# PRAME expression in spindle cell melanoma, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, and other cutaneous sarcomatoid neoplasms: a comparative analysis

(Running Title: PRAME in spindle cell melanoma and mimics)

Steven M. Hrycaj<sup>1</sup>, Julianne Szczepanski<sup>1</sup>, Lili Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Javed Siddiqui<sup>1</sup>, Dafydd G. Thomas<sup>1</sup>, David R.

Lucas<sup>1</sup>, Rajiv M. Patel<sup>1,3</sup>, Paul W. Harms<sup>1,3</sup>, Scott C. Bresler<sup>1,3</sup>, May P. Chan<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

## **Corresponding author:**

May P. Chan, MD NCRC Building 35 2800 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA Phone: +1(734)764-4460 Email: <u>mpchan@med.umich.edu</u>

Word Count: 2547

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest

#### Abstract

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/his.14797

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

*Aims:* Diagnosis of spindle cell/sarcomatoid melanoma may be challenging due to frequent loss of expression of melanocytic marker(s) and histomorphologic resemblance to various mesenchymal tumors, particularly malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST). Overexpression of PRAME supports a diagnosis of melanoma when evaluating challenging melanocytic tumors. PRAME expression in MPNST and other cutaneous sarcomatoid neoplasms, however, has not been well characterized. We aimed to determine the utility of PRAME immunostain in distinguishing spindle cell melanoma from MPNST and other sarcomatoid mimics.

*Methods and Results:* PRAME expression was scored by extent (0 to 4+) and intensity (0 to 3) of staining. A strong positive correlation was observed between the extent and intensity scores (r=0.84). An extent score of 4+, defined by staining in 76-100% of tumor cells, was seen in 56% (23/41) of spindle cell melanomas, 18% (7/38) of MPNSTs, 15% (4/27) of cutaneous sarcomatoid squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs), 33% (5/15) of poorly differentiated cutaneous angiosarcomas, 12% (4/33) of atypical fibroxanthoma (AFX), 4% (1/25) of pleomorphic dermal sarcoma (PDS), and none (0/16) of the high-grade cutaneous leiomyosarcomas. Significant difference was found between spindle cell melanoma and all other examined sarcomatoid neoplasms except angiosarcoma.

*Conclusions:* While diffuse (and often strong) PRAME expression is more frequently observed in spindle cell melanoma than MPNST, sarcomatoid SCC, AFX, PDS, and high-grade leiomyosarcoma, its limited sensitivity and specificity caution against its use as a standalone diagnostic marker. PRAME may complement other epigenetic or lineage-specific markers and should only be used as part of an immunohistochemical panel when evaluating these sarcomatoid neoplasms.

Keywords: malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, melanoma, PRAME, sarcomatoid

# Introduction

PRAME (PReferentially expressed Antigen in MElanoma) is a tumor-associated antigen in the family of cancer testis antigens. Its expression is minimal in normal adult human tissues and is largely

limited to the gonads.<sup>1,2</sup> PRAME expression has been reported in various neoplasms including melanoma, breast carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung carcinoma, neuroblastoma, acute leukemia, and several types of sarcomas.<sup>2-10</sup> Overexpression of PRAME helps distinguish melanoma from melanocytic nevi, which has led to the increasingly popular use of PRAME immunohistochemistry (IHC) in routine dermatopathology practice.<sup>1,6,11</sup> Recent studies have reported only minimal PRAME expression in soft tissue tumors with melanocytic differentiation, including perivascular epithelioid cell tumors and clear cell sarcomas,<sup>12,13</sup> thus supporting the additional utility of PRAME IHC in discriminating melanoma from these histologic mimics.

Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) is a rare, aggressive soft tissue sarcoma which shows variable differentiation towards nerve sheath components.<sup>14, 15</sup> Diagnosis of MPNST is challenging due to the lack of pathognomonic histopathologic features, specific immunophenotype, or diagnostic molecular signatures.<sup>14</sup> In particular, differentiation between MPNST and spindle cell/sarcomatoid melanoma is notoriously difficult, as these tumors may share strikingly similar histomorphology and immunophenotype.<sup>16, 17</sup> S100 and SOX10 are often the only melanocytic/schwannian markers expressed in these tumors,<sup>17, 18</sup> and about half of MPNSTs even lack expression for at least one of these markers.<sup>17, 19-21</sup> A relatively new immunohistochemical tool is H3K27me3, an epigenetic marker frequently lost in MPNST and retained in its histologic mimics including melanoma.<sup>22-24</sup> Such loss of expression has been reported in some conventional melanomas but only rarely in spindle cell melanomas.<sup>14, 25, 26</sup> A newer marker H3K27me3,<sup>27</sup> Because spindle cell melanoma and MPNST have different clinical behaviors and therapeutic implications, making an accurate diagnosis is crucial, and the search for additional helpful discriminators continues.

