| 3 | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.1 M | $6 \%$ |
| $4^{[\text {a] }]}$ | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.1 M | $<1 \%$ |
| 5 | 2.0 | naphthalene, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.1 M | $8 \%$ |
| 6 | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.05 M | $18 \%$ |
| 7 | 2.0 | naphthalene, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.05 M | $18 \%$ |
| 8 | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $23 \%$ |
| $9^{[\text {[a] }}$ | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $4 \%$ |
| 10 | 1.5 | naphthalene 1.0 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $22 \%$ |
| 11 | 2.0 | naphthalene 1.0 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $20 \%$ |
| 12 | 5.0 | naphthalene, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $25 \%$ |
| $13^{[\text {[]] }}$ | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $\mathrm{NR}, 0 \%$ |
| $14^{[\text {[] }}$ | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $22 \%$ |
| $15^{[d]}$ | 1.05 | naphthalene, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $16 \%$ |
| 16 | 1.05 | biphenyl, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $33 \%$ |
| 17 | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $72 \%$ |

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

| 18 | 1.05 | biphenyl, 5.0 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $50 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19^{[e]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $51 \%$ |
| $20^{[f]}$ | 1.5 | biphenyl, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $62 \%$ |
| $21^{[f]}$ | 2.0 | biphenyl, 1.0 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $70 \%$ |
| $22^{[g]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.01 M | $2 \%$ |
| $23^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | DCM | 0.02 M | $\mathrm{NR}, 0 \%$ |
| $24^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | DCE | 0.02 M | $\mathrm{NR}, 0 \%$ |
| 25 | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | TFE | 0.02 M | $5 \%$ |
| $26^{[b]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $\mathrm{NR}, 0 \%$ |
| $27^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $\mathrm{NR}, 0 \%$ |
| $28^{[d]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $39 \%$ |
| $29^{[a]}$ | 1.05 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $14 \%$ |
| $30^{[i]}$ | 1.00 | biphenyl, 2.5 | MeCN | 0.02 M | $1 \%$ |

Table S2. All reactions were conducted at a 0.24 mmol scale, unless otherwise noted. All yields of $\mathbf{A}$ are crude and were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy using pentamethylbenzene ( 0.24 mmol, 1 equiv) as a standard. [a] Reaction was not sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon before light irradiation (ambient air). [b] Reaction performed in the dark (without a light source). [c] Reaction was conducted using two PR160L Kessil LEDs (440 nm, 45W). [d] Reaction conducted using two PR160L Kessil LEDs (390 nm, 52 W ). [e] Reaction was conducted at 0.05 mmol scale. [ f ] The dipyridinated product was also observed in 19\% and 18\% respectively (entries 20 and 21). This product was also confirmed by HRMS (ESI+). [g] Reaction performed in a 50 mL roundbottom flask. [h] Upon addition of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to the reaction solution a precipitate immediately formed; we hypothesize that the pyridinium salt has poor solubility in DCM and DCE. [i] Reaction conducted without $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. NR = no reaction.

## Crude reaction mixture of naphthalene: NMR analysis







Figure S3. Overlayed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture for naphthalene. Top: Aromatic region of spectrum for authentic sample of product compared to crude reaction mixture after 24 h . Middle: Time course of photochemical reaction showing full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( 0 to $11 \mathrm{ppm})$. Bottom: Time course of photochemical reaction showing aromatic region of spectra (5.6-10.5 ppm).

## Crude reaction mixture of biphenyl - NMR analysis





Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture for biphenyl after 24 h compared to the isolated product spectrum. Comparison to Figure S3 shows that the crude reaction mixture with biphenyl is much cleaner than that of naphthalene. Top: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture for biphenyl after 24 h . Bottom: Comparison of the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of biphenyl to the isolated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of product 1.

## 5. DFT Calculations

Ground state geometries of monomers and molecular dimers in different conformations were computed using density functional theory (DFT) at B3LYP leve ${ }^{[2]}$ and $6-311+G^{* *}$ basis set. ${ }^{[3]}$ The effect of dispersion interactions is included using the D3 version of Grimme with BeckeJohnson damping. ${ }^{[4]}$ In addition, the effect of the dielectric medium $(\varepsilon=35.69)$ is included, using the polarizable continuum model (cpcm) approach. ${ }^{[5]}$ Ground state energies of a few conformations of dimers were explored and are reported below. The excited states of the parallel displaced conformer dimers were computed using time dependent density functional theory, ${ }^{[6]}$ using the same basis set that is used for computing the ground state geometries. In addition, the effect of dielectric medium is also included in computing the excited states of the monomers and dimers. The excited states were fed into Gaussview ${ }^{7}$ to compute the UV-Vis absorption spectra for monomers and dimers. In addition, the nature of the excited states was explored using the NTO analysis. ${ }^{[8]}$ All calculations were performed using Gaussian16 suit of programs. ${ }^{[9]}$

Binding Energies in the Isolated Complexes ${ }^{[10]}$


| Dimers | $\mathrm{BE}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ Current Study (DFT) |  | $\mathrm{BE}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ Literature |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PD | T | PD | T |
| $\mathrm{Bz}-\mathrm{Bz}$ | -3.6 | -3.4 | $-2.7^{* *}$ | $-2.7^{* *}$ |
| $\mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{Bz}$ | -4.2 | -3.8 | $-3.2^{* *}$ | $-3.2^{* *}$ |
| $\mathrm{Py}-\mathrm{Py}$ | -4.8 | -4.0 | $-3.8^{* *}$ | $-3.0^{* *}$ |
| $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-\mathrm{Bz}$ | -10.6 | -15.8 | $-10.5^{*}$ | - |

Table S3. Binding energies (BE) of dimers; benzene is represented as Bz, pyridine as Py and pyridinium as $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$; the negative sign indicates that the two monomers interact favorably to form dimers/complexes. The trends in the binding energy with the current methodology are comparable to those found with higher level methodologies used in literature. The current approach is preferred because it is less time consuming to incorporate the effect of solvents and compute excited state energies. **CCSD(T)/CBS; *M062X-6-311++G(d, p). For comparing the binding energies with literature values, the effect of dielectric medium was excluded in this set of calculations. ${ }^{[10]}$

## Effect of Solvation on Binding Energies

| Dimer | Dielectric Medium | $\mathrm{BE}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) @$ B3LYP/6-311+G** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PD | T |
| $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-\mathrm{Bz}$ | Benzene $(\varepsilon=2.3)$ | -7.2 | -15.8 |
|  | Pyridine $(\varepsilon=13.0)$ | -5.6 | -9.9 |
|  | Acetonitrile $(\varepsilon=35.7)$ | -5.4 | -6.5 |

Table S4. With increasing dielectric constant of the medium, binding energy drops more significantly compared to neutral complexes. The effect is more significant for the T dimer compared to the PD dimer. If the dielectric constant of the medium is high, the difference in energy between the PD and T dimers gets smaller, which is potentially due to screening of the cations by a medium with high dielectric constant.

Although the absolute binding energies, computed at the B3LYP level, are over-estimated by ~ $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ compared to the coupled cluster method, the trends are well captured by B3LYP. The relative difference between the T-shaped and parallel displaced (PD) dimers are also well captured by the B3LYP method. In addition, B3LYP provides low mean absolute error (~0.15 eV ) for vertical excitation energies. ${ }^{[15]}$ Thus, we choose B3LYP to explore the binding energies and the excited states of a wider range of electron donor-acceptor pairs.

