AN ALGORITHM FOR GENERATING SOLID ELEMENTS IN OBJECTS WITH HOLES[†]

TONY C. WOO and TIMOTHY THOMASMA

Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, U.S.A.

(Received 21 April 1982; received for publication 17 January 1983)

Abstract—An algorithm for dividing an object with holes into solid elements for finite element preprocessing is presented. Since a tetrahedron can always be subdivided into prisms and cuboids, the approach of first dividing the given object into disjoint tetrahedra is taken.

Objects without holes are dealt with first. Two mesh operators, each generating a single tetrahedron, are presented. In addition to the construction procedure, it is shown that they handle all objects without holes. The algorithm for objects with holes requires a third operator. In addition to showing the necessary and sufficient condition for applying such an operator, it is shown that it effectively reduces the number of holes in an object by one while yielding three tetrahedra. The algorithm which sequences the three operators thus reduces a given polyhedron to a single tetrahedron iteratively. Data structure requirements and update procedures are also given in this paper.

NOTATION

- Π a polyhedron
- V₁ a vertex in Π
- E_i an edge in Π
- F_1 a face in Π
- V number of vertices in II
- E number of edges in Π
- F number of faces in Π
- G number of holes in II
- τ a tetrahedron
- τ_1, τ_2, τ_3^* mesh operators
 - ΔV change in V after each τ_i
 - ΔE change in E after each τ_i
 - ΔF change in F after each τ_i
 - ΔG change in G after each τ_i

1. INTRODUCTION

In discrete mathematics and computing, the problem of dividing a complex geometric structure into simpler ones received some attention recently [2, 3, 7, 10, 13]. Since the primary emphasis had been on the analysis of algorithms for their computational complexities, a "complex" geometric structure was often assumed to be a set of points [7] or a set of linear equations [3] while a "simple" structure only needed to be convex [2] without restriction on the number of vertices, say, in the structure. Two other attempts [10, 13] were made in dividing a polyhedron (defined by a set of vertices, edges and faces) into tetrahedra (defined as having four vertices, six edges and four faces). Success was largely limited to objects without holes.

This paper describes an algorithm for dividing an arbitrary polyhedral object (with or without holes) into solid elements. It is intended for the automatic processing of a geometric model[1,9] into finite element models[8] in an integrated computer-aided design and analysis environment.

The approach taken in this paper for the automatic generation of solid elements is as follows.

Step 1. Generate a rough mesh of tetrahedral elements without adding new vertices to the geometric model.

Step 2. Refine the elements by subdivision.

Step 1 is discussed in this paper. Step 2 is illustrated in Fig. 1 in which a tetrahedron is subdivided into tetrahedra, pentahedra, and hexahedra using new vertices such as the centroid of the tetrahedron, centers of the triangular facets and midpoints of the edges. It is assumed that subdivision can be carried out to any user-specified resolution.

In this paper two solid mesh operators are introduced in Section 2. Each operator generates one tetrahedron by either "slicing" or "digging" into the given polyhedron. After the tetrahedron passes geometric tests for noninterference tests, it is removed from the polyhedron. This process iterates until the polyhedron is reduced to a single tetrahedron.

To ensure that the algorithm converges, the topological properties of the operators are examined in Section 3. Using Euler's formula for simple polyhedra, the operators are shown to maintain topological integrity at every step in the process.

Objects with holes are dealt with in Section 4. A third operator for "cutting" open a hole is introduced. As the operator for transforming a multiply-connected polyhedron into a simply-connected polyhedron is again examined for topological integrity, an algorithm combining all three operators is given.

2. MESH OPERATORS AND INTERFERENCE TESTS

A solid mesh of tetrahedral elements in a polyhedron consists of non-interfering tetrahedra. Each tetrahedron consists of four vertices, six edges and four (triangular) faces. There is no requirement for each tetrahedron to be regular, i.e. to have equilateral triangular faces with 60° dihedral angles. The only requirements are that the tetrahedra be non-overlapping and be in the interior of the polyhedron.