We have encountered rare sarcomatoid tumors with only focal SOX10 or S100 staining and retained H3K27me3 expression, in which metastatic melanoma and MPNST were the leading diagnostic considerations. PRAME IHC was entertained in these instances, although its utility in such setting was unclear. To our knowledge, PRAME expression in MPNST has only been recently examined in two previous studies. Cadwell *et al.* reported PRAME staining in 66% of MPNST cases, compared to

0% of benign peripheral nerve sheath tumors (schwannomas and neurofibromas).<sup>1</sup> In their study, positivity was defined as staining in at least 5% of tumor nuclei, which is significantly lower than the widely accepted >75% threshold in the evaluation of melanocytic lesions.<sup>11, 13,28</sup> This study also did not include any histologic mimics of MPNST other than benign nerve sheath tumors. Another study by Albertsmeier *et al.* reported "high expression" of PRAME in 38% of MPNST cases, without clearly defining the criteria for high expression.<sup>29</sup>

In addition to MPNST, various primary cutaneous sarcomas and sarcomatoid carcinomas may occasionally enter the differential diagnosis of spindle cell melanoma. Of these, atypical fibroxanthoma (AFX) and pleomorphic dermal sarcoma (PDS) are undifferentiated tumors which can be difficult to distinguish from rare cases of undifferentiated or dedifferentiated melanoma.<sup>30-32</sup> Cutaneous high-grade leiomyosarcoma and poorly differentiated angiosarcoma are other neoplasms which may mimic sarcomatoid melanoma on histopathology. Herein we examine the utility of PRAME IHC in distinguishing spindle cell melanoma from MPNST and various cutaneous sarcomatoid mimics.

#### **Materials and Methods**

This study was conducted according to a protocol previously approved by the Institutional Review Board at our institution. Three tissue microarrays (TMAs) previously constructed from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded spindle cell melanomas (excluding desmoplastic melanomas), conventional MPNSTs, cutaneous sarcomatoid squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs), AFXs, PDSs, cutaneous highgrade leiomyosarcomas, and poorly differentiated cutaneous angiosarcomas were used. Each case was represented by triplicate 1.0 mm cores (MPNST) or 0.6 mm cores (all other examined tumors). Our pathology archive was also searched for the above tumor entities to include additional whole-section cases. Spindle cell melanomas included in this cohort differed from desmoplastic melanomas in that the former showed high cellularity, prominent cytologic atypia, and brisk mitotic activity, whereas the Author Manuscript

latter is characterized by abundant fibrotic stroma, variable cytologic atypia, and scattered lymphoid aggregates. <sup>33</sup>

Sections of 4-µm thickness made from each TMA and selected whole-section blocks were deparaffinized, and heat-induced epitope retrieval was performed on the Ventana Benchmark Ultra immunostainer using cell conditioning 1 (CC1) buffer from Ventana Medical Systems (Tucson, AZ, USA). Following blocking of endogenous peroxidase activity, the slides were incubated with a PRAME monoclonal antibody (EP461, Cell Marque, prediluted) for 60 minutes at room temperature. Immunoreactivity was detected using the OptiView universal DAB detection kit (Ventana Medical Systems).

Each case was assessed by a board-certified dermatopathologist (M.P.C.) and assigned the following scores: 1) "Extent score" based on percentage of tumor nuclei staining positively for PRAME (0 = no staining; 1+=1-25% of nuclei staining; 2+=26-50%; 3+=51-75%; 4+=76-100%),<sup>6</sup> 2) "Intensity score" based on staining intensity (0 = negative; 1 = weak; 2 = moderate; 3 = strong), and 3) "Combined score" by adding the extent score and the intensity score (0 to 7). Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare scores between groups. Chi-square test was used to compare proportions of cases meeting preset cutoffs. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of <0.05. Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was calculated to determine correlation between extent and intensity scores.

### Results

Extent, intensity, and combined PRAME scores for all tumor categories are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1. Extent score and intensity score were found to be highly positively correlated (r=0.84).