## Model System: Simulated Absorption Spectrum - PyH+_Benzene



Figure S5. (A) Computed UV-Vis absorption spectra of monomers ( $\mathrm{Bz}, \mathrm{Py}$ and $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$) and dimer ( $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-\mathrm{Bz}$ ) in PD and T-shaped conformation in the presence of dielectric medium. The inset shows the low energy (high wavelength) bands of PD and T-shaped dimers; (B) Electron and hole wavefunctions, from NTO analysis, for the low energy absorption band of the PD dimer.

Figure S5 depicts the computed UV-Vis absorption spectra of monomers (Bz, Py and PyH ${ }^{+}$) and dimer ( $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-\mathrm{Bz}$ ). The PD dimer shows an absorption band around 324 nm , whereas the absorption band for the T-shaped dimer is observed at lower wavelength. Based on NTO analysis, the low-energy singlet excited state of the PD dimer between pyridinium and benzene is charge-transfer (CT) in nature (benzene to pyridinium cation). The hole is primarily localized on the benzene, and the electron is localized on the pyridinium after excitation.

## DFT Calculations to Determine Alternative Arene Donors



| Arene | Conformation | BE <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Rel. \|BE| w.r.t <br> Benzene (PD) | Excitation Wavelength <br> $\left(\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{PD}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| benzene | PD | -5.4 | - | 324 nm |
| tert- <br> butylbenzene | PD | -6.5 | 1.1 | 353 nm |
| anisole | PD | -7.2 | 1.8 | 399 nm |
| biphenyl | PD | -7.9 | 2.5 | 394 nm |
| naphthalene | PD | -9.1 | 3.7 | 395 nm |

Table S5. Comparison of the energetics of the $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-$Benzene EDA complex with various arene substrates.

The calculations indicate that EDA complexation with the pyridinium cation $\left(\mathrm{PyH}^{+}\right)$is energetically favorable for each of the arene donors. (Notably, in these calculations, the effect of entropy is neglected. For a comparison between different arenes the effect of entropy is expected to be negligible.) In all cases, DFT predicts that the charge transfer band of the EDA adduct will be in the UVA region (calculated $\lambda_{\max }$ ranging from 399 to 324 nm ). Overall, biphenyl is predicted to have the most similar properties to naphthalene with a $\mathrm{BE}_{\text {EDA }}$ of $-7.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the parallel displaced (PD) geometry (compared to $-9.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for naphthalene) and a $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of 394 nm (compared to 395 nm for naphthalene).

## Simulated Absorption Spectrum - Benzene, Naphthalene, and Biphenyl




Figure S6. Simulated absorption spectrum for the $\mathrm{PD} \mathrm{PyH}^{+}$-Arene EDA complex: $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-$ Benzene (Bz), $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-\mathrm{BiPhen}$ (biphenyl), and $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-$Naphth. See Table S 5 for the specific excitation wavelength $(\mathrm{nm})\left(\lambda_{\operatorname{maxct}}\right)$ of each EDA complex.

## 6. UV-Vis Spectroscopic Analysis

UV-Vis measurements were obtained on a Varian Cary- 50 spectrophotometer using a 3 mL (1 cm path length) cuvette. Processing of UV-Vis data: the generated data was plotted in Microsoft Excel.

## PyH ${ }^{+}$-Naphthalene EDA complex:

To a flame-dried 5 mL volumetric flask, a 0.2 M stock solution was prepared for each of the following reagents in anhydrous MeCN:

1) Pyridine and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \bullet \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{M})=80.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $137.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, respectively - gray trace
2) Naphthalene $(0.2 \mathrm{M})=128.2 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ - blue trace
3) Pyridine $+\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : Naphthalene $(1: 1,0.2 \mathrm{M})=80.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $137.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}): 128.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ( 1.0 mmol ), respectively - maize trace


Figure S7. Experimental UV-Vis spectra for $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-$Naphthalene EDA Complex (0.2M) recorded on a Varian Cary-50 spectrophotometer. These results demonstrate a bathochromic shift diagnostic of EDA complex formation via aggregation of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$and naphthalene. $\mathrm{AU}=$ arbitrary units.

PyH+${ }^{+}$-Biphenyl EDA complex:
To a flame-dried 5 mL volumetric flask, a 0.2 M stock solution was prepared for each of the following reagents in anhydrous MeCN :

1) Pyridine and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{M})=80.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $137.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, respectively - gray trace
2) Biphenyl $(0.2 \mathrm{M})=154.2 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ - blue trace
3) Pyridine $+\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : Biphenyl (1:1, 0.2 M$)=80.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $137.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}): 154.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ( 1.0 mmol ), respectively - maize trace


Figure S8. Experimental UV-Vis spectra for $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-$Biphenyl EDA Complex (0.2M) recorded on a Varian Cary-50 spectrophotometer. These results demonstrate a bathochromic shift diagnostic of EDA complex formation via aggregation of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$and biphenyl. $\mathrm{AU}=$ arbitrary units.

## i. Job Plot Analysis:

## Job plot of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-$Biphenyl EDA complex:

Two separate 0.50 M stock solutions of protonated pyridine and biphenyl were prepared in anhydrous acetonitrile.

Protonated Pyridine $\left(\mathrm{PyH}^{+}\right)$Stock Solution: To a flame-dried 25 mL volumetric flask, a 0.50 M stock solution was prepared for $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$in anhydrous MeCN .

- Pyridine and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{M}): 1.01 \mathrm{~mL}(12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1.72 \mathrm{~mL}(12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, respectively.

Biphenyl Stock Solution: To a flame-dried 10 mL volumetric flask, a 0.50 M stock solution was prepared for biphenyl in anhydrous MeCN.

- Biphenyl ( 0.5 M ): 771.06 mg ( 5 mmol )

Preparation of samples: To a 4 mL vial was added $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$stock solution, biphenyl stock solution and 1.8 mL of MeCN . The solutions were transferred via syringe to a $3 \mathrm{~mL}(1 \mathrm{~cm}$ path length cuvette). UV-Vis spectra were obtained on a Varian Cary-50 spectrophotometer.

| mmol | Pyridine + Acid <br> ratio | volume $(\mu \mathrm{L})$ | mmol | Biphenyl <br> ratio | volume $(\mu \mathrm{L})$ | Void <br> volume $(\mu \mathrm{L})$ | Total <br> volume $(\mu \mathrm{L})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 1 | 1200 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.06 | 0.1 | 120 | 0.54 | 0.9 | 1080 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.12 | 0.2 | 240 | 0.48 | 0.8 | 960 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.18 | 0.3 | 360 | 0.42 | 0.7 | 840 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.24 | 0.4 | 480 | 0.36 | 0.6 | 720 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.3 | 0.5 | 600 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 600 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.36 | 0.6 | 720 | 0.24 | 0.4 | 480 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.42 | 0.7 | 840 | 0.18 | 0.3 | 360 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.48 | 0.8 | 960 | 0.12 | 0.2 | 240 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.54 | 0.9 | 1080 | 0.06 | 0.1 | 120 | 1800 | 3000 |
| 0.6 | 1 | 1200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 3000 |



Figure S9. Experimental UV-Vis spectra for PyH ${ }^{+}$-Biphenyl EDA Complex ( 0.20 M ) with variation of biphenyl and $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$components.