A polyhedron is represented by three kinds of entities—vertices, edges, and faces. Each kind of entity has two types of information associated with it—geometry and topology. The geometry of an entity records its

[†]This work was supported in part by an SME Foundation research grant #481-186 and in part by the Center for Robotics and Integrated Manufacturing, The University of Michigan.







Fig. 1. Subdivision of a tetrahedron.

magnitude. The topology of an entity records its relation with another kind of entity.

The geometry of a vertex V_1 is a triple (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) which are the Cartesian coordinates of the vertex. Its topology is a list of pointers to all the incident edges. The geometry of an edge E_1 is in the form of a parametric equation expressed in terms of its two endpoints V_1 and V_{2} .

$$E_1 = (1-t)V_1 + tV_2 \quad t \in [0,1]$$

Its topology consists of two pointers to its two vertices and two pointers to its two faces. The geometry of a face F_1 is a four-tuple (A, B, C, D) where A, B, C are the direction consines and D is the distance from the origin to a plane having the equation

$$AX + BY + CZ + D = 0.$$

It topology consists of a list of pointers to all the edges bounding the face. A summary of the geometry and the

topology of the three entities is given below.

entity	geometry	topology
vertex	$V_1 = (X_1, Y_1, Z_1)$	points to <i>n</i> incident
edge	$E_1 = (1-t)V_1 + tV_2$	points to two vertices
face	$F_1 = AX + BY + CZ + D = 0$	points to <i>n</i> bounding edges

It may be noted that the seperation of topological information from geometric information permits greater flexibility for further development of algorithms. If, for instance, octahedral elements for polyhedra are desired, only the mesh operators need to be rewritten since the geometry does not change. If, on the other hand, curvilinear tetrahedral elements are desired for objects with curved surfaces, only the geometric tests need to be rewritten. In the following two mesh operators are presented which operate primarily on topological information. To ensure that a tetrahedron does not interfere with others, two geometric tests for vertex and edge interference are needed.

2.1 Mesh operators

The two mesh operators are called τ_1 and τ_2 , each removing a tetrahedron τ from the remaining polyhedron π . In forming a tetrahedron, operator τ_1 "slices" a corner off of π by making one "cut". The corner must necessarily be convex and trivalent (have three edges). If the remaining polyhedron does not have a convex trivalent vertex, operator τ_2 "digs" out a tetrahedra from a convex edge by making two "cuts". All polyhedra have at least one convex edge, therefore τ_2 can always be applied. These two operators are illustrated in Fig. 2.





τ, a

ь









Fig. 3. Cases of T1.

Operator τ_1

 τ_1 operates on a convex trivalent vertex V_i . From the topology of V_i , all four vertices, at least three of the six edges, and exactly three of the four faces for the tetrahedron to be constructed are immediately available. No vertex, zero to three edges, and one face need to be constructed. (See Fig. 3 for the various cases of τ_1 .) The procedure for performing on vertex V_i of polyhedron π can be stated as follows.

Algorithm $\tau_1(V_i)$

Step 1. Determine if V_i has exactly three edges.

Step 2. Determine if V_i is convex.

Step 3. Construct a tetrahedron τ from V_i . Return τ .

Operator τ_2

 τ_2 operates on a convex edge E_i . From its topology, at least two of the four vertices, at least one of the six edges, and exactly two of the four faces for the tetrahedron to be constructed are immediately available. No vertex, zero to five edges, and exactly two faces need to be constructed. (See Fig. 4 for the various cases of τ_{2i}) The procedure for performing τ_2 on edge E_i of polyhedron π can be stated as follows.

Algorithm $\tau_2(E_i)$

Step 1. Determine if E_i is convex.

Step 2. Construct a tetrahedron τ from E_i . Return τ .

2.2 Interference Tests

A tetrahedron τ constructed by operators τ_1 and τ_2

must not interfere with any part of the polyhedron π . Interference is defined by following two rules.