A total of 41 spindle cell melanomas (38 TMA cases and 3 whole-section cases) were evaluated for PRAME expression. Over half (56%) of these cases demonstrated an extent score of 4+ (Figure 2A,

Author Manuscript

2B). The remaining cases showed a range of 0 to 3+ (Fig 2C), resulting in a mean extent score of 2.8. The mean intensity of staining was 2.0, with 51% of cases receiving a score of 3. Finally, 56% of spindle cell melanomas had a combined score of  $\geq 6$  (Figure 2A).

PRAME expression was evaluated in 38 soft tissue conventional MPNSTs (26 TMA cases and 12 whole-section cases). Histologic grade was known in 35 cases, of which 32 were high-grade and three were low-grade. This group of cases demonstrated fairly even distribution of extent scores from 0 to 4+ (Fig 2D-F). Diffuse (4+) expression was seen in 18% of cases, and the mean extent score was 1.7. Staining intensity tended to be weak, with a mean intensity score of 1.3. Only 18% of cases had a combined score of  $\geq 6$  (Fig 2D). As a group, MPNST displayed significantly lower mean extent score, mean intensity score, and mean combined score compared to spindle cell melanoma.

Twenty-seven cutaneous sarcomatoid SCC cases (20 TMA cases, 7 whole-section cases) were included. The extent of staining was relatively limited, with the majority of cases showing 1+ or negative staining (Fig 2G). Four (15%) cases showed 4+ staining. The mean extent score was 1.5. The intensity of staining was also consistently low, with a mean intensity score of 1.1. Only three (11%) cases had a combined score of  $\geq$ 6. Overall, sarcomatoid SCC demonstrated significantly lower mean extent score, mean intensity score, and mean combined score compared to spindle cell melanoma.

Thirty-three AFX cases (22 TMA cases, 11 whole-section cases) were examined. Four (12%) of these cases displayed an extent score of 4+, and only one (3%) case showed an intensity score of 3. Of the 25 PDS cases (19 TMA, 6 whole-section) examined, only one (4%) case showed an extent score of 4+. None of the PDS cases had an intensity score of 3. Both AFX and PDS showed significantly lower extent, intensity, and combined scores compared to spindle cell melanoma.

Sixteen leiomyosarcoma cases (14 TMA, 2 whole-section) showed the lowest extent and intensity scores of all tumor groups examined. In contrast, 15 poorly differentiated cutaneous angiosarcomas (13 TMA, 2 whole-section) demonstrated the highest degree of PRAME staining after spindle cell melanomas (Fig 2K). There was no statistically significant difference in the extent, intensity, or combined scores between poorly differentiated cutaneous angiosarcoma and spindle cell melanoma.

Furthermore, no significant difference was identified when comparing primary (n=9) and secondary (n=6) cutaneous angiosarcoma cases.

Sensitivity and specificity data are shown in Table 2. An extent score of 4+ showed a sensitivity of 56% for spindle cell melanoma. Using the same cutoff, specificity for spindle cell melanoma was 82% when compared with MPNST; 85% when compared with sarcomatoid SCC; 88% when compared with AFX; 96% when compared with PDS;100% when compared with high-grade leiomyosarcoma; and 67% when compared with poorly differentiated angiosarcoma. An intensity score of 3 and a combined score of  $\geq$ 6 showed generally similar sensitivities and specificities.

### Discussion

Spindle cell/sarcomatoid melanoma poses a significant diagnostic challenge due to its histologic resemblance to various mesenchymal tumors. Many of these melanomas are poorly differentiated, expressing only S100 or SOX10, while expression of other melanocytic markers is diminished or absent.<sup>18,34</sup> Such characteristics render the distinction between spindle cell melanoma and MPNST particularly difficult, especially when S100 or SOX10 expression is patchy or focal, or in the setting of metastatic disease.<sup>14,16,17</sup> PRAME IHC has recently become a widely adopted ancillary tool in the evaluation of challenging melanocytic tumors. Overexpression of PRAME typically supports a diagnosis of melanoma, whereas benign melanocytic neoplasms and soft tissue tumors with melanocytic differentiation are usually negative for overexpression.<sup>1, 6, 11, 13</sup> In light of these findings, we aimed to determine the utility of PRAME in the differential diagnosis of spindle cell melanoma and MPNST by characterizing the frequency, extent, and intensity of PRAME staining in both malignancies. We also examined PRAME expression in other cutaneous sarcomatoid neoplasms which may enter the histologic differential diagnosis of spindle cell melanoma.