Figure S10. Job Plot of absorbance at 330 nm for $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$-Biphenyl EDA Complex ( 0.20 M ). These results suggest the EDA binding stoichiometry is a $1: 1$ complex between donor (biphenyl) and acceptor $\left(\mathrm{PyH}^{+}\right)$.

## 7. Control Experiments

## a) Stability of $N$-Arylpyridinium Product C:



The following control experiment was conducted to confirm that $\mathbf{C}$ is stable under photochemical conditions. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with biphenyl ( 92.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and independently synthesized C (16.9 mg, $0.048 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20$ equiv). ${ }^{[1]}$ With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 12 mL ) was added, followed by pyridine (20.4 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.252 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. A crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was taken of the reaction mixture using pentamethylbenzene ( $35.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) as an internal standard. Analysis of the crude reaction mixture demonstrates no decomposition to C, successfully retaining all $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ (see Figure S11).


Figure S11. Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra investigating the decomposition of $\mathbf{C}$. Top: Aromatic region analyzing the amount of $\mathbf{C}$ retained compared to an internal standard. We observe full retention of $\mathbf{C}$, validating that it is stable under photochemical conditions. We also observe a $45 \%$ yield of 1. Bottom: Overlayed reaction mixture with independently synthesized standards of $\mathbf{C}$ and 1.
b) NMR Study of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$-Biphenyl EDA complex:


Figure S12. Top: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum overlay of biphenyl (donor) and a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}+$ biphenyl (in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). Middle: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum overlay of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$(acceptor) and a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}+$biphenyl (in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). Bottom: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum overlay of biphenyl (donor), $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$ (acceptor), and a 1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}+$biphenyl (in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{[17]}$

## 8. Initial Rate Investigation for $\mathbf{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-H$ Pyridination

Standard Reaction Conditions (Maize Trace - Figure S13):


A flame dried 25 mL volumetric flask was charged with biphenyl ( $192.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 20 mL ) was added, followed by pyridine ( $42.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.525 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(68.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The remaining anhydrous acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) was added, and the stock solution was transferred to a 40 mL vial. Using a syringe, the stock solution was evenly distributed ( 2.5 mL each) to six 4 mL vials equipped with a stir. The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Time points were taken every 10 minutes. Once removed from the photoreactor, a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. Utilizing a previously prepared stock solution ( 0.5 M in a 10 mL volumetric flask) of internal standard pentamethylbenzene, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of internal standard was added to each reaction mixture. The crude reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure and analyzed via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $C_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). The generated data was plotted in Microsoft Excel.

Standard Reaction Conditions with MP (Blue Trace - Figure S13):



A flame dried 25 mL volumetric flask was charged with biphenyl ( $192.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and MP ( $48.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile (20 mL ) was added, followed by pyridine ( $42.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.525 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $68.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The remaining anhydrous acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) was added, and the stock solution was transferred to a 40 mL vial. Using a syringe, the stock solution was evenly distributed ( 2.5 mL each) to six 4 mL vials equipped with a stir. The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Time points were taken every 10 minutes. Once removed from the photoreactor, a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. Utilizing a previously prepared stock solution ( 0.5 M in a 10 mL volumetric flask) of internal standard pentamethylbenzene, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of internal standard was added to each reaction mixture. The crude reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure and analyzed via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$. The generated data was plotted in Microsoft Excel.


Figure S13. Reaction profile for the C-H pyridination of biphenyl, with and without MP. An increase in initial rate was not observed when pyridinium product MP was added to the reaction mixture, indicating no autocatalysis. Crude yields obtained by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy are within error.

## Preparation of MP:



Synthesis of Z-MP: Zincke Salt Z-MP was prepared from 1-CI and 4-MP utilizing a literature procedure. ${ }^{[16]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.24$ (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.11 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.96 (dd, J $=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 162.9,149.0,144.8,143.2,138.6,132.0,130.2,128.3,121.5$, 22.1.


Synthesis of MP: MP was prepared from Z-MP and 4-AB following a literature procedure. ${ }^{[1]}$ These data are consistent with that of 3, see p. S29.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.78$ (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.00 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.97 (d, J= 8.6 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.76 (overlapping peaks, 4 H ), $7.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 162.5,144.9,144.3,142.6,139.6,130.2,129.8,129.8,129.7$, 128.3, 125.8, 22.4.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta-72.2,-73.7$.

## 9. General Experimental Procedures for C(sp $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$-H Pyridination Reactions

For general procedure $A$ or $C$, the yield is calculated with respect to $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot E t_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as the limiting reagent (1.0 equiv). For general procedure B or D is utilized, the yield is calculated with respect to the arene as the limiting reagent (1.0 equiv.) See Table S1 for a list of materials utilized under specific reaction conditions. Note that for the arene scope (Table 4, in the manuscript), comparable yields are observed when utilizing either excess arene or pyridine.

## General Procedure A. UVA Irradiation - Excess Arene

A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with the corresponding arene substrate ( 0.60 mmol , 2.5 equiv). ${ }^{[11]}$ With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 12 mL ) was added, followed by the appropriate pyridine ( $0.252 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the product was purified by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN) or preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH). The product was then dried in vacuo overnight.

## General Procedure B. UVA Irradiation - Excess Pyridine

A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with the corresponding arene substrate ( $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). ${ }^{[11]}$ With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 12 mL ) was added, followed by the appropriate pyridine ( $0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot{ }^{-} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24$ mmol, 1.0 equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the product was purified by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN) or preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH). The product was then dried in vacuo overnight.

## General Procedure C. UVB Irradiation - Excess Arene

A quartz test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with the corresponding arene substrate ( $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). ${ }^{[11]}$ With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 12 mL ) was added, followed by the appropriate pyridine ( $0.252 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv). The test tube was sealed using a septum cap, the outside of the septum was wrapped with parafilm and electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under UVB irradiation ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the product was purified by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN) or preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH). The product was then dried in vacuo overnight.

## General Procedure D. UVB Irradiation - Excess Pyridine

A quartz test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with the corresponding arene substrate ( $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). ${ }^{[11]}$ With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 12 mL ) was added, followed by the appropriate pyridine ( $0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv). The test tube was sealed using a septum cap, the outside of the septum was wrapped with parafilm and electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under UVB irradiation ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the product was purified by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN) or preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH). The product was then dried in vacuo overnight.

## Converting HCI salt of drug substrate to $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$. Fluoxetine Hydrochloride (Prozac) - Starting Material for 22

Fluoxetine hydrochloride (Prozac) ( $504 \mathrm{mg}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in DCM (~10 mL ) in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask. A saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(140 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 min . After that time, additional DCM ( 130 mL ) was added to the reaction flask. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated via rotary evaporation to yield a colorless oil. The oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(246 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A white solid immediately precipitated from solution, and the mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 20 min . The solid was collected by filtration and dried in vacuo overnight to afford a white solid (Prozac-HBF 4 ). For NMR characterization see p. S132-133.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.31$ (br s, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.41-7.38 (overlapping peaks, 4H), 7.31 (m, 1H), 7.06 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.59 (dd, $J=8.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (m, 1H), $2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24$ (ddt, $J=14.2,9.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12$ (ddt, $J=14.2,10.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

[^0]
## 10. Characterization of $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ Pyridination Products


(1)

