# On the Quasi-static Boundary Value Problem of Electrodynamics\*

A. G. RAMM AND C. L. DOLPH

The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be the exterior of a bounded domain D with a smooth boundary  $\Gamma$  and let n denote its outer normal. The quasi-static problem of the title consists in solving the equations (cf. [1, 3]):

Curl 
$$E = 0$$
,  
Div  $E = 0$ , (1)

subject to the boundary conditions on  $\Gamma$  that

$$n \times E = -n \times E_0 \,, \tag{2}$$

where  $E_0$  is a given initial static field

$$E_0 = -\nabla \phi$$
, where  $\Delta \phi = 0$ .

In Section 2 this problem is reduced to one for a scalar potential while in Section 3 the main result is obtained by an iterative process for the above boundary value problem.

## 2. REDUCTION TO A DIRICHLET PROBLEM

Since Curl E=0 it follows that there exists a u such that  $E=-\nabla u$  with  $\Delta u=0$  in  $\Omega$  and where the boundary condition becomes  $n\times \nabla(u+\phi)|_{\Gamma}=0$ . This boundary condition is equivalent to requiring that an arbitrary unit tangent vector t of  $\Gamma$  satisfies

$$t \cdot \nabla(u + \phi)|_{\Gamma} = 0 \tag{3}$$

<sup>\*</sup> This work was partially supported by AFOSR F49620-79-C-0128.

and this in turn can be satisfied by requiring that

$$u + \phi_{\Gamma} = C = \text{constant}.$$
 (4)

The constant C can be evaluated from the condition

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \, ds = 0. \tag{5}$$

The last condition is merely the physical statement that the total charge on  $\Gamma$  must be zero.

Thus the boundary problem for u has been reduced to finding a u such that

$$\Delta u = 0$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,  
 $u = -\phi + C$  on  $\Gamma$ , (6)

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} ds = 0. \tag{7}$$

To solve this problem we first find a solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta u = 0$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,  
 $u|_{\Gamma} = \psi$ , (8)

and then determine C so that (7) is satisfied. In fact this is the necessary and sufficient condition for the solution to problem (6) to be obtained in the form of a double layer potential.

Let  $r_{ts} = |t - s|$  and let A denote the operator

$$A\sigma = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_t} \frac{1}{4\pi r_{ts}} \sigma(s) ds$$

and  $A^*$  the operator

$$A*\tau = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_s} \frac{1}{4\pi r_{st}} \tau(s) \ ds.$$

Now the solution to (6) is of the form

$$u = \frac{a}{|x|} + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_s} \frac{1}{4\pi r_{sx}} \sigma(s) ds$$

for an appropriately chosen a, but it is easy to see that (7) implies that a = 0.

From the representation

$$u = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_s} \frac{1}{4\pi r_{sx}} \tau(s) ds \tag{9}$$

and the boundary condition (8) one gets

$$\tau + A^*\tau = 2\psi. \tag{10}$$

This equation will have a solution, by the Fredholm alternative, if and only if

$$\int_{\Gamma} \psi \sigma \, ds = 0, \tag{11}$$

where  $\sigma$  is any nontrivial solution of the homogeneous equation

$$\sigma + A\sigma = 0$$
.

However, this last equation admits only the solution

$$\sigma = K\sigma_0(t),$$

where  $K = \text{constant} \neq 0$  and  $\sigma_0(t)$  is the equilibrium charge distribution on the surface  $\Gamma$  of the perfect conductor. In a previous work [2] an iterative process for calculating  $\sigma_0(t)$  was established. It is easy to see that (7) and (11) imply that

$$C = \left(\int_{\Gamma} \phi \sigma_0(t) dt\right) \left(\int_{\Gamma} \sigma_0(t) dt\right)^{-1}.$$
 (12)

In order to complete the solution an iterative process which solves problem (6) when C is defined by (12) will be constructed.

## 3. THE ITERATIVE PROCESS AND MAIN THEOREM

If

$$M = -A^*\nu + \int_{\Gamma} \nu \, dt \tag{13}$$

and

$$\nu = M\nu + 2\psi, \qquad \psi = -\phi + C, \tag{14}$$

where C is given by (12), the iterative process is defined by

$$\nu_{n+1} = M\nu_n + 2\psi, \quad \nu_0 = 2\psi, \quad \nu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_n.$$
 (15)

In terms of this the following is the main result:

THEOREM. Equation (14) implies (10) and the solution of Eq. (10) is given by the iterative process (15).