We scored each case using three different measurements of PRAME expression: extent, intensity, and combined scores. Interestingly, comparative analysis of spindle cell melanoma with other tested

tumor types yielded fairly consistent p-values across all three scoring methods, and Pearson correlation revealed a strong association between extent and intensity scores, suggesting these scoring methods are similarly useful in the evaluation of these tumors. In other words, diffuse expression is typically strong, and focal expression is usually weak. As most previous reports on melanocytic lesions utilized a >75% threshold of PRAME nuclear immunoreactivity (extent score of 4+) to support a diagnosis of melanoma over nevus,<sup>6, 11, 13, 35</sup> we recommend adherence to this common scoring system and cutoff. If taking intensity into account, we would suggest using a combined score cutoff of  $\geq$ 6, as our data demonstrated this to be >80% specific for spindle cell melanoma compared to all other tested tumor types, except poorly differentiated cutaneous angiosarcoma which is discussed further below.

Lezcano *et al.* have shown a lower rate of PRAME overexpression (extent score of 4+) in melanomas with spindle morphology, including desmoplastic melanomas (35%), compared to those with epithelioid morphology (90%).<sup>6</sup> Another group has examined acral lentiginous melanomas and found decreased PRAME positivity when the tumor cells were spindle.<sup>36</sup> Our cohort of non-desmoplastic spindle cell melanomas corroborated these findings, with only 56% of cases displaying diffuse (4+) PRAME positivity. Despite this limited sensitivity for spindle cell melanoma, the mean extent, intensity, and combined scores were still significantly higher in spindle cell melanoma than in MPNST. Of note, the scarcity of low-grade MPNST in our cohort precludes correlation of PRAME expression with histologic grade. The two prior studies examining PRAME expression in MPNST used different scoring methods than ours and did not include melanoma for comparison. Based on our data, diffuse and strong PRAME expression would favor melanoma over MPNST, although such distinction is not without caveat, as almost one-fifth of MPNSTs exhibited the same degree of staining. Proper workup of this differential diagnosis should therefore include other immunohistochemical markers such as Melan-A and H3K27me3 or H3K27me2.<sup>14,27</sup>

We limited our cutaneous angiosarcoma cohort to poorly differentiated tumors, as well differentiated angiosarcomas are much less likely to be confused with melanoma. A surprising finding was the relatively high expression of PRAME in this group. More specifically, 33% of these cases showed an

extent score of 4+, and 40% had a combined score of  $\geq$ 6. These data are concordant with a previous study which showed PRAME expression in 33% (3/9) of angiosarcoma cases.<sup>29</sup> No difference in PRAME expression was observed between primary and secondary cutaneous angiosarcomas in our small cohort. Additional studies are needed to further characterize the degree of PRAME expression in different grades and subtypes of angiosarcoma. Based on our findings, PRAME is not useful in distinguishing spindle cell melanoma and poorly differentiated angiosarcoma. Vascular markers such as ERG and CD31 should be included when angiosarcoma is a diagnostic consideration.<sup>37</sup>

Our study showed fairly low PRAME expression in AFX, PDS, and cutaneous high-grade leiomyosarcoma, indicating that diffuse and strong PRAME expression is generally reliable in excluding these entities. In a previous report, all 10 AFX and seven leiomyosarcoma cases showed <25% nuclear PRAME positivity.<sup>38</sup> Another study reported PRAME expression in 6% of leiomyosarcomas and 7% of undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas, although the scoring criteria were not clearly defined. Because AFX and PDS are diagnoses of exclusion, additional immunohistochemical markers and careful attention to histopathologic clues are needed to rule out melanoma as well as other sarcomatoid neoplasms.<sup>34</sup> When an undifferentiated or dedifferentiated melanoma is suspected, PRAME and BRAFV600E immunostains could be particularly helpful, as positivity would support a diagnosis of melanoma and argue against AFX and PDS.<sup>39-41</sup>

To our knowledge, only one prior study has assessed PRAME expression in poorly differentiated cutaneous SCC, which showed <50% nuclear positivity in all 15 cases examined.<sup>38</sup> Although the majority of our cutaneous sarcomatoid SCC cases also showed minimal staining, a small subset (15%) demonstrated diffuse expression. This slight discrepancy with the prior study could be due to the fact that our cohort included only sarcomatoid SCCs, which are presumably of higher histologic grade. Increased PRAME expression has been associated with higher histologic grade in several other tumors including head and neck SCC and breast cancer.<sup>3,42-44</sup> Our finding of 4+ PRAME staining in some sarcomatoid SCCs cautions against the use of PRAME alone to exclude this diagnosis.