Prepared from biphenyl and pyridine using general procedure A. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (1) ( $53.7 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield, light yellow-orange solid). The characterization data for 1 match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$ An independently synthesized standard of 1 matched the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the product (p. S53-55). ${ }^{[1]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.76 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 147.8,145.6,145.1,143.0,139.5,130.2,129.8,129.7,129.5$, 128.3, 125.9.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : $\delta-151.86,-151.91$.
HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 232.1121 ; found 232.1129


Prepared from biphenyl and pyridine using general procedure B. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (1B) as a mixture of isomers ( $35.7 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $22.0: 2.0: 1.0$ ratio of $1 \mathrm{~B}: 1 \mathrm{Bb}: 1 \mathrm{Ba}$, light yellow-orange solid). Under these conditions, the crude yield was 70\% of 1B. Due to overlapping peaks and a messy baseline, a crude ratio of isomers was not attainable. The remaining mass balance for this transformation was primarily the dipyridinated product ( $18 \%$ yield, see Table S2, entry 21 ), along with traces of unreacted starting material. Purification of this substrate was not trivial due to the poor solubility of the dipyridinated product. The characterization data of 1B match compound 1 and those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$ Independently synthesized standards
of each isomer (para, ortho, meta) of 1B matched the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the mixture of products (p. S57-58). ${ }^{[1]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 9.01$ (d, J = $\left.6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{Bb}\right), 8.97$ (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H, 1B), 8.738.68 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{~B} / 1 \mathrm{Ba} / 1 \mathrm{Bb}$ ), 8.55 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{Ba}$ ), 8.21 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{~B} / 1 \mathrm{Bb}$ ), 8.02-7.92 (overlapping peaks, $6 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{~B} / 1 \mathrm{Ba} / 1 \mathrm{Bb}$ ), 7.82-7.68 (overlapping peaks, $12 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{~B} / 1 \mathrm{Ba} / 1 \mathrm{Bb}$ ), $7.55-7.52$ (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{~B} / 1 \mathrm{Bb}$ ), 7.49-7.46 (overlapping peaks, $2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{~B} / 1 \mathrm{Bb}$ ), 7.32 (m, 3H, 1Ba), 7.11 (m, 2H, 1Ba).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 1 \mathrm{~B}: 147.8,145.6,145.1,143.0,139.6,130.2,129.8,129.7$, 129.5, 128.3, 126.0.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data could not be obtained for minor isomers 1 Ba and $\mathbf{1 B b}$ due to the ratio of the isolated product mixture $1 \mathrm{~B} / 1 \mathrm{Ba} / 1 \mathrm{Bb}$.

(2)

(2a)

Prepared from biphenyl and 4-methoxypyridine using a modification of general procedure A as follows. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with biphenyl ( 107.9 mg $0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 14 mL ) was added, followed by 4-methoxypyridine ( $29.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(38.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation $(\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm})$ for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any buildup of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting solids were washed with excess hexanes and ether. Purification by preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH 9:1) afforded the title compound (2) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $88.1 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $10: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{2}: \mathbf{2 a}$, off-white solid). The ratio of $\mathbf{2}: \mathbf{2 a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was $9.5: 1$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.74$ (d, J=6.9 Hz, 2H, 2), 8.42 (d, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2 a}$ ), 7.94 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.78-7.72$ (overlapping peaks, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2} / \mathbf{2 a}$ ), 7.68 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2 a}$ ), 7.64 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2 a}$ ), 7.57 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}$ ), $7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}), 7.47$ (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}), 7.34$ (m, 5H, 2a), 7.14 (m, 2H, 2a), 4.19 (s, 3H, 2), 4.08 (s, 3H, 2a).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta \mathbf{2}$ : 173.4, 146.6, 144.4, 142.3, 139.7, 130.2, 129.7, 129.6, 128.2, 125.6, 114.6, 59.5.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 2a: 173.2, 148.0, 140.8, 138.5, 136.8, 132.8, 132.6, 130.5, 129.7, 129.4, 130.0, 127.4 114.2, 59.4.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}^{+}$: 262.1226 , found: 262.1230

(3)

(3a)

Prepared from biphenyl and 4-methylpyridine using a modification of general procedure A as follows. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with biphenyl ( 107.9 mg $0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 14 mL ) was added, followed by 4-methylpyridine ( $28.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv), and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(38.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any buildup of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting solids were washed with excess hexanes and ether. Purification by preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH 9:1) afforded the title compound (3) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $77.4 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $31: 1$ ratio of $3: 3 \mathrm{a}$, white solid). Ratio of 3 : 3a in the crude reaction mixture was $15: 1$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.83$ (d, J = $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{a}$ ), 8.79 (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3$ ), 8.49 (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{a}$ ), $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3$ ), $7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3), 7.80-7.64$ (overlapping peaks, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{3 / 3 a}$ ), 7.54 (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3$ ), $7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3$ ), 7.33 (m, 3H, 3a), 7.12 (m, 2H, 3a), 2.74 (s, 3H, 3), 2.62 (s, 3H, 3a).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta \mathbf{3}$ : 162.5, 144.9, 144.3, 142.7, 139.6, 130.2, 129.8, 129.8, 129.7, 128.3, 125.8, 22.4.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data could not be obtained for minor isomer 3 a due to the ratio of the isolated product mixture 3/3a.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 246.1277 , found: 246.1281

(4)

Prepared from biphenyl and 3-methylpyridine using a modified general procedure A as follows. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with biphenyl ( $107.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70$ mmol, 2.5 equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 14 mL ) was added, followed by 3methylpyridine ( $28.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(38.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with $\mathrm{an}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation (~350 nm ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting solids were washed with excess hexanes and ether. Purification by preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH 9:1) afforded the title compound (4) ( $84.9 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield, white solid).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.08 (d, J = 8.2, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.78 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.1 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.55 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.48 (tt, $J=7.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 148.1,145.1,145.1,143.0,142.7,141.2,139.6,130.2,129.8$, 129.7, 128.7, 128.3, 125.9, 18.6.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 246.1277 , found: 246.1278

(5)

Prepared from biphenyl and 2-methylpyridine following general procedure A. Purification by preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH 9:1) afforded the title compound (5) (31.2 mg, 39\% yield, light yellow oil).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.66$ (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.56 (t, J = $\left.8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.06(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.99-7.95 (overlapping peaks, 3H), 7.75 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.61 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 157.8,147.8,146.8,145.0,141.1,139.7,130.9,130.2,129.7$, 129.7, 128.2, 126.9, 126.5, 21.9.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 246.1277 , found: 246.1311

(6)

Prepared from biphenyl and 4-acetamidopyridine using a modification of general procedure B as follows. Upon conclusion of the reaction, the solvent was concentrated via rotary evaporation. The resulting crude solid was dissolved in $\sim 500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MeCN. Excess EtOAc was the added (12 mL , note: 4-acetamidopyridine is soluble in EtOAc), and a precipitate formed. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with excess EtOAc ( 40 mL ). The resulting solid was collected and dried in vacuo overnight to afford title compound (6) ( $27.6 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ yield, off-white solid).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 9.78$ (br s, 1H), 8.67 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 8.19 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), $7.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ (t, J = 7.6 Hz , 2H), 7.47 (t, J = $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.27 (s, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 172.0,154.1,145.6,144.4,142.4,139.7,130.2,129.7,129.6$, 128.2, 125.5, 116.0, 25.1.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 289.1335 , found: 289.1343
IR (solid): 3324, 3132, 3079, 1714, 1639, 1511, 1485, 1460, 1195, 855, 767, 699, 536, 494 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