To establish that (14) implies (10) multiply (14) by  $\sigma_0$  and integrate over  $\Gamma$ . This yields

$$\int_{\Gamma} \nu \sigma_0 dt = -(A^*\nu, \sigma_0) + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_0 dt \int_{\Gamma} \nu dt.$$

However, since

$$(A^*\nu, \sigma_0) = (\nu, A\sigma_0) = -(\nu, \sigma_0)$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma}\sigma_0\,dt\neq0,$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \nu \ dt = 0,$$

from which the desired implication follows.

In order to establish the validity of the iterative process it is sufficient to prove that M does not have any eigenvalues  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue satisfying  $\nu = \lambda M \nu$ , i.e.,

$$\nu = -\lambda A^* \nu + \lambda \int_{\Gamma} \nu \, dt, \tag{16}$$

and let

$$u=\int_{\Gamma}\nu(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial n_t}\frac{1}{4\pi r_{xt}}dt.$$

Denoting the exterior region by the subscript e and the interior by i, it follows that

$$(1+\lambda) u_{\mathbf{e}} = (1-\lambda) u_{\mathbf{i}} + \lambda \int_{\Gamma} (u_{\mathbf{e}} - u_{\mathbf{i}}) dt. \tag{17}$$

Multiplying this equation by  $\partial u/\partial n$  and taking into account that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{\rm e}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{\rm i}},\tag{18}$$

we get:

$$\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}\int_{\Gamma}u_{\mathbf{e}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{\mathbf{e}}}dt=\int_{\Gamma}u_{\mathbf{i}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{\mathbf{i}}}dt+\int_{\Gamma}(u_{\mathbf{e}}-u_{\mathbf{i}})dt\cdot\int_{\Gamma}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}dt\cdot\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}.$$

Green's formula implies that

$$\int_{\Gamma} u_{\mathbf{e}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{\mathbf{e}}} dt \leqslant 0, \qquad \int_{\Gamma} u_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{i}} dt \geqslant 0,$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dt = 0,$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \leqslant 0$$

and, in turn, that  $\lambda$  is real with  $|\lambda| \ge 1$ .

To conclude the proof it must be shown that  $\pm 1$  are not eigenvalues of M. If  $\lambda = -1$ , then from (17) it follows that

$$2\int_{\Gamma}u_{i}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\,dt=0,$$

so that

$$\int_{D} |\nabla u|^{2} dx = 0, \quad u = \text{const in } D,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{0}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{1}} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{\rm e}} = 0$$
,  $u = 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\nu = u_{\rm e} - u_{\rm i} = {\rm const.}$ 

Without loss of generality it can be assumed that  $\nu = 1$ . However, for  $\lambda = -1$  no solution exists for Eq. (16) as it follows from

$$1 = M1$$

that

$$(\sigma_0, 1) = -(1, \sigma_0) - S \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_0 dt,$$

where  $S = \text{meas } \Gamma$ . This is a contradiction since  $\int_{\Gamma} \sigma_0 dt > 0$ .

Finally for  $\lambda = 1$ , Eq. (16) reduces to

$$v = -A^*v + \int_{\Gamma} v \, dt \tag{19}$$

and by the Fredholm theorem this can have a solution if and only if

$$\int_{\Gamma} v \, dt \cdot \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_0 \, dt = 0.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Gamma}\sigma_0\,dt\neq0$$

this will be possible if and only if  $\int_{\Gamma} v \ dt = 0$ , so that (19) reduces to

$$v = -A*v$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$\nu = \text{const} \neq 0$$

and this contradiction implies that  $\lambda = 1$  is not an eigenvalue of (M) and hence the main theorem has been proved. In [3], iterative processes for solutions of the interior and exterior Dirichlet and Neumann problems are given.

#### REFERENCES

- R. KLEINMAN, Low frequency solution of electromagnetic scattering problem, in "Electromagnetic Wave Theory" (Proceedings, Delft Symposium) (J. Brown, Ed.), pp. 891-905, Pergamon, New York, 1967.
- A. G. RAMM, Iterative solution of integral equations in potential theory, Sov. Math. Dokl. 186 (1969), 62-65; Math. Rev. 41, No. 9462.
- A. G. RAMM, "Theory and applications of some new classes of integral equations," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.