Our study has several limitations. The use of TMAs potentially introduced sampling error, which was in part overcome by triplicate sampling of each tumor, and inclusion of additional whole-section cases. Some of the sarcomas included in this study had relatively small sample sizes due to the rarity of these tumors. Nevertheless, our findings in AFX, PDS, leiomyosarcoma, and angiosarcoma are largely consistent with the limited data available in the literature.

In conclusion, PRAME has shown some utility in differentiating spindle cell melanoma from MPNST and other sarcomatoid tumors of the skin, but should only be used in conjunction with other immunohistochemical markers due to its incomplete sensitivity and specificity. It is expected to complement H3K27me3 or H3K27me2 in the challenging differential diagnosis of spindle cell melanoma and MPNST. Although PRAME expression was significantly more common in spindle cell melanoma than in sarcomatoid SCC, AFX, PDS, and high-grade leiomyosarcoma, various lineagespecific markers are likely more reliable discriminators in these contexts. Finally, given the overexpression of PRAME in a significant subset of poorly differentiated cutaneous angiosarcomas, more studies are needed to explore its possible role in distinguishing benign and malignant vascular neoplasms.

## **Acknowledgments**

S. M. Hrycaj and M. P. Chan designed the research study. S. M. Hrycaj, J. Szczepanski, J. Siddiqui, D. G. Thomas, and M. P. Chan performed the research. S. M. Hrycaj, L. Zhao, D. R. Lucas, R. M. Patel, P. W. Harms, S. C. Bresler, and M. P. Chan analysed the data. S. M. Hrycaj and M. P. Chan wrote the paper. All authors performed critical review of the manuscript.

# Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **References**

- Cadwell CR, Yuksek GE, Hirbe AC *et al.* Preferentially expressed antigen in melanoma (prame) expression in malignant, but not benign, peripheral nerve sheath tumors. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2021;80;384-386.
- Ikeda H, Lethe B, Lehmann F *et al.* Characterization of an antigen that is recognized on a melanoma showing partial hla loss by ctl expressing an nk inhibitory receptor. *Immunity* 1997;6;199-208.
- 3. Epping MT, Hart AA, Glas AM, Krijgsman O, Bernards R. Prame expression and clinical outcome of breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2008;**99**;398-403.
- Field MG, Decatur CL, Kurtenbach S *et al.* Prame as an independent biomarker for metastasis in uveal melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2016;22;1234-1242.
- Hemminger JA, Toland AE, Scharschmidt TJ, Mayerson JL, Guttridge DC, Iwenofu OH. Expression of cancer-testis antigens magea1, magea3, acrbp, prame, ssx2, and ctag2 in myxoid and round cell liposarcoma. *Mod Pathol* 2014;27;1238-1245.
- Lezcano C, Jungbluth AA, Nehal KS, Hollmann TJ, Busam KJ. Prame expression in melanocytic tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2018;42;1456-1465.
- Neumann E, Engelsberg A, Decker J *et al.* Heterogeneous expression of the tumor-associated antigens rage-1, prame, and glycoprotein 75 in human renal cell carcinoma: Candidates for tcell-based immunotherapies? *Cancer Res* 1998;58;4090-4095.
- Oberthuer A, Hero B, Spitz R, Berthold F, Fischer M. The tumor-associated antigen prame is universally expressed in high-stage neuroblastoma and associated with poor outcome. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10;4307-4313.
- Pujol JL, De Pas T, Rittmeyer A *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of the prame cancer immunotherapeutic in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer: A phase i dose escalation study. *J Thorac Oncol* 2016;11;2208-2217.
- Zhang W, Barger CJ, Eng KH *et al.* Prame expression and promoter hypomethylation in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget* 2016;7;45352-45369.