Melting Point: $244.6-245.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

(7)

(7a)

Prepared from biphenyl and 3-fluoro-5-methylpyridine using a modification of general procedure $B$ as follows. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with biphenyl (37.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 12 mL ) was added, followed by 3-fluoro-5-methylpyridine ( $74.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24$ mmol, 1.0 equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The
resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation $(\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm})$ for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient $0-40 \%$ ). The resulting fractions were concentrated until $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ DCM/MeCN remained, at which time diethyl ether ( $\sim 8$ mL ) was added, and a precipitate formed. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with excess diethyl ether ( 20 mL ). The solid was then redissolved in MeCN, concentrated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo overnight to afford title compound (7) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $19.6 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $34.2: 1.0$ ratio of $7: 7 a$, off-white solid). Spectral data could not be obtained for 7 a due to the ratio of the products. The ratio of $7: 7 \mathbf{a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was $8.3: 1.0$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. The isolated product was fully characterized using an HSQC NMR experiment (p. S71).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 7: 8.91$ (s, 1H), 8.77 (s, 1H), 8.38 (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.99 (d, J $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 7: 161.2 (d, $J=254.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 145.5, $143.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 142.7$, $142.5,139.5,135.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=39.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.3,129.9,129.8,128.3,125.8$, $18.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): ~ \delta 7:-118.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}),-151.70,-151.76$.
HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}^{+}$: 264.1183 , found: 264.1188

(8)

(8a)

(8b)

Prepared from biphenyl and 4,4'-dipyridyl following general procedure A. Purification by automated flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ gradient $0-60 \%$ ) afforded the title compound (8) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated $(61.9 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield, isolated product is a
 was $23.1: 3.0: 1.0$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. The isolated product mixture was fully characterized using a HSQC NMR experiment (p. S75).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 9.12$ (d, J = $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{a}$ ), 9.08 (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 8$ ), 8.89 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{8} / \mathbf{8 a}$ ), 8.85 (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{8 b}$ ), 8.78 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{8 b}$ ) $8.53-$ 8.51 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 8 / 8 \mathbf{a}$ ), 8.29 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{~b}) 8.03$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathbf{a}$ ), 8.01 (overlapping peaks, $3 \mathrm{H}, 8 / 8 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.89 (overlapping peaks, $6 \mathrm{H}, 8 / 8 \mathrm{a} / 8 \mathrm{~b}$ ), $7.85-7.81$ (overlap-
ping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{8 / 8 a} / 8 \mathrm{~b}$ ) 7.78-7.77 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{8 / 8 a}$ ), 7.75-7.71 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathbf{a} / 8 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 7.55 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 8 / 8 \mathbf{a}$ ), 7.49 (overlapping peaks, $2 \mathrm{H}, 8 / 8 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.34 (m, 3H, 8b), 7.17 (m, 2H, 8b).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8$ 8: 155.9, 152.3, 145.8, 145.2, 142.5, 141.9, 139.5, 130.3, 129.9, 129.8, 128.3, 127.0, 125.9, 122.9.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 8a: 156.0, 152.2, 147.4, 146.1, 144.3, 144.0, 141.7, 132.1, 131.0, 130.3, 129.7, 128.2, 127.0, 124.2, 124.0, 123.0.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data could not be obtained for minor isomer $\mathbf{8 b}$ due to the ratio of the isolated product mixture $\mathbf{8 / 8 a} \mathbf{a b}$.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 309.1386 , found: 309.1384

(9)

(9a)

Prepared from biphenyl and pyridazine following general procedure B. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (9) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $15.3 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ yield, isolated product is an $18: 1$ ratio of $9: 9 \mathrm{a}$, off-white solid). Ratio of $9: 9 \mathrm{a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was $5: 1$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. The isolated product mixture was fully characterized using a COSY NMR experiment (p. S77).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 9.89$ (dt, $J=6.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 9 \mathrm{a}$ ), $9.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, 9), 9.60-9.59 (overlapping peaks, 2H, 9/9a), 8.70-8.66 (overlapping peaks, 2H, 9/9a), 8.59 (m, 1H, 9a), 8.56 (ddd, $J=8.4,5.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 9$ ), 8.15 (app t, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 9 \mathrm{a}), 8.06$ (m, 1H, 9 a ), 8.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 9$ ), 7.91 (m, 1H, 9a), 7.83 (t, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 9 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.78-7.75 (overlapping peaks, 4H, 9/9a), 7.57-7.54 (overlapping peaks, 4H, 9/9a), 7.51-7.48 (overlapping peaks, 4H, 9/9a).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 9: 155.7, 149.3, 146.2, 143.8, 139.5, 138.2, 137.3, 130.2, 129.9, 129.8, 128.3, 125.2.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data could not be obtained for minor isomer 9 a due to the ratio of the isolated product mixture 9/9a.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 233.1073 , found: 233.1075

(10)

(10a)

Prepared from biphenyl and 3-nicotinamide following a modification of general procedure B as follows. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with biphenyl ( 46.3 mg , $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 3-nicotinamide ( $73.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 15 mL ) was added followed by $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(41.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with $\mathrm{an}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation (~350 nm ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient $0-50 \%$ ). The resulting fractions were concentrated until $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ DCM/MeCN remained, at which time diethyl ether ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dichloromethane $(\sim 500 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added and a precipitate formed. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with excess diethyl ether ( 20 mL ). The solid was then dissolved in MeCN, and the resulting solution was filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo overnight to afford title compound (10) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $14.5: 1.0$ ratio of $10: 10 \mathrm{a}$, white solid). Ratio of $10: 10 \mathrm{a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was $9.2: 1.0$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta \mathbf{1 0}$ : $9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 8.30 (dd, $J=8.5,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.00 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.84 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.76 (d, J $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta \mathbf{1 0 a}: 9.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.01-8.98$ (overlapping peaks with $10,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.32-8.29$ (overlapping with $10,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.04 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.01 (overlapping with 10, 1H), 7.83-7.80 (overlapping with 10, 1H), 7.77-7.70 (overlapping with 10, 3H), 7.55-7.54 (overlapping with 10, 2H), 7.50-7.47 (overlapping with 10, 1H). Protons corresponding to amide hydrogens are not observed.

Note: A majority of the signals corresponding to 10a overlap with the major para-isomer 10, resulting in some signals not being observed.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 10: 163.6, 147.3, 146.0, 145.4, 145.2, 142.8, 139.5, 135.6, 130.3, 129.8, 129.8, 129.6, 128.3, 126.1.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data could not be obtained for minor isomer 10a due to the ratio of the isolated product mixture 10/10a.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{+}: 275.1179$, found: 275.1181

(11)

(11a)

Prepared from tert-butylbenzene and pyridine following general procedure A. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (11) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated $(59.8 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $1.4: 1.0$ ratio of $11: 11 \mathrm{a}$, yellow oil). Ratio of $11: 11 \mathrm{a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was 1.5 : 1.0 as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. The characterization data of 11 and 11a match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[13]}$ Independently synthesized standards of 11 and 11a matched the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the product mixture (p. S81-82). ${ }^{[1]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 8.93-8.91 (overlapping peaks, 4H, 11/11a), 8.67 (overlapping peaks, $2 \mathrm{H}, 11 / 11 \mathrm{a}$ ), 8.19-8.17 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 11 / 11 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.80 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 11 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.76 (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 11$ ), 7.71 (m, 1H, 11a), 7.66-7.63 (overlapping peaks, $3 \mathrm{H}, 11 / 11 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.50 (d, J = $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 11 \mathrm{a}), 1.39$ (s, 9H, 11), 1.39 (s, 9H, 11a).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 11: 156.2, 147.6, 145.5, 141.5, 129.4, 128.5, 124.9, 35.8, 31.3.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): ~ \delta 11 \mathrm{a}: 155.4,147.6,145.7,143.9,131.1,129.6,129.3,122.8$, 122.5, 36.0, 31.3.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 212.1434 , found: 212.1437

