

<u>0.1002/aciii2.13848</u>.

Washington, D.C. 20037 borrasc@hotmail.com E. Vincent Holahan, Jr., Ph.D. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Vincent.Holahan@nrc.gov Shaheen A. Dewji, Ph.D. Georgia Institute of Technology Nuclear and Radiological Engineering and Medical Physics Programs George W. Woodruff School of Mechanical Engineering Atlanta, GA 30332 shaheen.dewji@gatech.edu Thomas E. Johnson, Ph.D. Colorado State University 1681 Campus Delivery Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 thomas.e.johnson@colostate.edu Jerry W. Hiatt, B.S. (ret.) Nuclear Energy Institute 1201 F St., NW, Suite 1100 This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

2150 Pennsylvania Avenue

Washington, D.C. 20004

jwh@nei.org

John W. Poston, Sr., Ph.D.

Texas A&M University

3133 TAMU

College Station, Texas 77843

<u>j-poston@tamu.edu</u>

Nolan Hertel Ph.D.

Georgia Institute of Technology

North Avenue Atlanta

Atlanta, Georgia 30332

nolan.hertel@me.gatech.edu

Dustin A. Gress, M.S.

American College of Radiology

1891 Preston White Dr

Reston, Virginia 20191

dgress@acr.org

Michael D. Mills, Ph.D. James Graham Brown Cancer Center 3722 Hillsdale Road

Louisville, Kentucky 40222

mdmill03@louisville.edu

David W. Jordan, Ph.D. University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center 11100 Euclid Ave. Cleveland, Ohio 44106 david.jordan@uhhospitals.org Steven G. Sutlief, Ph.D. Banner MD Anderson Cancer Center 10424 W Santa Fe Dr. Phoenix, Arizona 85351 steven.sutlief@gmail.com Melissa C. Martin, M.S. Therapy Physics Inc. 2501 Cherry Avenue Ste. 270 Gardena, CA 90755 melissa@therapyphysics.com Edward Jackson, Ph.D. (deceased) University of Wisconsin 1111 Highland Ave Madison, Wisconsin 53705

Edward I. Bluth, M.D. **Ochsner Clinic Foundation** 1514 Jefferson Highway New Orleans, Louisiana 70121 ebluth@ochsner.org Donald P. Frush, M.D. Duke University 1904 Childrens Health Center Durham, NC 27710 donald.frush@duke.edu M. Elizabeth Oates, M.D. University of Kentucky 800 Rose Street Lexington, Kentucky 40536 elizabeth.oates@uky.edu Jeanne LaBerge, M.D. University of California, San Francisco 505 Parnassus Avenue San Francisco, California 94117 Jeanne.Laberge@ucsf.edu Hubert Y. Pan, M.D., M.S.

Sutter Radiation Oncology Center

panhy@sutterhealth.org Seth A. Rosenthal, M.D. Sutter Radiation Oncology Center 2 Medical Plaza Dr. Roseville, California 95661 setharose@aol.com Lawrence W. Townsend, Ph.D. University of Tennessee 244 Zeanah Engineering Complex Knoxville, Tennessee 37996 ltownsen@utk.edu Lori Brady, M.B.A. Nuclear Energy Institute 1201 F Street, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20006 Janice Lindegard, M.A. American Nuclear Society 555 N. Kensington Ave. La Grange Park, IL 60526 jlindegard@ans.org

2825 Capitol Ave Sacramento, CA 95816

Howard L. Hall, Ph.D. University of Tennessee 424B Zeanah Engineering Complex Knoxville, Tennessee hhall6@utk.edu Elizabeth McAndrew-Benavides, M.A. Electric Power Research Institute 3420 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304 Eric Abelquist, Ph.D. Oak Ridge Associated Universities P.O. Box 117, MS-22 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831 Eric.Abelquist@orau.org Mitchell S. Anscher, M.D. Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine 1001 East Leigh Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 mitchell.anscher@vcuhealth.org Marcelo Vazquez, Ph.D.

Loma Linda University 11131 Anderson Street Loma Linda, California 92350 mvazquez@llu.edu Amy Kronenberg Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Mail Stop: 977 Berkeley, California A_Kronenberg@lbl.gov Jeffrey S. Willey, Ph.D. Wake Forest School of Medicine 475 Vine Street Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101 jwilley@wakehealth.edu Theodore Lawrence, M.D., Ph.D. University of Michigan - University Hospital 1500 E Medical Center Dr Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 tsl@umich.edu Gayle E. Woloschak, Ph.D. Northwestern University

300 E Superior

Chicago, Illinois 60611

g-woloschak@northwestern.edu

Brian Marples, Ph.D.

University of Rochester Medical Center

601 Elmwood Ave, Box 647

Rochester, NY 14642

brian_marples@urmc.rochester.edu

Rosemary Wong, Ph.D. (ret.)

National Cancer Institute

9609 Medical Center Drive

Rockville, Maryland 20892

wongr@nih.mail.gov

Michael Story, Ph.D. The University of Texas Southwestern 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard Dallas, Texas 75390 michael.story@utsouthwestern.edu Roger W. Howell, Ph.D. Rutgers New Jersey Medical School

205 South Orange Ave

Newark, New Jersey 70103

rhowell@njms.rutgers.edu

Tom K. Hei, Ph.D.