Rule VT. No vertex V_i of the polyhedron π lies on any of the four faces of the tetrahedron τ .

Rule ET. No edge E_i of the polyhedron π intersects any of the four faces of the tetrahedron τ . Figures 5(a, b) illustrate the violation of Rule VT and Rule ET, respectively. Because of the "local influence" of the operators τ_1 and τ_2 , the faces of the polyhedron cannot be intersected by the edges of the tetrahedron.

The two interference rules can be formulated as procedures with candidate tetrahedron τ and polyhedron π as input.

Algorithm $VT(\tau, \pi)$

Step 1. Evaluate all vertices V_i of polyhedron π on all four faces F_i of τ .

Step 2. If a V_i is on F_i , return False. Else, return True.

Algorithm $ET(\tau, \pi)$

Step 1. Calculate points of intersection between all edges E_i of polyhedron π and all four faces F_i of tetrahedron τ .

Step 2. If a point of intersection lies within the boundary of F_i , return False. Else, return True.

In the next section, an algorithm that applies τ_1 and τ_2 is developed. Tests VT and ET are performed on the tetrahedron τ produced by either a τ_1 or a τ_2 . The procedure iterates until the polyhedron π is reduced to a single tetrahedron.



Fig. 4. Cases of τ_2 .



o. VERTEX INTERFERENCE



b. EDGE INTERFERENCE

Fig. 5. Interference between τ and Ω .

3. MESHING SIMPLE OBJECTS

In this section we first provide a more rigorous treatment of the relationship between the two operators and the polyhedron. Specifically, we show that they are applicable to any polyhedron satisfying the derivative form of Euler's formula:

$$\Delta V - \Delta E + \Delta F = 0 \tag{1}$$

where ΔV , ΔE , and ΔF are the changes in the number of vertices, edges and faces of a polyhedron respectively at every step of the process. Next, we give the procedure for meshing simple polyhedra satisfying eqn (1).

3.1 τ_1, τ_2 and simple objects

A simple polyhedron π with V vertices, E edges and F faces satisfies Euler's formula

$$V-E+F=2. (2)$$

Consider inverse operators τ_1^{-1} and τ_2^{-1} that "glue" tetrahedra on a polyhedron. We show by induction that at any step of the construction process the polyhedron satisfies eqn (1).

The first tetrahedron trivially satisfies eqn (2) since V = 4, E = 6 and F = 4. Suppose eqn (2) is true after *n* steps. Consider the $(n + 1)^{st}$ step. If the operation is a τ_1^{-1} , then from Fig. 3 the following table can be constructed.



If the operation is a τ_2^{-1} , then a similar table can be constructed from Fig. 4.

τ_2^{-1}	ΔV	ΔE	ΔF
	0	0	0
	0	-1	-1
	0	-2	-2
	0	-2	-2
	0	-3	-3
	0	-4	-4

Clearly, in both cases eqn (1) is satisfied. Hence, eqn (2) is satisfied.

Having shown the applicability of τ_1 and τ_2 to simple polyhedra, we proceed with the description of the algorithm.

3.2 Algorithm for simple objects

Intuitively, τ_1 seems to be "easier" to apply than τ_2 since fewer new entities must be constructed. It may also seem intuitive that τ_1 and τ_2 must work "in tandem". The latter intuition can be verified by the change in the number of vertices ΔV each operator makes. From Fig. 3 and 4, we see that for τ_1 , $\Delta V = 1$, and for τ_2 , $\Delta V = 0$. Thus, operator τ_1 eventually reduces a polyhedron with V vertices to a tetrahedron with four vertices. As we also see, τ_2 is needed when none of the vertices are " τ_1 -able", i.e. convex, trivalent, and yielding a noninterfering tetrahedron. The algorithm presented in this section has two nested loops, with τ_1 as the workhorse in the inner loop. The flow of control of the algorithm is as follows. The inner loop first executes all the applicable τ_1 s. The outer loop then indices by one and executes one τ_2 . If the tetrahedron produced is non-interfering, the control drops down to the inner loop. Else, another τ_2 is executed.