(12)

(12a)

Prepared from anisole and pyridine following general procedure A. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient $0-50 \%$ ) afforded the title compound (12) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $39.7 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $5: 1$ ratio of $12: 12 \mathrm{a}$, yellow oil). Ratio of $12: 12 \mathrm{a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was $5: 1$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. The characterization data of 12 and 12a match those reported in the literature (p. S83-84). ${ }^{[12]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.88$ (d, J = $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 12$ ), 8.80 (d, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{a}$ ), 8.68 (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{a}$ ), 8.64 (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12$ ), 8.18-8.15 (overlapping peaks, 4H, 12/12a), $7.69(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{a}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 12), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{a}), 7.34$ (d,
$J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.25-7.20 (overlapping peaks, $3 \mathrm{H} 12 / 12 \mathrm{a}$ ), $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 12), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, 12a).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): ~ \delta 12: 162.9,147.2,145.6,137.0,129.4,126.8,116.4,56.8$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 12a: 153.1, 148.1, 147.3, 134.3, 132.2, 129.0, 127.5, 122.4, 114.4, 57.3.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}^{+}$: 186.0913 , found: 186.0914

(12B)

(12Ba)

Prepared from anisole and pyridine following general procedure B. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (12b) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $36.9 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ yield, isolated product is a 5.3 : 1.0 ratio of 12B: 12Ba, yellow oil). Ratio of 12B:12Ba in the crude reaction mixture was 5.4 : 1.0 as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. The characterization data of 12B and 12Ba match compound 12/12a and those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$

(13)

Prepared from mesitylene and pyridine following a modification of general procedure A. Two purifications by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (13) ( $36.5 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield, yellow-orange oil). The characterization data of 13 matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.19 (s, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 6H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 148.5,147.0,142.8,140.3,133.8,130.8,130.3,21.1,17.2$.
HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 198.1277 , found: 198.1280

(13B)
Prepared from mesitylene and pyridine following a modification of general procedure B. Two purifications by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (13B) ( $33.4 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield, yellow oil). The characterization data of 13B match compound 13 and those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$

(14)

Prepared from meta-xylene and pyridine following a modification of general procedure A. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with anhydrous acetonitrile ( 14 mL ), followed by meta-xylene ( $86.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), pyridine ( $23.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv), and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $38.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting solids were washed with excess hexanes and ether. Purification by preparative TLC (DCM/MeOH (9:1) afforded the title compound (14) ( $69.9 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield, yellow oil).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.74$ (d, J=6.0 Hz, 2H), 8.71 (d, J = $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.19 (t, J=7.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.38-7.36 (overlapping peaks, 2H), 7.31 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 148.0,146.8,143.3,140.9,133.4,133.3,129.5,129.2,126.5$, 21.2, 17.1.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 184.1121 , found: 184.1124

(15)

(15a)

Prepared from ortho-xylene and pyridine following a modification of general procedure A. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with anhydrous acetonitrile ( 14 mL ), followed by ortho-xylene ( $86.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), pyridine ( $23.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv), and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $38.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting solids were washed with excess hexanes and ether. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0$50 \%$ ) afforded the title compound (15) as a mixture of isomers ( $60.7 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $2.5: 1.0$ ratio of $15: 15 a$, yellow oil). Ratio of $15: 15 \mathrm{a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was $3.2: 1.0$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. Independently synthesized standards of 15 and $15 a$ matched the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the product mixture (p. S9495). ${ }^{[1]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.90$ (d, J = $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 15$ ), 8.74-8.71 (overlapping peaks, 3H, 15a), 8.66 (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 15$ ), 8.21 (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 15 \mathrm{a}$ ), 8.17 (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 15$ ), 7.52 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 15 \mathrm{a}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 15), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 15), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H, 15), 7.39 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 15 \mathrm{a}$ ), 7.33 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 15 \mathrm{a}$ ), 2.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 15 \mathrm{a}$ ), 2.39 ( s , $6 \mathrm{H}, 15$ ), 1.97 (s, 3H, 15a).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 15: 147.5, 145.5, 142.1, 141.8, 140.7, 132.2, 129.4, 126.0, 122.5, 19.9, 19.6.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 15a: 148.1, 146.7, 143.3, 141.0, 133.8, 132.4, 129.5, 128.0, 124.3, 20.4, 14.3.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 184.1121 , found: 184.1122

(16)

Prepared from anisonitrile and pyridine following general procedure A. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-60\%) afforded the title compound (16) (47.3 mg, $66 \%$ yield, light-orange solid). The characterization data of 16 match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[13]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.77$ (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (s, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 157.0,149.0,147.3,138.6,132.2,132.0,129.3,115.5,105.3$, 58.1. The carbon corresponding to the nitrile functional group is not observed, but the product is confirmed by high-resolution mass spectrometry (ESI+).

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{+}$: 211.0866, found: 211.0869

(16B)
Prepared from anisonitrile and pyridine following general procedure B. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient $0-50 \%$ ) afforded the title compound (16B) (37.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield, light-orange solid). The characterization data of 16B match compound 16 and those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[13]}$

(17)

Prepared from methyl 2-methoxybenzoate and pyridine following a modification of general procedure A. Upon conclusion of the reaction, a crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was acquired, and it showed the presence of protonated pyridinium. To remove this impurity, the reaction mixture was poured into a solution of saturated aqueous brine: $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8: 2,20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with MeCN ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under
reduced pressure. The resulting crude solid was redissolved in MeCN , and the solution was filtered to remove any remaining insoluble solids carried over from the extraction. This crude solution was then concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (17) ( $38.1 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ yield, off-white solid). The characterization data of 17 match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.89$ (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 8.67 (t, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.18 (t, J=6.9 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97$ (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 165.9,161.5,147.6,145.7,136.2,130.3,129.4,128.3,122.6$, 115.1, 57.5, 53.2.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{+}: 244.0968$, found: 244.0969

(18)

Prepared from 1-phenylpyrazole and pyridine following a modification of general procedure B. A 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with anhydrous acetonitrile (12 mL ), followed by 1-phenylpyrazole ( $31.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), pyridine ( $58.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv), and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $32.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The vial was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVA) irradiation ( $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. A crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was acquired, and this showed the presence of protonated pyridinium. To remove this impurity, the reaction mixture was poured into a solution of saturated aqueous brine : $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8: 2,20$ mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude solid was redissolved in DCM/MeCN ( 1 mL ), and this solution was passed through a silica plug (DCM/MeCN, 5:5). The resulting solution was concentrated until $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ MeCN remained, at which time ethyl acetate $(\sim 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and a precipitate formed. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with excess ethyl acetate and ether ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solid was then redissolved in MeCN , and this solution was filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and dried in vacuo overnight to afford title compound (18) ( $14.2 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ yield, white solid).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.94$ (d, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.69(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.20 (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.11 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.82-7.80 (overlapping peaks, 3 H ), $6.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 147.9,145.6,143.2,143.2,141.3,129.5,128.9,126.9,121.0$, 109.8.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3}{ }^{+}: \mathbf{2 2 2 . 1 0 2 6}$, found: 222.1023
IR (solid): 3119, 3087, 1630, 1530, 1477, 1413, 1065, 937, 775, 685, $542 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
Melting Point: $223.5-224.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