Columbia University

1130 St. Nicholas Avenue

New York, New York 10032

tkh1@columbia.edu

Sergey Y. Tolmachev, Ph.D.

Washington State University

1845 Terminal Drive

Richland, Washington 99354

stolmachev@wsu.edu

John D. Auxier, II, Ph.D.

University of Tennessee

Ferris 503

Knoxville, Tennessee 37996

jauxier@utk.edu

Thomas L. Rucker, Ph.D.

Leidos

1750 Presidents St.

Reston VA 20190

Thomas.l.rucker@saic.com

Mikael Nilsson, Ph.D. University of California, Irvine 5200 Engineering Hall Irvine, California 92697 nilssonm@uci.edu Ralf Sudowe, Ph.D. Colorado State University 1601 Campus Delivery Fort Collins, CO 80523 Ralf.Sudowe@colostate.edu Brian A. Powell, Ph.D. **Clemson University** Rich Lab, 342 Computer Court Anderson, South Carolina 29625 bpowell@clemson.edu Mark P. Jensen, Ph.D. Colorado School of Mines 312B Coolbaugh Hall Golden, Colorado 80401 mjensen@u.washington.edu

Keywords: professional radiation workforce

Short Running Title: Radiation Workforce

Author Contribution Statement: All the authors listed have contributed directly to the intellectual content of the manuscript. Wayne Newhauser and Jacqueline Williams drafted the manuscript.

Acknowledgements and Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest.

Data Sharing: Data sharing is not applicable to this or the accompanying articles since no new data were created or analyzed during the generation of this workforce report.

The Professional Radiation Workforce

A radiation workforce of sufficient size and capacity is necessary to meet our nation's current and future needs for energy production, health care and other vital areas. Over the long term, workforce shortages have the potential to compromise our nation's capabilities in these strategic sectors and, if sustained, would result in degradation of economic competitiveness and national security. In 2015, a multi-disciplinary team began reviewing a selection of professional radiation workforces in the United States with the goal of developing a resource that would contain information of relevance to employers, policy makers, educational institutions, students contemplating radiation-related careers, and the public. This approach was taken because ionizing radiation is used for a wide array of applications and these frequently involve multi-disciplinary teams. Indeed, the various radiation disciplines comprise a synergistic ecosystem, with many interdependencies, and this motivated us to review disciplines individually, as well as in the context of the larger multi-disciplinary ecosystem.

The team members were drawn from those professions that are chiefly responsible for the radiation protection of workers, patients, and the public: Health Physics, Medical Physics, Medicine (including diagnostic radiology, interventional radiology, nuclear medicine, and radiation oncology), Nuclear Engineering, Radiation Biology, and Radiochemistry and Nuclear Chemistry. Due to practical considerations, this selection was limited; nonetheless, the authors emphasize the importance of other worker cohorts, including technologists who work in medical radiation therapy and imaging,

and radiation epidemiologists and ecologists, who draw on the basic sciences of physics, chemistry, mathematics, and biology and play a significant role in radiation protection. It is hoped that these and other groups will be considered in future works.

The methods used to prepare this review included surveying relevant information on each workforce, using data from the literature and other resources, such as information from professional societies. All data were evaluated by teams of subject matter experts, comprised of leaders in each of the respective professions. However, it must be emphasized that some of the professions have few to no means of surveilling their workforce, particularly those that lack defined training programs. Under these circumstances, the writing teams relied on trends within associated professional or scientific societies, as well as their personal experience and observations. However, it must be plainly stated that the task of assessing the current status of the individual and overall professional radiation workforce involved a great deal of uncertainty. Much of this arose from incomplete (or nonexistent) surveillance data in some of the disciplines, precluding any precise assessment of status and temporal trends. Even among the professions where such data existed, frequently there was controversy over basic definitions, for example, the qualifications required to be considered as a professional health physicist.

Predicting the future outlook of professions proved challenging since it includes the need for baseline assumptions about future conditions; these are frequently upended by unforeseen events, e.g., the Fukushima disaster and the Covid-19 pandemic, with the latter in particular changing workforce environments and dynamics. Indeed, the review discusses several prominent reports that predicted shortages of health physicists that were not confirmed by subsequent studies. Nonetheless, given the expertise within each sub-committee, the team as a whole felt able to reach broad consensus opinions and recommendations, whilst identifying important issues that remain controversial and openly acknowledging the uncertainties. Overall, the authors conclude that the professions of Radiation Biology and Radiochemistry and Nuclear Chemistry are operating with lessthan-adequate workforces. Furthermore, the future outlook for all of the radiation professions assessed in this review appears mixed and uncertain. The factors underlying the observed workforce trends include: shrinkage due to worker retirements without adequate replacements; a decline in the capacity of higher-education pipelines; the closure of many training programs; and an overall decline in employment opportunities in some fields, limiting the attractiveness of those fields as a prospective career choice for incoming workers. These findings should act as a clarion call for action since the safe use of ionizing radiation is essential to meeting our nation's needs in health care, energy, homeland security, and defense.

Recommendations for each profession are provided in Chapters 2 through 7. Chapter 8 synthesizes and summarizes the findings from the profession-specific chapters to form an overall impression of the status and outlook of the radiation professions individually and as part of the workforce as a whole.

Data sharing is not applicable to this or the accompanying articles since no new data were created or analyzed during the generation of this workforce report.