Algorithm $S(\pi)$ Step 1. If π is a tetrahedron, return. For all edges E_i do For all vertices V_i do Step 2. $\tau \leftarrow \text{call } \tau_1(V_i)$ Step 3. If $VT(\tau, \pi)$ and $ET(\tau, \pi), \pi \leftarrow \pi - \tau$. end Step 4. $\tau \leftarrow \text{call } \tau_2(E_i)$ Step 5. If $Vt(\tau, \pi)$ and $ET(\tau, \pi), \pi \leftarrow \pi - \tau$. end Step 6. Go to step 1.

Algorithm S works in the following fashion. If there exists a convex trivalent vertex in the polyhedron π , then

apply a τ_1 and construct a tetrahedron τ . If τ passes interference tests VT and ET then remove it from π . Continue with τ_1 on the remaining polyhedron. If all remaining the vertices fail the interference tests or if they are not convex trivalent, apply at τ_2 . If a tetrahedron is successful obtained from a τ_2 , go back to τ_1 . Continue this process until the polyhedron π is reduced to a single tetrahedron.

4. MESHING OBJECTS WITH HOLES

The two operators τ_1 and τ_2 apply to simply-connected polyhedra. An object with holes is a multiply-connected polyhedron. In this section we introduce a special operator τ_2^* that cuts open holes hence reducing a multiplyconnected polyhedron to a simply-connected one.

A necessary condition for applying operator τ_2^* is that if the hole is cut by a plane there exists a triangular cross-section with vertices V_i , V_j , and V_k . (Intuitively, such a condition always exists in a multiply-connected polyhedron. When a hole is cut, it yields a cross-section and if the cross-section is not a triangle, more τ_1 s and τ_2 s could have been applied.) Figure 6(a) illustrates such a condition. A sufficient condition for applying operator τ_2^* is that there exists two other triangular cross-sections V_i , V_j , V_i and V_k , V_m , V_n . Figure 6(b) illustrates such a condition. Operator τ_2^* transforms the polyhedron from the configuration in Fig. 6(a) to that in Fig. 6(b) in three distinct stages. The notion of a *genus* is needed for dealing with the reduction of holes at these stages.

Euler's formula for a simple polyhedra does not hold for objects with holes [6]. The concept of a "threedimensional hole" can be described by a parameter called genus G, commonly referred to as a handle. A simple polyhedron is topologically equivalent to a sphere. The vertices, edges and faces become nodes, arcs and regions on the sphere. The genus of a sphere is zero. An object with one hole is topologically equivalent to a torus. The genus of a torus is one. The genus G of an object is related to V, E and F by the Euler-Poincare formula [5]

$$V - E + F = 2 - 2G$$
 (3)

Meshing an object with holes involves changing the genus. In transition, the operator τ_2^* produces a nonmanifold object having $G = \frac{1}{2}$. A small sphere placed near the singularity of a non-manifold would be divided into four regions, alternating inside, outside, inside and outside of the object. A small sphere placed anywhere else would be divided into at most two regions, inside and outside. Figure 7 shows two non-manifold objects. The object in Fig. 7(a) has a singularity of a point. The object in Fig. 7(b) has a singularity of a line. These two non-manifold objects occur as intermediate stages of a multiply-connected polyhedron as it is cut open by a τ_2^* .

4.1 τ_2^* and its three stages

Operator τ_2^* opens up a hole in three distinct stages. The polyhedron under the operation makes the following transitions:

Stage 1. From manifold, G = 1 to non-manifold, $G = \frac{1}{2}$. Stage 2. From non-manifold, $G = \frac{1}{2}$ to non-manifold, $G = \frac{1}{2}$.

Stage 3. From non-manifold, $G = \frac{1}{2}$ to manifold G = 0.