(19)

Prepared from benzene and pyridine following a modified general procedure C was followed. A quartz test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with anhydrous acetonitrile ( 14 mL ), followed by benzene ( $62.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ), pyridine ( $23.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ), and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(38.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$. The test tube was sealed using a septum cap, wrapped with parafilm/electrical tape, and sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 minutes. The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under UVB irradiation $(\sim 300 \mathrm{~nm})$ for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any buildup of pressure. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and then washed with excess hexanes and ether. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-40\%) afforded the title compound (19) ( $61.2 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield, yellow oil). The characterization data of 19 match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[13]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.77-7.70 (overlapping peaks, 5 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 147.8$, 145.7, 143.9, 132.7, 131.5, 129.5, 125.5.
HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}^{+}: 156.0808$, found: 156.0810

(20)

(20a)

(20b)

Prepared from trifluoromethoxybenzene and pyridine following general procedure C. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-35\%) afforded the title compound
(20) as a mixture of isomers that could not be separated ( $21.8 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $7.1: 1.1: 1.0$ ratio of $\mathbf{2 0}: \mathbf{2 0 a}: \mathbf{2 0 b}$, yellow oil). Ratio of $\mathbf{2 0}: \mathbf{2 0 b}: \mathbf{2 0 a}$ in the crude reaction mixture was 6.9 : 1.4: 1.0 as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. Independently synthesized standards of $\mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{2 0 a}$, and $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ matched the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra of the product mixture (p. S106-108). ${ }^{[1]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 8.94-8.91 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2 0 / 2 0 a}$ ), 8.86 (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H, 20b), 8.78 (t, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2 0 b}$ ), 8.74-8.69 (overlapping peaks, 2H, 20/20a), 8.26 (t, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 20 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 8.23-8.21 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 20 / 20 \mathrm{a}$ ), $7.87-7.80$ (overlapping peaks, 5 H , 20/20a/20b), 7.74-7.65 (overlapping peaks, 5H, 20a/20b), 7.64 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, 20).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta \mathbf{2 0}: 151.8,148.3,145.8,142.3,129.5,128.0,123.7,121.4$ (q, J $=257.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 20a: 150.4, 148.5, 145.8 (overlapping with 20), 144.6, 133.3, 129.5 (overlapping with 20), 125.1, 124.8, 121.4 ( $q, J=257.5 \mathrm{~Hz}-$ signal not observed due overlapping peaks; confirmed by authenticate sample, see p. S106), 119.1.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 20b: 149.3, 147.1, 142.8, 135.2, 134.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.0, 122.9, 121.0 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=259.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta \mathbf{2 0}:-58.69,-151.79,-151.84$
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (470 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : ס 20a: -58.78, -151.79, -151.84
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (470 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): ~ \delta$ 20b: $-58.84,-151.79,-151.84$
HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}^{+}$: 240.0631 , found: 240.0634

(21)

Prepared from fenbufen (4-oxo-4-(4-phenylphenyl)butanoic acid) and pyridine following general procedure D. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded the title compound (21) (19.1 mg, 19\% yield, off-white solid). The isolated product was fully characterized using a COSY NMR experiment (p. S110).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.17$ (br s, 1H), $9.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.81$ (t, J = 7.9 Hz , 1 H ), $8.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33-3.31 (overlapping peaks, 2 H ), $2.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 198.1,173.8,146.7,144.9,142.6,142.4,141.6,136.1$, 128.7, 128.6, 128.1, 127.4, 125.5, 33.2, 27.9.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{+}: 332.1281$, found: 332.1280
IR (solid): 3339, 3125, 3075, 2932, 1744, 1681, 1602, 1474, 1398, 1162, 799, 774, 682, 559 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$

Melting Point: $232.6-233.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

(22)

Prepared from Prozac- $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ (p. S26) and pyridine using a modification of general procedure D. A quartz test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Prozac-HBF 4 ( $79.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv). With a syringe, anhydrous acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) was added, followed by pyridine ( 32.4 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(27.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The test tube was sealed using a septum-lined cap, the outside of the cap was wrapped with electrical tape, and the solution was sparged with an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ balloon for 10 min . The resulting mixture was placed inside the photoreactor and allowed to stir under black light (UVB) irradiation ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 24 h. After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(28 \%$ aqueous solution, 8 mL ) was added to the crude reaction mixture, and the resulting mixture was transferred to an Erlenmeyer flask. Brine ( 10 mL ) was added, and the product was extracted into $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The crude product was then dissolved in MeCN ( 3 mL ), and this solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(139.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min , at which time pivaloyl chloride $(73.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was left to stir for 4 h at room temperature. Note: the reaction turned to a red/brown color. After $4 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. ${ }^{[14]}$ Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-45\%) afforded the title compound (22) (15.9 mg, 14\% yield, orange/brown oil). The isolated product was fully characterized using COSY and HSQC NMR experiments (p. S112-113 and S115, respectively). Analysis of the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows formation of other aromatic signals (presumably minor isomers), but when referenced to an internal standard these integrate to $\leq 5 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 9.01$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.77(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-$ 7.31 (overlapping peaks, 3H), 7.18 (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.30 (m, 1H), 3.60 (m, 1H), 3.02-2.98 (overlapping peaks, 4 H ), $2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.91$ (overlapping peaks, 1H), $1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 177.9,154.7,148.7,147.6,140.2,132.7,131.0(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $129.9,129.5,129.2,126.9,125.5(q, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.6(\mathrm{q}, J=270.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.6(\mathrm{q}, J=34.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 116.9, 80.6, 46.3, 39.3, 36.8, 36.1, 28.4.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (470 MHz, CD3CN): $\delta-62.44,-151.89,-151.94$
HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}: 471.2254$, found: 471.2257
IR (liquid): 3076, 2926, 1732, 1618, 1517, 1475, 1433, 1332, 1287, 1265, 1125, 732, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

(23)

(24)

Prepared from 4-bromobiphenyl and pyridine following general procedure A. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-50\%) afforded C-H functionalization product 23 (36\%) and $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ product 24 ( $5 \%$ ) as an inseparable mixture ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield, isolated product is a $8: 1$ ratio of $23: 24 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{S}_{N} A r$, yellow-orange solid). The product mixture was compared to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 1 (p. S117-118).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 8.96-8.95 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 23 / 24$ ), 8.71-8.68 (overlapping peaks, $2 \mathrm{H}, 23 / 24$ ), 8.22-8.19 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 23 / 24$ ), 7.99-7.96 (overlapping peaks, $4 \mathrm{H}, 23 / 24$ ), 7.79-7.75 (overlapping peaks, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2 3 / 2 4}$ ), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz , 2H, 23), 7.67 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 23$ ), 7.55 (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 24$ ), 7.48 (d, J = $872 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 24$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 23: 147.9, 145.6, 143.9, 143.2, 138.7, 133.2, 130.2, 129.8, 129.5, 126.1, 123.6.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta$ 24: 147.8, 145. 6, 145.1, 143.0 (not observed, confirmed by comparison to 1, see p. S112), 139.5, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7, 129.5, 128.3, 125.9.