- Lezcano C, Pulitzer M, Moy AP, Hollmann TJ, Jungbluth AA, Busam KJ.
   Immunohistochemistry for prame in the distinction of nodal nevi from metastatic melanoma. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2020;44;503-508.
- Raghavan SS, Wang JY, Toland A *et al.* Diffuse prame expression is highly specific for malignant melanoma in the distinction from clear cell sarcoma. *J Cutan Pathol* 2020;47;1226-1228.
- Kline N, Menge TD, Hrycaj SM *et al.* Prame expression in challenging dermal melanocytic neoplasms and soft tissue tumors with melanocytic differentiation. *Am J Dermatopathol* 2022.
- Le Guellec S, Macagno N, Velasco V *et al.* Loss of h3k27 trimethylation is not suitable for distinguishing malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor from melanoma: A study of 387 cases including mimicking lesions. *Mod Pathol* 2017;**30**;1677-1687.
- Thway K, Fisher C. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor: Pathology and genetics. *Ann Diagn Pathol* 2014;18;109-116.
- 16. Biglow LR, Cuda J, Dotson J. A rare case of epithelioid malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor mimicking malignant melanoma. *Cureus* 2021;**13**;e13424.
- 17. Gaspard M, Lamant L, Tournier E *et al.* Evaluation of eight melanocytic and neural crest-associated markers in a well-characterised series of 124 malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours (mpnst): Useful to distinguish mpnst from melanoma? *Histopathology* 2018;73;969-982.
- Weissinger SE, Keil P, Silvers DN *et al.* A diagnostic algorithm to distinguish desmoplastic from spindle cell melanoma. *Mod Pathol* 2014;27;524-534.
- Karamchandani JR, Nielsen TO, van de Rijn M, West RB. Sox10 and s100 in the diagnosis of soft-tissue neoplasms. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* 2012;20;445-450.
- 20. Miettinen MM, Antonescu CR, Fletcher CDM *et al.* Histopathologic evaluation of atypical neurofibromatous tumors and their transformation into malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor in patients with neurofibromatosis 1-a consensus overview. *Hum Pathol* 2017;**67**;1-10.
- Nonaka D, Chiriboga L, Rubin BP. Sox10: A pan-schwannian and melanocytic marker. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2008;**32**;1291-1298.

- Author Manuscript
- 22. Schaefer IM, Fletcher CD, Hornick JL. Loss of h3k27 trimethylation distinguishes malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors from histologic mimics. *Mod Pathol* 2016;**29**;4-13.
- Mito JK, Qian X, Doyle LA, Hornick JL, Jo VY. Role of histone h3k27 trimethylation loss as a marker for malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor in fine-needle aspiration and small biopsy specimens. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2017;**148**;179-189.
- Prieto-Granada CN, Wiesner T, Messina JL, Jungbluth AA, Chi P, Antonescu CR. Loss of h3k27me3 expression is a highly sensitive marker for sporadic and radiation-induced mpnst. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2016;40;479-489.
- Davis LE, Shalin SC, Tackett AJ. Utility of histone h3k27me3 and h4k20me as diagnostic indicators of melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 2020;30;159-165.
- 26. Thangaiah JJ, Westling BE, Roden AC *et al.* Loss of dimethylated h3k27 (h3k27me2) expression is not a specific marker of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (mpnst): An immunohistochemical study of 137 cases, with emphasis on mpnst and melanocytic tumors. *Ann Diagn Pathol* 2022;**59**;151967.
- 27. Marchione DM, Lisby A, Viaene AN *et al.* Histone h3k27 dimethyl loss is highly specific for malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor and distinguishes true prc2 loss from isolated h3k27 trimethyl loss. *Mod Pathol* 2019;**32**;1434-1446.
- Sekoranja D, Hawlina G, Pizem J. Prame expression in melanocytic lesions of the conjunctiva. *Histopathology* 2021;79;989-996.
- Albertsmeier M, Altendorf-Hofmann A, Lindner LH *et al.* Cancer testis antigens and immunotherapy: Expression of prame is associated with prognosis in soft tissue sarcoma. *Cancers (Basel)* 2020;12.
- 30. Agaimy A, Specht K, Stoehr R *et al.* Metastatic malignant melanoma with complete loss of differentiation markers (undifferentiated/dedifferentiated melanoma): Analysis of 14 patients emphasizing phenotypic plasticity and the value of molecular testing as surrogate diagnostic marker. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2016;**40**;181-191.