Figure 6(a) corresponds to the configuration of the polyhedron with a hole before stage 1. Figure 6(b) cor-





G. NECESSARY CONDITION

b. SUFFICIENT CONDITION



c. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION

Fig. 6. Conditions for τ_{\pm}^* .



a. VERTEX



Fig. 7. Non-manifold objects.

responds to the configuration of the same polyhedron without a hole after stage 3. The three stages are illustrated in Fig. 8.

At each stage, a tetrahedron is removed, thus changing the V, E, and F of the polyhedron. In addition, genus G changes by $-\frac{1}{2}$ at stages 1 and 3 satisfying the derivative form of eqn (3):

$$\Delta V - \Delta E + \Delta F = -2\Delta G. \tag{4}$$

Figures 9–11 give detailed cases of each of the three stages. We can construct the following tables that verify eqn (4) is obeyed.

τ [*] stage 1	ΔV	٥E	ΔF	ΔG
-	0 0 0	1 0 1	2 1 0	
7 [*] stage 2	ΔV	ΔE	ΔF	G
	0 0 0	0 -1 -2	0 -1 -2	0 0 0
τ [*] stage 3	ΔV	<u>ک</u>	ΔF	۵G
	0 0 0	-1 -2 -3	0 -1 -2	





Fig. 8. The three stages of τ_{2}^{*} .

the three triangular cross-sections $F_k = (V_i V_j V_k)$, $F_i = (V_i V_j V_i)$ and $F_n = (V_k V_m V_n)$ shown in Fig. 6(c). An edge connecting vertices V_i and V_j will be denoted by $(V_i V_j)$.

Algorithm τ_2^* (F_k , F_l , F_n , π)

Step 1. Let E_i be $(V_k V_l)$. Apply stage 1 of τ_2^* to E_i and get tetrahedron τ . Remove τ from π .

Stage 2. Let E_i be (V_iV_m) . Apply stage 2 of τ_2^* to E_i and get τ . Remove τ from π .

Step 3. Let E_i be (V_1V_m) . Apply stage 3 of τ_2^* to E_i and get τ . Remove τ from π .

The three stages of τ_2^* are given in Fig. 9-11.

4.2 Algorithm for objects with holes

Compared to τ_1 and τ_2 , τ_2^* will be used less frequently. In fact, it will be used only as many times as there are holes in the object. For objects with holes, we shall develop an algorithm H with three nested loops. The inner loop will be for τ_1 . The middle loop will be for τ_2 . The outer loop will be for τ_2^* . The middle and the inner loops are Algorithm S. As many τ_1 s are executed as possible until all convex trihedral vertices are exhausted. A τ_2 is then executed and the control drops into the inner loop. The outer loop is indexed only when neither τ_1 nor τ_2 is applicable to the remaining polyhedron. At this point, a τ_2^* is executed to transform the polyhedron into a τ_1 -able or a τ_2 -able polyhedron. The index of the outer loop F_k , F_l , F_n corresponds to the τ_2^* condition shown in Fig. 6.

Algorithm $H(\pi)$
Step 1. If π is a tetrahedron, return.
For all faces F_k , F_l , F_n do
For all edges E_i do
For all vertices V_i do
Step 2. $\tau \leftarrow \operatorname{call} \tau_1(V_i)$
Step 3. If $VT(\tau, \pi)$ and $ET(\tau, \pi)$, $\pi \leftarrow \pi - \tau$.
end
Step 4. $\tau \leftarrow \operatorname{call} \tau_2(E_i)$
Step 5. If $VT(\tau, \pi)$ and $ET(\tau, \pi) \pi \leftarrow \pi - \tau$.
end
Step 6. Call $\tau_2^*(F_k, F_l, F_n, \pi)$
end
Step 7. Go to Step 1.



Fig. 10. Stage 2 of τ_2^* .













Fig. 12. Example of applying τ_1 , τ_2 , and τ_2^* .