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}^{+}: 310.0226$, found: 310.0227 ; $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}^{+} 232.1121$; found 232.1122

(C)

Prepared from naphthalene and pyridine following general procedure A. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient $0-40 \%$ ) afforded the title compound (C) ( $12.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ yield, yellow/orange oil). The characterization data of $\mathbf{C}$ match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 8.92$ (d, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.81 (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.31-8.28 (overlapping peaks, 3 H ), 8.17 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.81 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.78-7.73 (overlapping peaks, 2 H ), 7.67 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) ~ \delta 148.6,147.3,139.8,135.1,133.2,130.1,129.8,129.7,129.0$, 128.1, 126.4, 125.5, 121.4,

HRMS (ESI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$: 206.0964 , found: 206.0963

## 11. Substrate Limitations for $\mathbf{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-H$ Pyridination



## Other Nitrogen Nucleophile Limitations



Arene Limitations















Table S6. Substrates that yielded $<5 \%$ or no pyridinium product under the standard reaction conditions. In the case of 4-chloroanisole (under our standard reaction conditions, general procedure A ), no $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization product is oberved, only the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ pyridinated product is formed in $4 \%$ yield with unreacted starting material accounting for the mass balance.

## 12. General Experimental Procedure for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ Pyridination Reactions



A 4 mL vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with the corresponding arene substrate ( 0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv). ${ }^{[11]}$ With a syringe, a $1: 1$ mixture of DCE : TFE ( 1 mL ) was added, followed by pyridine ( $80.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv) and then $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $16.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). The vial was sealed with a septum cap, wrapped with electrical tape, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was bubbled through the reaction mixture for 2 minutes. The vial was then irradiated with two 390 nm Kessil LEDs (PR160L, 52W, 100\%) inside a EvoluChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PhotoRedOx Duo (HCK1006-01-023) box for 24 h . After 24 h , a needle was utilized to pierce the septum to release any build-up of pressure. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the product was purified by automated flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ ). The product was then dried in vacuo overnight.
a) Photochemical Experimental Set-up:


Figure S14 The photochemical experimental setup for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ pyridination reactions using an EvoluChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PhotoRedOx Duo (HCK1006-01-023) box equipped with two 390 nm Kessil LEDs (PR160L) and air cooling. These photographs were taken in the Sanford Laboratory by Matthew R. Lasky.
b) Reaction Optimization for $S_{N} A r$ Pyridination:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Pyr (eq) | Light source | Crude Yield | Ar-CI SM |
| 1 | 10 eq | Black light (CFL) | 61\% | 37\% |
| 2 | 5 eq | Black light (CFL) | 71\% | 29\% |
| 3 | 2 eq | Black light (CFL) | 50\% | 48\% |
| 4 | 10 eq | Kessil 390 nm LED | 84\% | 13\% |

Table S7. All reactions were conducted at a 0.1 mmol scale. All yields are crude and were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy using pentamethylbenzene ( 0.1 mmol , 1 equiv) as an internal standard. Ar-CI SM indicates the mass balance of the transformation referring to the amount of 4 -chloroanisole remaining. See Figure S1 (Section 3) for information on the photoreactor used for black light (CFL).
Kight source

| Ar-X | Light source | Crude Yield | SM Remaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kessil 390 nm LED | $35 \%$ | $54 \%$ |

Table S8. Small investigation into the substrate scope for $S_{N} A r$ pyridination. All reactions were conducted at a 0.1 mmol scale. All yields are crude and were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy using pentamethylbenzene ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) as an internal standard. SM remaining refers to the amount of unreacted aryl-halide starting material.
c) UV-Vis Spectroscopic Analysis

UV-Vis measurements were obtained on a Varian Cary- 50 spectrophotometer using a 3 mL (1 cm path length) cuvette. Processing of UV-Vis data: the generated data was plotted in Microsoft Excel.

PyH ${ }^{+}$-4-chlorobiphenyl EDA complex:
To a flame-dried 5 mL volumetric flask, a 0.2 M stock solution was prepared for each of the following reagents in DCE/TFE (1:1):

1) Pyridine and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \bullet \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{M})=80.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $137.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, respectively - gray trace
2) 4-chlorobiphenyl $(0.2 \mathrm{M})=188.7 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ - blue trace
3) Pyridine $+\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 4-chlorobiphenyl (1:1, 0.2 M$)=80.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $137.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{mmol}): 188.7 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, respectively - maize trace


Figure S15. Experimental UV-Vis spectra for $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}-4$-chlorobiphenyl EDA Complex (0.2M) recorded on a Varian Cary-50 spectrophotometer. These results demonstrate a bathochromic shift diagnostic of EDA complex formation via aggregation of $\mathrm{PyH}^{+}$and biphenyl. $\mathrm{AU}=$ arbitrary units.

## 13. Characterization of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ Pyridination Products


(24)


Prepared from 4-chlorobiphenyl and pyridine using the general procedure for $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ pyridination. Purification by automated flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ gradient $0-40 \%$ ) afforded the title compound (24) ( $21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield, light yellow-orange solid). The characterization data for 24 match compound 1 (p. S27) and those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$


Prepared from 4-chloroanisole and pyridine using the general procedure for $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ pyridination. Purification by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-40\%) afforded the title compound (25) ( $19.5 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield, white solid). The characterization data for 25 match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$



Prepared from Clofibrate and pyridine using the general procedure for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ pyridination. Two purifications by automated flash chromatography (DCM/MeCN gradient 0-40\%) afforded the title compound (26) ( $20.1 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield, yellow oil). The characterization data for 26 match those reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$
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## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

15. NMR Spectral Data



Three independently synthesized standards (para, ortho, meta) were made for model substrate 1 to confirm the regioselectivity of the reaction. ${ }^{[1]}$ This overlay confirms that product 1 is formed solely as the para isomer. These standards of model substrate 1 were utilized as a benchmark comparison for the substituted pyridines that yielded mixtures of isomers. Each isomer exhibits characteristic aryl signals that are unique and easily identifiable across the range of substituted pyridines that resulted in mixtures of isomers as described below.

Para isomer: shows two distinct doublets exhibiting the "roofing effect," each integrating to two protons.

Ortho isomer: diagnostic upfield signals in the range of 7.0-7.4 ppm. The splitting pattern is a multiplet and doublet, with the doublet being furthest upfield. The multiplet integrates to three protons and the doublet integrates to two protons (see dashed box above, ortho isomer standard).

Meta isomer: a diagnostic splitting pattern is observed. Starting with the signal furthest downfield to upfield: doublet, singlet, triplet, doublet. Each signal integrates to one proton (see dotted boxes above, meta isomer standard).





## 



(1B)

(1Ba)

(1Bb)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$



[^1]

| 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | ${ }_{170}^{17}$ | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | ${ }_{120}^{10}$ |  | ${ }_{100}^{10}$ | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 180 |  |  | 150 | 140 | 130 |  | $\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
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| 30 | 220 | 210 | ${ }_{200}$ | 190 | $\stackrel{1}{180}$ | 170 | 160 | 150 | $\stackrel{1}{14}$ | 130 | ${ }_{120}^{10}$ | 110 | 100 | ${ }_{90}$ | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | ${ }_{\text {f1 }}^{110}$ | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
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[^2]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$-59.9, -148.3, -148.3.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    