- Agaimy A, Stoehr R, Hornung A *et al.* Dedifferentiated and undifferentiated melanomas:
   Report of 35 new cases with literature review and proposal of diagnostic criteria. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2021;45;240-254.
- 32. Ferreira I, Arends MJ, van der Weyden L, Adams DJ, Brenn T. Primary de-differentiated, trans-differentiated and undifferentiated melanomas: Overview of the clinicopathological, immunohistochemical and molecular spectrum. *Histopathology* 2022;80;135-149.
- 33. Busam KJ. Desmoplastic melanoma. *Surg Pathol Clin* 2009;2;511-520.
- 34. Erstine EM, Tetzlaff MT, Ko JS, Prieto VG, Cheah AL, Billings SD. Living on the edge:
  Diagnosing sarcomatoid melanoma using histopathologic cues at the edge of a
  dedifferentiated tumor: A report of 2 cases and review of the literature. *Am J Dermatopathol* 2017;**39**;593-598.
- 35. McBride JD, McAfee JL, Piliang M *et al.* Preferentially expressed antigen in melanoma and p16 expression in acral melanocytic neoplasms. *J Cutan Pathol* 2021.
- 36. Hu J, Cai X, Lv JJ *et al.* Preferentially expressed antigen in melanoma immunohistochemistry as an adjunct for differential diagnosis in acral lentiginous melanoma and acral nevi. *Hum Pathol* 2021;**120**;9-17.
- Miettinen M. Immunohistochemistry of soft tissue tumours review with emphasis on 10 markers. *Histopathology* 2014;64;101-118.
- Elsensohn A, Hanson J, Ferringer T. Preferentially expressed antigen in melanoma expression in nonmelanoma skin cancers and melanocytes in surrounding skin. *J Cutan Pathol* 2021;48;1150-1155.
- Alrabadi N, Gibson N, Curless K *et al.* Detection of driver mutations in braf can aid in diagnosis and early treatment of dedifferentiated metastatic melanoma. *Mod Pathol* 2019;**32**;330-337.
- 40. Helbig D, Ihle MA, Putz K *et al.* Oncogene and therapeutic target analyses in atypical fibroxanthomas and pleomorphic dermal sarcomas. *Oncotarget* 2016;7;21763-21774.

- Miller TI, Zoumberos NA, Johnson B *et al.* A genomic survey of sarcomas on sun-exposed skin reveals distinctive candidate drivers and potentially targetable mutations. *Hum Pathol* 2020;**102**;60-69.
- Iura K, Kohashi K, Hotokebuchi Y *et al.* Cancer-testis antigens prame and ny-eso-1 correlate with tumour grade and poor prognosis in myxoid liposarcoma. *J Pathol Clin Res* 2015;1;144-159.
- 43. Szczepanski MJ, DeLeo AB, Luczak M *et al.* Prame expression in head and neck cancer correlates with markers of poor prognosis and might help in selecting candidates for retinoid chemoprevention in pre-malignant lesions. *Oral Oncol* 2013;**49**;144-151.
- 44. Wu X, Wang W, Lai X *et al.* Cd24 and prame are novel grading and prognostic indicators for pineal parenchymal tumors of intermediate differentiation. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2020;**44**;11-20.

# **Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Mean intensity, extent, and combined PRAME scores across different tumor types. AFX, atypical fibroxanthoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; PDS, pleomorphic dermal sarcoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

**Figure 2.** PRAME expression in selected spindle cell melanomas and sarcomatoid mimics. **A**, A spindle cell melanoma shows strong PRAME staining in >75% of tumor cells (extent score 4+, intensity score 3). **B**, Another spindle cell melanoma shows moderate intensity of staining in >75% of tumor cells (extent 4+, intensity 2). **C**, This spindle cell melanoma is negative for PRAME expression. **D**, A malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) displays diffuse and strong staining (extent 4+, intensity 3). **E**, Another MPNST shows patchy moderate staining (extent 2+, intensity 2). **F**, This MPNST is negative for PRAME expression. **G**, A sarcomatoid squamous cell carcinoma demonstrates weak staining in most tumor cells (extent 4+, intensity 1). Minimal to absent staining is observed in an atypical fibroxanthoma (**H**), a pleomorphic dermal sarcoma (**I**), and a high-grade leiomyosarcoma (**J**). **K**, A poorly differentiated cutaneous angiosarcoma shows strong staining in 51-75% of tumor cells (extent 3+, intensity 3). (Original magnification, ×200)

Table 1. PRAME expression in spindle cell melanoma and sarcomatoid mimics

	Total	al Extent Score					Intensity Score					<b>Combined Score</b>			
Tumor Type	n	0	1+	2+	3+	4+	Mean	0	1	2	3	Mean	<6	≥6	Mean
Spindle cell melanoma	41	6	3	6	4	23	2.8	6	8	7	21	2.0	19	23	4.8
MPNST	38	10	8	9	4	7	1.7	10	13	8	7	1.3	31	7	3.0