Figure 12 shows the execution of algorithm H on an object with a hole. The object is transformed from Fig. 12(a, b) by a sequence of operators τ_2 , τ_1 , τ_2 , τ_2 . (τ_1 could not be used as the first operation because the hole blocks the formation of a tetrahedron.) Eventually, the object is reduced to that shown in Fig. 12c where no τ_2 is applicable. The three stages of τ_2^* are illustrated in Fig. 12(d-f). Since the object in Fig. 12(f) is simple, τ_1 and τ_2 can reduce it to a single tetrahedron.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that simple objects meshed by operators τ_1 and τ_2 obey $\Delta V - \Delta E - \Delta F = 0$. Algorithm S converges because τ_2 reduces the degree of a vertex and τ_1 reduces the number of vertices. For objects with holes, an operator τ_2^* is needed in conjunction with τ_1 and τ_2 . τ_2^* changes the genus of a polyhedron from G to (G - 1). The three stages of τ_2^* yields a non-manifold object as tetrahedra are removed.

The implementation of Algorithm H can be simplified if we do not insist on mathematical rigor. For the reason of clarity, we have made a distinction between τ_2^* and τ_2 by their topological differences in the updating of the polyhedron. For the reason of expediency, we allow τ_2^* to remove three tetrahedra in succession rather than letting τ_1 take over after one tetrahedron is removed. In practice, if the updating rules for τ_2 and τ_2^* are observed, there is no need to make a distinction between them. Hence, Algorithm S suffices.

As a side issue on storage allocation for the elements it would be of interest to know how many elements there are in a polyhedron without decomposing it. It is known[4] that there can be T tetrahedra in the interior of a polyhedron with F faces on the boundary and f faces in the interior satisfying the rule:

$$T = \frac{1}{4}(F + 2f).$$

Recently, it has been shown[11] that, a priori T is related to the number of vertices V by the relation:

$$(V-3) \le T \le \frac{(V-3)(V-2)}{2}$$

The bounds for T can be quickly appreciated since a cube can be divided into five or six tetrahedra. If v interor vertices are allowed in the polyhedron[12], there can be

$$T = V - v + d - 3$$

tetrahedra, where d is the number of interior diagonals.

REFERENCES

- I. C. Braid, The synthesis of solids bounded by many faces. Comm. ACM 18, 209-216 (1975).
- B. Chazelle, Convex decomposition of polyhedra. ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing, Milwaukee, pp. 70-79 (1981).
- J. Cohen and T. Hickey. Two algorithms for determining volumes of convex polyhedra. J. ACM 26, 401-414 (1979).
- D. J. F. Ewing, A. J. Fawkes and J. R. Griffiths, Rules governing the of nodes and elements in a finite element mesh. Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng 2, 597-601 (1970).
- 5. P. J. Giblin, Graphs, Surfaces and Homology. Chapman and Hall, London (1977).
- 6. I. Lakatos, Proofs and Refutations. Cambridge University Press, (1976).
- F. F. Little, Three-dimensional triangulation. SIAM 1981 National Meeting, Troy, New York (8-10 June 1981).
- A. K. Noor, Survey of computer programs for solution of nonlinear structural and solid mechanics problems. Comput. Comput. Graphics Applic. 2, 9-24 (1982).
- A. A. G. Requicha and H. B. Voelcker, Solid modeling: a historical summary and contemporary assessment. *IEEE Compt. Graphics Applic.* 2, 924 (1982).
- T. C. Woo, An algorithm for triangulating a class of polyhedra. SIAM Conf. on the Application of Discrete Mathematics, Troy, New York (11-12 June 1981).
- T. C. Woo and T. Thomasma, On the number of disjoint tetrahedra in simple polyhedra. Tech. Rep. 81-12. Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1981).
- T. C. Woo and T. Thomasma, Characterization for the interior of three-dimensional polyhedra. Tech. Rep. 82-3. Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 (1982).
- B. Wordenwever, Volume-triangulation. CAD Group Document 110, Computer Laboratory, Cambridge University, England (1980).