Sarcomatoid SCC	27	7	9	5	2	4	1.5	7	14	3	3	1.1	24	3	2.6
AFX	33	14	7	7	1	4	1.2	14	16	2	1	0.7	32	1	2.0
PDS	25	13	7	2	2	1	0.8	13	9	3	0	0.6	24	1	1.0
Leiomyosarcoma	16	11	4	0	1	0	0.4	11	5	0	0	0.3	16	0	0.8
Angiosarcoma	15	5	2	1	3	5	2.1	5	4	3	4	1.4	9	6	3.5

AFX, atypical fibroxanthoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; PDS, pleomorphic dermal sarcoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

Table 2. Comparative analysis of PRAME expression in spindle cell melanoma and sarcomatoid mimics

	Ext	ent Score of 4	1+	Inte	Combi			
Tumor Type	Sensitivity	Specificity	p-value*	Sensitivity	Specificity	p-value*	Sensitivity	
Spindle cell melanoma	56%	_	_	51%	_	_	56%	
vs. MPNST	_	82%	0.0017	_	82%	0.0075	—	
vs. Sarcomatoid SCC	_	85%	0.0006	_	89%	0.0008	—	
vs. AFX	_	88%	< 0.0001	_	97%	< 0.0001	—	
vs. PDS	_	96%	< 0.0001	_	100%	< 0.0001	—	
vs. Leiomyosarcoma	_	100%	0.0005	_	100%	< 0.0001	_	
vs. Angiosarcoma	_	67%	0.1542	_	73%	0.0943		

AFX, atypical fibroxanthoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; PDS, pleomorphic dermal sarcoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; vs., versus.

\*Comparison of proportions of cases meeting cutoff.







HIS\_14797\_Fig2-flattened(updated).tif

Table 1. PRAME expression in spindle cell melanoma and sarcomatoid mimics

	Total	Extent Score							Int	ensity	<b>Combined Score</b>				
Tumor Type	n	0	1+	2+	3+	4+	Mean	0	1	2	3	Mean	<6	≥6	Mean
Spindle cell melanoma	41	6	3	6	4	23	2.8	6	8	7	21	2.0	19	23	4.8
MPNST	38	10	8	9	4	7	1.7	10	13	8	7	1.3	31	7	3.0
Sarcomatoid SCC	27	7	9	5	2	4	1.5	7	14	3	3	1.1	24	3	2.6
AFX	33	14	7	7	1	4	1.2	14	16	2	1	0.7	32	1	2.0
PDS	25	13	7	2	2	1	0.8	13	9	3	0	0.6	24	1	1.0
Leiomyosarcoma	16	11	4	0	1	0	0.4	11	5	0	0	0.3	16	0	0.8
Angiosarcoma	15	5	2	1	3	5	2.1	5	4	3	4	1.4	9	6	3.5

AFX, atypical fibroxanthoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; PDS, pleomorphic dermal sarcoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

Author Manuscrip carcinoma; vs., versus.

Table 2. Comparative analysis of PRAME expression in spindle cell melanoma and sarcomatoid mimics

	Ext	ent Score of 4	1+	Inte	nsity Score o	f 3	Combined Score of ≥6			
Tumor Type	Sensitivity	Specificity	p-value*	Sensitivity	Specificity	p-value*	Sensitivity	Specificity	p-value*	
Spindle cell melanoma	56%	_	_	51%	_	_	56%	_	_	
vs. MPNST	—	82%	0.0017	—	82%	0.0075	—	82%	0.0019	
vs. Sarcomatoid SCC	-	85%	0.0006	—	89%	0.0008	—	89%	0.0004	
vs. AFX	—	88%	< 0.0001	—	97%	< 0.0001	—	97%	< 0.0001	
vs. PDS	-	96%	< 0.0001	—	100%	<0.0001	—	96%	< 0.0001	
vs. Leiomyosarcoma	—	100%	0.0005	—	100%	< 0.0001	—	100%	<0.0001	
vs. Angiosarcoma	—	67%	0.1542	—	73%	0.0943	—	60%	0.112	

AFX, atypical fibroxanthoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; PDS, pleomorphic dermal sarcoma; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; vs., versus.

\*Comparison of proportions of cases meeting cutoff.